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Impedance to retrograde and forward flow in chronic mitral regurgitation and the physiology of a double outlet ventricle
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ABSTRACT

Objective Mitral regurgitation (MR) is generally characterised as exhibiting a ‘low impedance leak into the left atrium’. This notion is widely accepted without measured impedance data. The aim of this study was to define the impedance to retrograde and forward blood flow and to examine hydraulic (pressure-volume) and mechanical (stress-shortening) function in chronic severe MR.

Methods A mathematical model of a double outlet ventricle was developed and the ratio of retrograde to forward impedance was plotted over a wide range of regurgitant fraction (RF). The model predicts that an impedance ratio >1 indicates that the impedance to retrograde flow exceeds that of forward flow. Left ventricular (LV) systolic pressure/flow rate was used as an index of impedance (mm Hg/mL/s). Data from 10 patients with severe MR were used to assess the clinical applicability of the model. All patients had degenerative valve disease with partial flail leaflet, an RF >50% and an ejection fraction (EF) >0.60. There were seven males and three females, aged 59±10. LV volumes as well as retrograde and forward flow rates were determined with echocardiographic and Doppler techniques.

Results The model indicates that the impedance ratio is >1 when the RF ranges from zero to 57%. Clinical data: end-diastolic volume=184±47 mL; EF=0.63±3%; RF=53±4%. Values for retrograde and forward impedance were 0.77±0.17 and 0.63±0.12 (p=0.003); the impedance ratio was 1.22±0.19. Total impedance to LV emptying was low (0.35±0.06). The ratio of systolic wall stress to EF (580±81 g/cm²) was normal. Data are mean±SD.

Conclusions The model, supported by clinical data, indicates that the impedance to retrograde flow exceeds the impedance to forward flow in chronic severe MR. These findings refute the notion of a low impedance leak into the left atrium. The double outlet of an enlarged ventricle provides a mechanism for low total impedance to ejection in the presence of a normal stress-shortening relation.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic mitral regurgitation (MR) is described as a condition exhibiting a ‘low impedance leak into the left atrium’ that facilitates ventricular emptying. Many authorities subscribe to this haemodynamic model, but the concept of impedance as an opposition or resistance to blood flow has often been used without any measurements of impedance. Despite a lack of published impedance data in patients with MR, the concept of a low impedance outlet into the left atrium is generally unquestioned and the notion of a low impedance ‘unloading’ effect is widely accepted. However, these concepts are not in accordance with published data indicating that left ventricular (LV) afterload is not low in chronic MR. Indeed, afterload remains in the normal range in compensated MR and tends to increase during the development of ventricular dysfunction. This apparent discrepancy between the notion of a low impedance unloading effect and published data indicating normal or increased afterload has not been reconciled in chronic severe MR.

The primary goal of the study was to scrutinise and assess the concept of a low impedance pathway into the left atrium in chronic MR. This valve lesion was modelled as a double outlet ventricle and the impedance to retrograde blood flow and its relation to forward blood flow was determined in chronic severe MR. To evaluate what appears to be a discrepancy between the loading effects of impedance and afterload, the total impedance to ejection and the LV afterload-shortening relation were examined. In this study, the term impedance is used to indicate a hydraulic opposition or obstruction to blood flow. Such a hydraulic load differs from afterload which is a myocardial mechanics term that reflects the LV wall forces resisting myocardial shortening.

METHODS

First, a mathematical model of a double outlet ventricle was developed in order to illustrate the relative magnitude of retrograde and forward impedance over a wide range of regurgitant fractions. Then, clinical data from 10 patients with MR were used to evaluate the applicability of the model. Finally, the impedance results were analysed in concert with systolic wall stress data and an attempt was made to reconcile any nominal disparity between the concepts of these hydraulic and mechanical loads.

