Study of left ventricular pressure-volume relations during nitroprusside infusion in human subjects without coronary artery disease
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SUMMARY  Studies were made on 21 patients, 8 without any symptoms of left ventricular failure, group 1, and 13 with clinical symptoms of heart failure, group 2. Cardiac output, mean aortic and left ventricular pressures (using catheter tip micromanometer), and ventricular volume (obtained from left ventricular cineangiograms) were measured before and during nitroprusside infusion. The heart rate did not change in either of the groups. Only in group 2 did cardiac index and stroke volume increase significantly. Mean aortic pressure and total systemic vascular resistance decreased significantly in both the groups. Left ventricular end-diastolic pressure decreased significantly in both the groups, but this decrease was greater in group 2 (9 mmHg compared with 3 mmHg for group 1). The decrease in the left ventricular end-diastolic volume was similar in both the groups. The decrease in left ventricular end-systolic pressure was greater in group 1, but the decrease in the left ventricular end-systolic volume was greater in group 2.

These facts are explained by the differences in the active and passive left ventricular pressure-volume relations in the two groups.

Many reports (Franciosa et al., 1972; Chatterjee et al., 1973; Miller et al., 1975; Rossen et al., 1976) have shown that vasodilator therapy is effective in acute and chronic left ventricular failure. Though it leads consistently to a decrease in aortic pressure, systemic vascular resistance, and left ventricular filling pressure, the changes in cardiac output have been less uniform.

The purpose of this study was to analyse the left ventricular pressure-volume diagram before and during nitroprusside infusion and to elucidate the effects of nitroprusside on cardiac output.

Subjects and methods

After obtaining informed consent, the haemodynamic and the angiographic changes before and during nitroprusside infusion were studied in 21 patients. These were 16 men and 5 women (the age range was from 23 to 63 years). All the patients were in regular sinus rhythm. None had valvular heart disease, septal defects, or left bundle-branch block, nor were there any clinical or electrocardiographic signs of coronary artery disease.

The patients were grouped in two categories. Group 1 comprised 8 patients who had never had symptoms of heart failure. Four had a primary hyperkinetic heart syndrome (Guazzi et al., 1975). Group 2 consisted of 13 patients all of whom were in cardiac failure. Some were on diuretic therapy, but no patient was taking digitalis. All had idiopathic cardiomyopathy.

The haemodynamic data recorded before and during nitroprusside therapy were as follows. (1) Cardiac output \( (CO = 1/min) \) measured by the indocyanine green dilution technique: the dye was injected into the right atrium and sampled in the main pulmonary artery through a 7 F Swan-Ganz catheter. Cardiac index \( (CI) \) and stroke volume \( (SV) \) were calculated as follows:

\[
CI = \frac{CO}{BSA} \quad (l/min \text{ per } m^2), \quad \text{where BSA is body surface area.}
\]

\[
SV = \frac{CO}{HR} \quad (ml) \quad \text{where HR is heart rate.}
\]
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(2) Left ventricular and ascending aortic pressures (mmHg) were recorded using a catheter tip micromanometer (Millar 5 F). (3) The left ventricular cineangiograms were performed in the frontal plane (50 frames/s). Left ventricular volumes were calculated using the area length method of Dodge et al. (1966) and a grid calibration technique. The first 3 to 5 beats after injection of contrast were used for volume calculations. The post-ectopic beats were excluded.

Aortic pressure was recorded at a paper speed of 500 mm/s during the angiogram, using a micromanometer placed in the ascending aorta. A single unit manually controlled marker permitted simultaneous synchronised measurements of angiographic films and pressure tracings from the beginning of the injection phase. It was possible to obtain the following indices before and during vasodilator infusion. (1) The systolic portion of the left ventricular pressure-volume relation. The left ventricular systolic pressure was accepted as identical to the aortic systolic pressure. (2) The variations in the instantaneous pressure-volume relation with time. Intervals were measured from the peak of the R wave of the electrocardiogram.

The end-diastolic point of the ventricular pressure-volume diagram was established from the angiographic end-diastolic volume and from the end-diastolic ventricular pressure (LVEDP) obtained immediately before angiography. In this pressure-volume diagram, the end-diastolic volume is the largest volume and the end-systolic volume (ESV) is the smallest volume. The end-systolic pressure (ESP) is the pressure related to end-systolic volume and not to the dicrotic notch.

