Morphometric investigations in mitral stenosis using two dimensional echocardiography
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SUMMARY  A method is proposed for comparing the orifice size and the morphology of stenotic mitral valves, removed intact at the time of replacement, with the preoperative two dimensional echocardiographic cross-sections. The excised mitral valve apparatus is suspended on a specially constructed mounting. To avoid shrinkage the orifice is stabilised with an airfilled balloon. A radiograph is taken directing the x-ray beam perpendicular to the valve orifice.

In 40 of 51 patients this method provided the means of relating the echocardiographic cross-sections to the morphology of the valve. Planimetry of the valve area compared favourably with the postoperatively determined orifice size. Agreement was found in 34 of 40 patients in orifice shape between preoperative echocardiograms and x-rays of the excised valve. The relation between intraoperative estimation of size of the valve, using dilators with known diameters, and the postoperative results was less favourable.

Areas of calcification were identified on echocardiography as dense conglomerate echoes. In 30 patients (75%) the localisation of calcium deposits and in 67% the degree of calcification was in agreement with the x-rays of the valve taken after operation.

In addition to determination of the area, two dimensional echocardiography allows detailed studies of the stenotic valves, and is of particular importance for planning operative treatment.

The limitations of M-mode echocardiography in predicting the severity of mitral valve stenosis are well known. In contrast, with two dimensional echocardiography in short axis planes it is possible to image the total circumference of the stenosed valve orifice. The valve area can then be calculated by means of planimetry. Several studies have correlated these measurements with the Gorlin-derived valve area.

In addition, Henry et al. found a close correlation between cross-sectional echocardiography and intraoperative measurement. In 13 of 14 patients with mitral valve disease the orifice area measured from two dimensional images was within 0.3 cm² of the orifice area measured directly at operation. But in these investigations the morphology of the mitral valve apparatus, obtained by both methods, had not been analysed in detail.

The purpose of this study was to assess the role of cross-sectional echocardiography in predicting the area and shape of the orifice as well as the grade and distribution of valve calcifications. Therefore a special method for morphometric analysis of stenosed valves removed during operation was proposed.

Subjects and methods

The study group consisted of 51 consecutive patients undergoing operation for mitral valve disease. There were 35 women and 16 men whose ages ranged from 36 to 69 years, mean 52 years.

Cardiac catheterisation was performed in each patient: 18 had pure mitral stenosis, and 33 had clinical and angiographic evidence of associated mild to moderate mitral regurgitation.

ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC METHODS

All ultrasonic examinations were carried out using a commercially available phased array 80° sector scanner (Varian V3000, Varian Ass. Palo Alto, USA). This instrument has been previously described in detail. The two dimensional echocardiographic investigations were performed using a method similar
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to that described by Henry et al.3 The transducer was placed in the left parasternal region, usually in the third, fourth, or fifth intercostal space. The sector scan image was initially orientated parallel to the long axis of the left ventricle in order to visualise the anterior and posterior mitral leaflets with their chordal and papillary muscle attachments. The transducer was then rotated 90° clockwise to obtain a short axis view of the left ventricle. From the transducer position a sweep was performed by slowly angling the image plane from the aorta to the apex. Thus the stenotic mitral valve orifice, forming the apex of the mitral valve funnel, could be directly imaged. Care was taken to adjust the instrument to an optimal level so that continuous echoes around the circumference of the orifice could be recorded.

The cross-sectional images were recorded on a ½ inch videotape system for later playback and review (Sanyo VTC 3000, Sanyo USA). The illustrations presented in this article are 35 mm photographs of single frame images from the videotape.

INTRA- AND POSTOPERATIVE ASSESSMENT

During operation an estimate of the dimensions of the mitral valve orifice was made using Hegar dilators with known diameters. The circle area \((\pi r^2)\) was calculated.

In patients where valve replacement was thought to be necessary the intact mitral valve including the chordae and the tips of the papillary muscles was excised. The valve was carefully examined by palpation and was subsequently suspended on a specially constructed holding. To avoid shrinkage the orifice was stabilised with an airfilled balloon of known pressure. The balloon pressure was individually adjusted to the rigidity of the valve and did not exceed 25 mmHg. Then a radiograph was taken, directing the x-ray beam perpendicular to the mitral valve orifice. A radio-opaque scale was simultaneously filmed for later quantification (Fig. 1).

Thus, the shape and the area of the orifice and the amount of calcium around the circumference could be judged by a technique similar to cross-sectional echocardiography.

DATA ANALYSIS

The two dimensional echocardiograms were interpreted in a double-blind fashion and without knowledge of the later radiographic findings of the excised mitral valve.