The double outlet model

A relatively simple mathematical model was developed. The model incorporates an end-diastolic volume (EDV) of 180 mL, an ejection fraction (EF) of 60% and a LV mean systolic pressure (MSP) of 110 mm Hg. These values are typical of what is seen in chronic compensated severe MR. Using this information, total stroke volume (SV) was calculated as the product of EDV and EF. The mitral regurgitant volume (MRV) and the forward SV...
Valvular heart disease
determined over a wide range of regurgitant fractions (20%–
80%), were calculated as:

\[
\text{MRV} = \text{total SV} \times \text{regurgitant fraction} \\
\text{Forward SV} = \text{total SV} - \text{MRV}
\]

The model incorporates an index of impedance that was modified
from the method by Briand et al.5 This method which uses the
ratio of LV systolic pressure to SV is thought to represent LV
outflow impedance (aka valvuloarterial impedance); it appears to
have prognostic value in patients with aortic stenosis.6 In the
absence of heart valve disease, this measure of impedance is
closely related to effective arterial elastance (aka arterial load).8
Such a ratio is appropriate in a single outlet ventricle, but in the
double outlet ventricle of MR consideration should be given to the
fact that the duration of retrograde flow exceeds that of forward
flow. Therefore, it is necessary for the model to incorporate differ-
ent durations of retrograde and forward flow; in the model, 400
and 300 ms were used.9 Thus, retrograde and forward flow rates
were calculated as MRV/400 ms and forward SV/300 ms. The
index of impedance used in the model was calculated as MSP
divided by flow rate and expressed in mm Hg/mL/s.

Retrograde impedance = 110 ÷ MRV/400 ms
Forward impedance = 110 ÷ forward SV/300 ms

In figure 1 (upper panel), retrograde and forward impedance
values are shown at 10% intervals over a range of regurgitant
fractions. In the lower panel, the ratio of retrograde to forward
impedance is plotted against the regurgitant fraction. The model
illustrates that a ratio exceeding 1 indicates that the impedance
to retrograde flow exceeds that of forward flow.

Clinical application
Using the echocardiography laboratory database, patients with
severe MR were identified using the American Society of
Echocardiography ‘integrative approach’ that relies on multiple
echocardiographic parameters.10 Ten patients with chronic severe
MR and high-quality echocardiograms and Doppler studies were
selected. All 10 patients had degenerative mitral valve disease
with partial flail leaflet, holosystolic MR, LV enlargement, a
normal EF and a regurgitant fraction exceeding 50%. There were
seven males and three females, with ages ranging from 43 to
77 years (59±10). All patients had mild symptoms of dyspnoea
and fatigue (functional class II). None was being treated with anti-
hypertensive agents or vasodilators. None had coronary artery
disease or regional wall motion abnormalities and none was con-
sidered to have secondary or functional MR. This clinical portion
of the study consisted of a retrospective review and analysis of
echocardiographic records and was approved by the Institutional
Review Board at the Lahey Hospital and Medical Center.

Two-dimensional and Doppler echocardiograms were
obtained using the same standard techniques that were used in
our previous studies.11 12 The EDV, total SV and EF were calcu-
lated with the angiography-based echocardiographic method by
Teichholz et al.13 The forward SV was calculated as the product

Figure 1  Impedance to retrograde and forward flow in chronic mitral regurgitation. The model is based on an end-diastolic volume of 180 mL, an
ejection fraction of 60% and a left ventricular mean systolic pressure of 110 mm Hg. The durations of retrograde and forward flow were taken as
400 and 300 ms, respectively. In the upper panel, the impedance to retrograde flow (closed squares) is plotted against regurgitant fraction; the
coordinates were calculated over a wide range of regurgitant fractions (at intervals of 10%). Likewise, the impedance to forward flow (closed
triangles) is plotted against regurgitant flow over the same range of regurgitant fractions. The impedance to retrograde flow is greater than forward
flow over a wide range of regurgitant fractions up to 57%. Only when the regurgitant fraction exceeds 57% is the impedance to retrograde flow
less than to forward flow. In the lower panel, the ratio of retrograde to forward impedance (closed circles) is plotted against regurgitant fraction.
The model indicates that a ratio exceeding one (broken line) reflects a higher impedance to retrograde flow than to forward flow. The average ratio
(1.22±0.19) of the patient group with a regurgitant fraction of 53±4% (open circle) is superimposed on the model.
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of the LV outflow tract cross-sectional area and the outflow tract time-velocity integral.\textsuperscript{14} The MRV was determined by subtracting the forward SV from the total SV.

\[
\text{MRV} = \text{total SV} - \text{forward SV}
\]

LV mass was calculated using the necropsy-based echocardiographic method by Devereux et al.\textsuperscript{15} The dimensionless mass/volume ratio was derived as the ratio of LV wall volume to EDV, where wall volume was derived as mass divided by 1.05.\textsuperscript{16} These volume and mass data were applied in the calculations of impedance and wall stress.

