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Four cases of complete right bundle-branch block and one case of atrioventricular heart block, all occurring within three generations of the same family, are described. The 4 patients with bundle-branch block had no symptoms, whereas the patient with atrioventricular heart block suffered Adams-Stokes attacks from the age of 13 and died at 47 years of age. A 33-year-old man with bundle-branch block had a normal electrocardiogram at the age of 7, suggesting that inherited bundle-branch block does not necessarily manifest itself during the first years of life.

Familial incidence of disturbances of conduction in the heart has been described on rare occasions. The authors have found reports of 31 cases of atrioventricular block in 11 families (Wendkos and Study, 1947; Connor et al., 1959; Wallgren and Agorio, 1960; Lynch and Engle, 1961; Stéphan, 1961; Combrink, Davis, and Smyan, 1962; Crittenden, Latta, and Ticinovich, 1964; Khorsandian, Moghadam, and Müller, 1964; Gazes et al., 1965; Tsagaris, Bustamante, and Friesendorff, 1967; Veracochea et al., 1967). In two of these families (Combrink et al., 1962; Gazes et al., 1965), four and three cases of right-sided bundle-branch block, respectively, were encountered in other members of the families. Right-sided bundle-branch block was described in three and in five cases in two families (Mosetti, 1954; Trivella and Chella, 1960), and left-sided bundle-branch block was described in two and in four cases in two families (Martínez Aparicio, 1951; DeForest, 1956). The present paper presents four cases of right-sided bundle-branch block and one case of atrioventricular block in three generations of the same family.

Case reports
Case I The patient was a 48-year-old female cashier. She was healthy until the age of 13 years, when she had to give up Girl Guide activities on account of recurrent syncope. In 1927, at 16 years she was admitted to the local hospital because of syncope, with a pulse rate of 24 a minute.
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Later in 1927 she was admitted to the University Hospital in Copenhagen. The diagnosis of atrioventricular block with Adams-Stokes attacks was established. Objective investigation revealed a normally developed girl with no dyspnoea, cyanosis, or signs of cardiac insufficiency. A systolic murmur was heard in the second intercostal space on the left side. The blood pressure was 90/60 mm. Hg. On 22 November 1927 the electrocardiogram showed third degree atrioventricular block, and on 9 January 1928 sinus rhythm with PR interval of 0.14 sec. In both these electrocardiograms, the QRS complexes were normal.

Until 1944, the patient had Adams-Stokes seizures at intervals of 1 to 2 years. After 1944, these increased in frequency, and from 1951 she had attacks at intervals of 2 to 4 weeks. In 1956 she was treated with ephedrine hydrochloride 20 mg. × 5 without any effect on the pulse rate or the Adams-Stokes seizures.

Between attacks she had no cardiac symptoms. At the age of 20 and 21 she had two normal pregnancies. At the age of 40, a therapeutic abortion was performed on account of Adams-Stokes attacks. The frequency of the seizures did not increase during pregnancies.

In 1944, 1951, and 1956 she was admitted to the Medical Department B in Odense on account of Adams-Stokes seizures. The stethoscopic findings were unchanged. The blood pressure varied between 140/90 and 110/70 mm. Hg. Neither cardiac decompensation nor other complicating conditions were found. The appearance of the electrocardiogram varied during all the periods in hospital.

In 1944, the patient had third degree atrioventricular block and bundle-branch block on the left side. In 1951, sinus rhythm and right-sided
bundle-branch block were present. On 7 January 1956 the patient had second degree atrioventricular block, with normal QRS complexes, while, the next day, third degree atrioventricular block and right-sided bundle-branch block were found, and three days later, sinus rhythm and right-sided bundle-branch block (Fig. 1).

X-ray examination of the heart in 1956 revealed that the cardiothoracic ratio was 56. The increase in size was localized to the left ventricle while the right ventricle and the pulmonary arch were normal.

She died in 1959 in her home at the age of 48 years presumably during an Adams-Stokes seizure. No necropsy was performed.

Case 2  This patient, a housewife aged 38 years, was the daughter of Case 1. She had always been healthy, was capable of doing ordinary housework, and could ride a bicycle without discomfort. She had two normal pregnancies at the ages of 23 and 26 years.

Investigations in 1968 showed a normal woman. Stethoscopic examination of the heart was normal and the blood pressure was 110/80 mm. Hg. Electrocardiographic examination revealed right-sided bundle-branch block (Fig. 2). X-ray examination of the heart was normal.

Case 3  This patient, a hospital porter aged 37 years, was the son of Case 1. He had never had rheumatic fever, diphtheria, or tuberculosis.

At the age of 7 years, he was admitted on account of malaise. Objective investigation, electrocardiographic and x-ray examination of the thorax were normal.