The orifice area was planimetered during early diastole using a commercially available image analyser (Echo-comp, Digiconsins Inc., Houston, USA). The location of the calcium deposits was identified and their amount was graded by previously described morphological criteria; that is, the extent was determined by the percentage of the valvular circumference containing the deposits (grade 0 = no calcification, grade 1 = < 25%, grade 2 = 25 to 50%, grade 3 = 51 to 75%, grade 4 = >75%).

Statistical analysis of the mitral valve area was performed with the paired Student's t test. The correct correspondence in orifice shape and in the localisation of calcification was judged at the end of the study by the two independent investigators.

Results

The results of the correlative two dimensional echocardiographic and postoperative evaluation are summarised in the Table. Five of 51 patients were excluded from the study because of technically inadequate echocardiographic recording. In six further patients no pathological specimen was available because either open commissurotomy was performed or the valve had to be cut into pieces at the time of excision.

VALVE ORIFICE AREA

Fig. 2 shows the relation between the mitral valve
orifice area measured by two dimensional echocardiography and the mitral valve area measured postoperatively in 40 patients. The valve areas derived from two dimensional short axis views were between 0.62 and 3.7 cm², the mean value being 1.74±0.61 cm². The correlation coefficient between these values and the areas obtained postoperatively was extremely good (r=0.92). The standard error of estimate was below 0.3 cm² and the maximum difference between the echo and postmortem estimates in any pair of estimates was 0.85 cm².

In 19 of 40 patients intraoperative estimates of size of the mitral valve using Hégar dilators with known diameters was also performed. The relation between these measurements and the planimetered orifice areas of the excised mitral valves is depicted in Fig. 3. The correlation coefficient was 0.63 and the standard error of estimate (SEE) was 0.42 cm². The relation between the areas determined by two dimensional echocardiography and the values obtained during operation was in the same range, the correlation coefficient being 0.58 (SEE=0.44 cm²).

**VALVE ORIFICE SHAPE: LOCALISATION OF CALCIFICATION**

The shape of the stenosed mitral orifice, which was analysed in 40 patients, was not uniform but often showed bizarre configurations. Representative examples obtained by both methods are shown in Fig. 4.

A precise agreement in orifice shape between preoperative two dimensional echocardiograms and x-rays of the excised mitral valve was found in 34

