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ABSTRACT

Background An increasing number of sporting bodies report unacceptably high levels of false-positive ECGs when undertaking pre-participation cardiac screening. To address this issue, modified ECG interpretation criteria have become available for use within athletes.

Objective This study assessed the accuracy of the new 2014 ‘Refined Criteria’ against the 2013 Seattle Criteria and the 2010 European Society of Cardiology (ESC) recommendations in a cohort of Arabic, black and Caucasian athletes.

Methods 2491 male athletes (1367 Arabic, 748 black and 376 Caucasian) underwent pre-participation screening including a 12-lead ECG, with further investigation(s) upon indication.

Results Ten athletes (0.4%) were identified with cardiac pathology; seven with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM; five black and two Arabic) and three Arabs with Wolff–Parkinson–White syndrome (WPW). All three ESC criteria were 100% sensitive identifying all cases of HCM and WPW. The 2014 Refined Criteria reduced (p<0.0001) the prevalence of an abnormal ECG to 5.3% vs 11.6% (Seattle Criteria) and 22.3% (2010 ESC recommendations). The 2014 Refined Criteria significantly (p<0.0001) improved specificity (94.0%) across all ethnicities compared with the Seattle Criteria (87.5%) and ESC recommendations (76.6%). Black athletes continue to present a higher prevalence (p<0.0001) of abnormal ECGs compared with Arabic and Caucasian athletes (10% vs 3.6% and 2.1%).

Conclusions The 2014 Refined Criteria for athlete ECG interpretation outperformed both the 2013 Seattle Criteria and the 2010 ESC recommendations by significantly reducing the number of false-positive ECGs in Arabic, black and Caucasian athletes while maintaining 100% sensitivity for serious cardiac pathologies.

INTRODUCTION

In 2010, the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) produced ‘revised’ recommendations for the interpretation of ECGs of athletes.1 This was due to the increasing number of sporting governing bodies undertaking pre-participation cardiovascular screening reporting unacceptably high levels of false-positive ECGs arising from the overlap between physiological ECG patterns commonly observed in athletes and those suggestive of cardiac pathology. To demonstrate improved specificity, the authors reanalysed the ECGs of 1005 highly trained athletes previously reported a decade earlier.2 Originally, 402 athletes (40%) presented an abnormal ECG (so-called ‘group 2’ changes), which was lowered to 11% using the 2010 ESC recommendations. Work from our group and others has, however, demonstrated that certain black ethnic populations, such as African, African-Caribbean and Black Latin-American, continue to demonstrate a high prevalence of abnormal ECGs (approximately 20–40%) when using the 2010 ESC recommendations.3–6

To address this issue, in 2012 an international team of experts produced the ‘Seattle Criteria,’7 a revision of ECG interpretation guidelines for athletes, aimed to provide greater accuracy in identifying those with cardiac pathology, while also attempting to reduce the false-positive rate. The Seattle Criteria have demonstrated favourable results over the ESC recommendations, with a reduction in the number of ECGs previously considered abnormal (17 to 4%) in a population of high-level athletes, while still identifying all athletes with cardiac pathology.8 Furthermore, the Seattle Criteria consider specific ethnic ECG facets such as anterior (V1–V4) T-wave inversion (commonly observed in up to 13% of black athletes)4 to represent an ethnically benign variant of the athlete’s heart in those of black ethnicity, helping to reduce false-positive rates further.

Recently, Sheikh et al9 published additional ‘Refined Criteria’ for ECG interpretation, based upon their experience of screening thousands of athletes using both the ESC recommendations and the Seattle Criteria. Sheikh et al9 demonstrated that the ECG patterns of isolated atrial enlargement (left and right), axis deviation (left and right) and RV hypertrophy (RVH) found in both the ESC recommendations and the Seattle Criteria provided an extremely low diagnostic yield for cardiac pathology. A unique feature of this investigation was a validation assessment in 103 young athletes with confirmed hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) whereby the Refined Criteria identified 98.1% of HCM cases.

While the ESC guidelines were initially considered acceptable for use within Arabic athletes,3 it is
unknown whether the Seattle Criteria or the Refined Criteria are clinically appropriate for differentiating physiological cardiac adaptation from inherited pathology associated with sudden cardiac death (SCD) in Arabic athletes. Consequently, the aim of this investigation was to assess the accuracy of the new 2014 Refined Criteria versus the 2013 Seattle Criteria and the 2010 ESC recommendations in a large cohort of Arabic, black and Caucasian athletes when undertaking mass pre-participation cardiovascular screening.

