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Abstract

Objective—To assess the use of acute coronary care facilities in the Republic of Ireland with regard to case mix, patient characteristics, mortality and factors associated with mortality, time intervals to admission, utilisation of thrombolysis, and risk factor profiles.

Design—A 1 week prospective census of all hospitals admitting acute coronary cases. These comprised 23 coronary care units (CCU) and 17 combined coronary care/intensive care units (CCU/ICU). Data were collected by standardised methods on each new patient “upon whom a cardiac monitor was placed”.

Results—Acute coronary heart disease was confirmed in 185 (44-9%) of 412 patients. Of these 109 (26-4%) had a confirmed myocardial infarction and 76 (18-4%) unstable angina. Women were significantly older than men in all groups. Of those with proven acute coronary heart disease, 42-6% were current smokers, 23-1% were aware of having a raised cholesterol concentration, and 42-3% gave a history of prior hypertension. Only 44% were transported by ambulance. Median delay time from the onset of symptoms to admission was 6 h in Dublin and 4 h elsewhere. 34-9% of patients with a confirmed myocardial infarction received thrombolysis. Mortality of patients with myocardial infarction in CCU/ICU at 7 days was 10-9%.

Conclusions—There is potential for considerable improvement in the management of coronary heart disease in the Republic of Ireland through a reduction in delay times to admission to hospital, increased use of thrombolytic treatment, and intensification of advice on primary and secondary risk factors.

(Br Heart J 1995;73:576–580)
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Although the clinical entity of acute myocardial infarction was described in 1912, it was not until the 1960s, with the development of coronary care units, that effective treatment began to emerge. Closed chest cardiac massage and direct current defibrillation were major advances. Many attempts were made to limit infarct size before the convincing demonstration that most myocardial infarctions are indeed caused by coronary thrombosis set the scene for the use of thrombolysis. Initial intracoronary thrombolysis was rapidly replaced by intravenous use of such agents, with the demonstration of clinically significant reductions in mortality.1-9

One half of patients suffering a fatal coronary event die within 2 h of the onset of symptoms,10 often of ventricular fibrillation. The resultant emphasis on early initiation of acute coronary care was further stimulated by the demonstration that early thrombolysis is most effective.11 Such developments have changed the role of coronary care units (CCU) since the 1960s.12

Since 1979, a simple computerised register has been used to audit the results of acute coronary care at several hospitals in Dublin, Ireland. Nationally, however, little is known of the utilisation or results of coronary care facilities. This led us to propose a 1 week census of all units in the country treating acute coronary cases, based on the experience obtained from auditing our own activities. The aims of the project were to examine:

(a) The numbers, diagnoses, and characteristics of patients admitted to coronary care facilities in Ireland;
(b) coronary care/intensive care unit (CCU/ICU) mortality and factors associated with mortality;
(c) the utilisation of thrombolysis;
(d) time intervals from onset of symptoms to admission; and
(e) risk factor profiles of patients with proven acute coronary heart disease.

Methods

Data collection forms were designed to be as simple as possible while providing essential information. A pilot study in three hospitals indicated that information could be readily obtained by nursing staff.

All hospitals in the country which handled coronary cases were contacted by telephone to ascertain whether they had CCU or combined CCU/ICU. Forty units were identified of
which 23 had CCU facilities and 17 combined CCU/ICU. Preliminary estimates suggested that about 500 suitable patients are admitted to CCU or CCU/ICU each week, of whom about half have coronary disease. A week was taken as the census period as a sample of 250 coronary cases would give at least 80% power at a 5% significance level to detect percentage differences of 18% or more between equal sized groups; 95% confidence limits on proportions would also be within at least ±7% of the sample value.

Each admitting consultant and unit sister was sent written details of the project and permission to conduct the census in their unit was obtained. Each unit was provided with a pack containing a poster, self-duplicating data collection forms, detailed instructions regarding definitions of terms and completion of the forms, and envelopes to return completed forms. Each sister was contacted before and during the census week to ensure understanding and full participation. From 0900 on 29 April 1992 to 0900 on 5 May 1992 each new patient “upon whom a cardiac monitor was placed” had a data collection sheet completed by the sister or senior staff nurse. Patients were questioned about their risk factor status and whether they were ever told that their cholesterol concentration or blood pressure was raised. Patients who had a parent or sibling with a history of angina or myocardial infarction were considered to have a family history of heart disease and those who had smoked any tobacco within the previous six months were considered to be current smokers.

Proven acute coronary heart disease (CHD) was defined as a case with objective evidence of myocardial infarction or unstable angina. Myocardial infarction was defined as cardiac pain at rest with either typical new Q waves or at least a twofold increase in cardiac enzymes. Unstable angina was defined as cardiac pain at rest with T wave changes on serial electrocardiogram with a less than twofold increase in cardiac enzymes.

Daily mortality was calculated using the life table method up to 7 days from admission. The estimate was unbiased because of the distribution of deaths and discharges. The t and χ² tests were used for comparison of means and proportions with exact p values presented. Comparisons were based on medians using the Wilcoxon rank sum test because of the large skew in delay times. Confidence intervals for proportions were based on normal approximation for sample sizes above 100 and on exact binomial distribution otherwise.

