Modification of atherosclerosis by agents that do not lower cholesterol
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In patients who already have clinical evidence of coronary atherosclerosis there is now clear evidence that several different lipid lowering agents can delay or even reverse the atherosclerotic process reducing the incidence of cardiovascular events and delaying mortality. However, the relation between atherosclerotic events and the blood cholesterol is poor in practical clinical terms, even though a strong statistical relation exists. More detailed measurements of high and low density lipoproteins and a series of apolipoproteins have strengthened the relation of the lipid profile to clinical events but, with the possible exception of high density lipoprotein cholesterol, have not yet stood the test of time to confirm that they are of real use to the clinician in accurately identifying patients at risk. This suggests that factors other than conventional measures of lipid metabolism may be important in the development of atherosclerosis.

Acetylation or oxidation of lipids accumulating in the intima is an essential part of the atherosclerotic process, attracting migrating macrophages, enabling them to take up lipids via scavenger receptors, and resulting in the formation of foam cells. Plaque growth also involves smooth muscle cell proliferation and collagen deposition. Foam cell death results in the formation of a core of lipid "gruel". Intraplaque haemorrhage and thrombosis are probably the major events leading to rapid plaque expansion: an occurrence that may or may not be clinically apparent. Indeed the incidence of myocardial infarction may be better related to enhanced blood coagulability than to raised blood cholesterol. Calcium accumulates usually in areas of cell necrosis and this accumulation may be the final step leading to cell death. However, it is not clear what, if any, pathogenic role calcium has in plaque growth.

Increased understanding of the mechanisms of the development of atherosclerotic plaques suggests several therapeutic targets other than modifying the concentrations of circulating lipids. Antioxidants could reduce the oxidative transformation of lipids and thereby prevent the appearance of foam cells. Growth factor inhibitors and antimitotic agents could prevent smooth muscle cell proliferation. Antithrombotic agents could prevent thrombosis, though potentially increasing the risk of plaque haemorrhage. Calcium antagonists could prevent calcium accumulation within the plaque. Other factors such as modulation of sympathetic activity and alterations in membrane charge can be manipulated and could alter atherogenesis. Finally, "crude" mechanical effects such as vasodilatation and increased blood flow either down the vessel or through the nutrient vasa vasorum or reduced heart rate and therefore frequency of mechanical stress should not be discounted.

The clinician may have an academic interest in those processes that lead to atheroma formation but will remain sceptical of their practical relevance until theory is translated into a reduction in vascular events in patients. Animal models are of limited relevance, especially those in which lipid plaques are induced by force feeding high cholesterol diets to herbivorous animals that normally have very little cholesterol in the diet. The histology of the lipid plaques induced in such animals is largely unknown and probably bears scant relation to atheroma. Moreover, lipid staining in the aorta is usually reported, because this is expedient, rather than the impact on histologically proven atheroma. Also the relation between aortic and coronary atheroma development may be poor. More complex models of atherosclerosis have been developed by selecting strains of animals genetically susceptible to atherosclerosis or by using techniques such as angioplasty to produce endothelial damage. Such models are more expensive and difficult to procure, are studied relatively infrequently, and may still be irrelevant to the human disease. Interpretation of studies on human coronary arteries are not without difficulty as plaque only intrudes into the arterial lumen during the later stages of atheroma development and only then is visible on the coronary angiogram. Up to 40% of the cross sectional area of the vessel must be replaced for this to happen. Reports of drugs preventing new lesion formation are almost certainly false. Visual assessment of the coronary angiogram also depends on comparing normal with abnormal, but normality cannot be reliably identified. Changes in coronary vascular tone, physiological or pharmacological, and eccentric plaque formation create further difficulties in assessing changes in coronary lesions.

Even clinical assessment can be criticised. An agent that reduces atherosclerosis but does not reduce thrombosis may not reduce the incidence of myocardial infarction in patients who already have substantial amounts of atherosclerosis. Such a treatment could nonetheless be very effective in preventing the initial development of atheroma but
would only be proven to be effective in very long-term studies. Clinicians must be on their guard to distinguish between hypotheses based on experiments in the laboratory and clinically proven facts.

