Histopathological examination of concept of left hemiblock
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This paper is concerned with a histological study of the left bundle-branch system in 20 hearts from patients without conduction defects and in 10 hearts from patients with left anterior fascicular block.

In addition to the well-known anterior and posterior fasciculi, the left branch was observed to give off a third radiation in 11 out of the 20 control hearts. This easily identified structure travelled to the midseptal area and emerged either from the common left bundle (5 cases) or from the anterior (3 cases) or posterior radiation (3 cases). In the remaining 9 instances, the septal coverage was supplied either by the posterior radiation (3 cases) or by a complicated plexus of ramifications given off by both the anterior and posterior fasciculi (6 cases).

The electrocardiographic pattern of left anterior fascicular block appeared related to severe alterations of the left branch in 9 out of 10 patients. The lesions were predominant on the anterior radiation in 5 cases, but were hardly ever limited to an anterior locus.

It is concluded: (1) that the left-sided Purkinje system appears as constituted of three, rather than two, main peripheral, widely interconnected, networks (anterior, centroseptal, and posterior); and (2) that the pattern of left anterior fascicular block may be considered as a definite sign of left bundle-branch disease, but that no conclusion may be drawn as to the specific location of the histopathological lesions underlying the electrocardiographic abnormality.

In recent years, many papers dealing with the experimental (Rothberger and Winterberg, 1917; Van Bogaert et al., 1958; Uhley and Rivkin, 1964; Watt and Pruitt, 1965, 1969; Watt, Murao, and Pruitt, 1965; Rosenbaum, Elizari, and Lazzari, 1968; Medrano et al., 1970) and clinical (Grant, 1956; Davies and Evans, 1960; Corne et al., 1965; Pryor and Blount, 1966; Van Bogaert, 1967; Rosenbaum et al., 1968; Rosenbaum, Elizari, and Lazzari, 1970; Testoni, Narbone, and Tommaselli, 1967; Rosenbaum et al., 1969; Warembourg, Ducloox, and Theray, 1969; Kulbertus, Collignon, and Humblet, 1970a, b; Testoni, Coppotelli, and Tommaselli, 1971) aspects of partial left bundle-branch blocks have appeared. The assumption which forms the basis of the concept of these so-called 'hemiblocks' has been formulated by Rosenbaum and co-workers (1970) who state that: 'The left bundle branch is anatomically a bifascicular system which acts physiologically as such'.

In a recent review of the published reports, Rossi (1971) pointed out that this subject, though adequately documented on a pathophysiological basis, still needed further investigation from a histopathological viewpoint. Indeed, there is no complete agreement among anatomists as to the modes of subdivision of the left bundle-branch (Rossi, 1971). Moreover, some clinico-histopathological correlative studies have failed to confirm the specific location of the partial lesions of the left bundle-branch underlying the electrocardiographic patterns of hemiblock (Entman, Estes, and Hackel, 1967; Blondeau and Lenègre, 1970). The present paper reports the results of a morphological investigation of the subdivisions of the left bundle-branch in 20 normal hearts, special attention being paid to the origin of the specific fibres covering the midseptal area. The second part of the article relates the histopathological findings in 10 hearts from patients whose electrocardiograms fulfilled the generally agreed criteria for left anterior fascicular block.
(A) Anatomy of the left bundle-branch system

Material and methods Histological study of the left bundle-branch system was carried out as follows. A trapezoidal block of left septal myocardium limited on the one side by the junction between the pars membranacea and muscular septum, and on the other side by an imaginary line joining the insertion points of both papillary muscles, was removed and further subdivided into 3 to 6 blocks (Fig. 1). The latter were then sectioned serially at 6 μm thickness in a plane parallel to the atrioventricular ring. All sections were temporarily retained. Every fortieth section was routinely stained with haematoxylin and eosin and studied. Whenever found necessary by the histological findings of the original sampling, intervening sections were later stained and viewed. The left bundle-branch and its subdivisions were identified by their subendocardial location and by the various differentiating histological features characteristic of the conducting tissue (Lev, Widran, and Erickson, 1951). A drawing of each section was made to represent as closely as possible the location and width of the various subdivisions of the left bundle. A diagrammatic sketch was finally constructed which described the modes of subdivision of the left-sided conducting system.

Twenty hearts from subjects without conduction defects were studied by this method. The patients ranged in age from 22 to 77 years, with an average of 58. There were 11 men and 9 women.

