By 1965 the possibility of preventing deaths from arrhythmia among those stricken with coronary attacks was already well established. The realisation that most of these deaths occur shortly after onset and therefore outside hospital led to the recognition that hospital coronary care units were of limited value because most patients who reached them were already either convalescent or moribund. Professor JF Pantridge (then Dr) and I therefore decided to arrange that trained staff with equipment should reach the coronary victim as soon as possible after the onset of the attack. A mobile coronary care unit was introduced in Belfast on 1 January 1966.1 At that time the components had to be assembled to make a defibrillation system available.

We soon demonstrated that resuscitation from ventricular fibrillation could be achieved in the pre-hospital setting.2,3 The system was successful in reaching the majority of patients early and this reduced the disparity in time and between the need for coronary care facilities and their availability. Furthermore, deaths during transport to hospital were virtually eliminated among patients receiving prehospital care.

During the subsequent years prehospital coronary care systems were established in many parts of the world.4 Some of these units were staffed by physicians but, particularly in the United States, the tendency grew for staffing to be provided by paramedical workers, technicians, or a tiered combination of both. In Britain a unit staffed by specially trained ambulance men was set up in Brighton in 1971.5 Against the background of these developments it is useful, after two decades, to survey the achievements of prehospital systems and to consider why the principle has been accepted with enthusiasm in some countries and not in others.

As experience of the acute phase of infarction in Belfast increased it became apparent that early management had beneficial effects extending far beyond the prompt relief of pain and the correction of ventricular fibrillation at the place of onset or during transit. Some life threatening arrhythmias may have been prevented by the use of antiarrhythmic drugs and many episodes of ventricular fibrillation were corrected in hospital before the patients would normally have come under intensive care. Of perhaps even greater importance were the manifestations of autonomic disturbance that were commonly present during the early hours of infarction and which could undoubtedly trigger ventricular fibrillation.6–8 Among patients seen within 30 minutes, one half had evidence of vagal overactivity (almost half of these had systolic blood pressure of ≤80 mm Hg) and a third had evidence of inappropriate sympathetic discharge. Combined autonomic disturbance was not uncommon.

Correction of the acute disturbances conferred unexpected benefits. Among patients aged 70 or less seen and treated within the first hour, hospital morbidity was only 9% and cardiogenic shock was rare. Pantridge's hypothesis, in 1970, that early treatment by permitting the correction of adverse abnormalities of heart rate and blood pressure might prevent unnecessary extension of the infarct9 led to much interest in the limitation of infarct size, an interest which continues.

Mechanisms of the beneficial effect of early care

Insufficient attention has been paid to the two distinct mechanisms by which early prehospital care can reduce morbidity and mortality. Although the skills of trained ambulance men and paramedical workers should not be underestimated, the unit manned by non-physicians seems to have more to contribute to resuscitation than to the correction of disturbances of rate, rhythm, and blood pressure, a
process which often requires titration with atropine, β blocking drugs, or antiarrhythmic agents to avoid the vicious cycle of inexorable extension of the infarct and increasing pump failure.

RESUSCITATION
The lessons learned in the hospital coronary care unit have been echoed by development of facilities for out of hospital correction of ventricular fibrillation, notably in Seattle, where a prehospital system was started in 1970. Emergency medical technicians (firemen), who are widely dispersed in the community, take an average of three minutes to reach a patient. They provide basic life support until paramedical workers arrive a few minutes later.

The baseline survival rate for this approach was about 20%. With the addition of refinements salvage was greatly increased. Immediate cardiopulmonary resuscitation by trained bystander members of the public doubled the percentage of survivors; when the delay in defibrillation was also short, 50% survival was achieved. Similar results were obtained when subsequently the first responders were able to defibrillate without waiting for arrival of the paramedical workers. The potential survival rate under ideal conditions in which a defibrillator is available to the person activating the emergency system is estimated to be as high as 70%. Neurological recovery and long term health status were generally better when resuscitation and defibrillation had not been delayed.

The benefits vary according to local circumstances. Nevertheless, the advantages of streamlining cardiopulmonary resuscitation, definitive care, or both have been confirmed in other parts of the United States and in Britain and Sweden.

