Assessment of the severity of mitral regurgitation from the dynamics of retrograde flow
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SUMMARY Sixty four consecutive patients with isolated mitral regurgitation referred for Doppler echocardiography were divided into three groups: group 1, 20 patients with severe mitral regurgitation that required operation; group 2, 22 patients with severe left ventricular dysfunction and secondary mitral regurgitation; and group 3, 22 patients with mild to moderate mitral regurgitation that did not require valve operation. M mode and continuous wave Doppler traces with a simultaneous electrocardiogram and phonocardiogram were analysed to identify time intervals that could be used to distinguish patients who needed valve operation from those who did not. An interval of < 55 ms between the aortic component of the second heart sound (A2) and the cessation of mitral retrograde flow was a powerful predictor that the patient required operation (sensitivity 100% and specificity 86%). The mean (SD) value of this variable in group 1 (40 (15) ms) was significantly lower than in group 2 (90 (35) ms) and group 3 (75 (20) ms). Mean isovolumic relaxation time was less than normal in group 1 but did not differ significantly between groups. Deceleration of regurgitant velocity at end ejection was greater in group 1. The pressure drop from the left ventricle to the left atrium at A2 of < 50% of the peak gradient also identified patients who needed valve operation (sensitivity 75% and specificity 68%).

These findings may help to identify patients who require operation. They suggest that there are significant differences in the dynamics of flow velocities in patients with mitral regurgitation, possibly related to the relative resistances to retrograde and anterograde flow.

The severity of mitral regurgitation is an important factor in determining the need for valve operation. There are technical limitations with all current methods of quantification including contrast ventriculography, which has generally been regarded as the reference standard.\textsuperscript{1-4} Doppler echocardiography, though widely used to detect mitral regurgitation,\textsuperscript{5} is less well established as a method of determining its severity. Pulsed Doppler has been used to map the extent of regurgitation into the left atrium.\textsuperscript{6,7} This procedure is time consuming and may be misleading, particularly when an eccentric jet caused by mitral valve prolapse extends far back into the left atrium without severe regurgitation. Similarly, determination of regurgitant fraction with pulsed Doppler also has limitations because it is necessary to estimate the mitral valve area and flow profile or because there is additional valve regurgitation at the aortic or tricuspid valve.\textsuperscript{8,9}

The present study arose out of an examination of the relation between events documented by M mode and continuous wave Doppler tracings of patients with mitral regurgitation. Particular attention was paid to the duration of mitral regurgitant flow after end ejection and to the contour of the terminal portion of the Doppler profile. The aim was to identify time intervals that might distinguish patients who required valve operation from those who did not.

Patients and methods

Patients All patients with mitral regurgitation referred for Doppler echocardiography between January 1986 and January 1987 were studied retrospectively. We excluded patients with other important valve disease. We did not need to exclude any patients because we were unable to obtain Doppler echocardiographic measurements. The standard of comparison that we used was that of "outcome", namely whether or not
Assessment of the severity of mitral regurgitation from the dynamics of retrograde flow

mitral valve operation was advised. The clinical criteria for valve operation included: (a) limitation of exercise tolerance; (b) physical signs compatible with severe mitral regurgitation, including a third heart sound; (c) radiological evidence of cardiac enlargement and pulmonary congestion; (d) echocardiographic evidence of increased left ventricular cavity size and stroke volume along with anatomical abnormalities of the mitral valve.

