Aortic stenosis: flow matters

Philippe Pibarot

The presence of a low transvalvular pressure gradient (<40 mm Hg) in conjunction with a small aortic valve area (AVA ≤1 cm²) is a challenging situation as it raises uncertainty about the actual severity of aortic stenosis (AS) and therefore about the indication of aortic valve replacement (AVR) if the patient is symptomatic. This low-gradient ‘severe’ (small AVA) AS entity may in fact be related to: (i) measurement errors: underestimation of stroke volume (SV), AVA and/or gradient; S3 (ii) small body size: a small AVA in a small patient may correspond to moderate AS and low gradient; (iii) inherent discrepancies in the AVA (≤1 cm²) gradient (≥40 mm Hg) cut-off points proposed in the guidelines S2 S3 to define severe AS; and (iv) a low-flow state. S4 Among these four potential causes of low-gradient AS, only the last, that is, the low-flow state, would a priori have a negative impact on outcomes. It is well known that in patients with depressed LV longitudinal systolic function (SVI ≤35 mL/m²), the SV and thus the transvalvular flow are often reduced. And in such conditions, the gradient, which is highly flow-dependent, may be low (<40 mm Hg) despite the presence of a severe stenosis. S4 Recent studies and guidelines have also emphasised that LV outflow is often reduced in patients with preserved LVEF and this entity has been named ‘paradoxical’ low-flow. S2 S4–S5 In these patients, the reduction of SV is related to pronounced concentric LV remodelling with small LV cavity, impaired diastolic filling and depressed LV longitudinal systolic function (although LV EF is still preserved). S3 S4–S5 Furthermore, other factors frequently encountered in the elderly population with AS may contribute to the low flow including reduced arterial compliance, atrial fibrillation, mitral stenosis, mitral regurgitation and tricuspid regurgitation. S2

In their Heart paper, Eleid et al S4 present the results of an elegant study in which they examined the prognostic value of LV outflow, as expressed by SV index (SVI), in patients with low-gradient AS and preserved LVEF. They showed that lower SVI is incrementally associated with mortality and that the risk of mortality increases sharply when the SVI becomes lower than 35 mL/m².

LOW-GRADIENT AS: WHY IS IT IMPORTANT TO LOOK AT THE FLOW?
The primary function of the heart is to pump blood. Hence, it would seem logical to use the SV as the primary parameter to assess the heart function. Furthermore, the SV should be indexed for the patient’s body surface area to account for inter-individual variation in body size and thus normal cardiac output requirements. Although the SV is systematically measured in the echocardiography or catheterisation laboratory to calculate cardiac output and AVA, this parameter is generally not used for diagnostic or prognostic purposes. The article of Eleid et al S4 provide strong support to the systematic integration of the SVI in the risk stratification and therapeutic management of patients with AS. Although a significant impact on mortality was observed at SVI <43 mL/m², the risk of mortality associated with low flow increased markedly when SVI became <35 mL/m² as shown in the figure 2 of their article. These findings further validate the cut-off value of 35 mL/m² proposed by Hachicha et al S1 and recently incorporated in the guidelines S2 S3 to define low flow and predict adverse outcomes in AS. However, the study of Eleid et al S4 also shows that the mortality rate increases continuously with decreasing SVI and therefore a dichotomisation according to a SVI of 35 mL/m² may underestimate the risk of mortality, particularly for patients with SVI between 35 and 43 mL/m².

The echocardiographic parameter that is used to measure LV outflow and identify the presence of low flow, that is, the SVI, also has several pitfalls: (i) It is subject to measurements errors, the most frequent being the underestimation of the LV outflow tract diameter that is included in its calculation. S1 S4 (ii) Obesity may confound the relation between SVI and mortality. Indeed, the indexation of the SV to the patient’s body surface area may yield an overestimation of the prevalence and severity of flow low in obese individuals. Furthermore, as reported in the study of Eleid et al S4 obesity is often associated with better survival in the elderly patients with severe AS (ie, the obesity paradox). In their study, Eleid et al S4 have paid attention to adjusting for body mass index in the multivariable analysis of the predictors of mortality. In this analysis, body mass index was independently associated with better survival and the association between lower SVI and mortality was even slightly stronger than in univariable analysis.

