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Traditionally, the coronary circulation was divided into two arterial compartments: the conduit and the resistive vessels. It has become apparent that there is substantial heterogeneity in resistive vessels with respect to the regulation of coronary flow, which is modified by the perfusion pressure, flow, myocardial metabolism, and ventricular wall tension. Early in the atherosclerotic process, the ability of the coronary resistive vessels to vasodilate maximally under physiological or pharmacological stress is impaired, often in the presence of coronary risk factors and before the development of angiographically apparent disease. There is also some evidence showing that myocardial ischemia may be caused or exacerbated by an inappropriate vasoconstriction of resistive vessels.

The distribution of the coronary resistance

The resistive vessels match myocardial blood flow to variable myocardial energy requirements and to myocardial demand when the coronary perfusion pressure varies, such that myocardial oxygen extraction is virtually constant over a wide range of cardiac work and perfusion pressures. Coronary resistance is influenced both by extrinsic factors such as myocardial compression and by intrinsic factors such as tissue metabolism and neural and humoral influences. The influence of myocardial compression means that coronary blood flow ceases when the perfusion pressure is low but still substantially above coronary venous pressure.

Although it was first considered that most resistance to coronary flow resided in arterioles < 100 μm, much resistance is present in larger arterioles and small arteries up to 300 μm in diameter (90% in vessels < 300 μm). In the cat, 50% of coronary resistance is in vessels > 100 μm (25% in vessels > 170 μm) under basal conditions. As 10% of resistance is in the venous compartment, this leaves about 40% of resistance in the vessels < 100 μm. Pharmacological vasodilatation can alter the distribution of resistance; dipyridamole and adenosine reduce resistance in resistive vessels < 150–170 μm, whereas, papaverine preferentially vasodilates larger coronary arterioles and small coronary arteries (> 200 μm).

Thus coronary resistance coexists in different arteriolar compartments and its distribution may change according to the perfusion pressure and local metabolic environment.

The heterogeneity of the coronary resistive vessels

Myocardial tissue perfusion is in part modulated by autoregulation—the intrinsic ability to maintain myocardial flow relatively constant after changes in perfusion pressure—and by tissue metabolism. Autoregulation may be influenced by extrinsic factors such as autonomic nervous system activity and circulating hormones, and occurs between perfusion pressures of 70 and 130 mm Hg.

In the presence of a functionally significant coronary stenosis and with a perfusion pressure within the autoregulatory range, coronary flow is maintained because of vasodilatation of the resistive vessels < 100 μm diameter, with the magnitude of vasodilatation being inversely proportional to the diameter. At a perfusion pressure below the autoregulatory range, although the vessels < 100 μm dilate maximally, vessels > 100 μm decrease in diameter. Several mechanisms underlying these observations have been proposed. It is likely that vessels < 100 μm dilate in response to metabolites released by the myocardium. This effect is suggested not only by their size, but also by their position in relation to the myocardial cells. Vessels > 100 μm have a reduced distending pressure probably because the reduction in perfusion pressure causes a passive decrease in vessel diameter: these vessels still vasodilate in response to glyceryl trinitrate and adenosine. A reduction in perfusion pressure has been associated with an increase in α-adrenergic vasoconstriction or with an alteration in the production of vasodilator metabolites by the myocardium caused by reduced myocardial oxygen consumption, both of which could cause a decrease in the diameter of vessels > 100 μm. Sympathetic nerve stimulation or noradrenaline infusion and serotonin infusion constrict vessels > 90–100 μm while dilating smaller vessels. An increase in myocardial oxygen consumption with pacing causes a generalised vasodilatation in all resistive vessels, which is related inversely to diameter. This may be because the arterioles (< 100 μm) are subject to a lower transmural pressure, but they are also probably the only resistive vessels influenced directly by the tissue metabolic state.