Participants
Between November 2010 and January 2014, 2491 male athletes (exercising ≥26 h/week) presented at Aspetar Orthopaedic Sports Medicine Hospital for pre-participation cardiovascular screening, of whom 1367 were Arabic, 748 black and 376 Caucasian. Ethnicity was self-determined by the athlete. Arabic athletes were recruited from seven Gulf States (Qatar, Bahrain, Oman, United Arab Emirates, Kuwait, Yemen and Saudi Arabia) and seven Middle-Eastern countries (Egypt, Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia, Jordan, Palestine and Lebanon). Black athletes came from nine African countries (Sudan, Somalia, Ghana, Nigeria, Chad, Ivory Coast, Senegal, Cameroon and Ethiopia), three Caribbean countries (Trinidad and Tobago, Jamaica and Cuba) and those that self-determined their ethnicity as black from South America (Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador and Uruguay). The vast majority of Caucasian athletes came from mainland Europe, Australia and North America with a small number from South America.

Pre-participation cardiovascular screening
All players were screened using a cardiovascular pre-competition medical assessment form. Athletes completed the questionnaire regarding family history and personal symptoms, together with measurements of height, body mass, left brachial artery blood pressure in collaboration with an Arabic-speaking, French-speaking or English-speaking cardiac nurse. Precordial auscultation in supine and standing positions, and assessment for any physical characteristics of Marfan’s syndrome were undertaken by a Sports Medicine Physician. From 2491 athletes presenting for pre-participation cardiac screening, 1718 (68.7%) required both ECG and echocardiography as standard as part of pre-participation cardiovascular screening.

Resting 12-lead ECG
A 12-lead ECG was recorded on all athletes after a period of 5 min rest in the supine position using a GE Mac 5500 (New York, USA). A well-defined protocol for electrode placement was used throughout by a single physiologist. Six electrodes are placed on the chest in the following locations: V1, fourth intercostal space at the right sternal border; V2, fourth intercostal space at the left sternal border; V3, midway between V2 and V4; V4, fifth intercostal space in the midclavicular line; V5, in the horizontal plane of V4 at the anterior axillary line, or if the anterior axillary line is ambiguous, midway between V4 and V6; and V6, in the horizontal plane of V4 at the midaxillary line. Four limb lead electrodes were placed on the arms and legs distal to the shoulders and hips.

Echocardiography
Echocardiographic examination was performed using a commercially available ultrasound system (Philips, USA). Images of the heart were obtained in the standard planes, with cardiac structure and function evaluated with previously described criteria. All echocardiograms were obtained and reported by a single echocardiographer.

Further evaluation and follow-up
Athletes demonstrating symptoms, a family history of SCD, ECG abnormalities and/or echocardiographic abnormalities considered to represent pathology, were investigated further. Subsequent studies included 24 h ECG, maximal exercise testing and cardiac MRI. A diagnosis of disease was established using published criteria.

Retrospective ECG examination
All 2491 ECGs were analysed retrospectively using the ESC recommendations, followed by the Seattle Criteria and Refined Criteria. During analysis, first and last authors were blinded to pathological findings in all athletes, with MGW providing final judgement on ECG normality or abnormality using each of the three ECG criteria. Electrocardiographic parameters used to define various ECG abnormalities for the three sets of criteria are presented in table 1.

Refined Criteria
Differences between the ESC recommendations and the Seattle Criteria can be observed in table 1. Compared with the ESC recommendations and the Seattle Criteria, the unique aspect of the Refined Criteria is that athletes would not receive further cardiovascular evaluation when presenting with the following recognised training-related ECG changes in isolation; (1) left atrial enlargement (LAE), (2) right atrial enlargement (RAE), (3) left axis deviation (LAD), (4) right axis deviation (RAD) and (5) Sokolow–Lyon voltage criteria for RVH. In line with the Seattle Criteria, a corrected (Bazett’s formula) QT interval (QTi) ≥470 ms in men and ≥480 ms in women, and T-wave inversion preceded by convex ST-segment elevation in leads V1–V4 in asymptomatic black athletes do not require further investigation. However, importantly, the presence of two or more of the above ECG patterns would warrant secondary investigation (figure 1). It is worth noting that the Refined Criteria are not an evolution of the 2012 Seattle Criteria, but use ECG parameters from both the 2010 ESC recommendations and the Seattle Criteria.

