Haemodynamic effects of selective beta-adrenergic blockade during acute stage of myocardial infarction
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The haemodynamic effect of practolol, a cardioselective beta-adrenergic receptor blocker, has been studied in 18 patients during the acute phase of myocardial infarction. After intravenous administration of 10 or 20 mg, a negative chronotrophic effect was observed which was associated with a moderate decrease in cardiac output but no change in stroke volume.

Left ventricular work, ejection time, tension-time index per beat, aortic dp/dt, mean pulmonary arterial pressure, and pulmonary and peripheral resistance remained unchanged.

Practolol has an important advantage over propranolol when used in patients with myocardial infarction.

As a result of experimental studies, Lands et al. (1967) have modified the classification proposed by Ahlquist (1948) to the effect that beta-adrenergic receptors do not constitute a homogeneous group and in order to account for certain experimental observations it is necessary to distinguish between beta-1 receptors that subserve lipolysis and the myocardium and beta-2 receptors that subserve bronchodilatation and peripheral vasodilatation.

Experimental studies have shown that practolol is capable of selectively blocking the inotropic and chronotropic effects of catecholamines (Parratt and Wadsworth, 1969, 1970), that is, it can selectively act on the beta-adrenergic myocardial receptors. It does not block the beta-2 receptor nor does it inhibit peripheral and coronary vasodilatation induced by isoprenaline (Ross and Jorgensen, 1970; Bussmann, Rauh, and Krayenbuehl, 1970; Dunlop and Shanks, 1968).

The remarkable antiarrhythmic properties of beta-adrenergic receptor blocking agents are well known and they have potential uses in the treatment of ventricular and supra-ventricular disturbances during the acute phase of myocardial infarction whenever these disturbances are associated with catecholamine release (Ceremuzynski, Staszewska-Barczak, and Herbacynska-Cedro, 1969; Richardson, 1963; Han, 1969). However, the use of propranolol during the acute stage of myocardial infarction is restricted on account of its depressant effects on myocardial function.

Experimental (Ceremuzynski et al., 1969; Dunlop and Shanks, 1968) and human (Gibson, Hoy, and Sowton, 1970; Jewitt, Mercer, and Shillingford, 1969) studies have shown that practolol is an effective antiarrhythmic agent in protecting the heart from ventricular and supraventricular disturbances at doses of 5 to 25 mg without any noticeable side effects (Sowton et al., 1968; Jewitt et al., 1969).

This study was designed to investigate the haemodynamic effects of practolol during acute myocardial infarction and to evaluate its potential in the treatment of dysrhythmias.

Patients and methods

The haemodynamic effects of practolol were studied in 18 patients who had sustained acute myocardial infarctions within the previous 72 hours. The diagnosis of infarction was made in all cases from a typical clinical history, a Q wave indicating necrosis, and a clear rise in serum enzyme levels. In all patients pain had disappeared before catheterization. All the patients were of a physical and mental state to understand the nature of the investigation and all of them assented to take part in the study.

Patients with sinus bradycardia lower than 60 or with atrioventricular block or those in a state of shock were excluded from the study. On the other hand, 6 patients presenting signs of left
ventricular insufficiency (gallop rhythm, crepitation, and/or radiological signs of pulmonary oedema) were included. The ages of the patients ranged from 32 to 80 years, with an average of 59; there were 12 men and 6 women. Of the 18 patients, 5 had anteroseptal infarctions, 2 had anterior massive infarctions, 10 had posterior infarctions, and 1 had a deep septal infarction.

As a general rule no drugs were administered for several hours before the investigation apart from a continuous heparin infusion. During the study patients were examined in the supine position using a pillow and did not receive oxygen.

All patients were submitted to right and left catheterization without premedication. A Courmand 6F or 7F catheter was inserted percutaneously and passed through the right heart and into the pulmonary artery. A Kifa catheter was advanced to the aortic arch using the Seldinger technique. Pulmonary arterial, right atrial, and aortic pressures were measured with a Statham SP 37 strain gauge and recorded directly on an Elema EM 34 recorder. The reference level was taken halfway between the sternal angle and the level of the examination table. Mean pressures were obtained by electronic integration and the first derivative of aortic pressure was calculated by a differentiator (Elema EMT 44) and used as an index of contractility, since a close correlation exists between the aortic dp/dt and the left ventricular dp/dt (George, Taylor, and Ramsay, 1967). Cardiac output was measured by an indicator dilution technique. Five mg indocyanine green were injected into the pulmonary artery and arterial blood was withdrawn with a constant rate pump (Harvard apparatus).

