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Abstract

Background—Studies have shown that myocardial bridging may prevent coronary atherosclerosis and that the segment proximal to the bridge is often sclerosed. The underlying mechanism is still unknown.

Methods—Intracoronary ultrasound and pressure measurements were performed in a patient with myocardial bridging in the left anterior descending coronary artery. A 3-5 F, 20 MHz probe was used to measure the change in cross sectional area of the lumen during the cardiac cycle. Intracoronary pressure was measured with a Double tip, end mounted pressure transducer system, the catheter having two pressure sensors located at the end of the catheter 3 cm apart. Intracoronary pressure was recorded as the catheter was slowly advanced and pulled back through the left anterior descending coronary artery.

Results—Systolic compression of the bridge segment was clearly visualised on ultrasonography and an eccentric plaque with calcium deposit was found in the segment proximal to the bridge. The pressure in the segment proximal to the bridge (160/26 mm Hg) was higher than that of the proximal normal segment (126/68 mm Hg). The pressure distal to the bridge was 68/30 mm Hg. A highly characteristic “sucking effect” was found in the bridge segment. The pressure in the bridge segment was 102/40 mm Hg.

Conclusion—The pressure in the segment proximal to the myocardial bridging was higher than aortic pressure. Disturbance of blood flow and high wall stress proximal to myocardial bridging was a main contributor to the development of atherosclerosis in the segment proximal to the bridge.
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Myocardial bridging has aroused great interest as it might be the evidence of atherogenesis in coronary artery disease. Pathological and ultrasonic studies show that atherosclerotic lesions seldom affect the bridge segment, although they are commonly found in the segment proximal to the bridge. Results from intracoronary Doppler ultrasonography show a characteristic blood flow pattern in the bridge segment, which suggests that atherosclerosis in the segment proximal to the bridge might be associated with high wall stress and subsequently intimal injury. We report simultaneous pressure measurement with a catheter pressure transducer system in a patient with myocardial bridging with the aim of elucidating the hypothesis of our previous study and examining in part the response to injury hypothesis.

Patient and methods

A 73 year old man attended for angiographic follow up four months after percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty of his left circumflex coronary artery. He had remained free of symptoms since the intervention. Left heart catheterisation showed an ejection fraction of 69%. There was no regional wall motion abnormality. Coronary angiography showed a 40% reduction in the lumen of the left circumflex coronary artery in the segment that had been treated by angioplasty. A systolic “milking effect” was clearly shown.

INTRACORONARY ULTRASONOGRAPHY

We used a 3-5 F catheter and a 20 MHz ultrasound transducer inside the catheter (Sonicath, Boston Scientific, Watertown, MA). The transducer rotated was mechanically within the catheter at 600–800 rpm to provide cross sectional images on a diagnostic console (Diasonics, Milpitas, CA). An electrocardiogram was recorded simultaneously. The images were recorded on super VHS videotape for off line analysis.

MEASUREMENT OF INTRACORONARY PRESSURE

We used a 3 F catheter with an end mounted pressure transducer system (Millar MIKRO-TIP, Millar instruments, Houston, TX). It had two pressure sensors, which were 3 cm apart at the end of the catheter. The type of sensor is diffused semiconductor with a pressure range of –50 mm Hg to 300 mm Hg. The sensitivity is 5 μV/V/mm Hg. The catheter was connected to a transmitter-receiver (MIKRO-TIP, Millar) through the TC-510 control unit (MIKRO-TIP, Millar). The pressure was recorded using Electromingograph (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany).

PROCEDURES

The performance of intracoronary ultrasonography has been described in detail in the
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Previous study. After the examination the guidewire was left in situ in the coronary artery. The pressure transducer system was then advanced over the wire to the left anterior descending coronary artery. The pressure was simultaneously recorded by slowly advancing and pulling back the catheter. The pulsatile variation in the bridge segment was analysed with a semiautomatic computer program.3

Results

INTRACORONARY ULTRASONOGRAPHY

Because of the dynamic stenosis of the artery, it was not possible to feed the ultrasound catheter through the whole bridging segment. An eccentric, systolic compression of the bridge segment was clearly visualised. The cross sectional area of the bridge segment was 2.5 mm² in diastole and 1.7 mm² in systole. No plaque was found in the bridge segment.
An eccentric plaque with calcium deposits was found in the segment proximal to the bridge, which caused a maximal stenosis of 41%.