In summary, the findings of the study of Eleid et al S4 as well as those of previous studies S1 S3 S4–S5 underline the importance of systematically considering both the gradient and the flow data in the risk stratification and therapeutic management of patients with AS. The gradient is directly related to stenosis severity but inversely related to flow. So a low gradient may be a marker for a less severe stenosis and/or for a low-flow state, and in the latter situation, the gradient may grossly underestimate stenosis severity. Hence, the patients with small AVA and preserved LVEF should be dichotomised according to the mean gradient (high vs low; ie, ≥ vs <40 mm Hg) and the flow (normal vs low; ie, SVI > vs ≤35 mL/m²). S5

MANAGEMENT OF LOW-GRADIENT ‘SEVERE’ AS

According to the most recent guidelines, S2 S3 AVR is reasonable (Class IIa) in symptomatic patients who have low-flow, low-gradient severe AS, who are normotensive, and have an LV EF ≥50% if clinical, haemodynamic and anatomic data support severe valve obstruction as the most likely cause of symptoms. Figure 1 presents a 5-step algorithm to guide the management of patients with low-gradient ‘severe’ (ie, small AVA) AS and preserved LVEF. The first step should be to rule out potential errors in the measurement of SV, AVA, and mean gradient by using other corroborating methods. The second step is to determine whether or not the patient has low flow because it has important prognostic implications. The study of Eleid et al S4 indeed confirms that patients with SVI <35 mL/m² have markedly worse outcomes and should thus receive particular attention. S1–S3 S7–S9 On the other hand, patients with low gradient but normal flow generally have a good prognosis S1–S3 S6 and can be managed with conservative management and close follow-up, unless they are symptomatic (see Step #3). The third step is to determine whether or not the patient is symptomatic. If the patient is truly asymptomatic, which can be confirmed with exercise testing, the patient should be managed conservatively and have a close follow-up. If the patient is symptomatic, the fourth step is to determine whether or not the patient is hypertensive. Hypertension is indeed frequent in patients with AS and may contribute to the reduced flow (and thus to the low gradient), symptoms and
worse outcomes.1,2 If the patient is hypertensive, antihypertensive therapy should be instituted or optimised and the clinical and echocardiographic data should be reassessed after normalisation of blood pressure.3,4 Finally, the last step, but not the least, is to confirm the presence of severe stenosis. Indeed, the presence of low flow makes more complex the assessment of stenosis severity as 30%–40% of the patients with low-flow, low-gradient AS may have pseudo-severe stenosis.5–8 Other diagnostic tests, such as a low dose dobutamine stress echocardiography, may be used to differentiate true versus pseudo severe stenosis.5–8 However, this test is often not feasible or conclusive in patients with low-flow, low-gradient AS and preserved LVEF, particularly if they have a restrictive physiology pattern. Quantitation of aortic valve calcium load by multi-detector computed tomography (MDCT) may be useful to corroborate stenosis severity in patients with low-gradient AS, independently of the flow or LVEF. However, the cut-off points of aortic valve calcium score that should be used to identify severe stenosis are different in women (>1200 AU) versus men (>2000 AU).8 Symptomatic normotensive patients with low-flow, low-gradient AS and evidence of true-severe AS at dobutamine stress echocardiography and/or MDCT should undergo surgical or transcatheter AVR whereas other patients should rather be managed conservatively with optimisation of medical therapy and close clinical and echocardiographic follow-up.

**CONCLUSIONS**
This article by Eleid *et al*8 further emphasises the importance of always interpreting the data of AVA and gradient in light of the flow data. Hence, the SVI should be systematically incorporated in the echocardiographic evaluation and risk stratification of patients with AS and a SVI<35 mL/m² indicates that the patient is at a higher risk for mortality. Symptomatic patients with paradoxical low-flow, low-gradient AS should undergo further investigations to confirm the presence of severe AS and thus the indication of AVR.
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