Radiofrequency catheter ablation of Mahaim tachycardia by targeting Mahaim potentials at the tricuspid annulus
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Abstract

Background—Reentrant tachycardias associated with Mahaim pathways are rare but potentially troublesome. Various electrophysiological substrates have been postulated and catheter ablation at several sites has been described.

Objective—To assess the efficacy and feasibility of targeting discrete Mahaim potentials recorded on the tricuspid annulus for the delivery of radiofrequency energy in the treatment of Mahaim tachycardia.

Patients—21 patients out of a consecutive series of 579 patients referred to one of three tertiary centres for catheter ablation of accessory pathways causing tachycardia. All had symptoms and presented with tachycardia of left bundle branch block configuration or had this induced at electrophysiological study. In all cases, the tachycardia was anterograde with anterograde conduction over a Mahaim pathway.

Results—6 patients had additional tachycardia substrates (4 had accessory atrioventricular connections and 2 had dual atrioventricular nodal pathways and atrioventricular nodal reentry). After ablation of the additional pathways, Mahaim potentials were identified in 16 (76%) associated with early activation of the distal right bundle branch and radiofrequency energy at this site on the tricuspid annulus abolished Mahaim conduction in all 16 cases. In 2 patients there was early ventricular activation at the annulus without a Mahaim potential but radiofrequency energy abolished pre-excitation. In the remaining patients no potential could be found (1 patient), no tachycardia could be induced after ablation of an additional pathway (1 patient), or no Mahaim conduction was evident during the study (1 patient). During follow up (1–29 months, median 9 months) all but 1 patient remained symptom free without medication.

Conclusions—Additional accessory pathways seem to be common in patients with Mahaim tachycardias. The identification of Mahaim potentials at the tricuspid annulus confirms that most of these pathways are in the right free wall and permits their successful ablation and the abolition of associated tachycardia.
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The advent of radiofrequency energy for catheter ablation dramatically changed the management of patients with reentrant tachycardias mediated through accessory pathways. Many now regard radiofrequency ablation as the best procedure for those with symptomatic tachycardia. Its role in Mahaim tachycardia, a rare variant of the Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome, is less well defined because most Mahaim fibres exhibit electrophysiological properties that render conventional methods of mapping and ablation inapplicable.

Anomalous conduction pathways connecting the atrioventricular node or His-Purkinje system to the ventricle were first described by Mahaim over 50 years ago. Their part played by such fibres in pre-excitation and their function in tachycardia has been the subject of considerable attention ever since. They were shown to be a necessary component of the tachycardia reentrant circuit during an electrophysiological study by Gallagher et al and subsequently by others. When in 1984 a histological study by Gmeiner et al showed that these accessory tracts were present in a patient with tachycardia the link with the abnormality seemed firmly established. The clinical relevance of Gmeiner's work was thrown open to question, however, when Tchou et al found that the accessory pathway originated directly from the right atrium independently of the atrioventricular node in all eight patients in a surgical series. These findings have since been corroborated by others.

The term Mahaim tachycardia is used to describe atrioventricular reentrant tachycardias secondary to right sided accessory pathways that show decremental anterograde conduction and participate as the anterograde limb in antidromic atrioventricular reciprocating tachycardia. Such accessory pathways may be inserted into the distal right bundle branch (atriofascicular) or directly into the ventricular myocardium near the tricuspid annulus (atrioventricular). Furthermore there is no convincing evidence of retrograde conduction in Mahaim pathways. We describe our experience in ablating the substrate for Mahaim tachycardia by targeting Mahaim potentials at the tricuspid annulus.

Patients and methods

Between December 1992 and June 1994 a total of 579 patients were referred to our
hospitals to be considered for catheter ablation of an accessory atrioventricular connection. Twenty-one (4%) had Mahaim tachycardia. All had symptoms and had confirmed wide complex tachycardia (fig 1). They were aged 7–53 (median 33) and 15 were female. Twelve patients had had a previous electrophysiological study. Two of the patients had Ebstein's anomaly of the tricuspid valve; no other structural cardiac abnormality was seen. Six patients had additional tachycardia substrates: four had an additional accessory atrioventricular connection (two concealed) and two had additional slow atrioventricular nodal pathways. The resting electrocardiogram was normal in 11 patients, and showed pre-excitation over the Mahaim pathway in eight and over an additional accessory pathway in two patients. The Mahaim fibre was shown to be a direct atrioventricular connection in four (all had shown resting pre-excitation over the Mahaim pathway) and an atriofascicular connection that was inserted into the distal right bundle branch in 17 (table).