ANGIOGRAPHY
A typical systolic “milking effect” was clearly seen on coronary angiography. No significant stenosis was detected in the segment proximal to the bridge segment.

PRESSURE MEASUREMENT
Figure 1 shows the pressure curve and the position of the pressure sensors. The distal sensor was located in the segment distal to the myocardial bridging, the proximal sensor just proximal to the bridge. The proximal pressure was 160/26 mm Hg. The pressure of the segment distal to the bridge was 68/30 mm Hg. A time shift of the pressure curve was clearly seen in the segment proximal to the bridge.

The pressure curve was continuously recorded as the pressure catheter was slowly pulled back. Figure 2 gives the pressure curve and the position of the pressure sensors. The proximal sensor was located in the normal segment and the distal sensor in the bridging segment. The proximal pressure was 126/68 mm Hg. The pressure in the proximal bridging segment was 102/−40 mm Hg. A characteristic pressure “sucking phenomenon” was seen (negative pressure in late diastole and a prominent pressure peak in late systole). When the pressure catheter was pulled back further, both sensors reached the normal segment and the pressure gradient disappeared.

Figure 3 shows that the distal sensor recorded a pressure spike (double arrow) when it passed the segment proximal to the bridge, which is the same as seen in figure 1.

Discussion
To our knowledge, this is the first study to show that a high wall shear stress exists in the segment proximal to myocardial bridging. This explains to a large extent the high incidence of atherosclerosis in that segment and the effect of mural coronary artery in the “prevention” of coronary atherosclerosis. On the other hand, the “prevention” effect of atherosclerosis in the bridge segment might result from its better lymph drainage through myocardial compression.

The pressure in the segment proximal to myocardial bridging (160/26 mm Hg) was higher than that in the aorta (126/68 mm Hg). Maximal pressure in the segment proximal to the bridge was reached in mid-systole (pressure shift) and maximal pressure of the normal segment in late systole. The high pressure in the segment proximal to the bridge segment is most probably caused by the squeezing of the bridge during systole to produce retrograde flow against the antegrade flow. This phenomenon was observed in a previous study, in which blood flow velocity in the segment proximal to the bridge was negative. The shift in pressure is also probably caused by the retrograde flow produced by the compression of the bridge segment against the normal antegrade flow.

A characteristic pressure “sucking phenomenon” was observed in the bridge segment at end diastole (figure 2). This suggests that the myocardium dilates at end diastole, producing a negative pressure in the myocardial bridge. Although no pressure shift was noted, the pressure gradient between the normal segment and the bridge segment was significant. This indicates that the pressure and the corresponding wall shear stress are still lower than that of the normal segment, therefore preventing atherosclerosis in the vessel under the myocardial bridge.

The pathogenesis of atherosclerosis has been explored for decades and the process is still under investigation. Nevertheless, vascular injury and the resultant thrombus formation have been regarded as the key events in the origin and progression of atherosclerosis and in the pathogenesis of the acute coronary syndromes, whereas chronic minimal injury to the endothelium is caused mainly by a disturbance in the pattern of blood flow in certain parts of the arterial tree, such as bending points and areas near branching vessels.

The disturbed blood flow pattern and increased pressure in the segment proximal to the bridge will inevitably lead to intimal injury and contribute to the development of atherosclerosis. In addition, high wall stress induced by high pressure proximal to the bridge may also be important in disrupting or fissuring plaque. Our study of intracoronary pressure measurement in a patient with myocardial
bridging and another observation in patients with myocardial bridging strongly supports the previous pathological observation and the response to injury hypothesis. Our current observation also helps to explain the pattern of coronary blood flow in the bridge segment and the common finding of atherosclerosis in the segment proximal to the bridge.

To date, only intracoronary ultrasonography is able accurately to evaluate the severity of the stenosis in coronary arteries because of the coronary arterial remodelling in the presence of atherosclerosis that compensates for narrowing of the lumen. Coronary angiography cannot be used to detect the early signs of atherosclerosis. In our case we did not detect any significant narrowing of the lumen in the segment proximal to the bridge on coronary angiography. Intracoronary ultrasonography however, showed an eccentric stenosis of 41%, which is undetectable on angiography.

In conclusion, the pressure in the segment proximal to the myocardial bridging is higher than in the aorta. The common finding of atherosclerosis in the segment proximal to myocardial bridging is probably caused by the high wall stress and disturbance in blood flow in that segment.
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