The 64 patients studied belonged to three groups. Group 1 comprised those patients with severe mitral regurgitation and who required operation. Group 2 consisted of patients with mitral regurgitation secondary to severe left ventricular disease, and group 3 consisted of patients with mild or moderate primary mitral regurgitation in whom operation was not advised. There were 20 patients (11 men, nine women, mean (SD) age 60 (17)) in group 1. The cause of mitral regurgitation was flail chordae tendineae in nine (45%), mitral valve prolapse in six (30%), rheumatic disease in two (10%), papillary muscle infarction in two (10%), and endocarditis in one (5%). Mitral valve repair was performed in 10 and mitral valve replacement in eight. Two patients are awaiting operation. In all cases the findings at operation were those of severe mitral regurgitation. Group 2 comprised 22 patients (16 men, six women, mean (SD) age 64 (14–8)). Eleven had ischaemic left ventricular disease with papillary muscle dysfunction and 11 had dilated cardiomyopathy. The remaining 22 patients (18 men, four women, mean age 60 (17)) comprised group 3. Ten (45%) had mitral valve prolapse and three of these developed endocarditis; four (18%) had papillary muscle dysfunction; three (14%) had rheumatic mitral regurgitation; one (4.5%) had flail chordae tendineae; one (4.5%) had annular calcification, and there was no clear cause in three (14%).

Echocardiography

M mode and cross sectional echocardiograms were obtained with an Advanced Technical Laboratory 860 C Imager equipped with a 3-5 MHz mechanical transducer. M mode echocardiograms were recorded on a Honeywell (Echoline 22) strip chart recorder at a paper speed of 100 mm/second. The system was linked to a Doppler Spectrascan, and continuous wave Doppler signals were similarly recorded at 100 mm/second paper speed, with a 2-0 MHz transducer, at a range of frequency shift of 16 kHz. Recordings were made from the apex with the patient in the left lateral decubitus position. The delay in Doppler signal because of spectral analysis was <5 ms. A phonocardiogram at the second right interspace and an electrocardiogram were recorded simultaneously with both M mode and Doppler recordings (figs 1 and 2). We used calipers to measure the following, as the mean value of three beats in patients in sinus rhythm and 5–10 beats in those in atrial fibrillation: (a) R–R interval and heart rate.

(b) End diastolic and end systolic dimensions measured synchronously with onset of Q wave and A2, respectively. Fractional shortening was derived as systolic reduction in dimension divided by end diastolic dimension.

(c) Left ventricular filling time—the interval between initial separation and final closure of the mitral leaflets at the beginning and end of diastole.

(d) Q–A2 interval (electromechanical systole), taken from the onset of the Q wave to the first high frequency deflection on the phonocardiogram. The identity of A2 was checked by confirming that it was synchronous with aortic closure on the aortic echogram.

(e) Isovolumic relaxation time, the interval A2 to mitral valve opening. Records were obtained at the level of the tips of the mitral leaflets to display initial cusp separation.

(f) Interval A2 to the end of mitral systolic flow.

(g) Relative gradient at A2, measured as the left ventricular to left atrial pressure difference at A2, expressed as a percentage of the peak left ventricular to left atrial pressure difference.

(h) Duration of retrograde mitral systolic flow measured from the continuous wave Doppler trace. Interobserver variability was small (<10 ms). Beat to beat changes in end systolic and early diastolic time intervals in patients with atrial fibrillation were also small, and within the 10 ms interval to which all measurements were rounded off.

Angiographic Examination

Angiography was only performed in patients in whom there was doubt about the severity of mitral regurgitation after clinical assessment and non-invasive examination, or when concomitant coronary artery disease was suspected. In these patients right heart catheterisation was performed in addition to left ventricular cineangiography in the 30° right anterior oblique projection. The angiographic severity of mitral regurgitation was classified as follows: 1+, contrast cleared the left atrium with each cardiac cycle; 2+, contrast partially cleared the left atrium with each cardiac cycle; 3+, contrast progressively opacified the left atrium so that it equalled the opacification of the left ventricle and 4+, contrast rapidly opacified the left atrium within 1 to 2 cycles and opacification became greater than in the left ventricle and extended to the pulmonary veins.
Table 1 summarises the clinical features. There was no significant difference between the three groups in age or heart rate, or between groups 1 and 2 in clinical or radiological manifestations of clinical severity or pulmonary congestion. Table 2 shows the echocardiographic estimates of left ventricular function. These reflected the expected differences between the groups. There was no significant difference between groups 1 and 3 for end systolic and end diastolic cavity size; though fractional shortening was significantly increased in group 1 (p < 0.05). There was no significant difference between any of the groups in isovolumic relaxation time; this was significantly shorter than normal (60 (10) ms) in all three. The values for groups 1 to 3 were 20 (25) ms, 30 (30) ms, and 30 (40) ms (all p < 0.001 compared with the normal value). The mean interval from A2 to end of mitral retrograde flow was significantly shorter in group 1 than in group 2 (p < 0.001) or group 3 (p < 0.001). The mean of group 2 was significantly less than that of group 3 (p < 0.05). In all patients with mitral regurgitation severe enough to require operation, this interval was <55 ms; the mean value in group 1 was 40 (15) ms compared with 90 (35) ms in group 2, and 75 (20) ms in group 3. The sensitivity, specificity, and predictive accuracy of an interval ≤55 ms in predicting the need for valve operation was 100%, 86%, and 91%, respectively. There were three false positives in group 3, in whom the intervals from A2 to cessation of mitral flow were 45, 45, and 53 ms. The first patient has angiographic grade 4+ mitral regurgitation but is only mildly limited, and is being observed for symptomatic deterioration before operation is recommended. The other two had moderately impaired left ventricular function with clinically mild to moderate mitral regurgitation and have not been catheterised. There were three false positives in group 2 but all had dilated cardiomyopathy with severely reduced fractional shortening (9%, 14%, and 14%). They did not appear to have severe mitral regurgitation clinically and could be readily distinguished from group 1.

The relative gradient at A2 was also significantly
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lower in group 1 (35 (15)% ) than in group 2 (55 (20)% ) (p < 0.005) and group 3 (60 (20)% ) (p < 0.001). A ratio of <50% identified those who required valve operation, with a sensitivity of 75% and a specificity of 68%. The correlation between isovolumic relaxation time and the interval from A2 to end of mitral retrograde flow was weak, with correlation coefficients of 0.24, 0.45, and 0.58, respectively. That between A2 to cessation of flow (x) and relative gradient at A2 (y) was 0.57, with a regression equation of y = 0.37x + 24, and the standard error of the estimate 16%. When a combination of A2 to cessation of flow of < 55% and a relative gradient at A2 of <50% was used, the specificity rose to 90% and the sensitivity remained at 100%. Table 3 summarises the mean values for the systolic time intervals and left ventricular filling time. The Q–A2 interval was significantly shorter in group 1 but this was of no predictive accuracy in individual patients.

Left ventricular angiography was performed in eight (40%) out of 20 group 1 patients, seven had 4+ mitral regurgitation. The remaining patient was considered to have 3+ mitral regurgitation and after several months of symptomatic deterioration had mitral valve repair without recatheterisation. Three group 2 patients underwent ventriculography and were shown to have 1+, 2+, and no mitral regurgitation. In group 3, five patients were studied angiographically; there was 2+ mitral regurgitation in two, 3+ in two, and 4+ in the patient awaiting operation. Thus in the limited number of patients studied, the correlation between angiographic and Doppler assessment of mitral regurgitation was close.

Discussion

It is difficult to compare different methods of measuring mitral regurgitation because there is no reference standard.\textsuperscript{10,11} Volume measurements such as cardiac output, left ventricular volume, ejection fraction, or regurgitation fraction and pressure measurements including pulmonary arterial and wedge pressures, all provide ancillary information but seem to be intrinsically limited as indicators of the appropriate timing of mitral valve operation. In addition to technical limitations, this may be partly because of difficulties in identifying additional complications caused by left ventricular disease. For
example, patients with a reduced stroke volume into a non-compliant left ventricle may require mitral valve operation just as much as those with a larger stroke volume into a compliant one. In view of the highly subjective component of grading angiocardiographic mitral regurgitation, routine cardiac catheterisation and ventriculography was not performed on all of our patients. In many the diagnosis and haemodynamic severity of the mitral regurgitation was readily apparent by clinical and non-invasive assessment. Invasive investigations were only performed if there was some doubt about the severity of the lesion or because of the possibility of coexistent coronary artery disease, an approach that has been previously validated in a large series of patients. In all operated patients the surgical findings confirmed severe mitral regurgitation. Since the aim of investigation is to determine clinical management, we concluded that outcome based on assessment of all clinical data should be used as the reference standard rather than the result of any single test. Because this was a retrospective study its results did not influence the treatment of individual patients.

Our observations of the timing of regurgitant flow in patients with severe left ventricular disease confirm those of a previous small series. It is not possible, however, to extrapolate results from this limited population to patients with primary mitral regurgitation that requires operation. Indeed, there was a strong relation between the dynamics of retrograde flow and the severity of the regurgitation. The interval between A2 and the end of flow was consistently shorter in patients who were shown to need operation. In particular, a reduction in the interval from aortic valve closure to the end of retrograde flow to <55 ms consistently identified such patients with no false negatives. There were six false positives, one of whom may well require operation in the future, while the remainder could be readily distinguished on other grounds.

A more abrupt deceleration pattern was associated with an early end to the Doppler signal. This was reflected in the transmitral gradient at the time of aortic valve closure, which was a less accurate predictor than the interval from A2 to cessation of flow when used alone but in combination with other measurements seemed to provide additional information. The velocity profile in the non-surgical group seemed to reach a peak in mid-ejection, but with severe regurgitation, there was an earlier peak that was more reminiscent of a normal aortic velocity profile (fig 2). The two profiles were easily distinguished because blood flow velocities caused by mitral regurgitation, whatever their profile, are much higher than those of aortic flow if there is no aortic stenosis. To measure these time intervals A2 must be unequivocally identified, especially in patients with

Table 1  *Clinical details (number (%) of study groups*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA I/II</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>4 (18)</td>
<td>17 (77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA III/IV</td>
<td>16 (80)</td>
<td>18 (82)</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomegaly on chest x- ray:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>17 (85)</td>
<td>22 (100)</td>
<td>9 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical pulmonary congestion:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>17 (85)</td>
<td>18 (82)</td>
<td>5 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>4 (18)</td>
<td>17 (77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic pulmonary congestion:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>17 (85)</td>
<td>17 (77)</td>
<td>9 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>4 (18)*</td>
<td>13 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhythm:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinus rhythm</td>
<td>13 (65)</td>
<td>15 (68)</td>
<td>17 (77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>7 (35)</td>
<td>7 (32)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paced</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One value missing.
NYHA, New York Heart Association.

Table 2  *Left ventricular cavity size (mean (SD)) in study groups*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>1 v 2</th>
<th>1 v 3</th>
<th>2 v 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End systolic dimension (mm)</td>
<td>35 (10)</td>
<td>55 (10)</td>
<td>40 (10)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0·001</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>p &lt; 0·001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End diastolic dimension (mm)</td>
<td>60 (10)</td>
<td>65 (10)</td>
<td>60 (10)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractional shortening (%)</td>
<td>40 (10)</td>
<td>15 (5)</td>
<td>35 (10)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0·001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0·05</td>
<td>p &lt; 0·001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3  *Left ventricular function (mean (SD)) in the study groups*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>1 v 2</th>
<th>1 v 3</th>
<th>2 v 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RR interval (ms)</td>
<td>670 (170)</td>
<td>680 (130)</td>
<td>730 (160)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 to cessation of flow (ms)</td>
<td>40 (15)</td>
<td>90 (35)</td>
<td>75 (20)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0·001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0·001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0·001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative gradient at A2 (%)</td>
<td>35 (15)</td>
<td>55 (20)</td>
<td>60 (20)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0·005</td>
<td>p &lt; 0·001</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isovolumic relaxation time (ms)</td>
<td>20 (25)</td>
<td>30 (30)</td>
<td>30 (40)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-A2 interval (ms)</td>
<td>330 (30)</td>
<td>360 (50)</td>
<td>365 (45)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0·001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0·001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0·001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular filling time (ms)</td>
<td>330 (95)</td>
<td>400 (85)</td>
<td>400 (95)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0·05</td>
<td>p &lt; 0·05</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of systolic mitral flow (ms)</td>
<td>300 (95)</td>
<td>380 (60)</td>
<td>340 (84)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0·001</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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left bundle branch block or paced rhythm in whom an error might be caused by a delay in $A_2$. Conversely, $A_2$ itself may occur early and be soft when mitral regurgitation is severe. The timing of aortic valve closure must therefore be routinely confirmed from a concomitant aortic echogram.