---

### Table

Data obtained from 40 patients with mitral stenosis using two dimensional echocardiography and direct measurement of valve areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Mitral valve area</th>
<th>Localisation of calcium-2DE</th>
<th>Localisation of calcium-po</th>
<th>%degree of calcification-2DE</th>
<th>%degree of calcification-po</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>AL, PL</td>
<td>AL, PL</td>
<td>80% grade 4</td>
<td>80% grade 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>AL, MC, MC</td>
<td>AL, PL</td>
<td>60% grade 3</td>
<td>70% grade 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>PL, MC</td>
<td>10% grade 1</td>
<td>25% grade 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>AL, MC, MC</td>
<td>AL, MC</td>
<td>20% grade 2</td>
<td>25% grade 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>PL, LC</td>
<td>AL, LC</td>
<td>25% grade 1</td>
<td>25% grade 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>AL, PL, AL, MC, LC</td>
<td>AL, PL, MC, LC</td>
<td>90% grade 4</td>
<td>90% grade 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>AL, LC</td>
<td>AL, LC</td>
<td>60% grade 3</td>
<td>60% grade 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>AL, LC</td>
<td>AL, MC</td>
<td>80% grade 3</td>
<td>60% grade 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>PL, MC</td>
<td>PL, MC, MC</td>
<td>25% grade 2</td>
<td>35% grade 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>AL, PL, PL, MC</td>
<td>AL, PL, MC, MC</td>
<td>60% grade 3</td>
<td>60% grade 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>AL, MC, MC</td>
<td>AL, MC</td>
<td>40% grade 2</td>
<td>50% grade 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>PL, MC, MC</td>
<td>AL, LC</td>
<td>40% grade 2</td>
<td>20% grade 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>AL, LC</td>
<td>PL, MC</td>
<td>30% grade 2</td>
<td>40% grade 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>AL, LC</td>
<td>30% grade 2</td>
<td>25% grade 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>AL, PL</td>
<td>30% grade 2</td>
<td>50% grade 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>AL, PL, AL, PL, MC, LC</td>
<td>AL, PL, PL, MC, LC</td>
<td>80% grade 4</td>
<td>80% grade 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>AL, MC</td>
<td>70% grade 3</td>
<td>70% grade 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>AL, MC, MC</td>
<td>AL, MC</td>
<td>45% grade 2</td>
<td>45% grade 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>MC</td>
<td>MC, MC, LC</td>
<td>20% grade 1</td>
<td>20% grade 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>PL, MC, LC</td>
<td>MC, LC, LC</td>
<td>50% grade 3</td>
<td>20% grade 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>PL, MC</td>
<td>10% grade 1</td>
<td>10% grade 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>AL, MC, MC</td>
<td>AL, MC, MC</td>
<td>30% grade 2</td>
<td>30% grade 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>PL, MC, PL, MC</td>
<td>AL, MC, MC, LC</td>
<td>70% grade 3</td>
<td>40% grade 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>AL, MC</td>
<td>20% grade 1</td>
<td>20% grade 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>MC, LC</td>
<td>MC, LC, MC</td>
<td>30% grade 2</td>
<td>30% grade 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>AL, MC</td>
<td>55% grade 3</td>
<td>55% grade 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>AL, MC, LC</td>
<td>25% grade 2</td>
<td>50% grade 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>AL, MC</td>
<td>40% grade 2</td>
<td>20% grade 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>AL, MC</td>
<td>55% grade 3</td>
<td>20% grade 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>AL, PL</td>
<td>80% grade 4</td>
<td>60% grade 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>AL, LC</td>
<td>60% grade 3</td>
<td>50% grade 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>AL, PL, MC, MC, LC</td>
<td>100% grade 4</td>
<td>100% grade 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>PL, LC</td>
<td>40% grade 2</td>
<td>40% grade 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>AL, PL, LC</td>
<td>AL, PL, LC</td>
<td>70% grade 3</td>
<td>70% grade 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>AL, PL, AL, PL, MC, LC</td>
<td>AL, PL, MC, LC</td>
<td>80% grade 4</td>
<td>80% grade 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>AL, MC</td>
<td>60% grade 3</td>
<td>60% grade 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>AL, MC</td>
<td>50% grade 2</td>
<td>50% grade 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>LC, LC</td>
<td>60% grade 3</td>
<td>60% grade 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>AL, LC</td>
<td>AL, LC</td>
<td>70% grade 3</td>
<td>70% grade 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Area-2DE, -po, -io, mitral valve area, obtained with two dimensional echocardiography, postoperatively, intraoperatively; AL, PL, anterior, posterior leaflet; MC, LC, medial, lateral commissure.
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Fig. 2 Display of the data obtained from 40 patients correlating the mitral valve areas calculated with two dimensional echocardiography with x-ray exposure of the anatomical specimen. (SEE is within the shaded area.)

\[ n=40 \]
\[ r=0.92 \pm 0.065 \]
\[ y=0.23 \pm 0.88x \]

Fig. 3 Relation between the areas obtained during operation using a sizer of known diameter and the x-ray picture of the excised mitral valve. (SEE is within the shaded area.)

Fig. 4 Examples of preoperative short axis views of the stenotic mitral valve (above) and of corresponding x-rays of the valve after replacement (below), showing good agreement in shape and calcium localisation. —— = 1 cm.
patients (85%). In the remaining six patients the two independent investigators judged the echocardiographic findings as incorrect.

Areas of calcification were echocardiographically identified as dense conglomerate echoes and were broadly evident in all 40 patients. Calcification could be morphologically confirmed in 39 of 40 patients. The echocardiogram showed the exact localisation of these calcium deposits on the anterior and posterior mitral leaflet, and on the medial and lateral commissure in 30 patients (75%) (Table).

**Degree of Calcification**

Thirty-nine excised mitral valves showed some degree of calcification (Table). With application of the proposed system (grade 0 to 4) agreement with both methods was found in 27 of 40 patients (67%). With this classification the degree of calcification was overestimated with two dimensional echocardiography in 12 patients (Fig. 5). But this overestimation was not statistically significant. In only 10 patients (25%) was the difference in severity of calcification using the two methods more than 10% (Table).

**Discussion**

By providing correct spatial orientation cross-sectional echocardiography allows an appropriate characterisation of the mitral valve. Several studies suggest that a reliable non-invasive estimation of the severity of valve stenosis is now possible. Taking valve orifice area from the two dimensional echocardiogram, a good correlation was shown between both intraoperative estimations of size of the orifice and the mitral valve area calculated by the Gorlin formula.3–6

Using a different approach, we came to similar conclusions with respect to mitral valve orifice area. Our proposed method of preparing and imaging the stenotic valve postoperatively provided the means of relating the two dimensional echocardiographic findings to the morphology of the valve. A true radiographic visualisation of the mitral valve orifice itself was possible, as with two dimensional cross-section. This method was, therefore, independent of catheterisation data and of the presence or absence of additional mitral regurgitation.

