Intermittent bundle-branch block in patients with accessory atrio-His or atrio-AV nodal pathways

Variants of the Lown-Ganong-Levine syndrome

Benjamin Befeler, Agustin Castellanos, Juan Aranda, Roberto Gutierrez, and Ralph Lazzara
From the Cardiovascular Laboratory, Veterans Administration Hospital, University of Miami School of Medicine, Miami, Florida, U.S.A.

Intracardiac electrophysiological studies were performed in two patients with a documented history of repetitive supraventricular tachyarrhythmias. Case 1, with short PR interval and narrow QRS complexes had a short AH interval and intermittent right bundle-branch block. Thus the short PR wide QRS syndrome is not always a result of the Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome but can also be seen in the Lown-Ganong-Levine syndrome coexisting with bundle-branch block. Case 2, with normal PR and AH at the lower limits of normal, showed the dual pathway response to atrial pacing that can occur in patients with Lown-Ganong-Levine syndrome. He also had tachycardia-dependent right bundle-branch block and left posterior hemiblock.

Therefore, neither the short PR interval nor the narrow QRS complexes characterized these forms of pre-excitation. The constant features were, from the clinical viewpoint, the occurrence of repetitive supraventricular tachyarrhythmias, and electrophysiologically the abnormal response to atrial stimulation.

Specialized conducting system studies have implied that patients with short PR intervals, narrow QRS complexes, and repetitive supraventricular tachyarrhythmias have a form of pre-excitation characterized by the presence of an accessory atrio-'low' AV nodal or atrio-His bundle conduction pathway (Durrer, Schuilenburg, and Wellens, 1970; Castellanos et al., 1971, 1975; Coumel et al., 1972; Bisset et al., 1973; Krishnaswami and Geraci, 1974). However, it is not known with certainty whether these pathways are extranodal (bypass tracts) or intranodal. In two recent histopathological studies of the short PR narrow QRS syndrome, accessory atrio-His pathways were found which were different from those described by James (Anderson et al., 1973; Brechenmacher et al., 1974).

Although attention has been focused on the duration of the PR interval and QRS complex the electrophysiological identification and recognition of these pathways rests on the presence of a short AH interval (or one at the lower limits of normal), and on the abnormal behaviour of this interval during atrial stimulation (Caracta et al., 1973; Castellanos et al., 1975; Denes, Wu, and Rosen, 1974). The present communication emphasizes the fact that narrow QRS complexes are not essential for the diagnosis of these types of accessory pathway (Narula, 1975).

Subjects and methods

The two patients reported here were referred to the cardiovascular laboratory for electrophysiological evaluation because of a documented history of repetitive supraventricular tachyarrhythmias. His bundle electrograms were recorded, and atrial pacing at increasing rates with the extra stimulus method was performed as previously described (Castellanos et al., 1971). The procedure was explained, and consent obtained from the patient.

Definitions

PA interval: conduction time from the area first depolarized by the sinus node to the low right atrium in the vicinity of the AV node.

StA interval: conduction time from the paced (atrial) site to the low right atrium in the vicinity of the AV node.

AH interval: conduction time from low right atrium (close to the AV node) to His bundle. Because of the nature of the underlying electrophysiological abnormalities in the two patients studied this interval was not...
necessarily an index of 'normal' AV nodal conduction time. An AH interval of 50 ms or less was classified as short, and one of 50 to 60 ms was at the lower limit of normal.

**HV interval:** His Purkinje conduction time.

The term 'accessory pathway' will be used to refer to an abnormal electrophysiological atrio-'low' AV nodal or atrio-His communication regardless of its anatomical location.

**Case reports**

**Case 1**

This 48-year-old hypertensive man had a 4-year history of repetitive supraventricular tachyarrhythmias for which no extracardiac cause had been found. His bundle electrograms recorded during sinus rhythm showed shortening of the PR and AH intervals (115 ms and 40 ms), with normal PA and HV intervals (Fig. 1). QRS duration was 90 ms. Early in the study the patient developed transient complete right bundle-branch block without any change in the duration of the AV conduction intervals (Fig. 2). Thus, at this time, the patient had a short PR interval with wide QRS complexes.

High right atrial stimulation at increasing rates produced an AH increment of only 40 ms at a cycle length of 300 ms (200/min). AH Wenckebach occurred at a stimulation rate of 225/min from high right atrium and at a rate of 250/min from coronary sinus (Fig. 3). This response is abnormal (Castellanos et al., 1971; Coumel et al., 1972; Caracta et al., 1973).

Pacing with the extra stimulus technique showed practically no change in the H1–H2 intervals as the A1–A2 intervals were decreased from 700 to 405 ms. At shorter coupling intervals the H1–H2 interval increased by up to 100 ms until the effective refractory period of the AH tissues was reached.