Results (Fig. 2 and 3) In all cases so far studied, the initial portion of the left branch appeared between the non-coronary and right coronary aortic cusps, as a ribbon-like subendocardial structure running inferiorly and slightly anteriorly with a width varying from 6 to 10 mm. The fibres of the left bundle-branch quickly fanned out in the form of fine fasciculi that arranged themselves into two or three main radiations. An anterior radiation was consistently observed to travel in an oblique manner towards the base and medial part of the anterior papillary muscle. This well-defined structure, occasionally bifurcated, was compact, thin, and elongated. The posterior radiation was consistently wider. Appearing as the continuation of the main bundle, it swept smoothly posteriorly towards the base of the posterior papillary muscle and frequently gave off smaller branches running posteriorly. In only two instances (Cases 2 and 14) the posterior radiation was replaced by several separated sub-branches.

Considerable variations among individuals were noted in the distribution of the conduction tissue over the septal area. In 11 cases, a central, easily identified radiation was observed, which travelled downwards to the midseptal region. This third radiation, which was sometimes larger than the other two, emerged either from the central portion of the common bundle in the angle formed by the anterior and posterior subdivisions (Cases 1, 2, 3, 4, and 14) or from the anterior radiation (Cases 5, 6, and 7) or from the posterior radiation (Cases 8, 11, and 12). In 3 cases the septal conducting tissue came from ramifications directly given off by the posterior radiation (Cases 9, 10, and 13). In the 6 remaining instances (Cases 15–20), the septal coverage was supplied by a complicated network of highly interconnected fasciculi emerging from
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both the anterior and posterior radiations. In all cases, the various structures of the left bundle-branch system showed multiple peripheral anastomoses.

Comment Since the publication of Rosenbaum et al.'s monograph on intraventricular conduction defects (1968), most cardiologists have agreed that the left bundle-branch might be considered from both the anatomical and physiological viewpoints as a bifascicular system. Nevertheless, in a recent review of the question, Rossi (1971) pointed out that some controversy still persists among anatomists as regards the patterns of subdivision of the left bundle-branch. He quoted, for example, several investigators who stated, in the past and in more recent years, that the left bundle gives off three rather than two main subdivisions (Rothberger and Winterberg, 1917; Doerr, 1957; Schutz, 1958; Robb, 1965; Barry and Patten, 1968). Rossi (1971) assumed that some of the disagreements found in the anatomical description of the left bundle-branch resulted from differences in the techniques of investigation: he particularly insisted upon the shortcomings of the dissection techniques used by Rosenbaum et al. (1968, 1970). As regards the histological method, we personally believe that some difficulties encountered in the studies of the left bundle-branch subdivisions might be accounted for by the angle of cutting chosen for serial sectioning. Cutting in a plane grossly

FIG. 2 Diagrammatic sketches of the left-sided conduction system as observed in 20 normal hearts.

FIG. 3 Initial portion of the anterior radiation of the left bundle-branch from a normal subject, showing numerous large vacuolated conduction fibres. This section is shown to allow comparison with the pathological cases. En, endocardium; M, myocardium. (H. and E. ×164.)

FIG. 4 Case I. Replacement of the left anterior radiation by a thick fibrous strand. Only very few conduction fibres may still be seen. (H. and E. ×164.)
perpendicular to the atrioventricular ring, as is frequently done (Lev et al., 1951; Lenegre, 1957; Titus, Daugherty, and Edwards, 1963; Rossi, 1969), is not completely satisfactory for the observation of the subdivisions of the left branch since most of these structures are thus cut along their longitudinal axis. We thought that cutting parallel to the atrioventricular ring should yield more easily interpretable data by providing transverse sections of the left branch ramifications.

The data reported herein confirmed the consistent presence of a thin and elongated anterior ramification and of a wider posterior radiation. They disclosed considerable variations among individuals in the distribution of the conducting tissue over the midseptal area. In spite of these variations, the anatomical observations were such that, in most cases, it seemed reasonable to consider the left ventricular Purkinje network as composed of three main, widely interconnected, peripheral parts depending upon the anterior subdivision, the posterior subdivision, and a central subdivision or plexus of ramifications coursing to the midseptal area. Such a description fits in very well with the electrophysiological results recently obtained by Durrer and his group (1970) who found that three endocardial areas of the left ventricle were synchronously excited 0 to 5 msec after the start of left ventricular cavity potential. These three islands of initial excitation may reasonably be assumed to correspond to the termination areas of the three main parts of the left bundle-branch system.