Impedance was calculated as described for the model (vide supra), but here the calculations included measured durations of retrograde and forward flow. In each patient, the duration of retrograde flow and forward flow was measured from the continuous wave Doppler signals of the regurgitant and forward flows. Thus, retrograde flow rate was calculated as retrograde flow divided by the duration of regurgitation. In a similar fashion, forward flow rate was calculated as forward flow divided by forward ejection time. The index of impedance was provided a measure of the total hydraulic opposition to LV forward ejection.

All patients exhibited LV enlargement with eccentric hypertrophy, a normal mass/volume ratio, an EF exceeding 60% and a regurgitant fraction exceeding 50%. The duration of forward flow was 294±22 ms. The duration of regurgitant flow was 413±17 ms. These data are consistent with the diagnosis of chronic severe MR.

### Impedance

The model (shown in figure 1) indicates that impedance to retrograde flow is substantially higher than the impedance to forward flow in mild and moderate MR (ie, regurgitant fraction <50%). At a regurgitant fraction of 57%, the ratio of retrograde to forward impedance is one, indicating that the impedance to retrograde flow is equal to that of forward flow. At higher regurgitant fractions (ie, >57%), the ratio falls below one, indicating that the impedance to retrograde flow is less than that to forward flow.

In the patients with MR, the average values for impedance and resistance to regurgitant flow were significantly higher than those to forward flow (table 2); the average regurgitant fraction was 53% and the ratio of retrograde to forward impedance was 1.22±0.19. This value, shown in the figure as an open circle, is in close agreement with the model. The total impedance to ejection was subnormal (range: 0.48–0.86, mean±SD: 0.63±0.12, p=0.0001) as well as that to forward flow (range: 0.48–0.86, mean±SD: 0.63±0.12, p=0.0001).

### Table 1  Echocardiographic data in chronic severe mitral regurgitation

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body surface area (m²)</td>
<td>1.9±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>126±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>75±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-diastolic dimension (mm)</td>
<td>61±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV wall mass (g)</td>
<td>217±75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-diastolic volume (mL)</td>
<td>183±47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass/volume</td>
<td>1.1±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total stroke volume (mL)</td>
<td>117±27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection fraction (%)</td>
<td>64±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward stroke volume (mL)</td>
<td>54±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regurgitant volume (mL)</td>
<td>63±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regurgitant fraction (%)</td>
<td>53±3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LV, left ventricular.

### Table 2  Impedance, resistance and systolic wall stress data in chronic severe mitral regurgitation

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impedance (mm Hg/mL/s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrograde</td>
<td>0.77±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>0.63±0.12, p=0.003 vs retrograde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.35±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance (dyne/s/cm²)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrograde</td>
<td>851±191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>674±130, p=0.001 vs retrograde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afterload (g/cm²)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean systolic stress</td>
<td>372±24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Afterload
Systolic wall stress data are shown in table 2. The average value for mean systolic stress (360±37 g/cm²) was well within the range of normal in our laboratory (286–434 g/cm²). This is the expected result in normotensive patients with a normal LV mass/volume ratio and a normal EF. These observations are in accordance with the published data indicating a normal afterload-shortening relationship in chronic compensated MR.

