Different distribution of abnormal endocardial electrograms within the right atrium in patients with sick sinus syndrome
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Abstract

Background—Prolonged and fractionated right atrial endocardial electrograms are characteristic of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (idiopathic or associated with sick sinus syndrome). The distribution of these abnormal atrial electrograms within the right atrium and the way it is related to the likelihood that patients with sick sinus syndrome will develop paroxysmal atrial fibrillation was studied.

Methods—Endocardial catheter mapping of the right atrium during sinus rhythm was performed in 41 control patients with normal sinus node function and without paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, in 33 patients with sick sinus syndrome but without tachycardia, and in 27 patients with sick sinus syndrome and paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (group 3). The bipolar electrograms were recorded at 12 sites in the right atrium and an abnormal atrial electrogram was defined as lasting > 100 ms and/or showing eight or more fragmented deflections.

Results—1195 atrial endocardial electrograms were assessed and quantitatively measured. In patients with sick sinus syndrome and paroxysmal atrial fibrillation 54% of the abnormal atrial electrograms were recorded from the high right atrium, 28% from the mid right atrium, and 18% from the low right atrium. However, in patients with sick sinus syndrome without tachycardia 78% of the abnormal atrial electrograms were recorded from the high right atrium and 22% from the mid right atrium. No abnormal electrograms were recorded from the low right atrium.

Conclusions—In patients with sick sinus syndrome without tachycardia abnormal atrial electrograms generally came from the high right atrium but in patients with sick sinus syndrome and paroxysmal atrial fibrillation abnormal atrial electrograms were more widely distributed in the right atrium. In patients with sick sinus syndrome the greater the extent of the compromised atrial muscle, the greater the likelihood that paroxysmal atrial fibrillation will develop.

(Br Heart J 1992;68:596–600)
to develop paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, patients with the Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome were not included in this group. The sinus node recovery time ranged from 0·72 to 1·34 s (mean SD 1·07 (0·15) s). Group 2 consisted of 33 patients (17 women and 16 men) with sick sinus syndrome but without tachycardia (age 42–81 years, mean (SD) 63·7 (9·3)). Eleven patients had persistent and unexplained sinus bradycardia (< 50 beats/min) on the resting electrocardiogram, with fewer than 70 thousand beats per 24 hours on ambulatory Holter monitor recordings. The other 22 patients had documented episodes of sinoatrial block or sinus arrest with atrioventricular junctional or ventricular escape depolarisation. The sinus node recovery time ranged from 1·15 to 6·88 s (mean SD 2·93 (1·9) s). There was no clinical evidence of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation in any of these patients. Care was taken to avoid false diagnosis of sinoatrial block in the presence of premature non-conducted atrial depolarisation. Group 3 comprised 27 patients (18 women and nine men) (age 49–81 years, mean SD 66·7 (8·9)) with both sick sinus syndrome and paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, that is, the so-called bradycardia-tachycardia syndrome. All patients in this group had confirmed paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. The sinus node recovery time ranged from 1·20 to 9·28 s (mean SD 4·23 (2·2) s). Sinus node dysfunction in the patients in groups 2 and 3 and the paroxysmal atrial fibrillation of the patients in group 3 were confirmed by conventional electrocardiography, bedside electrocardiographic monitoring, and/or at least two 24 hour ambulatory electrocardiographic Holter recordings. The sinus node recovery time was measured in all patients after atrial overdrive pacing at rates of 70–210/min for a minute at each level. There was no significant age difference among patients in the three groups.

ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL STUDY
All patients were studied in the postabsorptive, non-sedated state after they had given informed consent. Electrophysiological evaluation was performed after approval of the study plan by the regional ethics committee. All drugs were stopped at least 72 hours before the procedure. Two bipolar catheter electrodes (No 6F USCI) were inserted percutaneously into the femoral and subclavian veins and were advanced into the right atrium under fluoroscopic guidance. The distance between the electrodes was 10 mm and the electrode ring was 2 mm in diameter.

All the right atrial endocardial electrograms were recorded during sinus rhythm by bipolar electrodes at a fixed gain setting, accompanied by a 0·2 mV = 3 mm calibration signal, and were filtered at 50–1000 Hz. The baseline of recordings was stable for each patient. The atrial endocardial electrograms together with the monophasic action potential and three surface electrocardiograms (I, aVF, and V1) were displayed on a multichannel oscilloscope (Fukuda-Denshi polygraph MIC-8800T) and simultaneously recorded at a paper speed of 100 mm/s on a 12 channel, ink jet recorder (Siemens-Elema 804). All data were stored on magnetic tape throughout the entire electrophysiological study. The atrial electrograms remained constant and reproducible at each recording site in individual patients.

The bipolar electrograms were recorded from 12 sites in the right atrium in each patient. The intra-atrial catheter mapping procedure is described elsewhere. The high and middle atrial sites were mapped by the catheter inserted through the femoral vein and the low atrial sites were mapped through the subclavian vein. No complications were noted in any patient as a result of these manoeuvres. Stability was ensured by recording at each site for a minimum of 8–15 s and by using a looped catheter. The position of the catheter tip was verified by multiple or single plane fluoroscopy in the presence of at least two experienced physicians familiar with the right atrial endocardial mapping procedure. All the instruments were properly isolated and earthed.

