Active decompression improves the haemodynamic state during cardiopulmonary resuscitation
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Abstract

Objective—To examine whether use of the active compression-decompression device improves the haemodynamics of cardiopulmonary resuscitation compared with those of conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

Design—Prospective crossover study.

Setting—The accident and emergency department of a university teaching hospital.

Patients—36 adult patients with non-traumatic, normothermic, out of hospital cardiac arrest.

Interventions—Cardiopulmonary resuscitation was performed during resuscitation in alternating 3 min cycles of conventional and active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

Main outcome measures—The end tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO₂), femoral arterial pressure, and acid-base analysis of central venous blood measured during the last 30 s of each 3 min cardiopulmonary resuscitation cycle.

Results—ETCO₂ was monitored in 36 patients during conventional and active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation caused a significant increase in ETCO₂ (P < 0.002), indicating improved cardiac output. Arterial pressure measurement was carried out in 10 patients. Systolic pressure was significantly greater with active compression-decompression than conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation (P < 0.007). Central venous blood was taken for acid-base analysis in 11 patients. There was a significant increase in the central venous hydrogen ion concentration (P = 0.025) with rises in the partial pressures of carbon dioxide and oxygen, suggesting improved venous return.

Conclusions—This study confirms that active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation is associated with better haemodynamic status than conventional resuscitation.
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Closed chest cardiopulmonary resuscitation has been the standard method of maintaining blood flow after cardiac arrest since it was described by Kouwenhoven et al. in 1960 but it is well recognised that it does not restore a normal circulation. Studies in animal models and in man have shown the total cardiac output during CPR to be less than 20% of normal⁴ and cerebral circulation to be only 10–20% of the level obtained with a spontaneous circulation.⁵ As a consequence, neurologically intact survival after prolonged cardiopulmonary resuscitation is uncommon.⁶

In 1992 Cohen et al.⁷ at the University of California described a new method of cardiopulmonary resuscitation, namely active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation using a device that combines a broad handle with a suction cup. Active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation has a compression phase, as in conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation, but the passive relaxation phase is replaced by active decompression in which the chest wall is lifted upwards by the suction cup. Initial studies in a canine model⁸ and man⁹ have suggested improved cardiac output with active compression-decompression compared with conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation. A randomised trial comparing active compression-decompression resuscitation and conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation in patients with out of hospital cardiac arrest demonstrated improved short-term survival but no significant increase in survival to hospital discharge.⁹

We compared conventional and active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation in adult patients treated for out of hospital cardiac arrest in an accident and emergency (A&E) department. We assessed the efficacy of cardiopulmonary resuscitation using end tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO₂), which reflects cardiac output during cardiopulmonary resuscitation, arterial pressure, and acid-base analysis.

Patients and methods

Patients

We studied 36 adult patients with non-traumatic, normothermic, out of hospital...
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Cardiac arrest treated in the A&E department of the Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh. Some 83% of patients were male and their age range was 29–83 (mean 65) years. The study was approved by Lothian Health Board Committee.

The cardiac arrest was witnessed in 30 (83%) of the patients and 16 (44%) had received cardiopulmonary resuscitation from bystanders. All patients were treated by Scottish Ambulance Service technicians or paramedics equipped with a semiautomatic defibrillator before transfer to the A&E department. The mean (range) interval between collapse and ambulance arrival was 9 (0–20) min and between collapse and the start of the study 37.5 (16–60) min.

Methods
Tracheal intubation was performed after the patient’s arrival in the A&E department if this had not been achieved before hospitalisation. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation was performed in alternating 3 min cycles of conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation using a mechanical thumper (Thumper, model 1004; Michigan Instruments) and active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CardioPump, Ambu International) until either there was a return of spontaneous circulation or resuscitation was discontinued. Chest compression was performed at a rate of 80/min for each technique with a chest compression to ventilation ratio of 5:1. Ventilation was maintained throughout with a fractional inspired oxygen concentration of 1.0 using the Thumper ventilator set to an inflation pressure of 30 cm water.

ETC\textsubscript{O}\textsubscript{2} was monitored using an Ohmeda 5250 RGM capnometer. A right femoral arterial cannula was sited, arterial pressure recorded (Propaq 106 monitor; Protocol Systems, Oregon, USA), and a pressure wave trace obtained. A central venous catheter was placed through either the right subclavian or right internal jugular vein and blood was taken from the catheter for acid-base analysis. ETC\textsubscript{O}_{2} and femoral arterial systolic and diastolic pressures were recorded and central venous blood was taken for acid-base analysis at the end of each 3 min cardiopulmonary resuscitation cycle. Patients were excluded from the analysis if they regained a spontaneous circulation or if resuscitation was discontinued before completing a full 3 min of conventional and active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Patients were given sodium bicarbonate were excluded from the analysis.

Resuscitation was performed according to the European Resuscitation Council guidelines\textsuperscript{10} and the resuscitation team leader remained independent of the study.

The results were analysed using a paired two tailed t test.
third minute of the first cycles of conventional and active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation were recorded. The systolic pressure was greater with active compression-decompression than conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation in nine of the 10 patients. The mean systolic pressure was significantly increased with the new technique. There was no significant difference in the diastolic pressures achieved with the two methods of cardiopulmonary resuscitation (table 1).