LIMITATION OF INFARCT SIZE
Units manned by physicians excel at the prehospital stabilisation of patients with suspected acute infarction. Economic considerations dictate that these units cannot be so numerous or so widely distributed as those staffed by paramedical workers; nevertheless, many patients with ventricular fibrillation have been resuscitated by such units. Equally important has been the confirmation elsewhere of the Belfast finding that the outlook of patients treated early is better than that of those seen later after onset. In addition, community mortality among persons below age 70 fell when prehospital care was introduced in Charlottesville, Virginia, and not all of the observed reduction could be ascribed to resuscitation.

Resuscitation results in a readily identifiable salvage but the specific effects of the early treatment of infarction in preventing life threatening arrhythmia and extension of myocardial necrosis are less easily quantified. Recent evidence supports the view that these effects are substantial. Community mortality from coronary attacks was surveyed in two comparable areas of Northern Ireland. One area had prehospital coronary care and the other had a hospital coronary care unit only. Mortality in the area with a mobile unit was significantly less than that in the other area and the difference was conspicuous in the younger age groups. Among persons aged <65 community mortality was 38% lower, and this difference was not attributable to prehospital resuscitation.

THE NOTTINGHAM STUDIES
Earlier attempts at the evaluation of the possible impact of prehospital care in Nottingham yielded disappointing results, and undoubtedly these, together with the result of the Bristol study of home versus hospital treatment of myocardial infarction, delayed the recognition of the value of prehospital care in Britain. The absence of an appreciable reduction in mortality in Nottingham was ascribed to difficulties in arranging for the unit to reach many patients while it was possible to alter the course of their coronary attacks. The Nottingham workers largely based their criticism of the relevance of the Belfast data on the finding that surviving patients seen by the Nottingham mobile unit belonged to a low risk group. They apparently considered that the low mortality among patients managed during the prehospital phase elsewhere was the result of similar selection of low risk patients. But they overlooked the fact that the relevant evidence supporting the value of prehospital care was derived from a comparison of the outcome for patients seen and treated early with that for patients first seen after a longer delay.

The studies performed by the Nottingham workers gave an invaluable insight into the difficulties inherent in initiating an effective prehospital service. It is unfortunate that their results began to appear immediately after the publication in 1975 of the recommendations of the Joint Working Party of the Royal College of Physicians and the British Cardiac Society on the care of the patient with coronary heart disease. The Department of Health and Social Security did not, as recommended in the working party report, encourage the development of mobile coronary care but rather placed an embargo on the extension of schemes of advanced training for ambulance men. Had the recommendations of the working party on the further development of prehospital care (the exact means depending on local conditions and requirements), on the instruction of
the public in resuscitation, and on the importance and means of summoning help quickly has been received by the Department of Health and Social Security with the enthusiasm and flexibility of approach envisaged in their report, there can now be no doubt that very many lives would have been saved during the intervening decade.

The portable defibrillator

The “portable” defibrillation system carried in the first mobile unit in Belfast weighed 50 kg. Clearly the wide proliferation of prehospital schemes would have been impossible but for the advent of more easily portable machines. In 1974 a miniature defibrillator weighing only 3.2 kg appeared.33 The waveform of 12 ms duration delivered by this machine had been found by Peleska to be optimal for defibrillation of laboratory animals.34

A signpost to possible further miniaturisation was the observation by the Belfast workers that a stored energy of only 200 J is sufficient to defibrillate the hearts of 95% of patients.35 The efficacy of low energy levels was confirmed by others.36 37 Repeated shocks of 400 J stored energy cause myocardial damage in animals.38 Shocks of this magnitude, which are usually not necessary clinically, may cause functional disturbance in man.37

Because the ease with which delay of defibrillation in the community may be avoided will be inversely proportional to the size, weight, and cost of defibrillators, the availability of a low energy machine could contribute to the survival of many more patients. Because of their additional bulk and uncertainty about the adequacy of rhythm interpretation, combined defibrillator/oscilloscopes will be of limited value for use by first responders. The long awaited miniaturised automatic external defibrillator is already undergoing clinical evaluation.39 40 If minimally trained technicians or family members of those at risk could use these machines, the delay inherent in awaiting the arrival of more skilled help would be avoided. Domiciliary defibrillation facilities must increase because many of the survivors of prehospital cardiac arrest will be at considerable risk of recurrence.