Figure 1 Six atrial electrograms recorded at different right atrial sites in two patients with sick sinus node syndrome (A, B, and C in a group 2 patient; D, E, and F in a group 3 patient). Diagonal arrows represent the onset and offset of local electrical activity and horizontal arrows the downward deflections. See text for details.
Total number (%) of abnormal atrial electrograms in each of the three groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number of electrograms</th>
<th>Normal electrograms (%)</th>
<th>Abnormal electrograms (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>465 (97.08)</td>
<td>14 (2.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>355 (90.79)</td>
<td>36 (9.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>246 (75.69)</td>
<td>79 (24.31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group 3 v group 1, p < 0.0001; Group 3 v group 2, p < 0.0001; Group 2 v group 1, p < 0.0002.

DEFINITIONS

The duration of an atrial electrogram was defined as the time from the beginning of the earliest electrical activity that deviated from the stable baseline value to the last point of the atrial electrogram at which the baseline value was crossed. The number of fragmented deflections was measured by counting the number of downward deflections' (fig 1).

In an earlier study, we defined quantitative standards for normal bipolar electrograms. An abnormal atrial electrogram was defined as lasting ≥ 100 ms or as eight or more fragmented deflections or both.

Figure 1 shows six examples of the measurements on the atrial electrograms recorded from different right atrial endocardial sites in a patient with sick sinus syndrome but without tachycardia (A, B, and C) and in a patient with both sick sinus syndrome and paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (D, E, and F).

Figure 1A was recorded from the high lateral right atrium and shows an abnormal atrial electrogram 130 ms in duration with 10 fragmented deflections. Figure 1B was recorded from the mid lateral right atrium and shows an abnormal atrial electrogram 110 ms in duration with six fragmented deflections. Figure 1C was recorded from the low lateral right atrium and shows a normal atrial electrogram 80 ms in duration with two fragmented deflections. Figure 1D was recorded from the high posterior right atrium and shows an abnormal atrial electrogram 110 ms in duration with nine fragmented deflections. Figure 1E was recorded from the mid posterior right atrium and shows an abnormal atrial electrogram 105 ms in duration with eight fragmented deflections. Figure 1F was recorded from the low posterior right atrium and shows an abnormal atrial electrogram 100 ms in duration with eight fragmented deflections.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The duration of and the number of fragmented deflections on the atrial electrograms were assessed at each site by at least two independent observers. A minimum of five to eight atrial complexes of uniform configuration were assessed at each of the 12 sites of the atrium, and when interobserver measures did not accord a mean value was calculated. Prevalence was determined by \( \chi^2 \) test.

**Results**

We assessed 1105 atrial endocardial electrograms (table) (479 in group 1, 391 in group 2, and 325 in group 3). There were 14 (2.92%) abnormal atrial electrograms in group 1, 36 (9.21%) in group 2, and 79 (24.31%) in group 3. (Group 3 v group 1, p < 0.0001; group 3 v group 2, p < 0.0001; group 2 v group 1, p < 0.0002).

**SITE OF ORIGIN OF ABNORMAL ATRIAL ELECTROGRAMS**

Figure 2 shows the sites in the right atrium where abnormal electrograms were seen in the three groups. Forty three (54%) of the 79 abnormal atrial electrograms in group 3 were seen at the high right atrium, 22 (28%) at the mid right atrium, and 14 (18%) at the low right atrium (high right atrium v mid right atrium, p < 0.05 and high right atrium v low right atrium, p < 0.002). There was no significant

---

**Figure 2** Sites in the right atrium with abnormal atrial electrograms in the three groups. One symbol represents one abnormal atrial electrogram. See text for details.

**Figure 3** Longest duration and the maximal number of fragmented deflections of atrial electrograms at 12 sites in the right atrium in each patient in the three groups. One symbol represents one patient. The broken lines indicate the limits of normality. Symbols on the lines or outside the square formed by the lines are abnormal results.
difference in the number of abnormal electrograms between the mid and low right atrium in group 2 patients. Of the 43 abnormal electrograms at the high right atrium, 67% were recorded from the posterolateral high right atrium. Seventy-eight percent of the patients in group 3 with abnormal electrograms had them in the posterolateral high right atrium.

We recorded 36 abnormal atrial electrograms in group 2 patients; 28 (78%) in the high right atrium, eight (22%) in the mid right atrium, and none in the low right atrium (high right atrium v mid right atrium, p < 0.01; mid right atrium v low right atrium, p < 0.02; high right atrium v low right atrium, p < 0.0001). Of the 28 abnormal electrograms at the high right atrium, 68% were recorded from the posterolateral high right atrium. In group 2, 88% of the patients with abnormal electrograms had them recorded in the posterolateral high right atrium.

Figure 3 shows the longest duration and the greatest number of fragmented deflections for atrial electrograms recorded at the 12 right atrial sites in each patient in the three groups.