Statistical analysis
Data were analysed using SPSS V21.0 (Illinois, USA); presented as mean±SD (range) and percentage where appropriate. One-way analysis of variance using Bonferroni adjustments (if applicable) was used to identify differences in athlete anthropometry. A χ2 test was used to compare the number of abnormal ECGs between the three ECG interpretation criteria and between athlete ethnicities. Specificity and sensitivity for the three criteria were calculated in those athletes who undertook both ECG and echocardiography as part of club requirements, following which positive and negative likelihood ratios were calculated. A McNemar χ2 test was used to compare specificity and positive likelihood ratios between criteria. A p value <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS
Athlete demographics
Overall, Arabic athletes were younger than both black and Caucasian athletes (21.3±5.4 vs 24.1±5.0 and 24.3±5.4 years, respectively; p<0.05). Arabic athletes had a smaller body surface area (BSA) than both black and Caucasian athletes (1.87±0.26 m2 vs 2.00±0.28 m2 vs 2.14±0.26 m2, p<0.05).
Caucasian athletes presented a larger BSA than black athletes (p<0.05). Athletes competed in a range of competitive sports (n=27), with four high-intensity intermittent sports dominating participation rates (soccer (43.2%), handball (13.7%), volleyball (9.1%) and basketball (8.5%)).

**Identification of cardiac pathology**

Ten athletes (0.6%) were identified with a cardiac pathology associated with SCD; seven with HCM (five black and two Arabic) and three Arabic athletes with Wolff–Parkinson–White syndrome (WPW). All athletes with HCM presented with an abnormal ECG, but were diagnosed with pathology via a combination of echocardiography, 24 h Holter, exercise stress testing and cardiac MRI. The incidence of HCM in black athletes (0.9%) was five times greater than in Arabic athletes (0.2%). All athletes with HCM displayed T-wave inversion; five inferolateral, one isolated lateral and one with anterior-inferior. Six of the seven HCM athletes demonstrated ‘deep’ (>0.3 mV) T-wave inversion, ST-segment depression and Sokolow voltage criteria for LV hypertrophy (LVH), calculated as the amplitude of the S-wave in V1 plus the amplitude of the R-wave in either V5 or V6 ≥3.5 mV (mean 4.53 mV). These three cases of WPW demonstrated a classical delta wave, a short PR interval together with a prolonged QRS duration. All three athletes underwent electrophysiological study and ablation, returning to full competition following a 2-month postoperative recovery period.

**Comparison of three ECG interpretation criteria**

The number of ECGs considered abnormal using the three criteria is presented in table 2. From 2491 athletes, 555 (22.3%) were considered to have an abnormal ECG according to the ESC recommendations. The most common ECG abnormalities were a prolonged QT interval (26.1%), T-wave inversion (21.3%), RAE (16.5%) and a short PR interval (12.4%). Black athletes were significantly more likely to present an abnormal ECG than Arabic and Caucasian athletes (29.9% vs 19.1% and 18.6%, respectively; p<0.0001). Compared with the ESC recommendations, use of the Seattle Criteria significantly reduced the number of abnormal ECGs from 22.3% to 11.6% (a 48% reduction; p<0.0001). The main two ECG parameters that accounted for the majority of this improvement were an increase in the QTc cut-offs (≥470 ms men and ≥480 ms women) and the acknowledgement that isolated anterior T-wave inversion in asymptomatic black athletes is benign. This spared 172 (6.9%) athletes from further investigation. From the remaining abnormal ECGs, 54.6% were considered abnormal due to either isolated RAE (31.8%), LAE (13.1%), LAD and RAD (5.2% and 3.1%) and RVH (1.4%). Pathological Q waves (27.0%) and other locations of T-wave inversion (23.2%) were also highly prevalent. Black athletes were again significantly more likely to present an abnormal ECG than Arabic and Caucasian athletes (16.6% vs 9.7% and 8.3%, respectively; p<0.0001). Yet across all three ethnicities, the number of abnormal ECGs was significantly reduced compared with the ESC recommendations (p<0.0001).

Application of the Refined Criteria further reduced (p<0.0001) the number of abnormal ECGs in athletes to 5.3%. Compared with the ESC recommendations and the Seattle Criteria, this produced a 76% and 54% reduction in the number of abnormal ECGs, respectively. However, black
athletes continue to present a significantly higher (p<0.0001) prevalence of abnormal ECGs compared with Arabic and Caucasian athletes (10% vs 3.6% and 2.1%).