The systolic ejection time was calculated from a trace of aortic pressure taken at high speed (100 mm/sec) and the mean systolic pressure was obtained from measuring the area under the trace during the same period.

Using these methods the following parameters have been calculated:

- Stroke work index (g m/beat/m²)
  \[ W/\text{beat} = (\text{PsAo} - \text{PAPd}) \times \text{SI} \times 1.36 \times 1.05 / 1000 \]
- External work index per minute (g m/min/m²)
  \[ W/\text{min} = W/\text{beat} \times \text{HR} \]
- Mean systolic ejection rate (ml/sec/m²)
  \[ \text{MSER} = \text{SI} / \text{ET} \]
- Tension time index per beat (mmHg sec/beat): \[ \text{TTI/beat} = \text{PsAo} \times \text{ET} \]
  per minute (mmHg sec/min): \[ \text{TTL/beat} \times \text{HR} \]
- Peripheral vascular resistances (dynes sec cm⁻⁵): \[ (\text{PAO} - \text{POD})/\text{CO} \]
- Pulmonary vascular resistances: \[ (\text{PAP} - \text{PAPd})/\text{CO}, \text{PAP} = \text{PAO}, \text{PAPd} = \text{POD}, \text{POD} \text{represents mean aortic, mean pulmonary arterial, pulmonary arterial diastolic, and right atrial pressures (mmHg)} \]
  and PsAo represents the mean systolic aortic pressures, SI: stroke index (ml/beat/m²), HR: heart rate (beat/min), ET: ejection time (sec), CO: cardiac output (l/min).

Blood samples were taken from the aorta, the pulmonary artery and, in 5 patients, from the coronary sinus. Arterial O₂ and CO₂ partial pressures and pH were recorded with an IL Meter. The blood oxygen capacity was measured by the Van Slyke and Neill technique.

In all patients, pressure, blood flow, and blood gas measurements were taken during a control period and then 10 minutes after injection of 10 mg practolol into the pulmonary artery and 20 minutes after a further injection of 10 mg.

The difference between the control values and these recorded after administration of the drug were compared using Student's t test for paired samples.

**Results**

All the results (mean ± SEM) are presented in Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4. Heart rate fell from a mean of \(80.8 \pm 3.8\) to \(72.9 \pm 2.9\) beats a minute 10 minutes after administration of 10 mg practolol, representing a 9 per cent \((P < 0.001)\) reduction (Fig. 1). After the administration of 20 mg the heart rate remained unchanged. There was a positive correlation between the control values for heart rate and the percentage reduction of heart rate \((r = 0.63)\). Cardiac index fell slightly from \(2.77 \pm 0.15\) l/min/m² to \(2.48 \pm 0.15\) l/min/m² \((P < 0.01)\) though injection of a further 10 mg did not cause any additional reduction (final

**TABLE 1  Haemodynamic data before and after practolol, 10 and 20 mg**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heart rate (beat/min)</th>
<th>Cardiac index (l.min⁻¹.m⁻²)</th>
<th>Stroke index (ml/beat/m²)</th>
<th>Ejection time (sec)</th>
<th>Mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Aortic pressure (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Systolic</td>
<td>Diastolic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>80.8 ± 3.7</td>
<td>2.77 ± 0.2</td>
<td>35.3 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.261 ± 0.014</td>
<td>123.8 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practolol (10 mg)</td>
<td>72.9 ± 2.9</td>
<td>2.48 ± 0.15</td>
<td>34.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.270 ± 0.013</td>
<td>116.9 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practolol (20 mg)</td>
<td>72.9 ± 2.9</td>
<td>2.46 ± 0.15</td>
<td>34.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0.271 ± 0.015</td>
<td>113.8 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS, not significant.
TABLE 2  Haemodynamic data before and after practolol, 10 mg and 20 mg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Stroke work index (g m/beat/m²)</th>
<th>LV minute work (g m/min/m²)</th>
<th>dp/dt Ao (mmHg/sec)</th>
<th>Tension index/beat (mmHg/sec)</th>
<th>Tension time index/min (mmHg/sec/min)</th>
<th>Mean systolic ejection rate/m² (ml/sec/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>53.9±4.9</td>
<td>4239±360</td>
<td>905±88</td>
<td>29.2±1.7</td>
<td>2307±142</td>
<td>139.8±11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practolol (10 mg)</td>
<td>50.4±4.2</td>
<td>3689±397</td>
<td>818±82</td>
<td>28.3±1.4</td>
<td>2094±113</td>
<td>138.4±11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>P=NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practolol (20 mg)</td>
<td>49.9±4.7</td>
<td>3569±302</td>
<td>798±80</td>
<td>27.7±1.4</td>
<td>1987±88</td>
<td>138.4±12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>P&lt;0.02</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>P=NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS, not significant.