Results

Figure 1 shows the distribution of admission and discharge diagnoses. Of 412 admissions, 230 patients (55.8%) were suspected of having acute coronary heart disease (CHD) which was subsequently confirmed in 185 (44.9% of all admissions and 80.4% of those initially thought to have acute CHD). Of these, 109 (26.4% of all admissions) had a confirmed myocardial infarction and 76 (18.4%) unstable angina. A further 107 patients in whom acute coronary heart disease was not suspected were admitted with “other cardiac” problems. The majority of these patients were admitted for management of arrhythmia. Twenty one patients (5.1%) were readmitted to the unit during the survey week.

A total of 224 beds were available in the 40 units, about one bed for 15 000 of the population. The mean number of beds for each unit was 5.6. On average 10-3 cases (range 3–19) were admitted to each unit during the survey week. The duration of stay of those patients discharged expressed as a mean (median) was: all patients 3.1 (2) days; myocardial infarction group 4.8 (4) days; and unstable angina group 2.8 (2) days. The majority were then transferred to recovery areas.

Table 1 shows the age, sex, and CCU/ICU mortality of all admissions and of those with proven acute CHD. Women were significantly older than men in all groups. Some 185 patients had proven acute CHD. Admission was associated with the patient’s first episode of acute CHD in 56% of cases. Employment status was obtained in 183 cases (data missing in two). Forty seven patients (25.7%) were in current employment on admission with 16 (9.9%) of 25 under 50 years of age employed. Some 101 patients (55.2%) were retired and 35 (19.1%) unemployed. There was a smaller percentage employed and a greater percentage retired compared with that of age and sex matched national values of 33% employed, 29% retired, and 38% unemployed. The highest level of education achieved was assessed in 171 cases (data missing in 14). Eleven (6.4%) had achieved third level education, 62 (36.3%) secondary level, and 98 (57.3%) left school at primary level (age about 14 years).

Table 2 presents risk factor information of those with proven acute coronary heart
Table 1  Demographic profile of admissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study groups</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>Mean Age (years)</th>
<th>No of deaths</th>
<th>Mortality % (95% Confidence Interval)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All patients</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>62-3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9  (3-9-8-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>60-8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4-9 (2-6-8-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>64-5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7-2 (5-8-12-2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>60-5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8-7 (3-3-8-0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>64-4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7-5 (5-7-3-32-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>61-5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11-9 (9-8-18-0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable angina</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>61-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>69-8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>65-8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>61-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>P = 0.005†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Confidence intervals expressed as percentages.
† Male v female.

Table 2  Risk factor levels in acute coronary heart disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>No of patients (%) (95% Confidence interval)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (n = 183)</td>
<td>Current (n = 183)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ex-smoker (n = 183)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of raised cholesterol (n = 182)</td>
<td>42 (23-1) (17-0-29-2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of raised blood pressure (n = 182)</td>
<td>77 (42-3) (35-1-49-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of CHD (n = 183)</td>
<td>104 (56-8) (49-7-64-0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Confidence intervals expressed as percentages.

Most 78 of 183 (42-6% (95% CI 35-5-49-8%) patients were current smokers, significantly higher than the mean of 33-4% based on national data for Irish adults of 30-69 years.1 Men were more likely to smoke than women (51% v 30%, P = 0-005) and in both sexes an invariable relation to age was noted, for example, 16 (73%) of the 22 men aged under 50 years were smokers. Nearly one quarter of patients were aware of having had a raised cholesterol level and 42% gave a history of prior hypertension. A history of hyperlipidaemia was twice as common in those with a previous history of CHD as in those without this condition. There were no clear age or sex relations with past history of hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, or family history of CHD.

Less than half of patients with acute CHD (44%) were admitted by ambulance. Some 40% were driven by car. The remainder drove themselves or used other modes of transport.

Patients with Myocardial Infarction

There were 13 deaths among the 109 patients with a myocardial infarction. This gives a crude mortality of 11.9% over a median hospital stay of 4 days (table 1). Using life table methods the 24 h mortality was 5.5% (95% CI 1-2-9-8%) and the 7 day mortality was 10-9% (95% CI 4-7-17-1%). There were two further deaths at days 8 and 13. Crude mortality for women with myocardial infarction was substantially greater than that of men but failed to reach significance (17-5% v 8-7%, P = 0-172). Mortality of patients with myocardial infarction increased significantly with age. In those aged under 60 years (n = 37) there were no deaths, in those aged 60-69 mortality was 11-1% (four of 36), and in those aged 70 or above 25-0% (9 of 36) (P = 0-004). There were no deaths in patients with unstable angina.