**Antioxidants**

The rate at which macrophages take up native low density lipoprotein (LDL) is limited by their low expression of the LDL receptor. This led to the discovery of alternative scavenger receptors that take up oxidised LDL. Oxidised LDL is chemotactic for circulating macrophages (both directly and by releasing factors from the endothelium), inhibits the motility of tissue macrophages, and is cytotoxic. Uptake of β very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) by macrophages can occur in the absence of modification of lipid particles, giving the appearance of foam cells in the absence of oxidised LDL, but modified LDL is probably the more important pathway in foam cell formation.

**Vitamins and related compounds**

**POTENTIAL MECHANISMS OF ACTION**

In cell culture high concentrations of vitamin E, vitamin C, α tocopherol, and β carotene prevent oxidation of LDL by smooth muscle or endothelial cells. However, the efficiency of these antioxidant effects seem to be subject to great inter-individual variation.

**ANIMAL MODELS**

Several studies have examined the effects of vitamins A, C, and E, all of which have antioxidant properties on animal models as mundane as the cholesterol-fed rabbit and as exotic as the Japanese quail. These studies showed conflicting evidence of benefit or, in some instances, harm. This may be due in part to individual variation in vitamin E dependent and independent oxidative resistance. Vitamin E reduced serum cholesterol in some, but not all, studies. Vitamin C seems to be a weak lipid antioxidant but may reduce oxidised vitamin E, rendering it more effective. β Carotene has pro-oxidant as well as antioxidant properties, and despite its incorporation into human LDL particles has been shown not to reduce their susceptibility to oxidation.

**HUMAN STUDIES**

Studies have shown an inverse relation between the average plasma concentrations of antioxidant vitamins and the incidence of coronary disease in different populations. A diet high in polyunsaturated fats or low in essential fatty acids such as oleic acid, unprotected by high concentrations of antioxidants such as selenium and α tocopherol may also predispose to atheroma formation. Hyper-tension could also predispose to reduced intimal antioxidant concentrations.

Reports of benefits with antioxidant treatment are largely anecdotal. A preliminary report from the Harvard Physician’s Heart Study suggests that 50 mg of β carotene administered on alternate days may reduce serious cardiovascular events by around 50%. Likewise a low fat diet that is rich in vitamins A, C, and E may reduce reinfarction and mortality more than a low fat diet alone after an initial infarct.

More rational treatment using antioxidants awaits a proper understanding of the mechanisms that lead to lipid peroxidation.

**Probucol**

**POTENTIAL MECHANISMS OF ACTION**

Probucol is highly lipid soluble and is incorporated into lipoproteins rendering them less susceptible to oxidation, thereby reducing their uptake by macrophages. Probucol may also inhibit cell-mediated oxidation of LDL and it reduces both LDL and HDL cholesterol through interfering with lipid metabolism in various other ways.

**ANIMAL STUDIES**

Evidence for an anti-atherosclerotic effect of probucol in the cholesterol fed rabbit is conflicting, though in the Watanabe heritable hyperlipidaemic rabbit it has consistently reduced the extent of lipid plaque and seems more effective than lovastatin in this respect, despite the fact that probucol was less effective in reducing cholesterol. The relation between the effects on lipid plaque and the effects on atherosclerosis is unclear as stated above.

Probucol has been reported to cause regression of tendon xanthomata. Studies are underway to determine whether probucol can alter the course of femoral artery atherosclerosis in humans; preliminary results may be available soon.

**Calcium antagonists**

**POTENTIAL MECHANISMS OF ACTION**

Changes in intracellular calcium concentration are a ubiquitous signalling system associated with many of the processes of atherosclerosis and such changes have been taken as a rationale for using calcium antagonists to retard atheroma progression. Thankfully, calcium antagonists have a relatively specific effect on calcium transport in a small sub-population of ion channels; if they were more promiscuous they would be lethal.

Calcium antagonists could potentially interfere with atherogenesis by several mechanisms including (a) reduced accumulation of calcium within cells and the vascular wall, (b) interfering with lipid metabolism, (c) reducing intimal permeability to lipids, (d) antioxidant effects, (e) reduced collagen synthesis and smooth muscle cell proliferation, (f) inhibition of platelet aggregation, (g) reduction in arterial pressure.