The correlation in our study was good, the correlation coefficient being 0.92 with 40 patients. These results confirm preliminary studies of Wann et al.5 who measured the valve area in some pathological specimens and also found good accuracy of two dimensional echocardiography. To prevent shrinkage of the orifice, in this study the pathological specimen was fixed to its main diastolic position immediately after operation.

The discrepancies between area measurements with both methods (range 0 to 0.85 cm² in our study) are caused by technical limitations of the ultrasound method. One limiting factor, the varying orifice size resulting from different gain settings, has been systematically investigated and was carefully taken into account in this study.10 Another critical point is the proper location of the transducer directly over the mitral valve orifice to avoid sloping sections of the orifice and therefore overestimation.10,11 This factor is probably responsible for some differences between single values of the area obtained with two dimensional echocardiography in relation to the pathology of the valve.

Another limitation of the method was that during x-ray exposure of the excised mitral valve an airfilled balloon was inserted to avoid shrinkage of the orifice, which may lead to an overextension of the orifice. The data, however, do not confirm this difficulty because with two dimensional echocardiography there is overestimation rather than underestimation of the valve area. It is unlikely that a rigid stenotic mitral valve orifice reacts much to low pressure balloon extension.

The good correlation between the mitral valve area shown on cross-sectional scanning and the orifice area derived from pathological specimens contrasts, to some extent, with the intraoperative measurements. Whereas Henry et al.3 found an excellent correlation between echocardiography and intraoperative estima-
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...tion of size, our correlation coefficient was only 0.53.

One explanation for these discrepancies is that in our study no custom-built estimator of size was used for the area measurements. The orifice area was instead derived from the largest diameter of the orifice obtained during the operation. One further possible reason is that in our investigation the valve circumference mostly showed a bizarre configuration, unsuitable even for a specially preformed estimator of size.

Other investigators also emphasise the methodological difficulties inherent in the intraoperative estimation of size of stenosed mitral valves. Wann et al. for example had been unable to develop a satisfactory method for measuring the mitral valve area during operation for comparison with two dimensional echocardiography.

The structural architecture of the mitral valve and the presence or absence of calcification, as well as pliability of the leaflets, are important factors in determining whether a patient with mitral stenosis is a candidate for commissurotomy. Our findings indicate that a detailed morphological analysis of the mitral valve orifice is echocardiographically possible. An exact correspondence in shape was found in 85% of patients when correlating the data to the postoperative radiographic findings. Moreover, in 75% the exact localisation of the calcium deposits was possible. In this regard two dimensional echocardiography in long and short axis planes seems to be superior to preoperative x-ray examination. With the latter method, leaflet calcification may be readily confused with annular calcium, which need not necessarily affect the mobility of the cusps.

In rheumatic mitral valve disease the thickening and calcification of the valve tend to spread from the tips towards the base of the leaflets. The tomographic short axis cross-sections through the mitral valve orifice are therefore suitable for detecting early calcification. Abnormally intense and globular echoes of the leaflets and commissures were identified as calcium deposits. A similar echo pattern was described by Schwartz et al. doing in vitro studies with M-mode echocardiography in calcified and non-calcified valves.

With application of the grading system proposed by Lachman and Roberts the amount of calcium could be reliably determined by two dimensional echocardiography. These results confirm earlier studies, performed with the M-mode technique, which also semi-quantified the calcification of mitral leaflets. But the exact quantification and localisation of echoes is sometimes difficult with the M-mode method. Multiple echoes generated by heavily calcified valves make it impossible to distinguish reliably echoes produced by the posterior cusp from those produced by the anterior leaflet.

Though not statistically significant some overestimation of the amount of calcium was obtained with two dimensional echocardiography. These false positive results can be accounted for by extensive fibrosis and thickening of cusps which produce a similar echo pattern. According to first reports by Rogers et al. the estimation and differentiation of tissue calcium can be further enhanced by digital processing of ultrasound signals. The application of this method to patients with atherosclerotic coronary arteries leads to an improvement of tissue characterisation in comparison with conventional techniques.

In conclusion, two dimensional echocardiography allows detailed morphological studies of stenotic mitral valves. The degree of mitral stenosis can be reliably determined by means of planimetry. Furthermore, an assessment of the shape of the valve orifice and of the degree and localisation of calcification is possible. These non-invasive data are of special importance for planning operative treatment.
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