(B) Histopathological features in left anterior fascicular block

Description of patients Ten hearts from patients with left anterior fascicular block were studied by the same histological method. The electrocardiographic criteria used for recognition of this conduction disturbance were as follows (Kulbertus et al., 1970a). (1) Total QRS duration shorter than 120 msec. (2) Deviation of the mean QRS axis in the frontal plane to the left and superior of −30°. (3) Ventricular complexes showing a tall R wave in leads I and aVL and a R5 morphology in leads II, III, and aVF.

The conduction disturbance was a chronic feature in all of our patients and cases with intermittent or transient left anterior fascicular block were excluded. The series consisted of 10 patients, 6 women and 4 men, whose clinical history is summarized in the Table. In one instance, the anterior subdivision was totally interrupted by a thick fibrous strand while the remainder of the left branch appeared devoid of any histological lesion. In 4 cases, scattered alterations were observed to involve the whole left conducting system but the lesions were clearly predominant on the distal portion of the anterior subdivision which showed complete peripheral destruction. In 4 further cases, disseminated degenerative or necrotic changes were seen on the common bundle and peripheral subdivisions of the left branch. In those 4 patients, the anterior subdivision was neither totally interrupted, nor more severely damaged than the rest of the conducting tissue. In the last case, there were but minor alterations of the left branch which only showed a small island of sclerosis lying in the middle of its posterior radiation.

The observed morphological changes (Fig. 4, 5, and 6) most frequently consisted of fibrosis, fatty infiltration, disruption, and loss of conducting fibres. Sclerotic atrophy was associated with necrotic areas in 2 instances and with severe infiltration by round cells with basophilic cytoplasm in one case. A further observation disclosed general amyloidosis with amyloid deposits destroying the anterior radiation.

In some of the 20 control hearts, especially in those from elderly patients, fine fibrosis throughout the conduction system was observed as well as some loss of fibres at the origin of the left branch. Nevertheless, it was readily apparent that the lesions in the hearts of patients with left anterior fascicular block were incomparably more severe.

Comment Very few articles related to the histopathological lesions underlying the electrocardiographic changes characteristic of left anterior fascicular block have been published. In 1967, Entman et al. studied 15 cases of left anterior parietal block and showed that the extent of anatomical abnormalities varied from severely damaged to histologically normal hearts; no particular region of the myocardium or conduction system seemed more consistently involved than any other. Unfortunately, the criteria used for the selection of cases in this study do not correspond to the currently accepted criteria for left anterior fascicular block and some of the electrocardiographic tracings, shown as illustrations, would undoubtedly not fit with such a diagnosis.