The shape of the arterial pressure wave differed markedly with the two techniques (fig 3). The compression phase during active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation resulted in a steep increase in arterial pressure with a marked notch while conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation was associated with a much slower rise in pressure.

**CENTRAL VENOUS ACID-BASE STATUS**

The acid-base status of central venous blood was assessed in 11 patients. Blood was taken from a central venous catheter at the end of the first two cardiopulmonary resuscitation cycles for acid-base analysis. There was a significant increase in the hydrogen ion concentration on changing from conventional to active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation, and a rise in the partial pressures of oxygen (PO2) and carbon dioxide (PCO2), although these did not attain statistical significance (table 2).

**Discussion**

Our results confirm that active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation is associated with better haemodynamic status than conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation, as demonstrated by improved TE\(\text{CO}_2\) and systolic pressure and an increase in the products of metabolism and PO2 in central venous blood. We used a mechanical thumper to administer conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation. This has the advantage of being able to be programmed to give consistent chest compression at the rate and depth recommended in the guidelines of the European Resuscitation Council.11

Studies comparing cardiopulmonary resuscitation using a thumper and manual cardiopulmonary resuscitation confirm similar patterns of blood flow12 and haemodynamic state13 with the two techniques. The amount of carbon dioxide (\(\text{CO}_2\)) in expired air depends on \(\text{CO}_2\) production, ventilation, and

### Table 2 Central venous acid-base status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation</th>
<th>Active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen ion concentration (mmol/l)</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>102.4</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial pressure of carbon dioxide (kPa)</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>NS (0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial pressure of oxygen (kPa)</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>NS (0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicarbonate concentration (mmol/l)</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>NS (0.36)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS, not significant.
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pulmonary blood flow. ETCo2 is a reliable non-invasive indicator of cardiac output during low cardiac output states such as during cardiopulmonary resuscitation as pulmonary blood flow is the limiting factor for CO2 excretion. Production of CO2 in patients with cardiac arrest is constant if sodium bicarbonate is not administered, and therefore under conditions of constant ventilation ETCo2 depends on cardiac output, not arterial CO2 tension.14-18 Increased ETCo2 during cardiopulmonary resuscitation is associated with increased cerebral blood flow,19 coronary perfusion pressure,18 and the likelihood of successful resuscitation.18 In this study changing from conventional to active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation was associated with a significant increase in ETCo2. There was a significant decrease in ETCo2 on returning to conventional resuscitation. This indicates improved cardiac output with active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation. ETCo2 during cardiopulmonary resuscitation is dependent on cardiac output only if ventilation remains unchanged. Throughout the study the minute ventilation was maintained at a constant level using the 'Thumper' ventilator. Some ventilation is achieved using the active compression-decompression device,7 but this would have increased the minute ventilation and hence tended to reduce the ETCo2 during active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation compared with that of conventional resuscitation. This may have introduced a reduction in the apparent improvement observed with active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation but does not invalidate our conclusions.

There was an improvement in systolic pressure, closer to normal physiological levels on changing to active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation in nine of 10 patients studied with arterial pressure monitoring. This was statistically significant and confirms results obtained by Cohen et al.15 in a canine model and in man. The presence of a pressure wave during cardiopulmonary resuscitation does not necessarily indicate anterograde blood flow. We have previously demonstrated increased velocity of forward blood flow with active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation using transoesophageal echocardiography.12 This combined with the ETCo2 evidence of increased cardiac output indicates improved blood flow and hence perfusion. There was no significant difference in the diastolic pressures achieved with the two methods. The mean duration of resuscitation in the study patients after arrival in the A&E department was under 20 min and did not allow for direct measurement of coronary perfusion pressure, although the increase in ETCo2 suggests an improvement with active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation.18

There was a significant increase in the hydrogen ion concentration in central venous blood on changing from conventional to active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation, and smaller rises in the PCO2 and PO2 that did not attain statistical significance. The increase in the concentration of the products of metabolism is likely to reflect improved venous return to the thorax. Our group12 and others7 have previously demonstrated an increase in right atrial filling on changing to active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation using transoesophageal echocardiography. We suggest that increased venous return associated with the active decompression phase enables active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation to produce an improvement in cardiac output.

With an increase in cardiac output it would be expected that the central venous Po2 would increase. The increase that we demonstrated did not attain statistical significance but this could be the result of the small number of patients in whom acid-base analysis of central venous blood was performed.

During the study we experienced some problems performing active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation in the setting of an A&E department. The technique is physically tiring and most of our department staff were exhausted after performing 3 min of active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation and would have been unable to continue if required to do so. A significant number of staff were unable to complete even 1 min of active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation because of fatigue and had to be replaced. This problem has not been reported in a large prehospital study from the United States,4 but it may be relevant that the cardiopulmonary resuscitation in this study was administered by fire service emergency medical technicians who, unlike medical and nursing staff, are selected on the basis of, and required to maintain, a high degree of physical fitness. This inability of most staff to perform active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation for more than a few minutes has obvious implications if the technique is to be widely adopted.

While active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation improves the haemodynamic state during cardiopulmonary resuscitation the exertion required for the technique may limit its acceptance and value. A mechanical active compression-decompression device, similar to a mechanical thumper, but incorporating an active decompression phase would be of benefit.
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