Respiratory gas exchange in the assessment of patients with impaired ventricular function
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SUMMARY Respiratory gas exchange on exercise was evaluated as a non-invasive method of assessing patients with heart failure. Twenty four men (age 28-72) with symptomatic chronic stable heart failure (New York Heart Association class II-III) and ten controls aged 36-70 were studied. During treadmill exercise oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production were measured continuously by analysis of mixed expired gas with a computerised mass spectrometer. The anaerobic threshold was defined as the oxygen consumption at which carbon dioxide production increased disproportionately in relation to oxygen consumption.

Oxygen consumption was stable at rest and increased on exercise, reaching a steady state within three minutes of any change in workload. The measurements of maximum oxygen consumption at the end of exercise and of anaerobic threshold were reproducible (retest reliability coefficients 90% and 91% respectively). There were significant differences in maximum oxygen consumption between functional classes. Similarly, there were significant differences in anaerobic threshold between classes, though there was considerable overlap.

Measurement of oxygen consumption and anaerobic threshold provides an objective non-invasive assessment of patients with heart failure.

The physiological stress of exercise has been widely used to evaluate the symptoms and cardiac function of patients with heart disease. It should be possible to assess the severity of heart disease and determine functional capacity by measuring a patient's response to exercise. Left ventricular function at rest as assessed by angiocardiography, echocardiography, or radionucleotide techniques correlates poorly with functional class. Exercise haemodynamic monitoring is invasive and is not without risk. Furthermore haemodynamic variables measured at rest or on exercise do not relate closely to exercise capacity in patients with severe heart failure.

Recently, impaired cardiac function has been assessed by measuring oxygen consumption during exercise. Patients with congestive cardiac failure have a reduced maximum aerobic exercise capacity because of inadequate transport of oxygen to working skeletal muscle. Lactic acid is generated by anaerobic metabolism in skeletal muscle. Buffering of lactic acid by bicarbonate generates carbon dioxide in excess of that resulting from oxidative metabolism. An aerobic-anaerobic threshold was first postulated over 20 years ago, and is thought to be reflected by a sharp increase in plasma lactate. Measurement of anaerobic threshold has been proposed as an objective means of assessing aerobic capacity. The clinical importance of such a measurement in cardiac patients is less certain. We used a mass spectrometer linked to a computer to analyse mixed expired air and thus determine maximum oxygen consumption and anaerobic threshold in patients with cardiac failure. We investigated the reproducibility of the test and the relation of oxygen consumption and anaerobic threshold to the severity of heart failure.

Patients and methods

We studied patients who had had stable symptomatic heart failure for more than three months with exercise intolerance due to dyspnoea, fatigue, or
aching legs. In addition patients had cardiomegaly, defined as a cardiothoracic ratio >55% on chest radiograph, and left ventricular ejection fraction ≤45% on radionuclide angiography or echocardiographic study. Twenty four men (mean age was 50 years, range 28–72) entered the study. The cause of heart failure was coronary artery disease in 15, dilated cardiomyopathy in six, hypertensive heart disease in two, and valvar regurgitation in one. Individual drug therapy was recorded. Patients with myocardial infarction during the previous six months, evidence of myocardial ischaemia or ventricular arrhythmias on exercise testing, obstructive valvar heart disease, pulmonary disease (documented by pulmonary function tests), or an inability to exercise for any reason other than dyspnoea or fatigue were excluded from the study.

Ten men (mean age 48 years, range 36–70) who were free of heart disease on the basis of history, physical examination, and 12 lead electrocardiogram were also studied. All patients and controls gave written consent to the study.

Functional class was assessed by modified criteria of the New York Heart Association: (I) No undue symptoms on strenuous exercise; (II) able to walk indefinitely without slowing pace and (a) climb two flights of stairs or (b) unable to climb two flights of stairs; (III) walk at a reduced pace on the flat (a) indefinitely at a reduced rate or (b) have to stop; (IV) symptoms at rest. Functional class was also assessed by a specific activity scale (1981). Patients were asked to fill in a standardised questionnaire on their symptoms.

**Exercise test**

All patients underwent at least three exercise tests over two to 14 days. In 10 patients four exercise tests were performed. Patients exercised three hours after a light meal, at the same time of day, and three hours after a last dose of any vasodilator therapy.

