The determination of atrial arrangement by examination of appendage morphology in 1842 heart specimens
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SUMMARY The morphology of the atrial appendages was examined in 1842 specimen hearts from patients with congenital lesions. The external and internal features that permitted the identification of the right and left appendages were studied in detail in one tenth of the hearts. These results were compared with a similar analysis of 25 normal hearts. This study showed that criteria for identification of right and left appendages were reliable. Application of these criteria to the overall collection identified the usual arrangement in 1776 (97%) hearts, a mirror image arrangement in eight (0.4%); left atrial isomerism in 22 (1.2%); and right atrial isomerism in 36 (1.9%). Fourteen (0.81%) had juxtaposed atrial appendages (13 with usual arrangement and one with left isomerism). This did not interfere with identification of the left and right atria on the basis of appendage morphology. In only two cases did the determination by atrial morphology produce a result that was inconsistent with the arrangement of the other thoracoabdominal organs. Further examination of the atria in these showed a mistake had been made in the initial assessment. The atrial arrangement can be accurately determined by the morphology of the atrial appendages.
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Results

We excluded five hearts with severely damaged atrial appendages from the study. The morphologically right appendage in the remainder was characterised externally by its triangular shape together with its broad base and a hooked apex that in most cases pointed upwards (fig 1a). These features proved reliable differentiators in almost all of the hearts studied in detail (table). In a small number of cases, the external appearance of the right appendage was equivocal. In these we studied the internal morphology to confirm that this was the right appendage. The internal architecture was characterised by a broad junction between the systemic venous atrium and the appendage. There was a prominent crest (the “crista terminalis”) at this junction. This was the most reliable distinguishing feature (table). The pectinate muscles were arranged at right angles to the crest and “spilled” out of the appendage posteriorly, continuing all around the atroventricular junction to the post-eustachian sinus (fig 2a). The left appendage was tubular with a hooked apex that in most cases pointed downwards (fig 1b, table). The base of the appendage was narrow. Internal examination in doubtful cases showed that the junction of pulmonary venous atrium with the appendage was corre-

Table  Results of detailed examination of the right and left atrial appendages in 25 normal hearts and one tenth of the collection of malformed hearts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphological feature</th>
<th>Normal hearts (25)</th>
<th>Malformed hearts (190)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triangular</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubular</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoplastic</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtually absent</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direction of hook:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacking</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal crest:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junction with venous atrium:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrow</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constricted</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spillage of pectinate muscles:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pronounced</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Atrial appendage morphology

The internal morphology confirms the differences between the atrial appendages. The broad junction in the morphologically right appendage (a) is marked by the terminal crest (crista terminalis). The pectinate muscles extend all round the atrioventricular junction. In the morphologically left appendage (b), the pectinate muscles are confined within the appendage and there is no terminal crest.

spontaneously narrow. Unlike the right junction there was no crest and tapered pectinate muscles “spilled” on to the atrial septum. The extension of the pectinate muscles round the parietal part of the left atrioventricular junction was confined within the appendage (fig 2b).

When we used these criteria to identify the appendages in the entire collection, we found that 1776 (97%) of the 1842 hearts had the usual atrial arrangement (situs solitus). Fourteen of these had juxtaposed atrial appendages, to the left in 12 and to the right in two (fig 3). The presence of juxtaposition did no affect the identification of atrial arrangement. Eight (0.4%) had mirror image atrial arrangement (situs inversus, fig 4). Twenty two (1.2%) hearts had left atrial isomerism. The appendages were juxtaposed to the right of the arterial pedicle in one of these hearts but both appendages were clearly of left morphology (fig 3b). Thirty six (1.9%) hearts had right atrial isomerism (fig 5). None of these had juxtaposition of the atria. Two hearts were initially diagnosed incorrectly as showing right isomerism. Study of the necropsy records of these cases showed none of the expected thoracoabdominal stigmata of isomerism. Furthermore, the atrial septum was intact in each case. Re-examination showed that the original incision had produced the spurious impression of a broad junction between the appendage and the venous component of the left atrium. The pulmonary veins were normally connected in each case. It was clear that both hearts had usual arrangement of the atria.

Discussion

Precise determination of atrial arrangement is the basis for sequential segmental analysis of congenital heart disease. If the atrial arrangement is not known, then the atrioventricular junction cannot be analysed with certainty. As yet, there is no consensus on how best to identify atrial arrangement. To our knowledge all hearts have two atria that are either of right or left morphology. Determination of arrangement of these atria therefore stands or falls on the distinction between leftness and rightness. To make this distinction, as with any cardiac structure, we followed the principle originally introduced by Lev and subsequently dubbed the “morphological method” by Van Praagh and his associates. This principle states that structures should be identified according to the component part that is most universally present. For the ventricles, the morphology of the apical trabecular component must be used to determine rightness or leftness, since the ventricular inlets and outlets can be variously absent in malformed hearts. Application of this principle to the atrial chambers shows that the appendages must be the arbiters of leftness or rightness because the great veins can themselves be anomalously connected. The atrial septum can be absent without disturbing the basic anatomy of the atrial chambers. Detailed analysis of the appendages in one tenth of the hearts of the Pittsburgh collection, however, identified the most reliable morphological differences between left and right atria. Application of these criteria to the entire collection proved that it was possible to distinguish correctly between morphologically right and left appendages.

Our study confirms the earlier investigation of Macartney et al. This demonstrated that the shape of
Fig 3  Two of the hearts had right sided juxtaposition of the atrial appendages. The shape of the appendages showed the usual arrangement (a) in one and left isomerism (b) in the other.

Fig 4  Eight hearts showed mirror image arrangement of the appendages. The heart shown was morphologically normal apart from the mirror imagery. It was in the right chest with its apex pointing to the right (a). The left sided appendage (b) was unequivocally of right morphology and the right sided appendage was of left morphology.
Our study shows that examination of the atrial appendages is by far the most accurate means of distinguishing the categories of atrial arrangement. The accuracy of the identified morphological markers for clinical diagnosis can only be assessed in a prospective trial.
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