**Figure 1** Surface 12 lead electrocardiogram of Mahaim tachycardia showing typical broad complex left bundle branch block configuration.

### Characteristics of the patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Previous EPS</th>
<th>ECG in SR</th>
<th>Site of Mahaim</th>
<th>Additional AFs</th>
<th>Tachycardia mechanism*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Ant†</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Ant†</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Antlat</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Ant</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Sp(M)</td>
<td>Antlat</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Px(M)</td>
<td>Antlat</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Yes(E)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>No(E)</td>
<td>Px(M)</td>
<td>Ant</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Px(M)</td>
<td>Post</td>
<td>RFW (conc)</td>
<td>OAVRT, MT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Ant</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>AVNRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>M‡</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Antlat</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>AVNRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Post</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Antlat</td>
<td>LFW (conc)</td>
<td>OAVRT, MT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Px(M)</td>
<td>Ant</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Px(AP)</td>
<td>Ant</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>OAVRT, M-AP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Antlat</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>M‡</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Px(M)</td>
<td>Ant†</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Px(AP)</td>
<td>Antlat</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>OAVRT, M-AP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Px(M)</td>
<td>Ant†</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Px(M)</td>
<td>Post†</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Lat</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SR, sinus rhythm; AP, accessory pathway; (E), Ebstein's anomaly of the tricuspid valve; Px, pre-excitation with letter in bracket indicating route of pre-excitation (N, normal; M, Mahaim); Ant, anteroseptal; Antlat, anterolateral; Lat, lateral; Postlat, posterolateral; Post, posterior; NM, not mapped (procedural failure); NC, no evidence of Mahaim pathway conduction; PS, posteroseptal; SP, slow pathway; LFW, left free wall; RFW, right free wall; (conc), concealed accessory pathway; OAVRT, orthodromic atrioventricular reentrant tachycardia (narrow complex); MT, Mahaim tachycardia (Mahaim anterograde and AV node retrograde); AVNRT, atrioventricular nodal reentrant tachycardia (narrow complex); M-AP, Mahaim anterograde and accessory pathway retrograde.

*Tachycardia induced during ablation procedure.
†Atrioventricular Mahaim pathway.
‡Two ablation procedures required.

**Electrophysiological study and ablation procedure**

After they gave informed consent all the patients underwent electrophysiological study and ablation after an overnight fast. All antiarrhythmic medication had been stopped at least five half lives before the procedure. Patients were sedated with diazepam and dexamethasone.

Three or four multipolar electrodes were introduced through a femoral or subclavian vein and positioned under fluoroscopic guidance in the high right atrium, coronary sinus, right ventricular apex, and across the tricuspid valve to record the His bundle electrogram. In those who had undergone previous electrophysiological study a coronary sinus electrode was not inserted unless there was evidence of an additional left sided accessory pathway. Electrograms were filtered at 30–500 Hz and recorded together with all 12 standard surface electrocardiographic leads on optical disc by a Bard LabSystem (C R Bard, Haverhill, Massachusetts). Programmed electrical stimulation of the right atrium and ventricle was performed with a programmable stimulator delivering rectangular pulses of 2 ms duration at twice diastolic threshold (Medtronic 5328, Minneapolis, Minnesota). Tachycardia induction was attempted at three basic drive cycle lengths (600, 500, and 400 ms) using up to two extrastimuli at progressively shorter coupling.
Figure 2  Surface electrocardiogram and intracardiac recordings during mapping in (A) a patient with an atriofascicular Mahaim pathway and (B) a second patient with a direct atrioventricular connection. (A) Intracardiac recordings from the high right atrium (HRA), distal His bundle (HBED), mid His bundle (His 2 and 3), proximal His bundle (His 4), and mapping catheter positioned at the right ventricular apex (MAPd), together with surface leads I, aVL, V1, and V6. During sinus rhythm (1) earliest His activation occurred proximally; in contrast, during atrial pacing with maximal pre-excitation (2) the distal His bundle leads indicated reversal of His bundle activation sequence. Early ventricular activation at the right ventricular apex during atrial pacing (3) confirmed an atriofascicular connection. (B) Intracardiac recordings from the high right atrial pacing electrode (RAP), His bundle (HBE), mapping catheter positioned on the tricuspid annulus (MAPd), and right ventricular apex (RV), together with surface ECG leads I, aVF, V1, and V6. The vertical line marks the onset of the QRS complex and ventricular activation. The His bundle potential was inscribed at the onset of local ventricular activation (1). Early ventricular activation was seen on the mapping catheter at the level of the tricuspid annulus (2), whereas apical ventricular activation was late (3), confirming a direct atrioventricular connection.