Two abstracts published in 1965 (Hawley and Pryor) and 1967 (Elizari), respectively, reached a different conclusion from the preceding report. Both suggested that the electro-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No., sex, and age at death (yr)</th>
<th>Clinical history</th>
<th>Pathological findings in heart</th>
<th>Morphological changes in left-sided conduction system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 F 63</td>
<td>Arterial hypertension; angina pectoris; atrial fibrillation; cardiac failure</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis of aorta and coronary arteries; left ventricular hypertrophy; diffuse myocardial sclerosis</td>
<td>Wide subendocardial fibrous strand interrupting anterior radiation and some centroseptal fibres; fine fibrosis on posterior fibres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 M 62</td>
<td>Arterial hypertension; hypertensive encephalopathy</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis of aorta and coronary arteries; biventricular hypertrophy; diffuse myocardial fibrosis; old anteroseptal infarction; multifocal fibrotic scars</td>
<td>Diffuse fibrosis of left-sided conduction system; total interruption of anterior radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 F 44</td>
<td>Primary amyloidosis</td>
<td>Diffuse infiltration of myocardium by amyloid deposits; amyloidosis of arterial walls, more particularly on coronary arterial tree</td>
<td>Diffuse amyloidotic alterations of left bundle-branch; interruption of anterior radiation of which only very few fibres remained intact; severe alterations of midseptal fibres; moderate lesions of posterior radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 F 73</td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus; hypertension; Kimmelstiel-Wilson’s syndrome</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis of aorta and coronary arteries; diffuse myocardial fibrosis; left ventricular hypertrophy; heavy calcifications of mitral valve</td>
<td>Sclerosis and round cell infiltration of left bundle-branch at its origin and on anterior radiation, which was totally destroyed; mild alteration of posterior fibres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 F 77</td>
<td>Angina pectoris; myocardial infarction with complete AV block; electrocardiogram recorded before infarction only showed left anterior fascicular block</td>
<td>Severe arteriosclerosis of coronary arteries; left ventricular hypertrophy; thrombosis of anterior descending artery</td>
<td>Severe subendocardial fibrosis with diffuse involvement of left bundle-branch system; total peripheral fibrotic interruption of anterior radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 M 49</td>
<td>Congestive cardiomyopathy; heart failure</td>
<td>Diffuse myocardial sclerosis with degeneration of myocardial fibres and interstitial infiltration by polymuclear leucocytes</td>
<td>Sclerosis and thickening of endocardium on septal surface; sclerotic atrophy of whole left-sided conducting system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 M 60</td>
<td>Old myocardial infarction; recent myocardial infarction</td>
<td>Scar of postero- and anterobasal infarcts; recent infarction of lower 4 of septum</td>
<td>Multiple necrotic lesions throughout left-sided conducting system with subtotal interruption of common left bundle soon after origin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 M 62</td>
<td>Old myocardial infarction; recent myocardial infarction</td>
<td>Severe atherosclerosis of aorta and coronary arteries; biventricular hypertrophy; scar of postero-basal infarction; recent infarction of lower third of septum; multifocal necrotic areas in septum</td>
<td>Multiple necrotic areas throughout left-sided conducting system, more particularly in its peripheral subdivisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 M 64</td>
<td>Bronchoemphysema; cerebrovascular disease</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis of aorta and coronary arteries; diffuse fibrosis of myocardium and endocardium; right ventricular hypertrophy</td>
<td>Minor alterations of left bundle-branch; small island of sclerotic atrophy in posterior radiation (without interruption)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 F 88</td>
<td>Arterial hypertension; congestive heart failure</td>
<td>Severe atherosclerosis of aorta and coronary arteries; diffuse myocardial sclerosis; left ventricular hypertrophy</td>
<td>Widespread fibrosis and fatty infiltration throughout left bundle-branch system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cardiographic pattern of left anterior hemi-block was related to damage of the anterior division of the left bundle-branch. Confirmatory studies from these laboratories have not yet been reported. Finally, similar disagreements can also be found in papers related to cases with right bundle-branch block and conspicuous left axis deviation (Sugiura et al., 1969; Blondeau and Lenègre, 1970; Rossi, 1971).

In the present series, the histological findings indicated that the electrocardiographic pattern of left anterior fascicular block was related to severe alterations of the left bundle-branch in 9 out 10 cases. The anterior subdivision was totally interrupted in 5 instances. The lesions were, however, much more widely distributed than expected from the electrocardiographic terminology: they involved the whole left-sided conduction system in most cases.

**Conclusion**

In discussions concerning the pathogenesis of the so-called 'hemiblocks' and 'intraventricular trifascicular blocks', the left bundle-branch is generally considered as an anatomically bifascicular system.

The data obtained in the present study indicate that this description might be somewhat oversimplified. Indeed, in nearly all our cases, a central radiation or, at least, a highly developed plexus of specific fibres was consistently observed over the midseptal area. The left ventricular Purkinje system appeared therefore in most cases to be constituted of three main peripheral networks (anterior, midseptal, and posterior) which are widely interconnected. These anatomical data fit in very well with the electrophysiological results recently obtained by Durrer and coworkers (1970) who found that the excitation of the endocardial surface of the left ventricle simultaneously starts at three widely separated areas which may reasonably be assumed to correspond to the termination zones of the three main parts of the left bundle-branch system.

It is suggested that the problem of left intraventricular conduction disturbances should be reappraised in view of these results. First of all, the validity of the term 'hemiblock', which implies that the bifascicular nature of the left bundle-branch system has been used as the unique basis for terminology, should be questioned. Moreover, the electrocardiographic features attending an interruption of the midseptal fibres should be investi-
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Gated. It is possible, for instance, that associated conduction delay along those fibres might account for some of the morphological variants of the vectorcardiographic patterns seen in cases with left anterior fascicular block isolated (Kulbertus et al., 1970a) or combined with right bundle-branch block (Kulbertus et al., 1970b).

The evidence collected from cases with left anterior fascicular block shows that this peculiar electrocardiographic pattern was nearly always related to conspicuous and diffuse alterations of the left bundle-branch system. The lesions involved predominantly the anterior subdivision in approximately one-half of our cases. Nevertheless, the pathological changes were scarcely ever limited to a left anterior locus, and appeared much more widely distributed than expected from the denomination used in clinical electrocardiography. From a histopathological viewpoint, the electrocardiographic pattern of left anterior fascicular block may therefore be considered as a sign of left bundle-branch disease, but in no way can any definite conclusion be drawn as to the precise topography of the partial bundle-branch lesions.
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