Each patient underwent progressive treadmill exercise testing which followed a heart failure protocol (stage 1, 1 mph (1.6 km/h) with 0% gradient; stage 2, 1.5 mph (2.4 km/h) with 0% gradient; stage 3, 2 mph (3.2 km/h) with 0% gradient; stage 4, 2.5 mph (4 km/h) with 0% gradient; stage 5, 2.5 mph with 2.5% gradient; stage 6, 2.5 mph with 5% gradient; stage 7, 2.5 mph with 7.5% gradient; stage 8, 2.5 mph with 10% gradient; stage 9, 2.5 mph with 12.5% gradient. Each stage lasted 6 minutes.

Patients were encouraged to exercise until they felt unable to continue. The exercise test was stopped at the patient’s request and the reason for stopping was recorded. The ten controls followed both the heart failure protocol and the Bruce protocol to determine their maximum oxygen consumption.

**Measurement of respiratory gas exchange**

Oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production and minute ventilation were determined by the method of Davies et al and as described below. Each subject wore a head support and J respiratory valve (Collins) and nose clip. Expired air was sampled from different ports on a seven litre mixing box (Airspec). 100% argon was added to the inlet port of the mixing box at a known flow rate. We used a mass spectrometer (Centronic Q806) to analyse mixed expired oxygen, nitrogen, carbon dioxide, and argon from the mixing box. The output of the spectrometer was known to be linear (<1% deviation) over the range of gas concentrations measured. The four channel output from the spectrometer was linked to a BBC B computer via the analogue to digital converter within the computer. Minute ventilation (VE) at body temperature, pressure, and saturation (BTPS) was calculated as follows:

$$VE = M_{\text{argon}} \times (100 - F_{\text{mix}} \text{Ar}) \times 0.863 + (F_{\text{mix}} \text{Ar} - F_{\text{in}} \text{Ar}) \times \text{barometric pressure (mm Hg)} - 47 \text{ l min}^{-1} \text{ BTPS}$$

where $M_{\text{argon}}$ is argon flow (ml min$^{-1}$) x barometric pressure (mm Hg) x $273 \div 760 \times (273 + \text{room temperature (°C)})$

Oxygen consumption ($\dot{V}O_2$) in millilitres per minute (STPD) was calculated as follows:

$$\dot{V}O_2 = \frac{M_{\text{argon}} (100 - F_{\text{in}} \text{Ar})}{100 (F_{\text{mix}} \text{Ar} - F_{\text{in}} \text{Ar})} \times \left[ \frac{F_{\text{mix}} \text{Ar} \times \text{O}_2 \times F_{\text{in}} \text{N}_2}{F_{\text{in}} \text{Ar} \times F_{\text{mix}} \text{N}_2} \right] - F_{\text{mix}} \text{O}_2$$

where $F_{\text{in}} \text{O}_2$ is percentage inspired oxygen (20–93%); $F_{\text{mix}} \text{O}_2$ is percentage of oxygen in mixing box; $F_{\text{mix}} \text{N}_2$ is percentage of nitrogen in mixing box; $F_{\text{in}} \text{N}_2$ is percentage of inspired nitrogen (78–90%).

Carbon dioxide production ($\dot{V}CO_2$) in millilitres per minute (STPD) was calculated as follows:

$$\dot{V}CO_2 = \frac{M_{\text{argon}} (100 - F_{\text{in}} \text{Ar})}{100 (F_{\text{mix}} \text{Ar} - F_{\text{in}} \text{Ar})} \times F_{\text{mix}} \text{CO}_2$$

where $F_{\text{mix}} \text{CO}_2 = \text{percentage carbon dioxide in mixing box}$.

Respiratory quotient (RQ) was calculated as $\dot{V}CO_2 \div \dot{V}O_2$.

Results for $\dot{V}O_2$ and anaerobic threshold were expressed per kg bodyweight unless stated otherwise.

The computer sampled mixed expired gas every
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second and averaged the results for 30 seconds. Graphs of VO₂ against time, VO₂ against VCO₂, VO₂ against ventilation rate, and VO₂ against heart rate were plotted at the end of an experiment.

To validate the measurements of VE, VO₂, and VCO₂, expired gas was collected from the exit port of the mixing box into a Douglas bag. The volume of gas collected was measured by a Parkinson-Cowan gas meter and the concentrations of mixed expired gas were analysed with the spectrometer. The calibration of the gas meter was checked with a BOC flow meter. The response time of the equipment was assessed by pumping air into the mixing box with a Rudolph syringe. Sudden changes in "ventilation" (0 to 15 litres, 15 to 20 litres, 20-30 litres, 30-40 litres, 40-50 litres, 50-60 litres) were made and the time taken to reach ≥95% of the final minute ventilation was recorded.