We then calculated (a) total systemic vascular resistance thus: $TSVR = \frac{MAP \times 80}{CO}$, where $MAP$ is mean aortic pressure mmHg, and CO is cardiac output l/min, and (b) the left ventricular ejection fraction as:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LVEDV (ml)</th>
<th>LVESV (ml)</th>
<th>EF (%)</th>
<th>LVESP (mmHg)</th>
<th>LVESP LVESV (mmHg/ml)</th>
<th>Maximum value</th>
<th>Rate of NP administration (µg/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each patient, the initial end-diastolic volume and LVESV were measured, and LVEDV was calculated as the difference between the two. The EF% was calculated as:

EF% = \( \frac{SV}{LVEDV} \times 100 \), where SV is the angiographic stroke volume (ml) and LVEDV is the left ventricular end-diastolic volume (ml).

Nitroprusside was infused by a flow controlled pump, 20 minutes after the first left ventricular angiogram. The initial flow rate was 10 µg/min, and this was increased every 3 to 5 minutes to obtain a stable reduction of the mean aortic pressure. The reduction in the mean aortic pressure was less than 30 mmHg except in 2 patients in whom the initial mean aortic pressure was above 100 mmHg. The simultaneous decrease of the left ventricular end-diastolic pressure was less than 10 mmHg except in 4 patients in group 2 in whom the initial left ventricular end-diastolic pressure was above 20 mmHg.

Once the pressures had become stable the nitroprusside infusion rate was maintained at a constant rate; this rate differed greatly in each patient (Table).

Results

(1) A good correlation was observed between the angiographically derived stroke volume and that obtained from the dye dilution method. The regression equation was: dye method = 6.9 + 0.89

(2) Changes in heart rate, cardiac index, stroke volume, mean aortic pressure, total systemic vascular resistance, and ejection fraction. The heart rate did not change significantly in either group (Table). Significant increases in cardiac index and stroke volume were observed in group 2 only (P < 0.05). Mean aortic pressure and total systemic vascular resistance decreased significantly in both the groups (MAP group 1 P < 0.01, and group 2 P < 0.001; total systemic vascular resistance P < 0.01 for both). The ejection fraction did not alter in group 1, but it increased significantly in group 2 (P < 0.01).

(3) Changes in left ventricular end-diastolic pressure and volume. The end-diastolic pressure...
was lower in group 1 compared with group 2 (P < 0.01). In both groups it decreased significantly (P < 0.001), in group 1 by 3 mmHg and in group 2 by 9 mmHg. The absolute decrease in group 2 was higher, but the relative decrease (that is percentage change from control) was identical in both groups.

Left ventricular end-diastolic volume decreased significantly in both the groups (group 1 P < 0.05; group 2 P < 0.01). In terms of absolute value the decrease was the same in both groups (27 and 26 ml, respectively). The relative decrease was lower in patients in group 2 (P < 0.05) in whom the initial end-diastolic volume had been larger (P < 0.001).

(4) Changes in left ventricular end-systolic pressure and volume. Left ventricular end-systolic pressure decreased significantly in both the groups (group 1 P < 0.001; group 2 P < 0.01); the relative decrease was identical. In terms of absolute values the decrease was greater in group 1 than in group 2 (33 and 20 mmHg, respectively, P < 0.01). The basal end-systolic pressure was higher in group 1 compared with group 2 (P < 0.01).

Left ventricular end-systolic volume decreased significantly in both the groups (P < 0.01 for both), and the relative decrease was identical. In terms of absolute values the decrease was greater in group 2 compared with group 1 (39 and 12 ml, respectively, P < 0.05); the initial left ventricular end-systolic volume was higher in group 2 (P < 0.001).

Instantaneous systolic pressure-volume ratios during ventricular ejection were identical before and during nitroprusside infusion. Towards the end of systole, the pressure-volume ratio was practically constant (Fig. 1). Thus, even though ejection time...
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decreased slightly, during nitroprusside, the $\frac{\text{ESP}}{\text{ESV}}$ ratio remained constant (3.6 and 3.5 in group 1; 0.5 and 0.5 in group 2) (Table). In patients in group 2 the $\frac{\text{ESP}}{\text{ESV}}$ ratio was significantly lower compared with group 1 patients ($P < 0.001$).

