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Evaluation of mortality and morbidity during four years after late thrombolysis in acute myocardial infarction

Sir,—The effect of late coronary reperfusion after acute myocardial infarction (AMI) remains contentious. Coronary angiography is the gold standard for determining vessel patency; however, routine early catheterisation after thrombolytic therapy is not practicable for most patients with AMI. Continuous ST segment monitoring1 and imaging with 99mTc-labelled Sestamibi4 are useful markers of successful reperfusion and coronary artery patency after thrombolysis. In a preliminary study we found lower 3 year morality in 87 AMI patients thrombolysed within 20 hours than in 65 AMI patients treated with heparin alone.1

In present study to determine whether late patency of the infarct-related artery affects the mortality and morbidity in AMI, we studied 228 patients (150 males and 78 females, aged 57 (5) treated with alteplase (rt-PA) (group 1: n = 155) or heparin (group 2: n = 73). Patients were eligible if they were <70 and their duration of pain was >6 h (from 6-20 h after onset of symptoms). The efficacy of rt-PA thrombolysis, in terms of patency achieved, was assessed by non-invasive clinical criteria: continuous ST segment monitoring, early MB-CK peak (<20 h), imaging with 99mTc-Sestamibi, sudden decrease in chest pain, and serial changes in abnormal wall motion. Four-year mortality was 6.9% in rt-PA patients (group 1) and 16.6% in those given heparin alone (group 2; P < 0.01).

Reinfarction; need for bypass surgery or PTCA or admission to hospital; symptoms of angina pectoris and congestive heart failure; return to work; and the requirement for various medications during the four years did not differ significantly between the groups (P = NS). However, the cumulative frequency of patients with ST depressions of >1 mm at <100 w of exercise and MIBI-defects was 12% in group 1 and 31% in group 2 (P < 0.05).

Our results show that late thrombolysis with rt-PA in AMI reduced four year mortality but did not have any effect on aspects of morbidity. This study supports the concept that thrombolysis reduced the incidence of residual ischaemia postinfarction, although it was given late (6.5–20 h) after onset of symptoms.

ANTONIO LIGUORI
FERNANDO DI GREGORIO
CLAUDIO NAVOLI
Division of Cardiology,
Via P. Palombara, 5
80128 Naples, Italy


Catheter ablation for successful management of left posterior fascicular tachycardia: an approach guided by recording of fascicular potentials

Sir,—We read with great interest the paper Katrissis et al (Heart 1996;75:384–8) on catheter ablation of the left posterior fascicle for fascicular tachycardia (FT).

We have successfully used radiofrequency energy to the left posterior fascicle to treat two patients with FT. We confirm the observations made. We did, however, approach the left ventricular septum using a trans-septal technique and we believe that approaching the septum through the mitral valve allows better catheter manipulation and stability. One of our patients was treated during fascicular tachycardia. This should be considered as an alternative approach to the retrograde one described by Katrissis et al.

J V DE GIOVANNI
M GRIFFITH
The Birmingham Children’s Hospital NHS Trust,
Ladywood Middlenway,
Ladywood, Birmingham B16 7ET

Is thrombolytic therapy really better than conventional treatment in acute inferior myocardial infarction?

Sir,—Without wishing to prolong the debate on the relative benefits of thrombolytic therapy for patients presenting with inferior myocardial infarctions, we would like to make a correction of fact to Dr Tobe’s reply to our letter in the British Heart Journal (1995;74:476–478). The Fibribolnoic Therapy Trialists (FTT) overview clearly reports that 39% of patients (which we rounded up to 40%) of those forming the group who may be eligible to receive thrombolytic therapy are patients with ST elevation inferior, and not the 28% of patients to which Dr Tobe had access (16 293 patients). In addition other ST elevation (10 187) (potentially thrombolytic eligible); ST depression (4237), other abnormality (969), normal ECG (2907) (thrombolytic ineligible). Hence ST elevation in the inferior leads (16 203) is 39% of the potentially thrombolytic eligible group (total 41 765).

Readers can consider the correspondence on the interpretation of the data as presented by ourselves and Dr Tobe and come to their own conclusions on the appropriateness of thrombolytic therapy in patients presented with inferior ST elevation who represent 40% of patients who are potentially eligible on the basis of the FTT overview.

CHRISTOPHER J ELLIS
JOHN K FRENCH
HARVEY D WHITE
Green Lane Hospital, Green Lane West, Auckland 3, New Zealand


Distress correlates with degree of chest pain: a description of patients awaiting revascularisation

Sir,—I congratulate Bengston et al for establishing that fear and uncertainty are important symptoms in patients awaiting revascularisation procedures.1 We too identified fear and uncertainty in patients during a stressful period when they had more silent myocardial ischaemia on ambulatory ST Holter monitoring (together with a concomitant increase in urination of cortisol and noradrenaline) than they did during a later (non-stressful) period.2 Fear and uncertainty are therefore relevant as independent risk factors in ischaemic heart disease. Anxiety and depression, both significantly associated with pain in Bengston’s study, have already been identified as important predictors of further ischaemic events and sudden cardiac death.3 Though it is true that sleepaemia may indeed reflect heart failure, as discussed in Bengston’s paper, hyperventilation is a frequent concomitant of fear and anxiety and has been documented in patients with angiographically proven coronary heart disease.4 Because it not only confounds the clinical picture (ris sleepaemia and increased reports of chest pain) but also lowers the threshold to ischaemia, hyperventilation should be looked for and adequately treated.
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