MEASUREMENT OF ANAEROBIC THRESHOLD

The point at which carbon dioxide production increased disproportionately in relation to oxygen consumption was determined by two methods. It was determined subjectively by three independent observers from a graph plotting VO₂ against VCO₂. A satisfactory statistical technique to determine this point is not available. The following method was chosen. Maximum oxygen consumption was measured. The respiratory quotients for individual points up to 50% maximum VO₂ (55% if maximum VO₂ < 1 litre) were averaged and two standard deviations were calculated. Subsequent points were looked at in groups of three, that is over a period of 1.5 minutes. If two out of three respiratory quotients fell outside two standard deviations of the mean RQ then the first of the two points was taken and the VO₂ at the time was recorded as the anaerobic threshold. Variation in results between observers and methods was analysed.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Results are quoted as mean and standard error. Interclass differences were assessed by one way analysis of variance and Student's t test. The reproducibility of measures of oxygen consumption and anaerobic threshold was determined by means of the retest reliability coefficient (Rtt):

\[
\text{Rtt} = \left( \frac{\text{total variance reading 1} - \text{error variance}}{\text{total variance reading 1}} \right) \times 100\%
\]

where error variance equals (reading 1 - reading 2)² / number of patients.

Results

Validation of the technique
Gas concentrations in three different samples of each gas were measured by spectrometer. The difference between actual concentration and the measured value was ≤1%.

Douglas bag collections. The argon dilution technique underestimated VE and subsequent VCO₂ and VO₂, when compared with Douglas bag measurements for minute ventilation of approximately 10, 20, and 60 litres, by 2%, 3%, and 2% respectively.

Response time to changes in minute ventilation. After sudden changes in ventilation the time taken to reach 95% of the final value was ≤1 min.

New York Heart Association (NYHA) and specific activity scale criteria of assessment. Ten patients were NYHA class II, 14 NYHA class III (four NYHA class IIIA, 10 NYHA class IIIIB). As judged by the specific activity scale assessment four were class I, six class II, 12 class III, 2 class IV.

Drug therapy
All patients were taking diuretics—mean dose of frusemide was 60 mg for patients in NYHA class II and 110 mg for those in class III. Vasodilator treatment included captopril (one patient NYHA class II, nine patients NYHA class III), nitrites (one patient NYHA class II, two patients NYHA class III); and nine patients were taking digoxin, seven of them were in sinus rhythm.

Reproducibility of oxygen consumption measurements
All patients underwent at least three exercise tests. After an initial test to familiarise patients with the treadmill maximum oxygen consumption was measured during the second and third exercise tests. The results of these two tests were compared. There was no relation between the error variance and the maximum oxygen consumption on the second exercise test (r = 0.08). Therefore the group was analysed as a whole.

The retest reliability coefficient for maximum oxygen consumption was 90-5% for heart failure patients and 89-9% for controls. The mean maximum oxygen consumption during the third exercise test was 1% greater than that during the second exercise test (not significant).

In 10 patients (three New York Heart Association class II, three class IIIA, four class IIIIB) a fourth exercise test was performed. In eight patients maximum oxygen consumption assessed in the fourth exercise test did not differ by more than 10% compared with the third exercise test but in two patients it was greater by 16% and 38%. This difference was associated with increases in exercise duration of 60% and 42%, respectively.
Reproducibility of anaerobic threshold measurements

In five patients the anaerobic threshold could not be assessed because of the scatter of respiratory quotient measurements. There was good correlation among three observers when the anaerobic threshold was assessed by direct inspection ($r=0.99$, 0.98). There was a strong correlation ($r=0.97$) between the results of direct inspection and those of objective assessment. The retest reliability coefficient for anaerobic threshold measurement for the second and third exercise tests was assessed for direct inspection and objective analyses of the measurement. The Rtt for assessment by direct inspection was 96% and for objective assessment it was 91%. In the two patients where maximum oxygen consumption differed by 16% and 38% for the third and fourth exercise tests the anaerobic threshold measurements differed by only −9% and 6% respectively.

Oxygen consumption with increasing workload

No patient reached a plateau of oxygen consumption with increasing workload (oxygen consumption increase of ≤1 ml kg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ over previous workload)$^3$ despite repeat exercise testing. After each change in workload, oxygen consumption reached a peak for that workload within three minutes (Fig. 1). At submaximal workloads oxygen consumption was similar in controls and in patients with heart failure, but it was lower in New York Heart Association class III patients who completed stage 6 compared with controls at the same treadmill state ($p<0.05$) (Fig. 2).

