Phrenic nerve injury in infants and children undergoing cardiac surgery
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Abstract
Fifty infants and 50 children less than 15 years undergoing palliative or corrective cardiac surgery in the Brompton Hospital between March and October 1988 had direct percutaneous stimulation of the phrenic nerve before and after operation. Ten patients, six under 1 year of age and four over, developed unilateral phrenic nerve injury. In those aged less than 1 year recovery after operation was prolonged because their diaphragmatic palsy made it difficult to wean them from the ventilator. Older children had symptoms but their rate of recovery did not seem to be affected by the phrenic nerve injury. Phrenic nerve damage was no more frequent after a lateral thoracotomy than after a median sternotomy. There was no significant association with the type of operation performed, the experience of the surgeon, the use of bypass or topical ice, the duration of bypass, circulatory arrest or aortic cross clamping, or the age of the patient at the time of operation. In patients who had cardiopulmonary bypass the risk of injury was significantly higher in those who had undergone previous operation. The 10% frequency of phrenic nerve injury determined in this prospective study was higher than that seen in earlier retrospective reports.

Direct percutaneous stimulation of the phrenic nerve can be used at the bedside in infants and children to facilitate early and accurate diagnosis of phrenic nerve palsy, and the results may influence early management.

This non-invasive technique was modified for use in infants and children in the Brompton Hospital in a preliminary study and normal values have also been established (in preparation). We performed a prospective study of 100 infants and children undergoing cardiac surgery to determine the frequency and predisposing factors contributing to phrenic nerve injury.

Patients and methods
The study was approved by the hospital medical ethics committee and parental consent was obtained. We studied 100 unselected infants and children undergoing palliative or corrective surgery for congenital heart disease in the Brompton Hospital between March and October 1988. Figure 1 shows their age distribution which ranged from 1 day to 14.5 years (median age 15 months). Table 1 summarises the diagnoses at operation, the type of operation performed, and the number of children in each group. To assess phrenic nerve involvement phrenic nerve latency was measured before operation and as soon as possible after operation when they were no longer on muscle relaxants. Because the test could be performed while the patients were still on the ventilator, they were studied within 72 hours of operation. Twenty three children had had a previous operation and two of them had pre-existing phrenic nerve injury with a prolonged phrenic nerve latency though both were symptom free when tested before the present operation. Measurements of normal phrenic nerve latency and diaphragmatic electromyograms during preoperative testing showed that all the remaining patients had normal phrenic nerve function.

METHODS
Each phrenic nerve was stimulated percutaneously by bipolar electrodes at the pos-

Figure 1 Histogram showing age distribution of 100 patients in the study.
Table 1  Classification of diagnoses and operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Operation type</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transposition of great arteries</td>
<td>Arterial switch</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mustard procedure</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rastelli procedure</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Redo switch</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correct repair, PA band</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetralogy of Fallot</td>
<td>VSD closure</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total correction</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary atresia</td>
<td>Shunt</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricuspid atresia</td>
<td>Shunt</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fontan procedure</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shunt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total anomalous pulmonary venous connection</td>
<td>Correction</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular septal defect</td>
<td>VSD closure</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and relief RVOTO</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and ASD closure</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial septal defect</td>
<td>ASD closure</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrioventricular septal defect</td>
<td>AVSD repair</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patent ductus arteriosus</td>
<td>Mitral valve repair</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular outflow obstruction</td>
<td>PDA ligation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right ventricular outflow</td>
<td>Coarc repair</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>obstruction</td>
<td>Mitral valve repair</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex heart disease</td>
<td>Muscle resection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| ASD, atrial septal defect; AVSD, atrioventricular septal defect; Coarc, coarctation of the aorta; PA band, pulmonary artery band; PDA, persistent ductus arteriosus; RVOTO, right ventricular outflow tract obstruction; VSD, ventricular septal defect.

