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Sir,

Dancy (1985;55:155–61) concludes that formulas for predicting left ventricular pressure from echocardiographic recordings are inaccurate. This may well be so but the evidence of this study is not sufficient to prove this point. Quite apart from the fact that analysis by the use of correlation coefficients is inappropriate for the comparison of one technique with another1 and that catheter data are not without error,2 there is the overriding consideration that the echocardiographic and catheter measurements of left ventricular pressure were made at different times. It is likely that left ventricular pressure was different at echocardiography than at catheterisation. Just how much the pressure differed we cannot know but it may be that minute to minute and day to day variation is least in those with the most severe aortic stenosis because in such cases the ventricles may of necessity be generating very high pressures almost constantly (until they fail). It would be interesting to know whether in Dancy’s series there was better agreement in those with the highest left ventricular pressures.

D Wainwright Evans,
Papworth Hospital,
Papworth Everard,
Cambridge CB3 8RE.

M V J Raj,
Good Hope Hospital,
Rectory Road,
Sutton Coldfield.
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These letters were shown to Dr Dancy, who replies as follows:

Sir,

I thank Dr Bennett as well as Dr Wainwright Evans and Dr Raj for their interest in my paper and welcome the opportunity to answer their points.

One of the objects of the paper was to examine the proposal that relative wall thickness is a valuable method for assessing pure aortic stenosis, and I think the results speak for themselves: relative wall thickness gave significant correlations in only 25% of patients; the confidence intervals for the prediction of left ventricular pressure were not as close as those provided by the simple measurement of left ventricular wall thickness; they were not close enough to make clinical decisions in doubtful cases, and certainly not good enough to reduce the need for cardiac catheterisation.

I share the reservations of Wainwright Evans and Raj about the use of correlation coefficients for the comparison of two techniques, though Bennett does not appear to agree, and confuses correlation with predictive value. A highly significant correlation is not necessarily reliable when one variable is predicted from the other. For this reason I plotted confidence intervals. Panel (a) of the figure in my paper shows that even with a correlation coefficient of 0.75, the 95% confidence intervals for prediction of left ventricular pressure from left ventricular wall thickness cover a range of 100 mmHg.

Cardiac catheterisation was carried out within eight weeks of echocardiography in all patients in my study. I accept that some errors will have been introduced because the studies were not simultaneous and that cardiac catheterisation data are not faultless. These errors, however, will have affected the relation between left ventricular pressure and absolute wall thickness to the same extent as they affected the relation between left ventricular pressure and relative wall thickness. Because absolute wall thickness appeared the better predictor I advocate this simple measurement rather than the more complex less accurate relative wall thickness.

Bennett suggests that relative wall thickness is a better predictor of aortic valve gradient in patients with additional aortic regurgitation or hypertension, but my paper did not examine this point. Were it to be true, it would be logical to restrict the use of the relative wall thickness formulas to such patients—neither hypertension nor important aortic regurgitation are difficult to diagnose clinically.

Mark Dancy,
Department of Cardiology,
St George’s Hospital,
London SW17 0QT.
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