

251

## THE ROLE OF NITRIC OXIDE AND CALCIUM REGULATION IN CARDIOPROTECTION FROM REMOTE ISCHAEMIC PRECONDITIONING

C Thaitirarot, H Crumbie, G Rodrigo *University of Leicester*

doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2013-304019.251

We have previously shown that ischaemic preconditioning (IPC) of the whole heart protects the isolated ventricular myocytes against  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -overload injury during simulated ischaemia [1]. Nitric oxide (NO) signalling is known to modulate  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -regulation in cardiac myocytes [2] and plays a central role in IPC [3]. We have compared the involvement NO-signalling in cardioprotection in IPC versus remote ischaemic preconditioning (rIPC).

We used an isolated ventricular myocyte model of IPC of whole hearts [1] and compared this to rIPC myocytes, where naïve cardiomyocytes are remotely conditioned with the superfusate from preconditioned hearts. Two models of ischaemia-reperfusion (I/R) injury were used to determine protection. 1) Ischaemia was simulated in myocytes centrifuged to a dense pellet and layered with mineral oil to prevent gaseous diffusion (37°C, 30 min), and reperfusion by dispersing the myocyte pellet into oxygenated 2mM  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  Tyrode [1] and cell death was assessed by Calcein and Propidium Iodide staining. 2)  $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$  was recorded from field-stimulated myocytes loaded with Fura-2 and subjected to metabolic inhibition (2 mM NaCN and 1 mM Iodoacetic acid) for 8 min followed by re-energization with 2mM  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  Tyrode for 12 min. Cell injury was determined as the inability to maintain low diastolic  $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$  and to contract in response to electrical stimulation. Data are mean $\pm$ S.E.M (n=number of experiments, hearts; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison post-hoc test).

IPC and rIPC both significantly reduced the degree of necrotic injury compared to control myocytes [IPC 29.7 $\pm$ 2.1% (n=32, 6; P<0.001); rIPC 30.9 $\pm$ 3.7% (n=17, 12; P<0.001); control 55.1 $\pm$ 2.9% (n=18, 13)]. The protective effect of rIPC was abolished by the non-specific NOS inhibitor L-NAME (100  $\mu\text{M}$ ) at 56.5 $\pm$ 3.8% (n=13, 10; P<0.001), when present during the rIPC stimulus and the I/R protocol. Both IPC and rIPC increased the percentage of myocytes that recovered contractile function on re-energization following metabolic inhibition, from 39.8 $\pm$ 3.7% of control myocytes (n=30, 12), to 63.8 $\pm$ 2.3% (n=24, 7; P<0.001) and 55.7 $\pm$ 3.2% (n=24, 9; P<0.01) respectively. This increased recovery of contractile function was not blocked by L-NAME present during the rIPC stimulus. However, only IPC increased the percentage of cells able to maintain a low diastolic  $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$  (fura-2 ratio<1) following re-energization, from 19.4 $\pm$ 3.2% of control myocytes (n=30, 14) to 48.3 $\pm$ 3.8% of IPC myocytes (n=24, 7; P<0.001) and 24.9 $\pm$ 4.5% of rIPC myocytes (n=23, 9; ns).

Our data show that the protection against necrotic cell death of rIPC involves NOS-signalling, whereas, the improved recovery of contractile function was NOS-independent. Further, rIPC was not associated with maintained  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -regulation, as seen in true IPC.