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In his classic Account of the Foxglove, William Withering gave a vivid description of patients with terminal heart failure and meticulous case reports of their dramatic diuresis in response to digitalis.1 Though Withering remarked on the action of digitalis upon the heart he regarded it primarily as a diuretic and did not make the connection between its diuretic and cardiac action. Withering was well aware of the hazards of digitalis overdose but despite his warnings it was widely prescribed in high doses for oedema of non-cardiac origin and for a wide variety of other conditions. Its lack of effect in many of these cases and its pronounced toxicity caused it to fall into disrepute.

In the nineteenth century polypharmacy was in fashion and digitalis was used, if at all, in combination with other agents such as mercury and squill (Baille’s pills; Guy’s Hospital pills).2 Even early this century the merits and dangers of coffee, strychnine, camphor, and cane sugar in heart failure aroused hot debate.3–5 Purgation, cupping, vesication and sweating were widely practised.6 The removal of excess fluid was known to produce pronounced clinical improvement and if treatment with digitalis and purgation failed then mechanical means were used.7 In the last resort Southey’s tubes were used to drain the extracellular fluid, with all their attendant discomfort, scarring, and risk of cellulitis.8 Heart failure is an unpleasant illness but the misery inflicted by inappropriate, toxic, or dangerous treatment must often have been greater than that of the disease itself.

Certainly some early treatments, especially venesection, were capable of bringing short term benefits in heart failure. Bloodletting was said to “afford more speedy and complete relief than any other remedy”.9 But physicians lacked understanding both of the diagnosis and the pathophysiology so that they used different methods without discrimination. Modern physiology established a more rational basis for treatment. Howarth et al demonstrated haemodynamic improvement after venesection in patients with congestive heart failure who had a very high venous pressure. The cardiac output rose as the venous pressure fell.10 The importance of salt and water excess in oedema formation was clarified by Starling in his classic experiments when he perfused isolated animal limbs.11 In consequence strict and unpalatable dietary restriction of salt and water was advocated.12–14

It was not until Vogl in 1920 reported the diuretic effect of the organomercurial merbaphenum, then a treatment for syphilis, that the era of effective diuretics began.15 Mersaly, a direct development from merbaphenum, was the first effective means of treating oedema.1617 Organomercurial diuretics could produce haemodynamic improvement with a rise in cardiac output and a fall in right atrial pressure.18–20 Unfortunately they had to be administered parenterally and were toxic. Local tissue necrosis followed a badly placed injection and chronic administration produced manifestations of mercurialism which varied from stomatitis to the nephrotic syndrome.21–25 Hypersensitivity reactions although uncommon were occasionally fatal.26–27

The search for an orally effective diuretic continued, but most of those produced were relatively ineffective.28–31 Just as astute clinical observations during the use of merbaphenum in syphilis led to the development of mersaly, so clinical observations of a diuresis during treatment with antibacterial sulphonamides were to lead eventually to the discovery of the benzothiadiazine diuretics. The synthesis of chlorothiazide by Novello and Sprague in 195732 was the most important single advance in the symptomatic relief of heart failure. Although less potent than the organomercurials,33 the thiazides were effective orally even in those patients who had become resistant to mercurial diuretics and they were also much less toxic.3435 They were not, however, without their problems. One of their major side effects was hypokalaemia which could be profound,36 inducing hepatic coma in patients with cirrhosis37 and sensitising the myocardium to the toxic effects of digitalis in patients with congestive cardiac failure.38–40 None the less, they remain an
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important tool in the management of heart failure.

Diuretic resistance remained common until the era of the so called “loop” diuretics frusemide and ethacrynic acid. These are both extremely potent and quite well tolerated. Their efficacy, even in those patients who no longer respond to thiazides, means that the diuretic resistant patient is now rare. The salt retaining corticosteroid, aldosterone, was identified at almost the same time as the discovery of the oral diuretics. For many years it was believed that secondary hyperaldosteronism was mainly responsible for the salt and water retention in congestive heart failure and much research was concentrated on this mechanism. Spironolactone (a specific aldosterone antagonist), however, proved to be relatively ineffective in cardiac oedema. The position was finally clarified in 1974 by Nicholls et al who showed that aldosterone concentrations were slightly raised in untreated heart failure and fell to normal as body weight declined during diuretic therapy. It was only as the body weight stabilised and the patient became salt depleted that the aldosterone levels rose sharply to 4–6 times normal. Knight et al demonstrated a highly significant correlation between the dose of frusemide and the plasma aldosterone concentration.