Table 3  Delay times to admission and use of thrombolytic treatment in patients with Myocardial Infarction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Administration of thrombolytic treatment</th>
<th>No of patients (%) (95% Confidence interval)*</th>
<th>Median delay time (h)†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients admitted in Dublin (n = 35)</td>
<td>17 (40-6) (31-4-46-0)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients admitted from outside Dublin (n = 74)</td>
<td>21 (28-4) (18-5-40-1)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males admitted to hospital (n = 69)</td>
<td>28 (40-6) (28-9-53-1)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females admitted to hospital (n = 40)</td>
<td>10 (25-0) (12-7-41-2)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n = 109)</td>
<td>38 (34-9) (25-9-43-8)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Confidence intervals expressed as percentages.
†One case missing.
‡P < 0.05.
§P < 0.004.
¶P < 0.005.

The use of thrombolyis is also shown in table 3. Some 34-9% of patients with confirmed myocardial infarction received thrombolytic treatment. Men received thrombolytic treatment more often than women and those admitted to Dublin hospitals received thrombolytic treatment significantly more often than those admitted to non-Dublin hospitals. Thrombolysis was also related to the delay time to admission. Twenty eight (40-0%) of 70 of those with delay times less than 6 h...
received thrombolysis compared with 26.3% (10 of 38) in those with longer delay times (P = 0.155). Some 63.2% (12 of 19) of Dublin admission with delay times under 6 h received thrombolysis. In only six cases was thrombolysis administered in the accident and emergency department. None of the 38 patients with myocardial infarction given thrombolytic treatment died compared with 13 (18.3%) of the 71 who did not receive such treatment (P = 0.010).

**Discussion**

To our knowledge there are no other reports of the utilisation of coronary care facilities throughout a whole country over a predefined time period. The national census proved relatively easy to organise and administer and was received enthusiastically by participants. Some 56% of patients were suspected of having acute CHD on admission. Given that 17 units had combined CCU/ICU and that other acute cardiac problems were also admitted, this would seem an appropriate use of an expensive health resource. Subsequent investigation proved the initial diagnosis of acute CHD to be correct in 80% of cases. Our own units do not exclude patients on the basis of age and it is thought that few units nowadays do so. The mean age of patients admitted does not suggest an excessive burden of very elderly subjects (table 1), although women with CHD were substantially older than men.

Mortality in CCU is the product of age, infarct size, delay time to admission, and treatment employed. The overall mortality of patients with myocardial infarction in CCU/ICU was 11.9% and is comparable with that of other series. Women with myocardial infarction were noted to have a higher mortality than men. This has been found in other series. The adverse experience of women may be explained by their greater age rather than a gender effect per se.

A substantial proportion of subjects were retired and most left school after primary level education. Lesser education is a proxy for lower socioeconomic group and such patients are more likely to experience higher CHD mortality, a greater burden of coronary risk factors, and to have greater difficulty responding to risk factor advice. An appreciable proportion of patients may have been aware of their risk factor status in that about 43% were smokers, 23% were aware of being hyperlipidaemic, and 42% gave a history of hypertension. Some 57% had a family history of CHD and 73% of men under 50 years smoked.

Some 65% of patients with myocardial infarction reached hospital within 6 h of the onset of symptoms and approximately 80% within 12 h. Dublin patients took longer to be admitted than those outside Dublin, particularly in the case of women. Median delay times in this census were similar to those reported in a recent Irish study. These data are not impressive; in Edinburgh, for example, two thirds of patients present to the Royal Infirmary within 2 h of the onset of symptoms. These delay times may be exaggerated by the fact that the time intervals were to the CCU/ICU as opposed to the accident and emergency department, but they remain a cause for concern. It is likely that education of the general public and physicians, improvements in the ambulance service, and provision of a "fast-track" admission system would reduce these delays. Further examination, however, of patient, physician, and ambulance response times, and means of transport to hospital is required.

Overall, thrombolytic treatment was given to one third of patients with confirmed myocardial infarction. This is greater than the percentage given such treatment in most large clinical trials. These data should be interpreted with caution as they relate to confirmed myocardial infarction rather than conventional criteria for thrombolysis. Nevertheless, the use of thrombolysis seems to be an independent risk factor for mortality, with relatively long delay times to admission. Only six patients received thrombolysis in the accident and emergency department; the number of departments operating a "fast-track" policy is not known.

We conclude that the utilisation of coronary care facilities in Ireland seems appropriate and that most initial diagnoses of acute CHD are correct. Mortality, at least in men, is low but it is likely that in view of the fairly long delay times to admission many patients die outside hospital. This national census highlights the need to reduce delay times and to increase the utilisation of thrombolytic treatment in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Many patients admitted to CCU already have sufficient information to allow risk factor modification. While the understanding and use of such information were not studied, there is likely to be considerable scope to intensify primary and secondary preventive efforts.
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NOTICE

The Second International Heart Health Conference is being held in Barcelona from 28 May to 1 June 1995. Further details are available from Meree Ferrer, Congress Secretariat, Pacifico SA, E Granados 44, 08008 Barcelona, Spain (tel: 00 34 343 454 5400, fax: 00 343 451 7436).
National perspective of acute coronary care in the Republic of Ireland.


*Br Heart J* 1995 73: 576-580
doi: 10.1136/hrt.73.6.576

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://heart.bmj.com/content/73/6/576

These include:

**Email alerting service**
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/