Pacemaker-masked hypertension in a patient with mitral stenosis
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SUMMARY  Severe hypertension was seen in a patient with mitral stenosis when in sinus rhythm. A large fall in systolic blood pressure and in left ventricular end-diastolic pressure was caused by ventricular pacing. Contrary to the usual situation in mitral stenosis this patient paradoxically is a subject who improved haemodynamically with the loss of sinus rhythm and of atrial systole.

Permanent endocardial pacing systems have an established place in the treatment of conduction system disease. Until recently ventricular pacing was the established norm but there are increasing reports that a more "physiological" form of pacing may further enhance cardiac performance. These new systems preserve atrial systole by sequential atrial and ventricular pacing. Inevitably such systems are being inserted in patients who have concomitant valvular heart disease. There seems little doubt that physiological pacing greatly improves the haemodynamic performance in aortic stenosis. In mitral stenosis the contribution of atrial systole to cardiac performance is still controversial. About half the published series claims that loss of atrial systole is unimportant. Others have found the opposite. This report describes a patient with mitral stenosis in whom ventricular pacing and loss of effective atrial systole lowered peak left ventricular pressure to such an extent that severe concomitant essential hypertension was masked.

Case report

The patient was a 70-year-old woman who had rheumatic fever in 1918 and has been known to have had rheumatic heart disease since her mid-40's. In 1976 she had a syncopal episode and was diagnosed as having sick sinus syndrome. At least one asystolic episode lasting five seconds was noted during the course of 24-hour electrocardiographic monitoring. As a result she had an ELA lithium pacemaker inserted in November 1976. She has had frequent follow-up visits to both the cardiac clinic and the pacemaker clinic and during these visits her blood pressure has been noted to vary from 270/120 to 160/90 mmHg. She had been variably treated with methyldopa and oxprenolol but at the time of subsequent cardiac catheterisation she was on frusemide (40 mg mane), Slow K, digoxin, warfarin and disopyramide.

Her history was of breathlessness on exertion. This was very variable as some days she could walk for three miles on the flat and manage stairs reasonably well while on other occasions this made her very breathless.

On physical examination she looked fit and there was no evidence of cardiac failure. Her heart rate was 71 a minute. Blood pressure supine was 160/90 mmHg. The apex beat was left ventricular in type and displaced outwards and downwards. Clinically she had the signs of moderately severe mitral stenosis with only minimal aortic and mitral regurgitation.

Chest x-ray film showed moderate cardiomegaly with some unfolding of the aorta. Her electrocardiogram, in sinus rhythm, showed left ventricular strain pattern. Echocardiography confirmed the presence of moderately severe mitral stenosis. At cardiac catheterisation pulmonary artery pressure was around 40 mmHg systolic and she had a mean mitral valve gradient of 7 to 8 mmHg. Aortic pressure was 160/90 mmHg while left ventricular pressure was 160/0 to 10 mmHg. These pressures were obtained during pacing rhythm. While the catheter was in the left ventricle the patient's own sinus rhythm inhibited the pacemaker. There was an immediate rise in the left ventricular peak pressure and also in end-diastolic pressure (see Fig.). Within 30 seconds this rise was of the order of 100 mmHg, with left ventricular pressure reaching a value of 260/20 to 30 mmHg. There was no aortic gradient. Left ventricular angiography showed only trivial mitral regurgitation while an aortogram showed mild aortic regurgitation only. Selec-
Discussion

Harvey, in 1628, noted that when the ventricle of the frog was cut open fluid spurted from it in time with contraction of the atria. The active part played by atrial systole in ventricular filling has been controversial ever since. When such factors as heart rate, PR interval, and ventricular diastolic volume are taken into account, however, there seems little doubt that atrial systole contributes around 10 to 20% of left ventricular output in normal hearts.7

In mitral stenosis the diastolic gradient increases with atrial systole and so does the diastolic flow across the valve. Thus, factors such as heart rate (and therefore duration of diastole) and severity of stenosis will determine the contribution of atrial systole to left ventricular filling. In severe mitral stenosis atrial systole will be needed to force blood through the valve and to increase ventricular end-diastolic volume and hence cardiac output.

The loss of sinus rhythm in mitral stenosis generally leads to haemodynamic deterioration. The fibrillating atria no longer contract effectively, thus reducing the diastolic gradient over the valve and hence diastolic flow while the rapid heart rate and shortened diastole further reduce diastolic ventricular filling. In our patient paradoxically the loss of sinus rhythm seemed to have beneficial haemodynamic effects. While pacing the blood pressure was normal as was her left ventricular end-diastolic pressure. With pacemaker inhibition and the return of sinus rhythm, end-diastolic pressure trebled and systolic blood pressure increased by up to 100 mmHg and she was clearly hypertensive. The haemodynamic changes seen with pacing were likely to be sustained as the patient was seen on many occasions at the clinic when she was in pacing rhythm and her blood pressure was normal.

It is interesting to speculate upon the mechanism of the raised end-diastolic pressure which was presumably the reason why some days the exercise tolerance was substantially better than others. It seems that a combination of increased preload (right atrial systole increasing right ventricular output and left atrial pressure) and increased afterload both contribute (left atrial systole increasing diastolic flow into the left ventricle, and cardiac output increasing into what was presumably a cardiovascular system with a relatively increased and fixed resistance). Support for this comes from Chamberlain et al.,1 who showed that sequential atrioventricular pacing in patients with heart disease (admittedly not involving the valves) resulted in a fall in central venous pressure and a rise in systemic blood pressure.

In our patient it is also possible that paced beats were less efficient and that the paced ventricle could not generate the power to raise the blood pressure. It has been shown that the left ventricle produces less stroke work for a given end-diastolic pressure during ventricular pacing8 and that the rate of ventricular contraction is reduced. Diastole is also thus shortened, with presumably a consequent fall in flow across the valve especially if the valve is stenosed.

In any event though both the pacing and sinus heart rates were virtually identical (see Fig.) the circulatory status of the patient changed conspicuously for the better with the loss of atrial systole, thus supporting the view that atrial systole does have haemodynamic significance in mitral stenosis. In this particular patient it was of such a magnitude that severe systemic hypertension was masked when atrial systole was lost.
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