Release of renin from the kidney is critical and there appears to be a two stage mechanism. In heart failure renal blood flow is slightly reduced and an increase in renin release follows. Circulating angiotensin II stimulates aldosterone secretion from the adrenal and plasma aldosterone becomes slightly raised. As patients become salt depleted and “dried out” there is a secondary and much greater rise in renin and aldosterone.

Modern diuretics have transformed the symptomatic treatment of a patient with dropsy and would have greatly impressed a physician of Withering’s era. But they are only part of the story and are not without their problems. The patient who has been dried out with diuretics may look better but the other problems of heart failure remain—fatigue, limited exercise capacity, cachexia, and salt depletion with a high plasma aldosterone and renin. A fully effective treatment for heart failure should restore exercise capacity and improve life expectancy as well as relieve symptoms.

Exercise capacity

With the advent of invasive haemodynamic monitoring, the effect of drug treatment on different aspects of the failing circulation became clearer. Unfortunately, most studies were performed in the catheter laboratory and the observations lasted at most a few hours. They yielded valuable information on the control of the cardiovascular system but were a poor guide to long term therapeutic responses. Haemodynamic indices such as cardiac output correlate very poorly with exercise duration and capacity in patients with heart failure. More sophisticated haemodynamic indices, even those determined during exercise testing also fail to show any significant correlation with exercise capacity.

Attempts to improve exercise capacity in heart failure must take into account normal circulatory control during exertion. Cardiac output at rest is normally about 5–6 litres of which about half goes to the splanchnic and renal beds and a quarter to skeletal muscle. Under conditions of maximal exercise there is an enormous increase in cardiac output (for example to 24 litres) primarily to skeletal muscle. In addition a rationing system is introduced which curtails the flow to inactive muscle and the splanchnic and renal beds while allowing the coronary flow to rise and the cerebral blood flow to remain virtually unchanged. Mason et al have demonstrated that the rationing system imposed on the normal circulation during exercise is active in heart failure patients at rest. The total cardiac output at rest is generally lower with more going to skeletal muscle and less to the renal and splanchnic beds. During exercise, this imbalance becomes even more pronounced.

There is one further adaptation of the circulation in heart failure. Franciosa showed that for a given workload the oxygen consumption of a group of patients with congestive cardiac failure of varying severity is virtually identical to that of a group of normal controls. At each workload, however, the cardiac index of the patients with congestive cardiac failure was lower than in the controls, indicating increased extraction of oxygen from the capillary blood. The main difference between controls and those with heart failure of different degrees of severity was the load/duration at which they had to stop.

An objective measure of maximal exercise capacity, such as the maximal oxygen uptake, is desirable for the comparison of different drugs used in the treatment of heart failure. Maximal oxygen uptake is a sensitive test for differentiating the severity of heart failure. Unfortunately in most of the protocols used for testing exercise capacity in patients with congestive cardiac failure the workload is doubled every few minutes. Such protocols may be appropriate for threshold testing in patients with angina, but they have the effect of telescoping the variation in duration of exercise at higher workloads in patients with congestive cardiac failure and of reducing the sensitivity of the method for detecting benefit. A fixed workload, if necessary one calculated...
individual for each subject, would be more appropriate.