Verapamil inhibits vascular calcification induced by vitamin D3, and the increase in vascular wall calcium in spontaneously hypertensive rats. Lysol soluble factors, such as vitamin D3, accumulate within foam cells and
this could increase cellular calcium content and lead to cell death. Human plaque, unlike plaque in the cholesterol fed rabbit, contains a high proportion of calcium, but the relation of arterial calcification to atherosclerosis, as opposed to arteriosclerosis, remains obscure.

Calcium antagonists can interfere with lipid metabolism in atherosclerotic plaque in various ways, including increased LDL receptor synthesis and cholesterol uptake, inhibition of lysosomal degradation of lipoprotein and hydrolysis of cholesteryl ester, and a reduction in acylcoenzyme A (ACAT)-mediated cholesterol esterification. These processes may lead to further accumulation of lipid in macrophages that could be deleterious, especially as unesterified cholesterol is more toxic than the esterified form. However, dihydropyridine calcium antagonists may also increase the efflux of unesterified cholesterol from foam cells, possibly by increasing cholesterol hydrolysis, thereby reducing the chance of cell death. Verapamil may have additional effects such as blocking the delivery of cholesterol to ACAT thereby reducing cholesterol esterification and intracellular lipid accumulation. Reports that calcium antagonists change the lipid profile are controversial but suggest that they have a neutral or slightly beneficial effect.

Calcium antagonists reduce intimal permeability to cholesteryl ester (an effect that has been attributed to an amelioration of free radical damage) and exert antiperoxidative effects on lipids. Calcium antagonists can inhibit the proliferation of intimal and vascular smooth muscle cells and collagen deposition. Calcium antagonists may reduce platelet aggregability that could inhibit plaque growth as well as thrombus formation.

Calcium antagonists are very effective at lowering blood pressure though their ability to prevent the complications of hypertension has not been formally tested. A reduction in blood pressure and antagonism of increases in vascular tone at the site of defective endothelium, mediated by agents such as endothelin, could reduce atherosclerosis. However, several animal models have suggested that atheroma may be prevented even if blood pressure is unaffected. Verapamil could also have a beneficial effect by reducing heart rate.

Many of the above studies have been conducted in vitro with concentrations that would not be tolerated in animals, and in animals in concentrations that would not be tolerated by humans. Their relevance to clinical practice is therefore dubious.

**ANIMAL MODELS**

Numerous experimental models in rabbits, pigs, and monkeys force fed cholesterol or with heritable hyperlipidaemia have shown that the dihydropyridine calcium antagonists can have a powerful effect on reducing lipid deposition in the aorta. However, others have been unable to confirm these results in similar models. Moreover, agents that reduce aortic lipid deposition may not have similar effects on the coronary arteries.

Adding these controversies to those of the precise significance of aortic lipid deposition in relation to atherosclerosis should induce a healthy degree of scepticism.

**Dihydropyridine calcium antagonists**

**HUMAN STUDIES**

In contrast to the small numbers of animals treated experimentally there are several large well controlled studies of dihydropyridine calcium antagonists in patients with minor coronary artery disease, after myocardial infarction both short and long term, and in unstable angina and in heart failure. These studies have consistently failed to show a reduction in myocardial infarction or mortality, rather the reverse.

Two well controlled studies did not show any significant overall effect of the dihydropyridine calcium antagonists nifedipine and nicardipine on the progression of coronary artery disease. The INTACT study suggested a reduction in the appearance of new lesions by about 28% that just achieved statistical significance. The study by Waters et al suggested that lesions of < 20% (lesions < 20% were considered not to be definite stenoses in the INTACT study) were half as likely to progress if the patient was treated with nicardipine. The fact that the findings were made independently argues for a real effect. However, these findings may have occurred by chance owing to the numerous comparisons made within the studies, and the possibility of artefact should be considered. A coronary stenosis may appear less severe in a dilated vessel if the atheromatous plaque is eccentric and the segment can relax. The INTACT study had an all cause mortality of 12 on nifedipine but only 2 on placebo (p < 0.05), which is worrying. Although the mortality in the study by Waters et al was not increased by nicardipine there were 17 deaths in the active drug group and 11 deaths in the control—again a trend in the wrong direction. The excess of events in the active groups make it difficult to interpret the small angiographic benefits. Other studies suggesting a beneficial effect of calcium antagonists include a small uncontrolled study by Loaldi et al and a study on coronary saphenous vein grafts by Gottlieb et al.

The study of Gottlieb et al suggested that nifedipine could reduce the rate of graft occlusion and the appearance of graft stenosis with the use of nifedipine in the first year. Graft occlusion at this early stage is usually caused by thrombosis rather than atheroma and irregularities in the vein graft may be better related to intrinsic irregularities of the graft or to subclinical thrombotic episodes. Improved distal run-off, graft vasodilatation and improved flow induced by nifedipine may well be valuable in preventing thrombosis and be of clinical benefit, but this may be poorly related to native artery atherosclerosis or late vein graft stenosis that does have all the appearances of atheroma.
Verapamil and diltiazem

HUMAN STUDIES

There is only one major outcome trial of diltiazem in humans.59 This study in patients after myocardial infarction showed no overall effect on mortality. Those patients with heart failure did worse with diltiazem treatment,52 and exclusion of this group from analysis suggested that outcome may have been improved in the remaining participants. Two large postinfarction studies with verapamil have been reported.53-54 These showed a trend to reduced mortality, that became significant if patients with heart failure were excluded from analysis. All three studies indicated a reduction in recurrent myocardial infarction with these agents.

A study examining the effects of verapamil on the progress of minor coronary disease is being conducted by Kober et al and should report soon.55

β Blockers

POTENTIAL MECHANISMS OF ACTION

β Adrenoceptor antagonists increase blood cholesterol and triglycerides and reduce HDL cholesterol and this has been cited as evidence that they may be atherogenic. However, it is far from clear that these adverse changes in the lipid profile induced by a β adrenoceptor antagonist are harmful.

β Adrenoceptor antagonists increase the cholesterol content of cultured macrophages and smooth muscle cells as does serum obtained from patients treated with a β blocker.56 β Adrenoceptor antagonists may also stimulate proliferation of smooth muscle cells cultured from human aortic intima.57

ANIMAL MODELS

In rabbits subjected to aortic endothelial injury, propranolol increased neointimal thickening, lipid accumulation, cellular proliferation, and collagen content.58 In contrast, β adrenoceptor antagonists retarded the deposition of aortic lipid in the cholesterol fed rabbit and monkey.59-61 β Blockers also reduced endothelial injury related to sympathetic atherosclerosis caused by the social disruption of male monkeys.58

Increased sympathetic activity can increase intimal permeability to cholesterol esters: this can be reversed by β blockers.60 β Blockers also reduce ACAT activity and catecholamine induced platelet aggregability60 and decrease LDL’s affinity for arterial wall proteoglycans that may predispose to atheroma.61

HUMAN STUDIES

One small poorly controlled study suggested that new coronary lesions and progression of existing lesions was more likely with propranolol than with nifedipine.62

β Blockers have an established place in reducing mortality and reinfarction after myocardial infarction. A specific effect of β blockers in reducing cardiovascular events to a greater extent than thiazide diuretics in patients with hypertension is controversial, and was not confirmed in elderly patients.62,63

Consideration of the similar benefits of verapamil and the β blockers after myocardial infarction, at least in the absence of heart failure, suggest that heart rate reduction might be the cause of the reduction in cardiovascular events. Limitation of increases in heart rate is obviously a powerful way of reducing myocardial ischaemia and may reduce atherogenesis. Atheromatous lesions are most likely to develop at the bifurcation of vessels at points where the vessel wall is exposed to high shear stress to the intima and possibly to greater mechanical stress of the vessel wall. Reductions in myocardial oxygen demand and therefore blood flow may reduce shear stresses. Reduction in the frequency of cardiac contraction may reduce the mechanical stress on the coronary vessel walls.

α1 Adrenoceptor antagonists

POTENTIAL MECHANISMS OF ACTION

α1 Adrenoceptor antagonists reduce total serum cholesterol, increase the HDL/total cholesterol ratio, and reduce serum triglycerides in humans. The effect of these favourable changes in the lipid profile on human atherosclerosis is unknown.

In cultured human fibroblasts high concentrations of α1 adrenoceptor antagonists increased LDL receptor activity, possibly as a homoeostatic response to reduced intracellular cholesterol synthesis.64 α1 Adrenoceptor antagonists also reduced dietary cholesterol absorption in the Cynomolgus monkey.65

ANIMAL MODELS

In Golden Syrian hamsters fed a moderately high cholesterol diet doxazosin reduced both lipid accumulation in the aorta and the density of foam cells in the lesions by >50%, an effect that was similar to that of cholestyramine in the same model.66 Hepatic cholesterol synthesis was reduced in this model by reductions in HMG CoA reductase activity,67 while increases in lipoprotein lipase may reduce serum VLDL and triglyceride concentrations.68

ACE inhibitors

Angiotensin II is a potent vasconstritor and pressor agent and stimulates smooth muscle cell proliferation and hypertrophy either directly or through the production of platelet derived growth factor. ACE inhibitors also seem capable of preventing myointimal proliferation after vascular injury, presumably by reducing angiotensin II,69 though an effect of increased bradykinin has not been excluded.

Captopril contains an -SH group that may act as a free radical scavenger, not only reducing intimal permeability to lipoproteins but also the peroxidation of intimal lipids.

ANIMAL STUDIES

Captopril has been reported by Aberg et al to retard dramatically the progress of atheroma.
in the carotid and coronary arteries of *Cynomolgus* monkeys. Aberg et al considered that this was not simply due to a reduction in arterial pressure, because nifedipine had not retarded the progression of atheroma in a similar model despite a hypotensive effect. However, the evidence for an anti-atherosclerotic effect in rabbits is conflicting and the doses of drug used (up to 50 mg/kg/a day of captopril) are not in clinical use.

**HUMAN STUDIES**

Data from both the treatment and prevention arms of the SOLVD study and from SAVE indicate a reduction in hospital admissions for angina, and in fatal and non-fatal myocardial infarction. This has been interpreted as an anti-ischaemic effect of ACE inhibitors. Properly designed clinical trials have not shown convincing evidence of an anti-anginal effect of ACE inhibitors and indeed there is some evidence that they make angina worse if the arterial and therefore coronary perfusion pressure drops significantly. The apparent contradiction can be resolved by postulating that while ACE inhibitors have little anti-angiinal efficacy they can prevent the progress of atherosclerosis, reducing the likelihood of unstable angina, myocardial infarction, and ultimately death.

**Nitrates**

The function of the endothelium adjacent to an atherosclerotic plaque is abnormal. It does not release endothelium-derived relaxing factor and this, in turn, has been considered by some to contribute to the development of atherosclerosis.

Isosorbide dinitrate can inhibit platelet aggregation. However, nitrates do not seem to exert antiatherogenic actions in cell culture. Data from one small study suggested no evidence that long-term treatment with nitrate retards the angiographic progression of coronary disease or reduces cardiovascular morbidity or mortality.

**Ketanserin**

A large clinical trial conducted in patients with intermittent claudication showed no reduction in cardiovascular events with this serotonin antagonist.

**Antithrombotic agents**

Over a century ago Rokitansky hypothesised that thrombosis contributed substantially to the generation of atherosclerosis. The suggestion that the residual coronary stenosis at the site of occlusion is less severe if the patient undergoes thrombolysis supports the contention that plaque rupture and thrombosis is an important determinant of progression of atherosclerosis. It is likely that most coronary thrombotic events are not clinically apparent probably because they do not completely occlude the coronary artery. Organisation of the thrombus and neointimalisation lead to incorporation of the thrombus in the arterial wall and the rapid progression of atheroma. This would explain why atheroma often rapidly progresses focally while remaining stable in other parts of the coronary tree.

**Aspirin**

**POTENTIAL MECHANISMS OF ACTION**

Aspirin inhibits thrombosis only if thromboxane A₂ formation by platelets plays a major part in the growth of thrombi: aspirin has little effect on thrombosis when thrombin generation and fibrin formation are dominant factors. Aspirin is not effective in preventing single-layer platelet adherence to damaged endothelium but may prevent further platelet aggregation. The absence of an effect on the adherence of a platelet monolayer to the injured endothelium has been cited as evidence that aspirin is unlikely to have a specific effect in preventing the development of atheroma, though limitation of the extent of platelet aggregates could still be important. Aspirin does not prevent smooth muscle and intimal hyperplasia in coronary vein grafts, despite the fact that aspirin would be expected to inhibit platelet derived growth factor (PDGF) release, which is a powerful stimulus to smooth muscle cell proliferation.

Theoretically aspirin could accelerate the progression of atheroma by inhibiting prostacyclin formation.

**ANIMAL MODELS**

A sophisticated model of atherosclerosis in pigs suggests that aspirin may prevent the new appearance of atheroma and retard its progression. In autologous cephalic vein grafts inserted into femoral arteries in macaque monkeys with and without hyperlipidaemia, aspirin reduced the lipid content of the graft wall.

**HUMAN STUDIES**

Whereas aspirin is clearly effective in reducing mortality when prescribed within the first few weeks after a myocardial infarction or for unstable angina, the benefit declines sharply the greater the interval from the index event. Patients with cerebrovascular disease have an increased incidence of coronary events, and aspirin reduces the risk of myocardial infarction by around 35% in such patients. However, it is not clear whether aspirin retards the progress of atherosclerosis in addition to diminishing the risk of thrombotic occlusion.

One preliminary communication has suggested that high dose aspirin and dipyridamole in patients with chronic stable angina reduce the likelihood of myocardial infarction by about 50% and reduced the incidence of new lesions on angiography.

The UK doctors study found no reduction in coronary or cerebral vascular events when aspirin prophylaxis was used for primary prevention. A study of similar statistical power (determined by the number of events not the
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number of participants) conducted in the United States implied that aspirin could reduce the risk of myocardial infarction by about 50%.88 However, aspirin had no effect on overall cardiovascular mortality. This apparent discrepancy was largely due to an excess of sudden deaths in the aspirin treated group, a mode of death that was included with myocardial infarction in the British study. In the United States study the ratio of non-fatal to fatal myocardial infarction was about 10:1 whereas in the British study it was 1:1. These disparities are unexplained.

A follow up of the United States study on primary prevention has indicated that the effect of aspirin in reducing myocardial infarction begins soon after start of treatment and the magnitude of the effect does not increase with time, implying no reduction in the development of atheroma86 but rather an effect on thrombotic occlusion. However, studies on peripheral vascular disease have suggested that aspirin may retard the progress of atheroma.90

OTHER ANTI-PLATELET AGENTS
In general the addition of dipyridamole to aspirin did not further reduce vascular complications compared with aspirin alone in clinical studies of cerebral or peripheral vascular disease.89 Ticlopidine showed promise in several recent studies of patients with peripheral vascular disease. It reduced total and cardiac mortality and retarded the angiographic progression of peripheral vascular disease.91 92

Inhibition of thrombin-mediated platelet aggregation may prove a more powerful method of retarding atheroma formation than aspirin.

Heparin

POTENTIAL MECHANISMS OF ACTION
Substances closely allied to heparin are a normal constituent of the endothelium and may form part of the natural defence against thrombosis. Heparin can restore the electronegativity of the damaged intima89 prevent endothelial damage by various compounds, including histamine, angiotensin, and bacterial endotoxin,94 and has antioxidant properties. Heparin reduces the uptake into the vascular wall of LDL, which is normally anionic at physiological pH.95 Heparin neutralises lysosomal cationic proteins released by leucocytes and limits the activation of complement,96 which may be responsible for endothelial damage leading to atherosclerosis. Heparin reduces thrombin formation, enhances its inactivation by antithrombin, and inhibits thrombin enhanced platelet adhesion to the damaged intima.97 Heparin enhances the production of prostacyclin in diseased coronary arteries.98 Heparin also inhibits hyperplasia of the smooth muscle cells stimulated by PDGF. Heparin reduces blood coagulation, enhances fibrinolysis,99 increases circulating HDL,100 and may lower concentrations of circulating triglycerides.101

ANIMAL MODELS
Heparin can prevent and even reverse the deposition of lipid in the aorta in cholesterol fed rabbits.102

HUMAN STUDIES
As long ago as 1956 Engelberg reported that intermittent treatment with heparin could reduce vascular complications in patients with atherosclerosis.103 Several other investigators have reported that low dose long-term heparin reduced vascular complications in patients with coronary artery disease.104 105

Neri Serneri et al compared the effects of long-term (23 months) low dose (12 500 IU once daily) heparin and placebo in 728 patients after myocardial infarction.106 Heparin achieved a reduction in total mortality of borderline statistical significance (34% reduction by intention to treat and 48% on an on-treatment analysis) and reinfarction was reduced by 63%. Inhalation of heparin by nebuliser seems to be an effective, feasible and more acceptable alternative to subcutaneous injection and may need to be administered as infrequently as once every two weeks.102 107

Warfarin

POTENTIAL MECHANISMS OF ACTION
The incidence of coronary events is as, or more, closely related to plasma concentrations of factor VII activity and fibrinogen than to cholesterol. Warfarin considerably reduces factor VII activity. Reduction in non-occlusive thrombotic events could retard the rapid progress of atheroma. Reduction of occlusive events may lead to reductions in the incidence of sudden death and myocardial infarction.

ANIMAL STUDIES
More information is needed on the effect of warfarin in animal studies.

HUMAN STUDIES
There is clear evidence of major benefit for formal anticoagulation as secondary prophylaxis for stable angina108 and myocardial infarction.109 110 Warfarin reduces overall mortality by around 35%, reinfarction by about 45%, and stroke by 60% when its administration is started late after myocardial infarction. In the Dutch reinfarction study the mean time between the randomised withdrawal of warfarin and the earlier index myocardial infarction was 2 years.

A study of low dose warfarin or aspirin or both with a factorial design is underway to determine whether such strategies can delay or prevent coronary events in men at high risk of coronary events.111

Conclusion
Atherosclerosis is a complex chronic disease that is characterised by sudden spurts of growth and catastrophic vascular occlusion. It is unlikely that one intervention will be effec-
tive in preventing the progress of atheroma at all stages of the disease, apart perhaps from the cessation of smoking. Lowering lipids, reduction in blood pressure, and use of antioxidants seem the most likely effective interventions very early in the progress of the condition, and antithrombotic agents seem most likely to be useful in preventing clinical and subclinical thrombosis leading to sudden plaque expansion.

Large scale studies are underway to investigate the effect of most of the agents discussed above on the progression of atheroma. Notable exceptions, as far as we are aware, are blockers and heparin.

New designer drugs will be developed to interfere with specific aspects of the generation of atheroma such as growth factors, cytokines, and adhesion molecules. The major problem in drug development is not candidate drugs or therapies but developing an adequate animal model of the disease process and the cost of establishing benefit whether this be in animal models or in primary or secondary prevention in humans.
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**ABSTRACTS IN CARDIOLOGY**

Do coronary angiograms reflect progression of atherosclerosis?

Are angiographic studies and event counts equally valid in assessing progression of coronary atherosclerosis? The abstract below shows that the first is an independent predictor of the second. Both methods may be measuring a common mechanism for progression and event production, and the most likely contender is thrombosis on plaques.

**MJ DAVIES**

**Coronary disease progression predicts subsequent cardiac events**

David Waters, Tim Craven, Jacques Lespérance

**Abstract**

Progression of coronary disease is frequently used as an endpoint in clinical trials, yet its prognostic significance has not been well documented. Among 383 patients enrolled in such a trial, 335 underwent repeat coronary arteriography at 2 years. At study entry all patients were ≤65 years of age, had at least 4 stenoses ≤75% and had an ejection fraction ≥0.4. Coronary lesions were measured quantitatively using the system of Reiber et al. Progression, defined as an increase in diameter stenosis ≥15%, occurred in 141 patients (42%). During a subsequent follow up of 44 ±10 months, 107 patients had one or more cardiac events: cardiac death (19%), MI (36%), or revascularisation (85). The relative risk (95% confidence intervals) of patients with progression, compared with those without, was 7.34 (2.18–24.7) for cardiac death, 2.29 (1.26–4.19) for cardiac death or MI, and 1.41 (0.98–2.03) for revascularisation, and 1.69 (1.24–2.31) for any event. The results were similar if progression was defined as a decrease in minimum diameter ≥0.4 mm. The relative importance of clinical and angiographic variables was assessed using a stepwise multivariable Cox regression model of time to event. Independent predictors were ejection fraction (p = 0.002), progression (p = 0.003), HDL cholesterol (p = 0.005), and hypertension (p = 0.013) for cardiac death; ejection fraction (p = 0.001) and progression (p = 0.002) for cardiac death or MI; and progression (p = 0.04), angina (p = 0.05), ejection fraction (p = 0.05), and HDL cholesterol (p = 0.05) for any clinical event.

**Conclusion**—Coronary progression is a powerful, independent predictor of subsequent coronary events and its use as a surrogate endpoint in clinical trials is justified. *(Circulation* 1992;86:1–199A.)
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