Premature stimuli delivered to the coronary sinus at coupling intervals of 225 ms resulted in a prolonged bout of atrial fibrillation (Fig. 4). The ventricular rates varied between 200 and 250/min. At times the supraventricular impulses were conducted either with a 'complete' left bundle-branch pattern (Fig. 5; first part of Fig. 6) or

---

**FIG. 1 Case 1. Sinus rhythm with short PR interval, short AH interval, and narrow QRS complexes.** Abbreviations are conventional. HBE = His bundle electrographic lead. Whenever the HBE leads are shown the top and bottom ones were obtained with electrodes 11 and 1 mm apart, respectively. All values are expressed in ms. Paper speed was 100 mm/s.

**FIG. 2 Case 1. Sinus rhythm with short PR interval, short AH interval, and wide QRS complexes (right bundle-branch block).** HRA = high right atrial bipolar electrographic lead; PAC = spontaneous premature atrial contraction.

**FIG. 3 Case 1. Abnormal response to atrial stimulation at increasing rates.** Wenckebach phenomenon occurred at a rate of 225/min from high right atrium (left) and 250/min from coronary sinus (CS) (right).
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**Fig. 4** Case 1. Atrial fibrillation triggered by premature \((St2)\) impulse. \(St1\) = driving stimulus. His bundle deflections are best seen in the lower HBE lead, which shows less interference from fibrillatory \(\text{('f')}\) waves.

**Fig. 5** Case 1. Atrial fibrillation with functional 'complete' left bundle-branch block. His bundle electrograms are best seen in the lower HBE lead, which showed less interference from \(\text{('f')}\) waves.

**Fig. 6** Case 1. Atrial fibrillation with functional left and right bundle-branch block. His bundle electrograms are best seen in the lower HBE lead which showed less interference from \(\text{('f')}\) waves. The right bundle-branch block morphology is similar to that seen in Fig. 2.
FIG. 7 Case 2. Sinus rhythm and normal PR interval with AH interval at the lower limit of normal. Tachycardia-dependent ‘complete’ right bundle-branch block and left posterior hemiblock were present when the RR interval was 750 ms (left). The bifascicular block disappeared when the RR interval increased to 1160 ms (right). The duration of the AV conduction intervals was the same whether bifascicular block was or was not present.

with a ‘complete’ right bundle-branch block morphology similar to the one seen in Fig. 2 (last part of Fig. 6).

Clear-cut H deflections were recorded preceding the corresponding QRS complexes. This was more obvious in the His bundle electrographic lead mounted at the bottom of Fig. 4, 5, and 6, which showed no interference from ‘f’ waves.

In conclusion, this patient with short PR and AH intervals and repetitive supraventricular tachyarrhythmias had sinus rhythm with intermittent right bundle-branch block and paroxysmal atrial fibrillation with functional bilateral bundle-branch block.

Case 2
A 52-year-old man with old anterolateral myocardial infarction had a three-year history of palpitations caused by repetitive supraventricular tachyarrhythmias. Right bundle-branch block with left posterior hemiblock occurred at sinus rates greater than 62/min (Fig. 7, left). However, this bifascicular block disappeared when the cycle length exceeded 960 ms (Fig. 7, right). The duration of conduction intervals was the same regardless of the presence or absence of wide QRS complexes. At both heart rates the PR interval was normal (165 ms) and the AH interval was at the lower limits of normal

FIG. 8 Case 2. Atrial stimulation at progressively higher rates produced an increase of the AH interval of only 15 ms from rates of 120/min (left) to 200/min (right). Total AH increment (compared with sinus rhythm, Fig. 7) was 35 ms.
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FIG. 9 Case 2. Pacing with the extra stimulus technique showing that at a relatively short \( A_1-A_3 \) interval (285 ms) the \( H_1-H_3 \) interval only measured 300 ms.

(60 ms). The HV interval was definitely prolonged (65 ms). The conduction delay most probably occurred in the His bundle (distal to the site from where the H deflection was recorded) since the HV interval did not shorten when the bifascicular block disappeared.

Mid-right atrial pacing at increasing rates showed that the AH interval increased by only 15 ms with increasing rate from 120/min to 200/min (Fig. 8). The total AH increment (compared with sinus beats in Fig. 7) was only 35 ms, and was therefore less than normal according to Caracta et al. (1973). The cycle length at which HA Wenckebach appeared could not be determined since pacing was not performed at rates higher than 200/min.

Pacing with the extra stimulus technique was performed using a driving cycle length of 615 ms. At \( A_1-A_3 \) intervals ranging from 600 to 300 ms the \( H_1-H_3 \) intervals were almost identical (Fig. 9). This abnormal response indicates that \( A_2 \) was not much more delayed than \( A_1 \). However, a sudden increase in \( H_1-H_3 \) to 410 ms occurred when \( A_1-A_3 \) was decreased to 280 ms (Fig. 10). Apparently the effective refractory period of the accessory pathway was reached, the impulse now being conducted through the AV node (dual pathway response) (Denes et al., 1974). Short runs of atrial flutter were seen at this \( A_1-A_3 \) interval (Fig. 10). As in Fig. 7, the right bundle-branch block and the left posterior hemiblock disappeared at an RR interval greater than 960 ms (last QRS complex).