CRITERIA FOR ACCEPTABLE STUDIES
In our review of the vast number of published reports on the drug treatment of congestive heart failure we have concentrated on studies which were double blind, included a placebo control, and assessed the effect of treatment upon exercise capacity. We have included only those studies which assessed longer term effects of drug therapy on exercise capacity, since these have been shown to differ from short term effects.66 Unfortunately we have therefore had to exclude many papers. Ideally we should have applied two further exclusion criteria—firstly that the numbers of patients studied were sufficiently large to have confidence in the significance of a negative result, that is to say that the study included a calculation of statistical power as well as significance; and secondly that the results were analysed on an intention to treat basis rather than on the basis of the patients who completed the protocol. Had we applied these additional criteria too few papers would have been left for conclusions to be drawn.

Two broad categories of drugs have been used to try to increase exercise capacity in patients with heart failure; these are vasodilators and positive inotropic agents.

VASODILATOR THERAPY
Vasodilators were introduced into the treatment of heart failure as a result of haemodynamic research and with the aim of reducing preload and afterload.6768 They have profound immediate haemodynamic effects and were hailed by many as the most important advance in treatment since digitalis and the diuretics. Despite the improved haemodynamics associated with their use, short term studies did not always show an increase in exercise capacity.69 Moreover, the effect of long term treatment on exercise capacity was neglected until the past decade.

A wide spectrum of vasodilator drugs is currently in use, the most common groups being direct acting venodilators (such as nitrates), arteriolar dilators (such as hydralazine), alpha adrenoceptor blocking drugs (for example prazosin, trimazosin), and the angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (captopril, enalapril). The beta1 receptor agonists, such as salbutamol, are now thought to be too arrhythmogenic for widespread use.

In a randomised double blind placebo controlled study of 32 patients followed up over 26 weeks, Franciosa et al found a significant improvement in exercise duration on hydralazine over the first four weeks (mean (SEM) 259(21) to 347(35) s, p < 0.01) and a further rise at 26 weeks (421(38) s, p < 0.001).70 Exercise duration, however, also increased significantly in the placebo group over the first four weeks (271(30) to 340(44) s, p < 0.02) and at 26 weeks (339(46) s, p < 0.02). There were no significant differences between the two groups at any time during the study and this evidence emphasises the need for adequate placebo controls. In a further study with hydralazine by Conradson et al, the difference between the treated and control group just reached statistical significance at six months (p < 0.05) but this advantage was lost by 12 months (p = 0.14).71 Only half of the patients who entered the study completed it; most dropped out because of worsening heart failure. If the results had been analysed on an intention to treat rather than on the treatment basis it is unlikely that there would have been a significant difference between the two groups.

Similar results were reported by Leier et al with isosorbide dinitrate in a study of 30 patients over 12 weeks.72 Both the treated and the control groups showed a small increase in their exercise duration, with the difference just reaching statistical significance at 90 days. A similar trend was seen with prazosin over six months’ treatment (mean (SD)) (541(204) to 630(100) s) compared with placebo (531(141) to 435(148) s), but the difference between the groups was not significant.73 Other studies with prazosin and trimazosin have shown a small improvement in the treated group over baseline values which was not seen in the placebo group but in most cases the difference in improvement between the groups was not significant.74–76

Whether or not the improvement on vasodilators was just significant, the disappointing fact remains that the degree of improvement so far demonstrated with vasodilators is not of sufficient magnitude to make a substantial difference to the patient’s life. It is debatable whether the improvement in most of the trials would be of any real clinical value.

The last category of drugs included, somewhat loosely, under the heading of vasodilators is the angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors. Here the results are somewhat more encouraging. Cleland et al found a highly significant increase in exercise time from 336(228) to 546(318) s (mean (SD)) (p < 0.005) in 14 patients after 12 weeks’ therapy with captopril. With placebo substitution, exercise time fell to 456(258) s (p < 0.03).77 A less pronounced improvement was seen in a similar study by Cowley et al (mean (SEM)) (726(77-4) to 894(70-2) s, p < 0.05) but they studied patients with milder heart failure over a shorter period of four weeks.78 A much larger multicentre study with 92 patients over 12 weeks showed a 24% increase in exercise tolerance (495(22) to 614(27) s) with captopril as compared...
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with a 0.4% increase with placebo (mean (SEM) (480(28) to 483(43) s; p < 0.01). Furthermore the difference between the two groups became more pronounced as the study continued, and the exercise tolerance of the treated group was still rising at 12 weeks. The largest study of an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor was an international multicentre study of enalapril in 256 patients. Again the treated group had significantly better exercise tolerance than the placebo group at 12 weeks and the difference continued to increase up to 24 weeks (p < 0.001).

Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors may be the only group of “vasodilators” which offer a real advantage for patients in heart failure. Besides their effect upon peripheral vascular resistance they also reduce aldosterone secretion and have a mild diuretic action in contrast to the salt retaining action of all other vasodilators.

INOTROPES

For almost 200 years the mainstay of treatment for congestive heart failure has been inotropic therapy with digitalis. With reservations about the dangers of increased myocardial oxygen demand, the use of drugs with a positive inotropic action to increase the low cardiac output of heart failure appears the most promising therapeutic approach. Powerful inotropes such as isoprenaline increase the resting cardiac index by but their value in the management of patients with chronic congestive cardiac failure is not established. Despite its long history, controlled trials of digitalis treatment in patients with congestive heart failure who are in sinus rhythm were not done until the value of such treatment began to be questioned about 10 years ago. Since then scepticism has grown after several studies in which either no benefit could be demonstrated or, if present, was very small. Some studies such as those by Lee et al and Arnold et al have shown clinical or haemodynamic benefit, but maximal exercise capacity was not improved in a non-randomised, double blind, placebo controlled withdrawal study of 12 patients by Fleg et al. According to the criteria we have adopted, a benefit of digitalis in patients with heart failure treated with diuretics has not been established.

Most of the more powerful inotropic agents approved for clinical use have to be given intravenously and thus cannot be used routinely in long term outpatient management of congestive heart failure. To overcome this disadvantage several orally active positive inotropes, such as the bipyridine derivatives amrinone and milrinone, have been developed and have generated intense interest. Amrinone showed some initial promise when Weber et al reported a sustained increase in maximal oxygen consumption in patients taking the drug orally for 12 weeks. But a lack of improvement seen in small studies has been confirmed by the findings of a much larger multicentre study of 173 patients. The 52 patients who showed the best response to the drug in the open phase were randomised in a double blind fashion to either continue amrinone or to receive placebo. Subsequent comparison of the two groups showed similar falls in exercise time (7%, and 10%, respectively) and no significant difference between them. The lack of long term efficacy coupled with a high incidence of adverse reactions has prompted the withdrawal of amrinone from clinical trials except as acute intravenous therapy. We await the results of trials with its congeners, milrinone.

Unexpected encouragement to continue the search for better inotropic agents has come from studies of the intravenous administration of dobutamine. Liang et al studied 15 patients with congestive cardiomyopathy who were given 72 hour infusions of dobutamine or placebo in a randomised double blind placebo controlled trial which included measurement of exercise capacity over four weeks. There was a significant difference in treadmill exercise time between the two groups which was sustained over four weeks (p < 0.05). Though it is difficult to postulate a mechanism for this long duration of action, some support for the findings comes from a previous uncontrolled study of intermittent shorter infusions given to outpatients weekly for 24 weeks. Dobutamine looks reasonably promising but we must await the outcome of larger controlled trials before the benefits and the effects of mortality and morbidity can be assessed.

Overall the effects of vasodilators and positive inotropic agents in heart failure are unimpressive when measured against the extent of the patient’s disability. The degree of benefit, however, that can be attained must ultimately be limited by the severity and reversibility of the damage to the heart muscle.

Prolongation of life

An effective treatment of heart failure ought to prolong life as well as provide symptomatic relief. It is instructive to examine the evidence that any form of treatment can improve survival.