**Sensitivity and specificity for the three ECG interpretation criteria**

From 2491 athletes screened, 1718 (68.7%) were required to undergo both ECG and echocardiography due to club requirements. This cohort also contained all of the pathological cases (seven HCM and three WPW) and was consequently used to calculate the incidence of serious pathology together with the sensitivity and specificity of the three ECG interpretation criteria (table 3). Compared with the ESC recommendations, the Seattle Criteria significantly improved specificity from 76.6% to 87.5%, while the Refined Criteria further improved specificity to 94.0%. Among black athletes, the Refined Criteria offered 90.2% specificity compared with 69.5% and 83.7% for the ESC recommendations and the Seattle Criteria, respectively. This significant improvement in specificity was also seen in Arabic athletes. The Refined Criteria led to a significant improvement in specificity (95.6%) compared with 80.2% and 88.9% for the ESC recommendations and the Seattle Criteria, respectively. However, there was 100% sensitivity for all three ECG interpretation criteria that detected all seven cases of HCM and three cases of WPW.

**DISCUSSION**

The correct differentiation of physiological adaptation owing to sustained and intensive exercise from an inherited or congenital cardiac pathology is paramount in order to correctly identify athletes at risk of SCD. The key finding from the current study is that the prevalence of an abnormal ECG was significantly reduced to 5.3% when using the 2014 Refined Criteria compared with the 2013 Seattle Criteria (11.6%) and the 2010 ESC recommendations (22.3%), while all three ECG interpretation criteria proved 100% sensitive, identifying all cases of serious cardiac pathology.

Our results demonstrate that when using the 2010 ESC recommendations, almost one in five Arabic, one in three black and one in five Caucasian athletes would require further investigation due to an abnormal ECG. These high false-positive rates certainly reinforce concerns voiced by the cardiovascular screening sceptics. While the ESC recommendations are based upon consensus rather than scientific evidence, the Seattle Criteria modified its ECG interpretation criteria by applying evidence that (1) accounted for the impact of African-Caribbean ethnicity.
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Table 3 Sensitivity and specificity and likelihood ratios of three ECG interpretation criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ESC recommendations</th>
<th>Seattle Criteria</th>
<th>Refined Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ve ECG</td>
<td>−ve ECG</td>
<td>+ve ECG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined athletes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic, black and</td>
<td>+ve path.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>+ve ECG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−ve path.</td>
<td>1308</td>
<td>−ve ECG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive predictive</td>
<td>2.4 (1.2 to 4.4)</td>
<td>4.5 (2.2 to 8.1)</td>
<td>8.9 (4.37 to 15.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value (%) (95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (%)</td>
<td>100 (69.0 to 100)</td>
<td>100 (69.0 to 100)</td>
<td>100 (69.0 to 100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity (%)</td>
<td>76.6 (74.5 to 78.6)</td>
<td>87.5* (85.9 to 89.1)</td>
<td>94.0*† (92.8 to 95.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive likelihood</td>
<td>4.3 (3.9 to 4.7)</td>
<td>8.0* (7.1 to 9.1)</td>
<td>16.8*† (13.9 to 20.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ratio (%) (95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black athletes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ve path.</td>
<td>+ve ECG</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>+ve ECG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−ve ECG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−ve ECG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ve path.</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>−ve ECG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−ve path.</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>−ve ECG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive predictive</td>
<td>3.4 (1.3 to 7.4)</td>
<td>6.2 (2.3 to 13.1)</td>
<td>10 (3.8 to 20.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value (%) (95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (%)</td>
<td>100 (54.1 to 100)</td>
<td>100 (54.1 to 100)</td>
<td>100 (54.1 to 100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity (%)</td>
<td>69.5 (65.5 to 73.3)</td>
<td>83.7 (80.3 to 86.7)*</td>
<td>90.2 (87.4 to 92.5)*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive likelihood</td>
<td>3.3 (1.8 to 3.6)</td>
<td>6.1 (3.2 to 7.1)*</td>
<td>10.2 (5.3 to 12.5)*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ratio (%) (95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic athletes</td>
<td>+ve path.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+ve ECG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−ve ECG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−ve ECG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ve path.</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>−ve ECG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−ve path.</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>−ve ECG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive predictive</td>
<td>2.1 (0.6 to 5.3)</td>
<td>3.7 (1.0 to 9.2)</td>
<td>8.9 (2.5 to 21.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value (%) (95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (%)</td>
<td>100 (39.8 to 100)</td>
<td>100 (39.8 to 100)</td>
<td>100 (39.8 to 100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity (%)</td>
<td>80.2 (75.6 to 82.7)</td>
<td>88.9* (86.7 to 90.8)</td>
<td>95.6*† (94.1 to 96.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive likelihood</td>
<td>5.1 (12.2 to 5.4)</td>
<td>9.0* (3.8 to 10.1)</td>
<td>22.8*† (9.4 to 28.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ratio (%) (95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly different from ESC recommendations.
†Significantly different from Seattle Criteria.
ESC, European Society of Cardiology; +ve ECG, abnormal ECG; −ve ECG, normal ECG; +ve path., identified cardiac pathology; −ve path., no identified cardiac pathology.