TABLE 3  Blood gases before and after 10 mg and 20 mg practolol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pₒ₂ (mmHg)</th>
<th>PₑCO₂ (mmHg)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>SₑO₂ (%)</th>
<th>CₑO₂ (vol %)</th>
<th>CᵥO₂ (vol %)</th>
<th>AV O₂ difference (vol %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>60.7±2.3</td>
<td>37.0±1.0</td>
<td>7.43±0.01</td>
<td>90.0±0.9</td>
<td>16.85±0.7</td>
<td>11.98±0.7</td>
<td>4.87±0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mg</td>
<td>62.0±2.3</td>
<td>35.5±1.1</td>
<td>7.43±0.01</td>
<td>90.5±0.8</td>
<td>16.91±0.66</td>
<td>11.2±0.6</td>
<td>5.72±0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 mg</td>
<td>65.0±2.5</td>
<td>34.8±1.1</td>
<td>7.43±0.01</td>
<td>91.1±0.7</td>
<td>16.73±0.6</td>
<td>10.67±0.6</td>
<td>6.06±0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pₒ₂ = oxygen tension; PₑCO₂ = carbon dioxide tension of arterial blood; CₑO₂ and CᵥO₂ = oxygen content of arterial and mixed venous blood.

The stroke work index was reduced only very slightly and to an insignificant extent (Fig. 3) while the external work index clearly fell from 4240 g m/min/m² to 3640 g m/min/m² (P<0.01). There was no further reduction after 20 mg. The systolic ejection rate was not altered since the stroke index and the systolic ejection time remained unchanged. The maximum first derivative of aortic pressure (dp/dt Ao) (Fig. 3) fell significantly from 905±88 to 818±82 mmHg/sec (P<0.05). A statistically significant correlation was found between the percentage fall in heart rate and the percentage lowering of aortic dp/dt (r=0.59).

The tension time index per beat remained unchanged after administration of both 10 mg and 20 mg practolol (Fig. 4). By contrast,
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the tension time index per minute fell from 2307±142 to 2094±113 mmHg sec/min (P<0.05). No further reduction was observed after the administration of 20 mg practolol (final value 1987±88 mmHg sec/min).

The arterial oxygen partial pressure did not change after 10 mg practolol (Table 3) though it increased perceptibly and significantly (P<0.01) after 20 mg practolol. The arterial carbon dioxide pressure and the pH were not altered. The oxygen content of arterial blood showed no change after 10 mg and 20 mg (Table 3). By contrast, the pulmonary arterial oxygen content (CvO₂) fell conspicuously after 10 mg and 20 mg (P<0.01). This gave rise to a significant increase in the arteriovenous difference from 4.92±0.34 to 5.78±0.45 and to 6.05±0.3 vol per cent (P<0.001).

Gases in the coronary venous blood (Table 4) did not show any significant change. The coronary arteriovenous difference did not increase.

Discussion

The principal cardiovascular response to intravenous administration of 10 and 20 mg practolol in the 18 patients studied was a reduction in heart rate. This slowing of the heart rate alone was responsible for the moderate decrease in cardiac output. Jewitt, Burgess, and Shillingford (1970) made similar observations at comparable dosage levels. As oxygen consumption calculated indirectly from cardiac output and the arteriovenous oxygen difference was not significantly reduced, the fall in cardiac output was compensated by a proportionate increase in the arteriovenous oxygen difference, at the expense of the saturation of mixed venous blood. The ejection time was lengthened to an insignificant extent. Jewitt et al. (1970) reported a significant increase in ejection time. The difference between these two results probably stems from the fact that some of the patients studied by these authors had an increased stroke volume.