Maximum oxygen consumption related to functional class

There was a significant difference in maximum oxygen consumption (ml kg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$) between functional classes (Fig. 3). Maximum oxygen consumption results for the controls and patients in each New York Heart Association class were as follows: controls 40.1(3.1), class II 19.7(1.4), class III A 13.3(1.1), class III B 13.1(0.52). The following differences were tested for statistical significance: controls vs New York Heart Association class II ($p<0.0001$); II vs IIIA ($p<0.01$); and IIIA vs III B (not significant). Maximum oxygen consumption for each specific activity scale class were as follows: I, 22.0(1.9); II, 16.0(1.6); III, 14.4(0.83); IV 10.7(0.54). The following differences were tested for statistical significance: controls vs specific activity scale class I ($p<0.002$); I vs II ($p<0.02$); II vs III (not significant); and III vs IV (not significant).
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Fig. 4 Exercise duration in cardiac patients. Relation between maximum oxygen consumption (VO₂ max) and exercise duration. The change in exercise duration and maximum oxygen consumption between exercise tests 2 and 3 is shown. The dot represents result of exercise test 2 and the line represents the change between test 2 and test 3.

Maximum oxygen consumption related to exercise duration
Maximum oxygen consumption correlated with exercise duration though there was considerable variation in exercise duration between patients with similar maximum oxygen consumptions (Fig. 4).

Fig. 5 Oxygen pulse at start and end of exercise and its relation to New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class.

Although there was no significant difference in maximum oxygen consumption between exercise tests 2 and 3, exercise duration was longer in exercise test 3 (Fig. 4) (31 vs 26 minutes, p < 0.05).

Maximum oxygen consumption related to heart rate
There were significant differences in oxygen pulse (oxygen consumption divided by heart rate) at the end of exercise between controls and New York Heart Association class II patients (p < 0.002) and class II and class III patients (p < 0.03) (Fig. 5).

Anaerobic threshold related to functional class
Anaerobic thresholds (ml kg⁻¹ min⁻¹) for controls and for patients in each New York Heart Association class were as follows (Fig. 6): controls, 27.5(3.0); II, 15.7(1.2); IIIA, 11.7(0.7); IIIB, 11.3(0.4). The following differences were tested for statistical significance: controls vs New York Heart Association class II (p < 0.001); II vs IIA (p < 0.007); IIA vs IIIB (not significant). Anaerobic thresholds for patients in each specific activity scale class were as follows: I, 17.3(1.0); II, 13.0(0.7); III, 11.8(0.5); IV, 9.5(0.5). The following differences were tested for statistical significance: controls vs specific activity scale class I (p < 0.03); I vs II (p < 0.05); II vs III (not significant).

Exercise duration related to functional class
Patients exercised for a shorter time as the degree of their functional impairment increased, though there was considerable variation (Fig. 7). The exercise duration of patients in various New York Heart Association classes was as follows: II, 39.7(3.3); IIIA, 27.6(5); IIIB, 19.1(2.9). The exercise duration of patients in specific activity scale classes were as follows: I, 44.3(3.5); II, 30.2(4.8); III, 24.9(3.2); IV,
The differences between New York Heart Association classes II and IIIA (p<0.04) and specific activity scale classes I and II (p<0.03) were statistically significant.

Discussion

The severity of heart failure is usually graded according to the level of physical activity at which dyspnoea and fatigue appear. A more objective analysis is desirable in order to classify patients with heart failure and to assess the efficacy of treatment. Measurement of respiratory gas exchange may provide a non-invasive means of assessing functional capacity and cardiac reserve.

In highly motivated normal subjects oxygen consumption increases with increasing workload and then reaches a definite plateau. The plateau represents the physiological limit of oxygen consumption and is a measure of the total aerobic capacity of the individual. Weber et al noted a similar response to treadmill exercise in patients with heart failure. In the present study no such plateau was demonstrated despite patients being encouraged to continue the test until their symptoms became uncomfortable (Fig. 1). Maximum oxygen consumption was therefore symptom limited in these patients. There are two explanations for this difference between studies. First, the protocol used by Weber et al may have produced an artificial plateau effect because the increments in workload at the end of the protocol were smaller than those at the beginning. Second, oxygen distribution in the body may not have equilibrated in the final stage of exercise. In the present study it took three minutes before oxygen consumption reached a steady state after a change in workload (Fig. 1). Consequently if the exercise test continued for less than three minutes at the final workload oxygen consumption would appear to have reached a plateau. Oxygen consumption probably failed to reach a plateau in our patients because they stopped exercising when symptoms began rather than when oxygen consumption reached its limit.