In summary, this patient with normal PR interval and intermittent bifascicular block had repetitive supraventricular tachyarrhythmias. Though the AH interval was only at the lower limits of normal the response to pacing was abnormal.

Discussion

Case 1 is an example of the syndrome described by Clerc, Levy, and Cristesco (1938) and Lown, Ganong, and Levine (1952), having a short PR interval, narrow QRS complexes, and repetitive supraventricular tachyarrhythmias. The results of the specialized intracardiac studies were similar to those reported by other authors, who found that the AH interval was short and that atrial pacing at increasing rates failed to produce the expected degree of AH prolongation, or that dual pathways were present (Caracta et al., 1973; Denes et al., 1974; Durrer et al., 1970; Castellanos et al., 1971,
in hand
in which
in 1975; Coumel et al., 1972; Bisset et al., 1973; Krishnaswami and Geraci, 1974). On the other
hand in the cases of Mandel, Danzig, and Hayakawa (1971), it was the HV interval, not the
AH, which was shorter.

In general the majority of authors accept that the
short PR narrow QRS complex syndrome can be
explained by the presence of an accessory pathway
short-circuiting all or most of the area where the
‘normal’ AV nodal delay occurs. Yet, it has not
been determined whether (in patients in whom
specialized electrophysiological studies have been
performed) the accessory pathways were extra-
or intra-AV nodal. However, in a case with short PR,
narrow QRS, and atrial flutter with 1:1 AV conduction,
histopathological studies revealed the presence of an
atrio-His bypass different from those described by
James (Brechenmacher et al., 1974).

Case 1 had intermittent right bundle-branch block
during sinus rhythm (Fig. 2). Since the AV conduction intervals did not change, the resultant
surface electrocardiographic pattern was that
generally attributed to Wolff-Parkinson-White syn-
drome, namely short PR interval and wide QRS complexes. Moreover, functional bilateral bundle-
branch block also occurred during bouts of atrial fibrillation (Fig. 5 and 6). Therefore, this case did
not always fulfill the criteria for the Lown-Ganong-
Levine syndrome since one of its features (narrow
QRS complexes) was not constantly present
(Bisset et al., 1973; Narula, 1975).

These patients are electrophysiologically different
from those having ventricular pre-excitation caused
by an extra-AV nodal, extra-His bundle bypass (Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome). The surface
electrocardiogram can be misleading when the QRS complexes are wide and the PR interval short, since
this pattern can be the result of either the Wolff-
Parkinson-White syndrome (in which exclusive
Kent bundle conduction occurs) (Castillo et al.,
1973) or the Lown-Ganong-Levine syndrome with
bundle-branch block (Fig. 2). On the other hand,
some patients with the Wolff-Parkinson-White
syndrome can have normal PR intervals and wide
QRS complexes during atrial pacing (Durrer and
Wellens, 1974) or when the pre-excitation is inter-
mittent and the impulses are conducted through the
normal pathway with bundle-branch block (Castillo
et al., 1973).

Some of the reported patients with short PR
intervals and repetitive supraventricular tachyar-
rhythmias did not have a definitely short AH
interval (Caracta et al., 1973; Narula, 1975). For
instance, the AH interval measured 70 ms in 8 of
the 18 subjects studied by Caracta et al. (1973),
though in these patients the AH interval did not
show the ‘normal’ increase during atrial pacing at
progressively higher rates.

In other cases with repetitive supraventricular
tachyarrhythmias and an abnormal response to
atrial stimulation (as defined previously) the PR
was not short. Three of the patients studied by
Caracta et al. (1973) had AH and HV intervals of
80 ms and 40 ms, respectively. Addition of the
PA interval to those values would result in a PR
interval greater than 120 ms. Four of the 15 cases
studied by Coumel et al. (1972), had PR intervals
exceeding 120 ms. Case 2 (Fig. 7 to 10) can be
included in this category, but also had tachycardia-
dependent ‘complete’ right bundle-branch block and
left posterior hemiblock. Hence, neither the short
PR interval nor the narrow QRS complexes
characteristic of the Lown-Ganong-Levine syn-
drome were present in this patient.

The features that Cases 1 and 2 had in common
were the history of repetitive supraventricular
tachyarrhythmias, and the abnormal response to
atrial stimulation.

Addendum
In a recent pathological study (British Heart
Journal (1975), 37, 853), Brechenmacher noted an atrio-
His bundle tract different from those described by James in
a patient with bilateral bundle-branch block.
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