As the $\frac{\text{ESP}}{\text{ESV}}$ ratio was nearly the same before and during vasodilator infusion, a straight line was obtained which intersected near the origin and on which the pressure-volume diagrams ended (Fig. 2). For all the patients in group 1 and for 7 in group 2 the $\frac{\text{ESP}}{\text{ESV}}$ relations corresponded to the maximum value of instantaneous pressure-volume ratio. For 6 patients in group 2, the $\frac{\text{ESP}}{\text{ESV}}$ ratio was lower than the maximum systolic value.

Discussion

Nitroprusside has no direct positive inotropic effects on the myocardium (Chatterjee et al., 1973). The effects of this agent in patients without coronary insufficiency are related to its peripheral effects on the arterial and venous systems and the resulting effects on the left ventricular loading conditions (Miller et al., 1975; Rossen et al., 1976).

The haemodynamic changes with nitroprusside in this study were similar to those reported previously. A drop in mean aortic pressure, left ventricular end-diastolic pressure, pulmonary wedge pressure, and systemic vascular resistance has been noted in normal subjects and in patients with arterial hypertension (Schlant et al., 1962), acute myocardial infarction (Franciosa et al., 1972; Chatterjee et al., 1973), and chronic congestive heart failure (Miller et al., 1975; Rossen et al., 1976). A significant increase in cardiac output was found mainly in patients whose left ventricular filling pressures were high in the control state, remaining at the upper limit of normal during vasodilator therapy (Chatterjee et al., 1973; Miller et al., 1975; Rossen et al., 1976). Conversely, if filling pressure decreased considerably a fall in cardiac output might result; this would be reversed by expansion of the circulating volume (Miller et al., 1975).

As there were only minor changes in heart rate, changes in cardiac output were accompanied by changes in stroke volume. The changes in stroke volume after nitroprusside followed closely the respective variations in end-diastolic volume and end-systolic volume.

During nitroprusside infusion there was a consistent decrease in left ventricular end-diastolic pressure and volume. In group 2, there was a similar reduction in left ventricular end-diastolic volume to that in patients in group 1 resulting in a larger decrease in end-diastolic pressure; this probably indicated that the patients in group 2, whose left ventricular end-diastolic pressure was higher, were on a steeper portion of the passive left ventricular pressure volume relation. However, our study does not allow evaluations of changes in left ventricular distensibility during nitroprusside infusion.

During nitroprusside infusion there was a consistent decrease in left ventricular end-systolic volume and pressure. In the patients in group 2, the decrease in end-systolic pressure was lower and the decrease in end-systolic volume was greater than in patients in group 1. This is explained by the fact that the $\frac{\text{ESP}}{\text{ESV}}$ ratio remained constant before and during nitroprusside infusion, and also by the lower value of this ratio observed in patients in the second group.

For a given contractile state peak isovolumic pressure is linearly related to volume over the physiological range of ventricular volumes in the isolated dog heart, and the slope of this peak pressure-volume line becomes steeper as contractile state is enhanced. For the same contractile state and for the same range of ventricular end-diastolic volumes, the isolated left ventricle of the dog contracting auxotonically (that is with no isovolumic period, volume decreasing throughout systole) reaches a maximum value of the pressure-volume ratio at end-systole that lies on the same straight line as obtained by isovolumic contraction (Suga and Sagawa, 1974).

In human subjects it is impossible to obtain isovolumic pressure-volume data. Nevertheless in a preliminary study in human subjects we have shown that the end-systolic pressure-volume ratio was independent of loading changes and related to the inotropic state of the left ventricle (Merillon et al., 1977): the greater the end-systolic pressure volume ratio, the better the inotropic state.

Obviously stroke volume will increase only if end-systolic volume decreases more than end-diastolic volume. The decrease in left ventricular end-diastolic volume was similar in both groups. However as the slope of the end-systolic pressure-volume relation is lower in group 2, a slight decrease in left ventricular end-systolic pressure
corresponds to a pronounced decrease in left ventricular end-systolic volume. Thus the stroke volume increased significantly only in patients from group 2.
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