Maximum oxygen consumption was related to functional class as assessed by the New York Heart Association and specific activity scale criteria and there were statistically significant differences between some classes (Fig. 3). In other studies there was no significant difference in oxygen consumption between New York Heart Association classes II and III. The definition of New York Heart Association class II that we used was not the same as the original definition that was used by Weber et al and Franciosa and this probably accounts for the differences between studies.

In most individuals there was little change in maximum oxygen consumption when exercise testing was repeated over several days. Although maximum oxygen consumption in tests 2 and 3 was not significantly different, exercise duration and hence measured workload was significantly greater in test 3 because patients had become accustomed to walking on the treadmill. This result demonstrates that a change in treadmill performance is not a reliable indicator of a change in cardiorespiratory reserve.

Oxygen consumption generally was similar in controls and in patients with heart failure, but it was lower in New York Heart Association class III patients who completed stage 6 than in controls at the same treadmill stage (Fig. 2). This finding is consistent with other studies. Cowley et al, however, reported large differences in oxygen consumption between controls (50% higher) and patients with heart failure who exercised at a workload comparable to stage I of our protocol. The difference occurred at the first stage of exercise and remained almost constant despite increasing exercise loads. A possible explanation is that their controls had not practiced on the treadmill and that anxiety and poor treadmill walking technique affected measured oxygen consumption. These factors may also explain the scatter of results for exercise duration and oxygen consumption noted in our study (Fig. 4).

If measurement of maximum oxygen consumption is to be useful in the assessment of patients with heart failure it must reflect cardiac status. Bias due to motivation of the patient is inherent in the measurement of maximum oxygen consumption. Measurement of anaerobic threshold may be a more objective method of assessing functional capacity.
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Respiratory gas exchange analysis has been used to identify the aerobic/anaerobic threshold in patients with heart failure. The threshold is reached when there is a disproportionate rise in carbon dioxide production relative to oxygen consumption. This point occurs at approximately 60% of maximum oxygen consumption and before exercise is ended by the patient. The physiological importance of the anaerobic threshold is uncertain but the threshold is associated with a rise in plasma lactate and will occur when the rate of lactate production exceeds the rate of its metabolism. Plasma lactate increases during anaerobic metabolism as a consequence of an inadequate oxygen supply to active tissue and lactate is metabolised mainly in the liver. In heart failure lactate metabolism may be reduced because of impaired hepatic blood flow and hepatic congestion. In rats training increased the anaerobic threshold not by reducing lactate production but by increasing lactate clearance. Thus, the lower anaerobic threshold observed in patients with severe heart failure may reflect both diminished blood flow to exercising muscle and reduced lactate clearance.

In the present study the anaerobic threshold could easily be determined by respiratory gas measurement in most patients, but in 21% of patients the scatter of respiratory quotient measurements prevented assessment by this method. Irregular breathing patterns resulted in transient fluctuations in expired carbon dioxide levels. This effect was seen in most patients at the start of exercise but persisted in some patients throughout the test. To minimise this artefact respiratory gas measurements made during the first minute of exercise were excluded from the assessment of the anaerobic threshold. In individual patients, repeated exercise testing reduced the scatter in the respiratory quotients measurements suggesting that anxiety was partly responsible. The effect was most pronounced in patients with the poorest exercise tolerance.

As expected the anaerobic threshold was lowest in the patients with more severe heart failure, though there was considerable overlap between functional classes (Fig. 6) as was also reported by Matsumura et al.

Any change in functional class in an individual patient would be expected to be accompanied by a change in anaerobic threshold. When maximum oxygen consumption alters without a change in the anaerobic threshold a change in cardiac status is questionable. In two patients maximum oxygen consumption increased by 16% and 39% in successive exercise tests yet the anaerobic threshold changed by -6% and +9% respectively and this suggests that a change in functional class had not occurred, but rather that patient motivation had altered between the two tests.

In conclusion, maximum oxygen consumption and anaerobic threshold can be reliably measured in patients with impaired ventricular function. In most patients measurement of maximum oxygen consumption is reproducible but since it is partly determined by patient motivation it may change in the absence of a change in cardiac function. Measurement of maximum oxygen consumption alone can be misleading, but together with knowledge of the anaerobic threshold and exercise duration it provides an objective and accurate means of classifying and following patients with heart failure.
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