intervals. If this failed, incremental atrial or ventricular pacing was tried up to the point of atrioventricular or ventriculooatrial block.

The existence of a Mahaim pathway and of its participation as a necessary component in the reentrant circuit during tachycardia was confirmed by:

(a) A decrement in both AH and AV intervals with premature atrial stimuli, but with a lesser degree of AV decrement, so that the HV interval progressively shortened with increasing atrial prematurity.

(b) During both tachycardia and atrial pacing with maximal pre-excitation the His bundle activation sequence was seen to reverse, indicating retrograde activation of the His bundle (fig 2A).

(c) Participation as the anterograde limb in antidromic atrioventricular reciprocating tachycardia. This can be accomplished by
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Figure 3 Cineradiograph of catheter position for ablation of a right posterior Mahaim pathway taken in the left anterior oblique (A) and right anterior oblique posteroanterior (B), and right anterior oblique (C) projections. The upper catheter was positioned in the high right atrium, the middle catheter across the tricuspid valve to record His activation, and the lower catheter posteriorly on the tricuspid annulus at the site of Mahaim potential recording. Figures 4A and LB show corresponding electrograms.

Speed: 100 mm/s

Figure 4 Intracardiac electrogram and surface electrocardiographic leads as in fig 2. (A) Sinus rhythm. A large potential was recorded from the distal His bundle region (1) and a typical discrete Mahaim pathway potential (2) from the posterior tricuspid annulus, distinct in timing from and slightly earlier than the His bundle potential. Both Mahaim pathway and anterograde His bundle activation occurred before the onset of the surface QRS complex. (B) Mahaim tachycardia. Mahaim potential (arrows) recorded during tachycardia from the same patient as in fig 4A. The Mahaim potential clearly preceded ventricular activation. The His bundle potential on the distal His bundle electrode (HBED) was clearly visible just before the local ventricular electrogram; proximal His bundle activation was obscured by the ventricular electrogram. In contrast to sinus rhythm, during tachycardia ventricular activation and surface QRS onset preceded retrograde His bundle activation. See legend to fig 2 for abbreviations.
Figure 5. Recordings made during radiofrequency energy delivery. (A) Intra-cardiac recordings from the high right atrium and distal His bundle, together with surface leads I, aVL, V1, and V6. Abrupt block in Mahaim pathway conduction and normalisation of the surface QRS occurred 4-4 seconds after the onset of energy delivery. (B) Continuous recording from surface ECG lead I energy application, showing typical pattern of stuttering block. Onset of radiofrequency energy (1), initial block in Mahaim pathway conduction at 9-5 seconds (2); ectopic activity originating from the Mahaim pathway continued up until the end of the first 30 seconds of energy application. Ablation at this site was successful with further energy.

QRs complexes and the QRS complexes in tachycardia have a left bundle branch block configuration. During tachycardia or atrial pacing with maximum pre-excitation atriofascicular Mahaim pathways showed early ventricular activation at the right ventricular apex (fig 2A) whereas atroventricular Mahaim connections...
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showed early ventricular activation at the tricuspid annulus (fig 2B). After Mahaim tachycardia was confirmed a large-tip deflectable electrode catheter (7 or 8 F, 4 mm distal electrode, Polaris, Mansfield-Webster, Boston Scientific, Watertown, Massachusetts) was introduced through the femoral vein and passed to the right heart to enable mapping of the tricuspid annulus. Target sites for ablation were selected on the basis of the presence of discrete Mahaim potentials: these resembled signals recorded from the His bundle electrocardiogram but at sites remote from it. In addition, such potentials could be temporally dissociated from the His bundle electrogram by atrial pacing and during Mahaim tachycardia. At the site of Mahaim potential recording, with the ablation catheter positioned on or slightly toward the ventricular aspect of the tricuspid annulus, we delivered a radiofrequency current (500 kHz unmodulated continuous sinusoidal waveform) at 20–40 W between the catheter tip electrode and a large indifferent electrode applied to the left posterior chest wall. Radiofrequency energy was applied for up to three and a half minutes, but delivery was stopped if no effect was seen within 15 seconds. If no Mahaim potential could be found we selected sites for ablation on the basis of stimulus-to-delta wave mapping during constant rate atrial pacing.13 If the Mahaim tachycardia was shown to be caused by a direct atrioventricular connection (earliest ventricular activation at the tricuspid annulus) we performed ablation using standard techniques for accessory pathway ablation and applied energy at the site of earliest ventricular activation. The position of the catheter tip at the successful ablation site was recorded in the 30° right anterior oblique and 30° left anterior oblique projections (fig 3).

**Results**

Mahaim pathway conduction was present in 20 of the 21 patients: in one in whom a previous electrophysiological study had suggested a Mahaim tachycardia, there was no evidence of accessory pathway conduction at the ablation procedure. Of the 20 patients in whom Mahaim pathway conduction was demonstrated, 16 had atriofascicular pathways and four had accessory atrioventricular connections. The site of the Mahaim pathway at the level of the tricuspid annulus was determined in 19 patients. In the remaining case early ventricular activation occurred at the tricuspid annulus, confirming an atrioventricular Mahaim pathway; but we could not determine the exact site of the pathway and the procedure failed.

Eighteen of the 21 Mahaim accessory pathways were successfully ablated and there were no significant complications. One patient is awaiting a further procedure, and in the other two tachycardia is no longer inducible, despite resting pre-excitation in one, and therefore no further intervention is planned. Mahaim potentials were identified in 16 patients during sinus rhythm or atrial pacing and in one patient they were also demonstrated during antidromic tachycardia (fig 4A and 4B). In the five patients Mahaim potentials could not be identified: in two patients because there was early ventricular activation at the tricuspid annulus (that is, direct atrioventricular connection) and pre-excitation was abolished by radiofrequency energy at this site by conventional pathway mapping techniques, in one, no potential found and the procedure failed, in one, no tachycardia was inducible after ablation of an additional pathway, and in one no Mahaim conduction was evident. Procedure and fluoroscopic times (including diagnostic electrophysiological study) ranged from 49 min to 260 min (median 120), and from 7 min to 107 min (median 30) respectively. In two patients two procedures were required for successful ablation of the Mahaim pathway.

Conduction over the Mahaim pathway was abolished either abruptly or more typically, in a stuttering fashion with ectopic activity that had a QRS morphology identical to the Mahaim pre-excitation pattern (fig 5A and B). A stuttering block was seen in 12 cases. Radiofrequency energy was applied at 1–13 sites (median 3) and for up to 210s (median 90) at the successful site. After abolition of conduction down the Mahaim pathway we waited 30 min before repeating the electrophysiological testing to confirm successful ablation.

In five patients pre-excitation over the Mahaim pathway was abolished by the pressure of the catheter tip. In these patients radiofrequency energy was applied at the site of mechanical block and the waiting period was extended to up to 2 hours. Despite energy delivery at this site conduction over the Mahaim pathway recurred within 2 hours in three patients: in these patients electrogram guided ablation was successfully performed during the same procedure. In two patients a traumatic block persisted for > 24 hours: one patient presented 3 weeks later with recurrent tachycardia and ablation was successful 3 months after the initial procedure and in the other patient ablation was performed twice (by the 6 week follow up visit. In this patient tachycardia was not inducible at a repeat electrophysiological study so no further procedure is planned. During a follow up of from 1 to 29 months (median 9 months) there has been no other late recurrence of conduction in either the Mahaim pathway or other ablated tachycardia substrates.

Four patients showed evidence of an additional accessory atrioventricular connection; in all this connection was shown to be responsible for the dominant tachycardia at the start of the procedure and these additional pathways were ablated before ablation of the Mahaim fibre was attempted. Two patients had anterogradely conducting posteroseptal accessory pathways; in both these were responsible for the pattern of pre-excitation in sinus rhythm and they were ablated by standard techniques. Similarly, in the patients with a concealed left and right free wall
accessory pathway, mapping and ablation were performed in the usual manner during 
ventricular pacing. In one of the patients with 
an additional slow atrioventricular nodal pathway the slow pathway was successfully 
ablated before the Mahaim fibre. In the other 
patient with additional atrioventricular nodal 
reentrant tachycardia pre-excitation via the 
Mahaim fibre could not be demonstrated dur-
ing sinus rhythm or with atrial pacing. The 
dominant arrhythmia was typical atrioventric-
ular nodal reentrant tachycardia although 
non-sustained Mahaim tachycardia was seen 
on three occasions, during which retrograde 
conduction was via the fast atrioventricular 
nodal pathway. Therefore, because in the 
absence of pre-excitation the Mahaim path-
way could not be mapped, we decided to 
ablate the common retrograde limb of both 
tachycardias—the fast atrioventricular nodal 
pathway. This resulted in a ventriculoatrial 
block so that neither tachycardia was 
decisive and it unmasked the pre-excitation 
caused by the Mahaim pathway.

Discussion
This consecutive series of 21 patients showed 
that radiofrequency ablation is a highly suc-
cessful procedure for the treatment of a rare 
but potentially lethal arrhythmia.9 Consistent 
with other series describing catheter-based 
or surgical techniques for the treatment of 
Mahaim tachycardias none of our subjects 
was found to have the substrate as originally 
described by Mahaim.1 In fact, it may be that 
the atrioventricular fibres originally described 
by Kent are the substrate for Mahaim tachy-
cardia, these accessory bundles had the histo-
logical characteristics of atrioventricular nodal 
tissue.14 Nevertheless the term “Mahaim 
tachycardia”, as applied to the syndrome of a 
right sided accessory pathway with decremen-
tal anterograde conduction and participation 
of this pathway as the anterograde limb in a 
wide QRS complex tachycardia of left bundle 
branch block configuration, has become so 
entrenched that it would be impractical to 
rename it.

Haissaguerre et al reported successful 
catheter ablation of Mahaim fibres in three 
patients by high energy direct current shocks 
targeted on the distal insertion of the atriofas-
cicular pathway.15 Two patients in this small 
series developed permanent right bundle 
branch block. Furthermore with this approach 
the distal right bundle branch may be ablated 
without destroying the atriofascicular fibre. 
This may result in a tachycardia with a longer 
cycle length (retrograde conduction via the 
left bundle branch) and possibly incessant 
tachycardia.

Klein et al described the successful ablation 
of three atriofascicular and one atrioventricular 
Mahaim fibres when radiofrequency energy 
was delivered at the tricuspid annulus.13 The 
ablation site on the tricuspid annulus was 
selected during either constant rate atrial pac-
ing, in which the operator searches for the site 
at which a premature atrial beat produces the 
shortest stimulus-to-delta interval, or alterna-
tively during tachycardia by locating the site 
at which the atrial beat could advance ventricular activation without affect-
ing the timing of the His bundle atrial electro-
gram. Both of these mapping techniques are 
complicated and inherently imprecise; as is 
borne out by the large number of radiofre-
quency energy deliveries required to achieve 
success in Klein’s series (range 10–19).

In most of our patients, except for the cases 
with a direct atrioventricular connection, the 
target site for ablation was identified on the 
basis of what was presumed to be a discrete 
Mahaim potential. Mahaim potentials have 
been described elsewhere,16–17 and ablation of 
a Mahaim fibre after localisation of Mahaim 
potentials has been described in a case 
report,18 but to our knowledge this is the first 
large prospective study of the feasibility of 
ablating a Mahaim pathway by targeting such 
potentials for radiofrequency energy delivery. 
We have never encountered such potentials 
on mapping of the tricuspid annulus during 
ablation procedures for typical non-decre-
mental accessory atrioventricular connections, 
and furthermore Mahaim pathway ablation 
was successful in all patients in whom 
Mahaim potentials could be recorded. We 
believe that this method is the best way to 
ablate Mahaim tachycardias because it is 
likely to require fewer energy deliveries, 
because it uses simpler mapping techniques, 
and because it avoids the potential complica-
tions of ablating in the region of the distal 
right bundle branch.

Nearly a third of our patients had several 
arrhythmia substrates. This accords with 
other reports that those with Mahaim tachy-
cardia often have additional pathways.19–21 
This raises the problem of which pathway to 
ablate first. In general culprit pathways should 
be considered the primary target for ablation. 
Those in whom additional accessory pathways 
are responsible for the dominant pattern of 
pre-excitation can have mapping and ablation 
in sinus rhythm. Ablation to remove the addi-
tional arrhythmia is justified in those with 
concealed accessory pathways or atrioventricu-
nodal reentry when the additional pathway 
is shown to support tachycardia. This logical 
sequential approach to ablation led to one 
Mahaim pathway not being ablated because 
tachycardia could no longer be initiated after 
modification of the fast pathway of the atrio-
ventricular node.

Pressure exerted by the catheter tip of the 
mapping electrode can abolish conduction in 
both normal and accessory pathways. 
Transient right bundle branch block is not 
uncommon during electrophysiological stud-
ies: however, in our experience traumatic 
block is much more common in Mahaim 
pathways than in typical accessory atrioven-
tricular connections. Okishige et al reported 
successful ablation of an atriofascicular fibre 
by application of radiofrequency energy on 
the ventricular side of the tricuspid annulus at 
a site where catheter tip pressure caused for-
tuitous disappearance of pre-excitation.22
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More recently it has even been suggested that for Mahaim pathways catheter-induced mechanical block is the best marker to guide radiofrequency energy delivery at the tricuspid annulus. For a number of reasons we cannot recommend this approach. Firstly, in two cases in our series traumatic block persisted for > 24 hours and possibly even as long as several weeks and in another case it persisted for nearly 2 hours. Secondly, because of the inherent catheter instability in mapping right sided accessory pathways at the tricuspid annulus, there is no guarantee that the catheter remains positioned at the critical site after the traumatic block develops—it may merely have brushed across the site. Thus there is no certainty that radiofrequency energy application delivered after mechanical block will destroy the pathway. In our series radiofrequency energy delivered after traumatic block did not result in long-term success, and we therefore recommend waiting for up to 2 hours until conduction down the Mahaim pathway resumes and mapping may proceed or the procedure is abandoned.

Stuttering block in conduction down the Mahaim pathway during radiofrequency energy delivery was seen in 12 cases in this series, accompanied by frequent runs of Mahaim extrasystoles. Such runs of ectopic rhythm no doubt increase catheter instability on the tricuspid annulus and may necessitate prolonged energy delivery, however, they also seem to be a hallmark of success because conduction did not recur in these patients. Perhaps runs of Mahaim ectopic rhythm during radiofrequency application should be regarded in much the same way as junctional rhythm accompanying slow pathway ablation for atrioventricular nodal reentry.

Conclusions
It is effective and safe to use radiofrequency energy to ablate Mahaim tachycardia (a rare but potentially troublesome arrhythmia) by targeting Mahaim potentials at the level of the tricuspid annulus. The targeting of Mahaim potentials provides a simpler method of approach than that reported in earlier series: it can reduce procedure and fluoroscopy times. Additional arrhythmia substrates are common and necessitate a stepwise logical approach targeting the culprit arrhythmia. Mechanically induced block is more common in the Mahaim pathway than in typical accessory atrioventricular pathways, and stuttering block in Mahaim pathway conduction during application of radiofrequency energy is frequent.

Radiofrequency catheter ablation of Mahaim tachycardia by targeting Mahaim potentials at the tricuspid annulus.
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