Efficacy of an implanted automatic defibrillator which had induced atrial fibrillation
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SUMMARY A 54 year old man with refractory life threatening ventricular tachycardia was given an automatic defibrillator. The initial system was a transvenous defibrillator coil electrode and this was later modified by implantation of two patch electrodes at thoracotomy.

The modified system successfully controlled ventricular tachycardia. On one occasion reversion of ventricular tachycardia by the defibrillator precipitated atrial fibrillation, a previously unreported side effect.

Internal defibrillators have been introduced to treat refractory and repeated ventricular tachycardia or fibrillation. We describe a patient in whom cardiac arrest was caused by ventricular fibrillation. A defibrillator was implanted four years after myocardial infarction.

Case report

In 1979, when he was 50, this man had had an interposterior myocardial infarction which was complicated with late ventricular tachycardia and cerebral embolism. Treatment with disopyramide was started and this was later changed to amiodarone (400 mg/day) on the basis of Holter tape recordings which consistently showed frequent ventricular extrasystoles and couplets. He did not have angina pectoris or signs of left ventricular heart failure.

On 17 September 1983 he suddenly collapsed and cardiopulmonary resuscitation was carried out by bystanders. When the rescue team arrived ventricular fibrillation was detected and defibrillation was performed with 300 J.

At examination blood pressure was 160/110 mm Hg. A pansystolic murmur of grade II/VI intensity was recorded in the fourth intercostal space at the left sternal border. This had been present before the cardiac arrest. An electrocardiogram showed prominent depression of the J point with a horizontal ST segment in the precordial leads. The electrocardiogram subsequently showed sinus rhythm of 72 beats per minute with an axis in the frontal plane of −10°, QRS width of 0·10 s, Q wave in lead III, and QR duration of 0·38 s.

Serum electrolytes and creatinine and blood glucose concentrations were within the normal range. Serum aspartate aminotransferase activity was 113 IU/l (normal 11–33 IU/l), lactic dehydrogenase was 425 IU/l (normal 200–400 IU/l), and creatine kinase was 51 IU/l (normal 0–150 IU/l) immediately after admission. Serum creatine kinase concentration reached a peak (268 IU/l) eight hours after admission but the MB fraction remained below 9 IU/l. A chest x ray film showed cardiomegaly with bilateral pulmonary venous congestion.

A coronary angiogram showed a proximal occlusion of the right coronary artery. An occlusion of the middle part of the circumflex artery was bridged by an extensive collateral circulation and there was a mild stenosis of the first diagonal artery of the left anterior descending artery. The inferior wall was akinetic. A left ventricular angiogram did not show any septal defect or mitral regurgitation. Ejection fraction, as measured with radionuclides was 42%. Four weeks later a basic electrophysiological study was performed. Stimuli were delivered by a Jansen stimulator at a constant current of <1 mA with pulse width of 2 ms. Stimulation was applied above the right ventricular apex. Sustained hypotensive ventricular tachycardia with a cycle length of 240 ms was produced when double stimuli were applied to the heart in sinus rhythm. Rhythm was easily reproduced with double stimuli on a ventricular driven rhythm of 110 beats per minute.

In the subsequent serial drug testing procedure, intravenous procaainamide on its own (16 μg/ml and 6·5 μg/ml) was ineffective in preventing stimulated
tachycardia and it was still possible to induce ventricular tachycardia or fibrillation with the original stimulation protocol when the patient was on beta blockers or tocainide. After three weeks loading with oral amiodarone, ventricular fibrillation could still be easily induced by double stimuli on a paced rhythm of 90 beats per minute, and this situation was unchanged when amiodarone was given in combination with oral mexiletine. When amiodarone was given in combination with procainamide induction of fibrillation was slightly more difficult and double stimuli given on a basic rhythm of 110 beats per minute produced a hypotensive ventricular tachycardia of 150 beats per minute. Hence, the patient was started on oral procainamide (1·5 g three times a day) in combination with amiodarone (600 mg). This regimen was not considered to be effective because Holter tracings showed multiform ventricular premature beats and the patient had gastrointestinal symptoms and a productive cough.

On 11 April 1984 an automatic implantable defibrillator (AID-B®) (Intec Systems, Pittsburgh, USA) was implanted under general anaesthesia. A bipolar electrode was passed through the left cephalic vein and placed in the right ventricular apex. The amplitude of the R wave was 12 mV. The Seldinger technique was used to place a classic defibrillator coil in the superior caval vein and a subxiphoid incision

Fig. 1 Efficacy of defibrillator during monomorphic ventricular tachycardia. At implantation monomorphic tachycardia (cycle length 340 ms) was interrupted by a 5 J shock between a coil in the superior caval vein and a patch in the pericardial space (SCV-P). Ventricular fibrillation, however, was not terminated by 35 J shock. RV, bipolar electrode in right ventricle. Paper speed was 25 mm/s.
was terminated by the first shock. Termination of a recurrence required two internal shocks.