PROGNOSIS OF HEART FAILURE

The prognosis of congestive heart failure is as grave as that of many malignant neoplasms. In the Framingham study overt evidence of congestive heart failure developed in 142 of the original cohort of 5192 over 16 years. Despite medical management the probability of dying within five years from the onset
of heart failure was 62% for men and 42% for women. Mortality rates of about 35% at one year were found in several smaller studies by Massie et al, Franciosa et al, and Unverferth et al. The cumulative mortality of medically refractory heart failure is even worse—42% at one year and 68% at two years.97

Patients with heart failure do not all die from a progressively falling cardiac output; sudden death is also important. Wilson et al, who defined a death as sudden if the patient was known to have been clinically unchanged in the previous week and stable one hour before death, reported that approximately 50% of deaths were sudden and that the rest were due to progressive heart failure.97 Other estimates of mortality attributable to sudden death vary from 11% to 60–90%.98–100

It is interesting that the Beta-blocker Heart Attack Trial showed a reduction in the relative mortality rate for patients with mechanical as well as electrical complications of acute myocardial infarction who were treated with propranolol.101 Prevention of sudden death from arrhythmias may provide a means of improving survival in patients with congestive heart failure.

No properly designed studies have been carried out to investigate the effects of vasodilators or positive inotropic agents upon mortality. Pooling the published controlled studies suggests that mortality with most drugs (for example, alpha blockade, hydralazine, isosorbide) is either unchanged or increased. The combined data from the much larger trials with the angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors captopril and enalapril are more encouraging. The effect of the angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors on mortality in heart failure should now be investigated in a large properly designed trial. Tunstall Pedoe studied changes in national mortality statistics over two periods when the bioavailability of digoxin was changed.102 There was little change in the dose of digoxin prescribed over these periods and no consistent change in mortality. This implies that the increased bioavailability of digoxin itself was not an important cause of mortality, but neither was it beneficial.

Prevention of Heart Failure
There is evidence that some deaths from heart failure may be preventable. The Medical Research Council Home Oxygen Trial showed that 19 of the 42 patients with chronic hypoxic cor pulmonale who were treated with long term oxygen therapy died in the five years of survival follow up compared with 30 of the 45 controls.103 In the Veterans Administration Study of cor pulmonale 88% of the responders to home oxygen (that is those who had a fall in their mean pulmonary arterial pressure) but only 22% of the non-responders were alive at the end of two years.104 These trials, however, involved patients taking oxygen at home for at least 15 hours a day and the quality of the life that was prolonged must have been much restricted.

There is one further area where preventive treatment seems to have led to a major reduction in mortality from cardiac failure. This is the treatment of hypertension. Several therapeutic trials in patients with severe hypertension showed that adequate control of blood pressure prevents the development of heart failure. It is noteworthy that in the Framingham study hypertension preceded the development of heart failure in 75% of cases.93 Further evidence is provided by a review of the mortality data for England and Wales from the Registrar General's annual reports under code 402 (deaths from hypertensive heart disease). While there must be some reservations about the possible alternative coding in another category of deaths from this cause, the...
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figures show a 75\% fall in mortality from hypertensive heart disease since 1958 and deaths in those with hypertensive heart disease are probably mainly caused by heart failure (see Fig.).

Future prospects

Despite the success of diuretics, it is a sobering thought that other advances in therapeutics over the last 200 years such as the discovery of penicillin and the treatment of tuberculosis would impress a physician of Withering’s era far more than our use of vasodilators and inotropes for chronic heart failure. Indeed Withering himself, a forward thinking member of the Lunar Society, would probably be dismayed to find that we are still using digitalis, a drug which he recognised to be highly toxic and of limited efficacy. Perhaps only the angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors and the modern management of hypertension would provide him with some faith in our progress in the treatment of heart failure.

The best hope for the future is probably to concentrate on preventive measures such as reduction in cigarette smoking, treatment of hypertension, myo-cardial salvage during infarction, and even the prevention of atheroma. There may also be some scope to improve active treatment by combining inotropes with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors and perhaps by combining the inotropic action of a drug like dobutamine with the antiarrhythmic action of propranolol in a molecule which is a partial beta, receptor agonist. Heart failure will have to be treated less as a global end stage disease and more as the specific outcome of diseases such as ischaemic heart disease and cardiomyopathy. Continued advances in treatment are much needed.
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