The advantages of the present technique were its ease and relative simplicity. We found no patient in whom the interval $A_3$ to cessation of retrograde flow could not be measured and only one in whom it was impossible to obtain the relative gradient at $A_2$. The method is equally applicable to patients with atrial fibrillation. In addition, it was unnecessary to measure the areas of the aortic or mitral orifices, as is required for Doppler determination of regurgitant fraction. Incomplete opening of the aortic valve followed by premature closure, seen in several of our patients may well prevent reliable estimates of aortic flow from velocity determinations. Derivation of mitral orifice area requires computer linked planimetry and is influenced by limited lateral resolution of echocardiographic equipment used for cross sectional imaging and the pattern of leaflet movement. Not surprisingly, Doppler assessment often shows "regurgitant fractions" of 20% in normal valves. Although the degree of mitral regurgitation can be estimated by mapping the regurgitant flow in the left atrium, this can be significantly overestimated or underestimated. The method is very time consuming when simple pulsed Doppler is used. Colour flow mapping speeds up the investigation; however, with this technique small alterations in transducer angulation and subtle gain setting modifications also lead to uncertainties. The present method is based on relative velocities and time intervals and so is not sensitive to transducer angulation.

In contrast to the consistent relation between the need for valve operation and the length of the interval from $A_3$ to cessation of mitral retrograde flow, we found no correlation between the clinical or radiological evidence of pulmonary congestion and the isovolumic relaxation period. This might seem to be paradoxical, because the height of the left atrial "v" wave is related to the severity of regurgitation and raised left atrial pressure is associated with a short isovolumic relaxation time both in left ventricular disease and mitral regurgitation. The interval $A_3$ to cessation of retrograde mitral flow was short only in group 1 patients and this must therefore reflect some other aspect of the disordered physiology of mitral regurgitation. When regurgitation is severe, functional end systolic volume seems to be achieved earlier. This suggestion was supported in the present study by the $Q$-$A_3$ interval being significantly shorter in group 1 than either groups 2 or 3, with several patients showing early partial aortic closure even before $A_2$. The similarity between the aortic and mitral velocity profiles, both in form and timing, in patients with severe mitral regurgitation suggests that a major determinant of retrograde flow is the overall resistance to regurgitation and its relation with that to forward flow. Conditions thus seem similar to those governing ejection in patients with a large ventricular septal defect, where flows are neither determined nor predicted by pressures in the two great arteries, but depend more closely on the relative resistances.

We conclude that analysis of flow dynamics may be helpful not only in determining severity in individual cases, when used in conjunction with clinical features, but also seem to provide some insight into the pathophysiology of the regurgitation itself. Electromechanical systole and flow rate must be in balance. In severe mitral regurgitation this relation is likely to be severely disturbed, with low resistance to ejection being accompanied by a very rapid fall in left ventricular volume. Thus it seems that aspects of mitral regurgitation other than regurgitant fraction and pressure equalisation should be investigated when such patients are being assessed for operation. The idea of relative left atrial and aortic resistance as a determinant of flow may thus provide insight into the physiological disturbances determining flow and so improve the management of patients with severe mitral regurgitation.
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