The future of prehospital coronary care

Fortunately, the Department of Health and Social Security has decided to encourage the development of prehospital care through the extended training of ambulance men. In addition, a unique programme of instruction of the general public in basic life support via the media, known as the “Save a Life Campaign,” is just beginning under the auspices of the Royal Society of Medicine. These developments when fully implemented will undoubtedly prevent many deaths from arrhythmia after acute coronary attacks. Even in Belfast, which is served by two mobile units, in a one year period 128 sudden and premature prehospital deaths were identified. Many might have been prevented by immediate appropriate action by someone present at the time.41 An estimate based mainly on data from Auckland indicates that prehospital resuscitation appears to have made the greatest single contribution (40%), attributable to medical measures, to the reduction in coronary mortality yet the incidence of sudden death there has fallen by only 16%.42

The energetic application of public education programmes in cardiopulmonary resuscitation of the type already devised in Britain and the United States44 is required. Such education should be especially aimed at those most likely to witness a cardiac arrest, such as families of middle aged men and of others known to be at special risk. Because even moderately efficient resuscitation may suffice during the first few minutes before the arrival of advanced life support, it may be rewarding to devise and teach a simplified technique for use by the “occasional bystander”.

Will a nationwide prehospital system based mainly on the emergency ambulance service, as envisaged by the Department of Health and Social Security, be sufficient to meet present and future needs? Can ambulance men give drugs to limit infarct size? As emphasis is increasingly placed on the prevention rather than the limitation of infarction, the need for the administration of thrombolytic agents will increase.46 Perhaps hospitals could give guidance on the telephone in such circumstances. For the present, however, these needs can best be met in Britain by using doctors on the teams. In the best prehospital system an ultrarapid emergency ambulance tier (several vehicles) would be supported by a hospital tier (one or two teams) resembling the prehospital scheme started in Charlottesville in 1971.47 Such a system will shortly come into operation in Ballymena as an extension of a well established medically manned mobile unit (C Wilson, personal communication).

Comprehensive coverage of city and rural areas will require considerable ingenuity and it is here that organisations such as the British Association for Immediate Care and the Association of Emergency Medical Technicians will play an increasingly important coordinating role. In rural areas the general practitioner can often reach the stricken individual first. Defibrillation by general practitioners has been shown to be highly rewarding.47 Delineation of the responsibilities of the family doctors and of the
emergency ambulance service in each area could result in worthwhile economies.

The proficiency of hospital doctors in basic and advanced life support must keep pace with the progress being made by citizens and paramedical workers. When the cardiopulmonary resuscitation skills of junior medical hospital staff were tested in some hospitals in Britain and the United States the results were disappointing.48–50 Medical schools and physicians in related specialties must ensure that public education and training are paralleled by adequate teaching of the necessary skills at undergraduate and postgraduate levels.
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Notices

British Cardiac Society

The Autumn Meeting will be held at the Wembley Conference Centre, London, on 24 to 26 November 1987, and the closing date for receipt of abstracts will be 10 July 1987.

The Annual General Meeting for 1988 will take place in Belfast on 23 and 24 March 1988, and the closing date for receipt of abstracts will be 4 January 1988.

Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand

The 35th Annual Scientific Meeting of the Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand will be held in Melbourne on 2 to 5 August 1987. Inquiries to: Mr K J Wickham, PO Box 235, North Balwyn 3104, Australia.

Health education

The XIII World Conference on Health Education will be held in Houston on 28 August to 2 September 1988. For further information write to the US Host Committee, PO Box 20186, Suite 902, Houston, Texas 77225, USA.

Correction

Editorial: Twenty years of prehospital coronary care J S Geddes—we apologise for an error in the December 1986 issue (volume 56: page 491, right hand column, second paragraph, lines 3 and 4) where “hospital morbidity was only 9%” should read “hospital mortality was only 9%”.

Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand