Effects of motivation of the patient on indices of exercise capacity in chronic heart failure
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Abstract

Background—Measurement of variables of metabolic gas exchange during exercise is widely used to assess the severity of heart failure. The variables derived however, are potentially dependent on motivation of the patient and duration of exercise.

Methods—The data from exercise tests in 23 patients with exertional breathlessness were analysed to derive the following three common indices of exercise tolerance: anaerobic threshold, extrapolated maximum oxygen consumption, and the ventilation to carbon dioxide production slope. The data were reanalysed with the data points from the first 90% of subsequent exercise, the first 75%, and finally the data up to the point where a respiratory gas exchange ratio of 1 was reached.

Results—The mean (SEM) anaerobic threshold was lower when computed from 90% of the data points than from 100% (13.2 (1.0) ml/kg/min v 12.5 (1.0), p < 0.001) and lower still from 75% (11.4 (0.7), p = 0.006 v 90%). Extrapolated maximum oxygen consumption was unchanged when computed from 90% of the data, but higher when computed from 75% (25.4 (2.1) ml/kg/min at 100% v 28.6 (2.1) at 75%, p < 0.001). The slope of the ventilation to carbon dioxide production ratio became progressively shallower measured from 90% and 75% of eventual exercise: 32.3 (1.5) from 100% v 30.0 (1.5) from 90%, p < 0.001; and 28.3 from 75%, p < 0.001 v 90%. At a respiratory gas exchange ratio of 1, extrapolated oxygen consumption was unchanged from the final calculation, anaerobic threshold was lower than at 100% of exercise (11.8 (0.9), p = 0.005) and the ventilation to carbon dioxide production slope was shallower (27.5 (1.4), p < 0.001).

Conclusions—Anaerobic threshold tends to overestimate severity of exercise limitation and extrapolated maximum oxygen consumption and the ventilation to carbon dioxide production slope tend to underestimate severity. Extrapolated maximum oxygen consumption is the most reliable of the three measures, and is independent of effort provided that patients are encouraged to exercise to the point where the respiratory gas exchange ratio exceeds 1.

(Br Heart J 1994;71:162–165)
Table 1  Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (range) age (yr)</td>
<td>54-4 (45-69); 3 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis (n)</td>
<td>Normal 42, IHD (14, DCM 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA</td>
<td>0-4, I-3, II-6, III-5, IV-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peak VO₂</td>
<td>18-6 (3-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretic equivalent (mg)*</td>
<td>0 (20), 1 (45), 2 (65), III (85), IV (95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE†</td>
<td>0 (0), 1 (1), II (3), III (5), IV (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NYHA, New York Heart Association classification of symptoms in chronic heart failure; IHD, ischaemic heart disease; DCM, dilated cardiomyopathy. Peak VO₂, peak oxygen consumption in ml/kg/min. *Average daily dose of diuretic equivalent to a dose of frusemide by NYHA class. (2 mg bumetanide is assumed to be equivalent to 40 mg frusemide). ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme. Numbers of patients in each NYHA category taking an ACE inhibition. †Four subjects aged 45-8 (10-3) presenting with shortness of breath who had no cardiopulmonary abnormality on investigation. Average peak VO₂ in this subset was 40-5 ml/kg/min.

The measurements of anaerobic threshold, extrapolated VO₂ max, and VE/VCO₂ slope do not in theory depend on reaching VO₂ max, but because of the mathematical techniques, different values could be obtained if only the data from early exercise are used. This study was designed to examine the effects of variations in patients’ effort during exercise on the final value for anaerobic threshold, extrapolated VO₂ max, and the VE/VCO₂ slope. Specifically, we wished to find whether the values obtained varied with duration of exercise. We also analysed the data up to the point where the respiratory gas exchange ratio reached 1. Exercise beyond this point is often taken to indicate that near maximal exercise tolerance has been reached.

Patients and methods

Maximal exercise tests from 23 consecutive patients were analysed. The exercise tests were all carried out on a treadmill with a standard Bruce protocol and an additional stage 0 for the first three minutes exercise at 1 mile hour with a 5% gradient. Subjects were encouraged to exercise to exhaustion. Exercise test results were only analysed if subjects had exercised to a point where the respiratory gas exchange ratio (VCO₂/VO₂) had reached at least 1-0, and if the limiting factor to exercise was fatigue or breathlessness. The exercise tests were all conducted for clinical reasons in patients with exercise limitation caused by suspected left ventricular dysfunction. Table 1 shows patient variables. All subjects were non-odematous. All patients except two were familiar with the exercise protocol, having had at least one previous exercise test with expired gas analysis in the past (average (range) 4-3 months one week to one year) before the current test. A subset of 10 patients undertook two exercise tests a week apart to allow assessment of the reproducibility of the variables.

Subjects expired through a one way valve connected to a mass spectrometer (Innovision, Denmark). Ventilation, VO₂ and VCO₂ were calculated on line every 10 seconds by a standard inert gas dilution technique.

The data collected were used to derive values for anaerobic threshold, extrapolated VO₂ max, and VE/VCO₂ slope. Values were calculated including only those data points for the first 90% of exercise, and then again including only the first 75% of exercise. We also calculated the same indices for the data up to the point at which a respiratory gas exchange ratio of 1 was reached; this point is often taken to indicate that exercise is near the maximum.

Anaerobic threshold was calculated by modelling VCO₂ as a function of VO₂. Regression lines are computed for the data before and after an inflection on the VCO₂/VO₂ slope, and the intersection of these lines is the anaerobic threshold (fig 1). Extrapolated VO₂ max was derived by fitting a curve to the data plotted as VO₂ as a function of VCO₂ (fig 2). The VE/VCO₂ slope was calculated as a linear regression function. In all cases, the regression coefficient was > 0-9. Both VE and VCO₂ were measured in litres/minute, so the slope has no dimensions. All these indices were calculated by microcomputer to remove any possible contribution of observer bias.

Comparisons were made by paired Student’s t test, with corrections for multiple comparisons by Scheffe’s procedure. Corrected p values of > 0-05 were taken to be significant. Results are quoted as means (SEM).

Results

In the group of 10 patients undertaking two exercise tests, the average peak VO₂ was 16-5 ml/kg/min (5-2) for week 1, and 16-5 (5-1) for week 2. The VE/VCO₂ slope for week 1 was 37-4 (11-4) and for week 2 38-0 (13-0). Anaerobic threshold was 11-8 (3-6) ml/kg/min in week 1 and 12-1 (3-9) in week 2. Extrapolated VO₂ max was 17-3 (2-1) ml/kg/min in week 1 and 18-2 (2-5) in week 2. Thus in this group of patients, there seemed to be no familiarisation effect with repeated exercise tests. All these patients had previously had exercise tests, however, and...
may be considered already familiar with the procedure.

Table 2 shows the results for all patients. All patients reached a respiratory gas exchange ratio of over 1:0 at peak exercise. The mean (SEM) respiratory quotient at 90% of exercise was still greater than 1:0 in all but three patients (1:07 (0:02) and at 75% of exercise it was 0:98 (0:02).

Anaerobic threshold could not be computed for one subject at 75% of exercise due to an inadequate number of points, and extrapolated \( V_{O2} \) max could not be computed for two subjects at 90% and at 75% of exercise as an exponential curve could not be fitted to the data. The \( V_{E}/V_{CO2} \) slope was calculated in all instances.

The anaerobic thresholds calculated from data up to 90% and 75% of exercise were significantly lower than the values obtained with 100% of exercise duration. At 90% the value was 5:3% lower, and at 75%, 13:6% lower than from the data for the whole of exercise. Extrapolated \( V_{O2} \) by contrast, was unchanged when computed with the data for 90% of exercise, but was 12:6% higher when computed at 75% of eventual peak exercise.

The \( V_{E}/V_{CO2} \) slope was also altered when considered at earlier stages of exercise. Although the linear regression coefficient remained greater than 0:9 at all times, the slope was lower at earlier stages of exercise. The slope was 7% lower when calculated at 90% of exercise (p < 0:001 v 100%) and 12:4% lower when calculated from the data at 75% of exercise (p < 0:001 v 90%).

The data from the experimental runs to the point where a respiratory gas exchange ratio of 1 was reached show that the anaerobic threshold was lower than at peak exercise (11:8 (0:9) v 13:2 (1:0) ml/kg/min, p < 0:005), and that the \( V_{E}/V_{CO2} \) slope was lower at 27:5 (1:4) v 32:3 (1:5) (p < 0:001). The extrapolated \( V_{O2} \) max was not significantly different from the value obtained with 100% of the exercise data.

**Discussion**

In assessing the severity of chronic heart failure, it is important to be able to derive as objective a measure as possible. It is known that exercise tolerance will vary with the environment, subject motivation and experience, and the enthusiasm of the supervisor. Thus a measure that is relatively independent of these factors is to be welcomed. Anaerobic threshold, extrapolated \( V_{O2} \) max, and the slope of \( V_{E}/V_{CO2} \) are three such possible measures.

Anaerobic threshold is attractive. It is straightforward for a computer algorithm to identify, and is an appealing concept. It is not, however, easy to identify in all cases14,17, and often no distinct point of change in ventilation can be identified. Recent studies showing a smooth transition to anaerobic metabolism at different times in different muscle groups makes it unlikely that a single anaerobic point could be relied upon, as shown in a study by Yeh et al.,14 who found no observable lactate threshold in venous or arterial blood in normal subjects. It is difficult to know if this theoretical concept has any physiological meaning; certainly, ventricular function is not affected by reaching the anaerobic threshold.18 Further, the reduction in blood lactate induced by dichloroacetate in a study by Wilson et al resulted in no change in either peak \( V_{O2} \) or exercise time.20

Extrapolated \( V_{O2} \) max represents a theoretical \( V_{O2} \) max that would have been achieved had the subject been able to continue to exercise until an objective \( V_{O2} \) max had been reached. It is calculated from the same data as the anaerobic threshold. One attraction is that it is reported to be independent of patient motivation.10 The analysis treats all data points equally.

We have found that when calculated from the data obtained during the first 90% of exercise, the extrapolated \( V_{O2} \) max is unchanged from that obtained during the whole exercise period. Anaerobic threshold, however, is significantly lower when measured at 90% of peak exercise and is lower again when measured at 75% of the eventual 100% exercise. This reflects the fact that there is no discrete anaerobic threshold, and that with increasing exercise, the \( V_{CO2} \) moves smoothly further and further from the \( V_{O2} \); as
these points are removed from the calculation, the regression line plotted from the data for this part of exercise becomes shallower, and the aerobic threshold falls.

Similar remarks apply to the extrapolated V\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} max. As V\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} moves further from V\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} peak at exercise, a plateau is formed when V\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} is plotted against V\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} this is extrapolated V\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} max. If the further points are removed, the plateau is less pronounced, and it becomes less precise to fit a curve to the data. Thus there is the effect that as duration of exercise falls, aerobic threshold will overestimate the severity of limitation of exercise by returning a lower value, whereas extrapolated V\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} max will underestimate by returning a higher value.

The increase in the V\textsubscript{E}/V\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} seen in patients with chronic heart failure provides a valuable insight into understanding the mechanisms underlying the breathlessness of chronic heart failure. Although the slope does seem to be well described by a linear regression function, we have previously shown that the V\textsubscript{E}/V\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} varies from a linear function, and that the degree to which the V\textsubscript{E}/V\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} ratio rises at the end of exercise is a function of the severity of heart failure.\textsuperscript{21} The eventual V\textsubscript{E}/V\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} slope is not well predicted by results from early in exercise.\textsuperscript{22,23} The data presented here confirm that the V\textsubscript{E}/V\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} varies throughout exercise, and is lower earlier in exercise. Thus a poorly motivated subject will have a lower V\textsubscript{E}/V\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} slope, and the degree of impairment of exercise present may be underestimated.

To reach a respiratory gas exchange ratio of 1 is often taken to mean that the exercise performed is near maximal. The data presented here, however, show that at this clinical end point, only extrapolated V\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} max is a reliable estimate of eventual exercise tolerance. Anaerobic threshold is lower and the V\textsubscript{E}/V\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} slope higher at this point, as might be expected from the findings from 90\% and 75\% of eventual exercise time.

In assessing the degree of chronic heart failure, a range of variables has to be borne in mind. In this study we have shown that extrapolated V\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} max is a better estimate of exercise tolerance than anaerobic threshold, and if an exercise test has progressed to a point where the respiratory gas exchange ratio is greater than 1\textsuperscript{-1}, then the calculated value for extrapolated V\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} max is likely to be representative of that subject's exercise capacity. Anaerobic threshold is less reliable; as duration of exercise falls, so does the anaerobic threshold. The V\textsubscript{E}/V\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} slope as an index of severity of chronic heart failure is also importantly affected by patient motivation. Anaerobic threshold tends to overestimate and V\textsubscript{E}/V\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} slope to underestimate the severity of limitation of exercise. Extrapolated V\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} is a better indicator for monitoring patients with chronic heart failure, but the patient should be encouraged to exercise beyond a point where the respiratory gas exchange ratio is 1. In this study we have tried specifically to assess the possible effects of patient motivation on possible indices of severity of chronic heart failure during an exercise test. We have not assessed the stability of extrapolated V\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} max as an exercise end point over time, and it will be important in the future to determine whether changes in extrapolated V\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} max and V\textsubscript{E}/V\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} follow treatment of chronic heart failure in parallel with increases in peak V\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} and to determine the effect of different exercise protocols, known to affect the measured peak V\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} on extrapolated V\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} max and V\textsubscript{E}/V\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}}.
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