upon the electrocardiographic patterns seen in athletes and (2) by raising the QTc thresholds (≥470 ms men and ≥480 ms women) that would trigger further evaluation. By incorporating these two electrocardiographic features, our study demonstrates that 172 (6.9%) athletes would be spared further investigation, helping to lower (p<0.0001) the prevalence of abnormal ECGs by 48% compared with the ESC recommendations. This compares favourably with a recent investigation using the Seattle Criteria, primarily due to the presence of RAEC (31.8%), LAE (13.1%), LAD (5.2%), RAD (3.1%) and RVH (1.4%), either in isolation or in association with a recognised training-related ECG change.

Using HCM, arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC) and pulmonary hypertension populations as a comparison group, both Zaidi et al and Gati et al have demonstrated that in asymptomatic athletes, the presence of RAE, LAE, RAD, RAD, RAEC and RVH either in isolation or in association with a recognised training-related ECG change correlates extremely poorly with serious cardiac pathology. Despite this, consensus opinion for the inclusion of these ECG parameters in both the ESC recommendations and the Seattle Criteria comes from the fact that these anomalies, in particular LAE, are common ECG features in HCM. In HCM, however, they usually coexist with multitude of other ECG abnormalities such as T-wave inversion, Q-waves and ST-segment depression. Excluding these ECG parameters forms the basis of the Refined Criteria improving specificity and reducing the prevalence of an abnormal ECG in our cohort to 5.3% overall. More strikingly, the Refined Criteria significantly improved the abnormal ECG prevalence in black athletes to just 10% (vs 29.9% ESC and 16.6% Seattle Criteria), while it was further reduced to 3.6% and 2.1% in Arabic and Caucasian athletes, respectively.

Identification of pathology

Our study identified seven athletes (0.4%) with HCM, with the prevalence in black athletes five times greater than Arabic athletes (0.9% vs 0.2%). No Caucasian athlete was diagnosed with HCM. HCM is cited as the leading cause of sudden death in young athletes, particularly within the black population. Importantly, all three ECG interpretation guidelines identified all seven HCM athletes with 100% sensitivity. Our incidence rates in the present study from a cohort of 1718 athletes who underwent systematic ECG and echocardiography are similar to those reported in previous screening studies involving black and Arabic athletes, who report a disease incidence of 1% and 0.5%, respectively. While the incidence of HCM in black athletes is still approximately nine times higher than that observed in Caucasian athletes, our Arabic athletes now report similar HCM incidence rates to that of Caucasians, estimated at 0.1%.

A further 29 (1.2%) athletes were identified as having a congenital/valvular abnormality. Specifically, bicuspid aortic valve (n=7, 0.3%), mitral valve prolapse (n=3, 0.1%), mild aortic regurgitation (n=5, 0.2%), mitral regurgitation (mild) (n=2, 0.1%), atrial septal defect (n=6, 0.3%) and one case of dextrocardia (0.04%); all picked up through auscultation. None of these athletes was restricted from competition. Only one of these cases was identified via an abnormal ECG (dextrocardia). This poor sensitivity underscores the importance of a physical examination within the cardiovascular screening remit.

Limitations

Our results are based on observational cross-sectional data. The potential for a false-negative result exists even though 90–95% of individuals with HCM and 80% with ARVC exhibit ECG abnormalities. Furthermore, without serial examination over many years, it is near impossible to ascertain whether asymptomatic athletes without a family history of SCD presenting with isolated LAD/RAD or LAE/RAE would later go on to develop a cardiomyopathy. Second, our population was exclusively men, limiting the application of our data to the female athletic population.

In the present study, one athlete, a 27-year-old black football player from South America, died unexpectedly. At the time of pre-participation screening, the athlete reported no cardiovascular symptoms and no family history of SCD. ECG and echocardiography were strictly normal. The athlete self-presented to the emergency department complaining of severe abdominal pain and died several hours later. An autopsy was performed, but the report has not been made available to the authors.

CONCLUSION

The 2014 Refined Criteria outperformed both the 2013 Seattle Criteria and the 2010 ESC recommendations by significantly reducing the number of false-positive ECGs in Arabic, black and Caucasian male athletes while maintaining 100% sensitive in identifying all athletes with cardiac pathology associated with SCD. The 2014 Refined Criteria can not only be successfully applied to black and Caucasian athletes, but also to Arabic athletes, helping to reduce both further investigation rates and the potential for unnecessary disqualifications, while maintaining sensitivity for conditions that may predispose athletes to SCD.
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