The patient was discharged in excellent condition, without antiarrhythmic drugs. Because we feared that the threshold of the defibrillator for ventricular fibrillation might be too high, a control electrophysiological study was performed on 25 May. Ventricular monomorphous tachycardia with cycle length of 330 ms was induced by double stimuli on a basic paced rhythm of 110 beats per minute. It was instantaneously detected and corrected by the first shock.

When a second episode of ventricular tachycardia terminated spontaneously a shock was given 290 ms after the P wave, and this produced long lasting atrial fibrillation and a ventricular rate of 100 beats per minute (Fig. 2). Ventricular fibrillation was produced by application of alternating current (50 Hz) for 2 s and the first shock of 25·5 J converted both rhythms to sinus rhythm. The patient was discharged after 24 hours. He is back at work.

Discussion

Ventricular fibrillation causes most sudden deaths from heart disease. The speed with which ventricular fibrillation can be terminated by defibrillation seems to be an important factor in determining the success of cardiopulmonary resuscitation, and an automatic implantable device that detects and corrects ventricular fibrillation immediately will be of considerable benefit in high risk patients. Such a device has been developed and implanted in more than 250 patients.

The clinical results are good, with a 25% decline in mortality at one year. These results were obtained in patients with older units and before the use of two patches or larger patches had been considered. The 12 month survival rate in patients fitted with defibrillators is reported to be as high as 97·2% from ventricular tachycardia or fibrillation; it is 83·4% from all types of cardiovascular disease. These results show that implantable defibrillators are better than other forms of treatment for refractory ventricular rhythm disturbances.

Much research has been done on the ideal positioning and size of the paddles and on the amount and character of the energy delivered to the myocardium. These studies led to the concept of a defibrillation threshold—that is the application of an appropriate amount of energy to the myocardium for a critical time. The sensing and defibrillation thresholds must be adjusted during implantation of the device. This influences the surgical technique and is relevant to previous and intended operations.

If no other cardiovascular surgery is performed at the time of implantation, it is possible to insert the apical electrode through a small subxiphoid incision, as was our intention. This case report, however, confirms that some patients may show a high defibrillation threshold during the operation, or may even go into ventricular fibrillation when defibrillatory shocks are given. The conversion rate with the original spring patch defibrillator for mono-

Fig. 2  Electrophysiological study after implantation of defibrillator. The induced regular ventricular tachycardia terminated spontaneously but was sensed (arrow at point of detection) by the defibrillator before sinus rhythm returned. An internal shock given 290 ms after the P wave resulted in atrial fibrillation, as shown by the deviation of the atrioventricular junction (AVJ) electrocardiogram. Lower tracing shows aortic pressure (mm Hg). Paper speed was 25 mm/s.
morphous ventricular tachycardia is 77–86%, but the conversion rate is higher for polymorphous ventricular tachycardia and fibrillation when the size of the shock delivered to the myocardium is increased. About 10% of patients require two patches to produce a sufficiently low energy threshold. In these cases access through a subxiphoid incision is not adequate. Treatment of our patient with amiodarone may explain his high defibrillation threshold at operation.9

Acceleration of ventricular tachycardia with occurrence of fibrillation is a potential risk of all cardioversion systems, but this effect is less frequent with these devices than with burst pacing.10 Our case report shows that currently available defibrillators are capable of delivering a rescue shock because they can generate up to three more shocks after the initial shock.3

Control studies should be performed after implantation when it has not been possible to establish the efficacy or the correct sensing of clinical or induced arrhythmias during the implantation of the defibrillator, or when doubts about efficacy remain. We proposed a control study to our patient because cardioversion of ventricular fibrillation during implantation required three shocks, and two consecutive shocks were needed to convert clinical ventricular tachycardia to sinus rhythm. He might have required a high energy device. During the electrophysiological study correct sensing of both ventricular tachycardia and ventricular fibrillation were proved while the patient was under short general anaesthesia. We also found that when the device's capacitors were charged and the arrhythmia stopped spontaneously a shock was given when sinus rhythm returned. Synchronous shocks given during sinus tachycardia, supraventricular tachycardia, or atrial fibrillation are not known to have caused ventricular tachycardia or fibrillation.3 In our patient such a shock caused atrial fibrillation. It is clear, however, that because of synchronisation to ventricular rhythm during tachycardia the shock will often be dissociated from the atrial activity so that short lasting atrial arrhythmias may occur.3 The device has not been developed to treat atrial flutter or fibrillation, but these rhythm disturbances may trigger the defibrillator, and there is reversion to sinus rhythm in 50% of such episodes.11
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