DISCUSSION
In chronic MR, the left ventricle functions as a double outlet chamber with retrograde flow passing through an orifice area that is only about 15% of that of a normal LV outflow area.21 This difference alone would be expected to minimise MRV. However, retrograde flow is influenced by other factors acting in concert with the orifice area, namely the magnitude and duration of the pressure gradient across the regurgitant orifice—all of which affect impedance and resistance. Thus, in MR the relative retrograde and forward flows depend on relative impedance and resistance of the two outlets. The model indicates that the impedance to retrograde flow exceeds that of forward flow until the regurgitant fraction exceeds 57%. Only in the most severe MR (eg, acute severe MR) is the impedance to retrograde flow less than the impedance to forward flow. In the 10 patients (regurgitant fraction=53±4%), the average ratio of retrograde impedance to forward impedance was 1.22±0.19, which is in close agreement with the model (figure 1). It appears, therefore, that it is misleading, if not incorrect, to describe the pathophysiology of chronic MR as a low impedance leak into the left atrium. It might be most appropriate to limit the ‘low impedance’ term to patients with the most severe MR (ie, a regurgitant fraction >57%).

Afterload and impedance
The LV wall stress data, in agreement with other published information, confirm a normal afterload in chronic compensated MR. The development of eccentric hypertrophy results in a compensatory phase of chronic MR, with normal preload (at the sarcomere level), normal afterload (at the ventricular level) as well as normal contractility and EF; the total SV is increased as a result of the large EDV. Thus, the large total SV is “mediated through a normal performance of each unit of an enlarged circumference”.22 These mechanical considerations are not inconsistent with the hydraulic description of an enlarged double outlet ventricle with a low total impedance to emptying and a large total SV. Any nominal disparity between the concept of low total impedance and normal afterload is a consequence of the fact that impedance is a hydraulic term expressed in terms of pressure and volume within the chamber, while afterload is a mechanical term expressed as a force per unit cross-sectional area of the myocardium. Indeed, the low total hydraulic load seen in the double outlet ventricle has an impact on total SV, but such a change in impedance is associated with compensatory adjustments in systolic wall stress that more adequately predict changes in the EF.4 These concepts of total impedance and global afterload are complimentary and they operate in a synergistic fashion to provide a complete hydraulic and mechanical description of LV performance and function in chronic MR.

Vasodilators
With few exceptions, the use of vasodilators and agents that inhibit or block the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system in asymptomatic patients with primary MR has not been proven to provide clinical benefit.23 This has been explained by the fact that an isolated change in the systolic pressure would have only a modest effect on MRV because of the square root factor in the orifice equation.24 Our current analysis suggests a second explanation. That is, if the regurgitant orifice area remains constant, a reduction in LV systolic pressure with a vasodilator would have a similar effect on retrograde and forward impedance, and little change in the regurgitant fraction. By contrast, changes in the MRV may be seen if vasodilator therapy or other haemodynamic interventions are associated with changes in the regurgitant orifice area.24 25

In this study, impedance spectra, as are calculated in studies of aortic input impedance, were not determined. Such methodology requires simultaneous measurement of pressure and volume transients during ejection, and conversion of the instantaneous measurements to Fourier series for analysis. Such measurements of pressure and flow in the regurgitant outlet could not be made and therefore it was not possible to generate left atrial input impedance spectra. Rather, it was necessary to use an index of impedance and a mathematical model. The resistance data support the conclusions based on the impedance index, but lacking true impedance spectra, cautious interpretation is necessary. Our conclusions will need to be confirmed with rigorous principles of haemodynamics and more patients with a wide spectrum of regurgitation severity. It should also be recognised that impedance, like wall stress, is not constant during ejection and that calculations of the time course of impedance could not be made. Mean flow rates during ejection were used to determine the impedance index. This could obscure instantaneous changes that might be detected by more precise methods. Finally, reliable measurements of LV volumes and other derived parameters are of signal importance in the evaluation of the severity of MR.26 The potential problems of volume measurement must be acknowledged. The model, however, is based on mathematical principles and is not based on volume-measurement methodology.

CONCLUSION
In chronic MR, the myocardial stress-shortening relations remain normal, while the double outlet of an enlarged ventricle
provides a mechanism for a low total impedance to ejection and a large total SV. However, the impedance to retrograde flow exceeds the impedance to forward flow in severe MR with a regurgitant fraction in the range of 50%–56%, as well as in those with a regurgitant fraction that is <50%. Thus, it is misleading to describe the pathophysiology of MR as ‘a low impedance leak into the left atrium’.
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