It is worth while pointing out that the pulmonary arterial pressure did not increase. Sowton et al. (1968) made an identical observation in patients suffering from coronary heart disease. In the group of patients studied by Jewitt et al. (1970) pulmonary arterial pressure rose by a few mmHg. Since none of the patients studied in this trial was suffering from chronic respiratory disease, the pulmonary arterial diastolic pressure provides an accurate index for the filling pressure of the left heart. Bussmann et al. (1970) administered doses of 2 mg/kg to dogs and did not
record an increase in left ventricular end-diastolic pressure. Gibson et al. (1970), on the other hand, found a reduction of end-diastolic pressure in 7 patients with valve incompetence. In the present work, the constancy of the pulmonary arterial diastolic pressure suggests that the left ventricular end-diastolic volume did not increase and this is in agreement with the experimental studies of Bussmann et al. (1970) who measured ventricular volume by a thermodilution technique.

At the doses used the reduction in contractility and myocardial oxygen consumption appears to result principally from the fall in heart rate. In fact, neither the stroke index nor the tension-time index per beat were decreased, but the external work index and the tension-time index per minute fell clearly. A reduction in myocardial O₂ consumption could be inferred from this. However, this deduction does not take into account the velocity of contraction of the myocardial fibres which has been shown to be a major determinant of myocardial O₂ consumption (Sonnenblick et al., 1969b). In both this haemodynamic study and that of Jewitt et al. (1970) the ejection rate, which is taken to reflect the rate of shortening, did not vary. However, the variations in the ejection rate do not always arise in the same way as those in the velocity of contraction. Sonnenblick et al. (1969a) observed that during adrenergic blockade the ejection rate increased even though the shift of the force-velocity curve indicated a reduction in contractility. The lowering of peak aortic dp/dt confirmed the negative inotropic effect of practolol. Nevertheless, this parameter, which varies in the same way as heart rate, did not permit one to determine whether the reduction in contractility arose solely from a fall in heart rate. Bussmann et al. (1970) have shown that in dogs, at least at doses of 2 mg/kg, and when the heart rate was held constant, left ventricular peak dp/dt was lowered, t-dp/dt increased, and the ratio between the LV dp/dt and the integrated isovolumetric pressure diminished, both known to reflect accurately the inotropic state of the myocardium (Siegel et al., 1964).

After the injection of 20 mg practolol the partial pressure of oxygen in the peripheral arterial blood increased slightly but significantly. This was an unexpected finding after beta-adrenergic blockade. It has been shown that practolol did not appreciably reduce, and might even increase FEV₁ and that airway resistance was only slightly increased (MacDonald and McNeill, 1968; Powles, Shinebourne, and Hamer, 1969). Furthermore, it has been shown that this drug inhibits the reduction in arterial O₂ tension which can be observed after administration of isoprenaline to asthmatic patients (Palmer et al., 1969).

The coronary arteriovenous O₂ difference in 5 patients where it could be measured was not increased. In this respect practolol had the same effect as propranolol, since Lewis and Brink (1968) noted that the coronary arteriovenous O₂ difference increased no more than by 2 per cent; Mendel and Byrne-Quinn (1966) also observed that the saturation of coronary venous blood was not reduced after administration of propranolol. In contrast to other beta-blocking agents, practolol does not
suppress coronary vasodilatation at dosage levels where it blocks the chronotropic and inotropic effects of isoprenaline (Ross and Jorgensen, 1970). Bussmann \textit{et al.} (1970) have found that, at high doses, practolol increases coronary flow and reduces the coronary arteriovenous O\textsubscript{2} difference, though Ross and Jorgensen (1971) and Barrett (1971) have not been able to demonstrate this. Finally, even though the left ventricular diastolic volume was not increased, the fall in O\textsubscript{2} consumption resulting from the reduction in heart rate and/or contractility by wall revealed the of cardiac Bay reduced logically, a pressure. reducing at even coronary influence essentially and \textit{et al.} (1948). The recent study provides evidence of 'selective' beta-receptors in the heart. \textit{British Journal of Pharmacology and Chemotherapy}, 32, 201.
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