The phrenic nerve was tested by stimulating the phrenic nerve through a bipolar electrode placed just below the xiphisternum to avoid disturbing the surgical dressings (figs 2 and 3). Occasional excitation of the brachial plexus was seen because the phrenic nerve lies close to the nerve trunks at the point of stimulation.

The conduction time of the phrenic nerve was measured as the terminal latency between the stimulation artefact and the onset of the diaphragmatic muscle action potential. This gives a reliable assessment of phrenic nerve function. Phrenic nerve injury was diagnosed if the nerve latency was increased to more than twice the preoperative value or if no detectable diaphragmatic electromyographic or mechanical response was obtained despite supramaximal stimulation on repeated testing (fig 4). We used fluoroscopy to detect paradoxical or reduced movement of the diaphragm in this latter group of patients to confirm the diagnosis of diaphragm paralysis.

We tested the association of phrenic nerve injury with dichotomous factors by the \( x^2 \) test with Yates’s correction. The Mann-Whitney test was used for other factors.

Results
Ten patients (10%) had abnormal unilateral phrenic nerve latencies after operation. In seven of these patients the phrenic nerve latency was at least twice the preoperative value, while in the remaining three no activity was detected on the diaphragmatic electromyogram. Figure 5 shows the relation between preoperative and postoperative phrenic nerve latencies. Phrenic nerve injury occurred on the right side in four patients and on the left in six patients. In six of the 10 patients cardio-pulmonary bypass had been performed via median sternotomies. In four the operation was performed via right or left lateral thoracotomies without bypass. After a lateral thoracotomy phrenic nerve palsy occurred on the same side as the incision. All the ten patients had basalatelectasis or a raised...
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Figure 3 Postoperative test on a ventilated patient showing location of the surface electrodes and position for percutaneous stimulation of phrenic nerve.

Figure 4 Typical electromyograms obtained on testing the phrenic nerve: (A) normal response, (B) delayed response, and (C) no response.

hemidiaphragm on chest x ray (fig 6), though this was often not evident while the patient was on positive pressure ventilation.

Table 2 summarises data on the affected patients and their outcomes. All the children who required a prolonged period of ventilation were less than 1 year old, except for patient 8 who was failing to thrive and weighed 6.8 kg at the age of 2, and patient 6 who was ventilated for other reasons and in whom there was evidence of recovery of phrenic nerve function. Four of the neonates subsequently required plication of the affected diaphragm to facilitate extubation, after many attempts to wean them from ventilatory support. Because repeated electrophysiological testing could not be used to predict temporary or permanent damage (as nerve recovery is slow), plication was performed on clinical grounds. Patients 3 and 8 did not have plication but they were nursed in negative pressure tanks because various other complications precluded further operation. Four of the ten patients eventually died, three as a direct result of respiratory failure caused by diaphragmatic impairment while the remaining patient died from other surgical complications.

We assessed many factors in our attempt to determine associated causes of phrenic nerve injury. These included the underlying diagnosis and type of operation (bypass or non-bypass), the duration of bypass, aortic cross clamping or circulatory arrest, the temperature to which the patient was cooled, the use of topical ice, the experience of the surgeon (con-
The presence of previous operations, the incision site, and the age at operation. There was a significant association with previous surgery \( p < 0.05 \) in only the 68 bypass cases; however, the phrenic nerve injury after the subsequent operation was not always on the same side as the previous thoracotomy. No other significant associated factor was found though there might have been a marginal risk associated with the experience of the surgeon; consultant surgeons had a smaller risk \( p = 0.1 \) than with senior registrars or registrars.