Discussion
Fractionated electrograms were recorded from areas of canine ventricular tissue where so much fibrous tissue separated the muscle fibres from each other that the fibres were no longer arranged in parallel. They were also recorded from canine and human atrial muscle in which the muscle fibres were widely separated and their orientation distorted by connective tissue to such a degree that the number of intercellular connections was reduced. This could increase resistance to current flow and thereby slow conduction.

A prolonged and fractionated atrial electrogram may reflect unsynchronised local electrical activity related to a delayed and non-uniform anisotropic conduction through a diseased atrial muscle. Therefore, abnormal electrograms indicate areas of altered anatomy and conduction where reentrant arrhythmias are likely to develop.

We found a significantly greater number of abnormal endocardial electrograms in the high right atrium of patients with sick sinus syndrome irrespective of the presence or absence of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. However, there was no significant difference between the number of abnormal electrograms recorded from the mid and low right atrium in patients with sick sinus syndrome and paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, suggesting that in these patients the electrophysiological abnormality of the atrial muscle was more diffuse. In the patients with sick sinus syndrome alone no abnormal endocardial electrograms were recorded from the low right atrium, which suggested a more localised electrophysiological abnormality.

Pathological studies showed that patients with sinoatrial block without tachycardia had disease localised to the sinus node and its approaches, whereas patients with the bradycardia-tachycardia syndrome had a more diffuse lesion of the atrium. Our electro-physiological studies accorded with these histological findings in that we found that abnormal atrial electrograms were generally localised to the high right atrium and fractionity of the sinus node in patients with sick sinus syndrome without tachycardia whereas in patients with both sick sinus syndrome and paroxysmal atrial fibrillation they were more widely distributed. We believe that the greater the extent of the compromised atrial muscle, the higher the probability that paroxysmal atrial fibrillation will develop in patients with sick sinus syndrome.

The interelectrode distance of bipolar catheters, the filter frequency settings, the high gain recordings, and the motion at the electrode-tissue interface may affect the recording of fragmented electrograms by the endocardial mapping technique. We used a catheter with a 10 mm interelectrode distance, which is the standard catheter used by most laboratories. Catheters with such a wide interelectrode distance can record more distant electrical activity. Knowledge of the effect that filter settings have on electrogram duration is not fully complete, but the filter setting is known to influence the amplitude of the electrograms. The narrower the band pass selected, the lower the amplitude of the recorded signal obtained.

We measured the duration and the number of fragmented deflections of the electrograms not their amplitude. All the atrial endocardial electrograms in our study were recorded at a fixed gain setting and they remained constant and reproducible at each recording site in individual patients.

Although these fractionated electrograms could be non-specific abnormalities or even artefacts of the recording technique, there is a well established association between their presence and the occurrence of sustained ventricular tachycardia in patients with ischaemic heart disease, that arises from areas of asynchronous and slow conducted depolarisations. Furthermore, a prolonged and fractionated atrial electrogram is known to be characteristic of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation that is closely associated with vulnerability of the atrial muscle.

Fragmented electrical activity is often recorded by a local atrial electrogram in response to single premature atrial stimulation in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. Furthermore, fragmented atrial electrograms suggesting areas of abnormal conduction have been recorded within the circuit in human atrial flutter. Recent clinical mapping studies during atrial flutter showed that fragmented electrograms with a double-spike pattern were recorded from the posterior and posterolateral right atrial wall in most patients. We studied the atrial electrograms during sinus rhythm by means of an endocardial catheter mapping technique. Thus we recorded fragmented double-spike electrograms in only one patient with sick sinus syndrome. This finding is consistent with that of Olshansky et al who performed sequential site atrial mapping during sinus rhythm expressly to search for double potentials in nine patients. They found double
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potentials only in one patient though all their patients had double-spike electrograms during atrial flutter.

Our results help to elucidate the mechanisms responsible for precipitating paroxysmal atrial fibrillation in patients with sinus node dysfunction. We found that the prolonged and fractionated electrocardiometric activity represented anomalous areas of the atrial muscle where reentry was likely. We found that abnormal atrial electrograms were generally localized to the high right atrium in patients with sick sinus syndrome who did not have tachycardia, whereas they were more widely distributed in the right atrium of patients with both sick sinus syndrome and paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. The greater the extent of the compromised atrial muscle the higher the probability that paroxysmal atrial fibrillation will develop in patients with sick sinus syndrome.

We thank Hideko Dozaki, Naoko Sakiyama, and Yoko Sato for their excellent secretarial assistance.

Different distribution of abnormal endocordial electrograms within the right atrium in patients with sick sinus syndrome

Osmar Antonio Centurion, Masahiko Fukatani, Atsushi Konoe, Muneo Tanigawa, Akihiko Shimizu, Shojiro Isomoto, Muneshige Kaibara and Kunitake Hashiba

Br Heart J 1992 68: 596-600
doi: 10.1136/hrt.68.12.596

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://heart.bmj.com/content/68/12/596

Email alerting service

These include:
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/