In 1965, at the age of 33 years, he was submitted to operation for acute appendicitis. During this episode the electrocardiogram indicated right-sided bundle-branch block. He had never had symptoms of cardiac disease and was able to fulfil his duties as hospital porter.

Investigation in 1968 showed a slender man. Stethoscopic examination of the heart was normal, and the blood pressure was 120/80 mm. Hg. Electrocardiogram recorded at rest and during exercise showed sinus rhythm and right-sided bundle-branch block (Fig. 2). X-ray examination of the heart was normal. Right- and left-sided cardiac catheterization and angiocardiography indicated nothing abnormal.

Case 4  She was the second of Case 3's children. In 1967, at the age of 7 years, she was admitted to the Pediatric Department on account of a systolic murmur. She had always been healthy.

The patient was a little thin, but otherwise normally developed girl. Stethoscopic examination of the heart revealed a systolic murmur of first degree in the second left intercostal space, and the second heart sound was constantly reduplicated. The blood pressure was 95/60 mm. Hg. Electrocardiogram revealed sinus rhythm and right-sided bundle-branch block. Radiography of the heart showed normal findings. Right-sided cardiac catheterization and angiocardiography revealed normal conditions.
of them. The authors have investigated 22 further members of this family in view of electrocardio-
graphic changes and evidence of heart disease. No normal conditions were encountered in all. One
member refused to co-operate in the investigation, and no indications for investigation of the husband
of Case 5 were considered to be present as this couple were childless (Fig. 3).

Discussion

None of our patients had infections or other diseases which might cause these con-
duction disturbances. Therefore these must be considered as genetically determined.

Like Combrink et al. (1962) and Gazes et al. (1965), we find atrioventricular block as
well as right-sided bundle-branch block in families with hereditary conduction dis-
rurbances.

In Cases 1 and 2 of the patients with hereditary atrioventricular block described by
Tsagaris et al. (1967), alternation between atrioventricular block and sinus rhythm with
right-sided bundle-branch block was found. Among the 19 published cases of hereditary
right-sided bundle-branch block, including the present authors' cases, no transition from
sinus rhythm to atrioventricular block was observed. It is therefore necessary to record
several electrocardiograms to differentiate be-
tween the two groups of patients.

The 19 patients with hereditary right-sided bundle-branch block comprise 10 male and 9
female patients. Six of the patients were children under the age of 14 years and 13 were
adults aged 19–67 years, the average age being 38 years. None of the patients had symptoms
of cardiac disease and no deaths were described. The diagnosis was not established in
any case at birth, and in Case 3 in the present series no bundle-branch block was present
at the age of 7 years but was present at the age of 33 years. Hereditary right-sided bundle-
branch block is, therefore, not necessarily congenital.

The 32 published cases, including Case 1 from this series, with electrocardiographically
confirmed atrioventricular block comprise 21 male and 11 female cases. Six of them died
in infancy and 8 died between 3–65 years, the average duration of life being 31 years.
Of the 8 patients, 7 survived from 1 day to 3 years after the first Adams-Stokes seizure.
Case 1 in the present series thus differed from the remainder in that she survived for 35
years after the first Adams-Stokes seizure. A further patient died because of failure of an
implanted pacemaker.

Seventeen of the patients were alive at the time of publication, including 10 children
under the age of 14 years, and 7 adults aged 25–67 years with an average age of 45 years.
Only 1 of the 17 patients had dyspnoea and oedema which disappeared after implanta-
tion of a pacemaker (Tsagaris et al., 1967). Three of the 17 patients had children after
the diagnosis of atrioventricular block had been established. One patient reported by
Khorsandian et al. (1964) completed three pregnancies without Adams-Stokes seizures,
while, in one of the patients of Gazes et al. (1965), the first Adams-Stokes seizure oc-
curred during the sixth pregnancy at the age of 35 years. Case 1 of the present series had
Adams-Stokes seizures before she became pregnant and no change in the incidence of
seizures occurred during her pregnancies. As far as can be judged from the cases presented,
pregnancy thus does not appear to predispose to Adams-Stokes seizures or increased in-
cidence of such attacks in patients with hereditary atrioventricular block.

Out of the 17 surviving patients with hereditary atrioventricular block, 1 had first
degree block and 5 had second degree block. Six of the 11 patients with third degree
atrioventricular block had Adams-Stokes sei-
zure and, in 3 of them, pacemakers were implanted at the ages of 2½, 46, and 59 years.

Artificial pacemaking has considerably improved the prognosis for patients with atrio-
ventricular block and, as such block may be hereditary, it is reasonable to investigate
parents and sibs of young patients with bundle-branch block and atrioventricular block.
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FIG. 3 Pedigree of family.
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