**Discussion**

We found that the incidence of phrenic nerve injury in paediatric cardiac surgery was higher than was previously reported in retrospective studies\(^7\) but similar to small studies based on phrenic nerve stimulation in adults.\(^12\)\(^13\) This may be because phrenic nerve damage was underestimated in older children in retrospective studies where the selection was based on a prolonged postoperative course or difficulty in weaning from the ventilator. We found that though phrenic nerve paralysis causes severe respiratory embarrassment in neonates it seems to cause fewer clinical or physiological abnormalities in children who are more than 1 year old, unless there is associated weakness of other respiratory muscles. Respiratory muscle physiology in the older child seems to be similar to that in adults, who cope with unilateral or bilateral diaphragm paralysis with only a few symptoms.\(^15\)\(^16\) Retrospective studies also based their diagnoses on the presence of a raised hemidiaphragm on the chest x ray or paradoxical movement of the diaphragm on ultrasound scanning or fluoroscopy. These methods of diagnosis may be unreliable while the patient is on positive pressure ventilation or where there is bilateral phrenic nerve palsy and the true incidence of the condition may be underestimated.\(^6\)\(^17\)\(^18\)

Infants with phrenic nerve injury have an increased morbidity and mortality from the resulting diaphragmatic palsy.\(^15\)\(^6\) They require a significantly longer period of ventilation because of episodes of acute respiratory distress. This is because of major differences in the respiratory physiology in infants.\(^19\)\(^20\) Contra-
tion of the diaphragm is less efficient in infants because they have a circular thorax, horizontal orientation of the ribs, and greater compliance of the rib cage. In normal breathing in adults the diaphragm stabilises the thorax during inspiration. This effect is especially important in infants because they have weaker intercostal muscles. In supine adults the abdominal compartment decreases the diaphragm and reduces the functional residual capacity by 15%. Previous work has implicated "frostbite injury" from the topical slush used for myocardial preservation in bypass surgery as the cause of phrenic nerve injury and this hypothesis was confirmed by experiments in dogs. Several workers suggested that the use of various insulation pads reduced the incidence. We, however, found that phrenic nerve damage was as common in non-bypass surgery in which neither cold cardioplegic solutions nor topical ice was used. There was also no association with the cooling temperature or duration of bypass, suggesting that other factors, for example direct or indirect surgical trauma, may be equally important.

Secondary procedures after previous thoracotomies can increase the incidence of phrenic nerve paralysis. The presence of fibrous adhesions and scarring from previous operation would obscure the anatomy of the pericardium and phrenic nerve and make them more liable to injury. We also found an association between phrenic nerve injury and previous operation but only when bypass cases were considered separately. The reason for this is unclear but it could be that a combination of more demanding bypass surgery and scarring from previous operations contributed to the higher risk. A closer examination of the data in references 5 and 6 bears this out because the association of phrenic nerve injury with previous thoracotomies was found only among patients who had open heart surgical procedures.

There was no obvious association between phrenic nerve injury and the age at operation, the type of surgery, or the incision site. The duration of bypass, aortic cross clamping or circulatory arrest, and the temperature to which the patient was cooled were not found to be significant factors. There may have been too few patients affected in this study to show an association.

Phrenic nerve paralysis can result in many complications including episodes of aspiration, respiratory infections, cardiac arrhythmias, or cardiorespiratory arrests and death. Various workers have suggested different methods of management to overcome this problem, recommending repair of the transected nerve, long term ventilation with or without tracheostomy, and early or late plication of the diaphragm. We found that plication of the diaphragm reduced complications from prolonged ventilation because it allowed earlier extubation. The decision to plicate could be taken with greater assurance and less delay because phrenic nerve injury was accurately assessed by this technique. It did not, however, seem to improve survival rates in the patients with phrenic nerve injury and persistent diaphragmatic palsy.

Phrenic nerve conduction studies can be easily performed at the bedside with the equipment and technique described above. Early and accurate detection of phrenic nerve injury is possible while the patient is ventilated, before clinical or radiological evidence of diaphragmatic dysfunction. Phrenic nerve injury is a common and important complication of cardiac surgery in infants and children that increases morbidity and mortality. Early detection of injury identifies the infants who may require early diaphragmatic plication, thus preventing an unnecessary and prolonged course of ventilation and the associated complications.
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