As in conduit arteries, an increase in shear stress through increased flow may cause vasodilatation of the microvessels through release of nitric oxide (NO), along with local vasodilators such as adenosine. A longitudinal gradient for flow and metabolically induced
responsiveness exists within the coronary microcirculation. In one study, isolated vessels were categorised as small (mean 40 μm), intermediate (mean 60 μm), and large arterioles (mean 106 μm) and small arteries (mean 179 μm). Increasing shear stress led to a greater increase in vasodilatation as arteriole size increased, but less vasodilatation was seen in the small arteries (similar to small arterioles), suggesting that the large arterioles had the most efficient regulation of this shear stress. Endothelium-dependent vasodilatation with substance P was seen in all vessels with increasing doses but small arteries achieved only 80% of the maximal vasodilatation seen in all arteriole groups. In contrast, adenosine preferentially dilated the small arterioles, with progressively increasing molar doses being needed with increasing vessel size. The NO donor, sodium nitroprusside, dilated all vessels equally.

These observations of a variable response in different-sized vessels indicate that the regulation of coronary flow by the resistive vessels is complex and dependent on segmental differences in the response to flow/shear stress and adenosine during physiological stress. Different mechanisms may account for the heterogeneity of the response, such as different populations and subtypes of receptors for vasoactive substances or variable metabolic pathways.

On this basis, the resistive vessels have been separated into two general groups (figure). The arteriolar vessels (<100 μm) respond to local tissue metabolism and maintain the extracellular environment within optimal biochemical limits for myocardial contractile function. The effect is modulated primarily by tissue oxygen tension. Flow is dependent on inherent arteriolar tone and the perfusion pressure at their origin. Perfusion pressure is determined by the pre-arteriolar vessels (100–350 μm) which are influenced by coronary perfusion pressure and flow, by myogenic tone, and by neurogenic influences. With an increase in myocardial oxygen demand and arteriolar vasodilatation, a pressure drop occurs across the pre-arteriolar vessels with subsequent flow-mediated vasodilatation thus coordinating the vascular response to physiological stress to meet tissue needs. Washout of...
metabolites leads to a relative vasoconstriction of arterioles, increasing the pressure head in these pre-arterioles which, through a greater myogenic responsiveness counteracting even flow-mediated vasodilation,19 would limit this increase. With increasing aortic pressure, arteriolar pressure may be maintained by pre-arteriolar constriction through increased myogenic tone. A marked decrease in aortic pressure leads to dilatation of all resistive vessels.9 Vasoconstriction or a failure of pre-arteriolar vessels to dilate would lead to a reduction in flow in the arteriolar bed despite maximal dilatation in response to tissue metabolism.

**The regulation of coronary blood flow**

There are two main regulatory mechanisms for the control of flow in the microcirculation—the endothelium and the autonomic nervous system. Endothelial cells produce and release both vasodilator and vasoconstrictor factors with predominant vasodilatation. The major factor modulating vasodilatation is NO,20 produced from L-arginine by NO synthetase.21 NO may be released in response to a variety of different stimuli: flow (shear stress), platelet-derived products (ADP, thrombin, serotonin), and vasoactive agents (bradykinin, histamine, noradrenaline, substance P, vasopressin). In pathological states vasoconstrictor substances such as endothelin, may override the normal vasomotor tone associated with endothelium-dependent vasodilatation.22

In the human coronary circulation, infusion of an inhibitor of NO production caused a small reduction in blood flow in normal arteries,23 indicating that a basal release of NO is needed to maintain resting flow. Studies in the peripheral circulation in an animal model showed that NO activity was greatest in vessels > 100 μm,24 which are under the most shear stress, the major determinant of NO release. In an open-chest dog model, inhibition of NO synthesis resulted in constriction of vessels > 100 μm and dilatation of arterioles < 100 μm, but with no overall effect on coronary flow velocity.25 Of note, arteriolar vasodilatation and thus the flow response to both adenosine and atrial pacing was abolished by inhibition of NO synthesis. Pre-arterioles dilated less in response to pacing, and dilatation was abolished by NO inhibition whereas arterioles dilated to a greater extent than small arteries in response to papaverine which was unaffected by NO inhibition. A complementary in vitro study indicated, however, that adenosine-mediated dilatation of arterioles was not mediated by NO.26 This study confirmed the importance of NO in modulating microvascular flow by dilating the pre-arterioles between 100–300 μm thus preserving the vasodilator potential of the arterioles < 100 μm.27 In atherosclerosis, a loss of this endothelium-dependent mechanism for microcirculatory regulation could account for changes in vasomotor tone at the pre-arteriolar level, upstream from the potent metabolic vasodilator stimuli of hydrogen ions and low tissue oxygen tension.

The autonomic nervous system acts to modulate coronary blood flow through a direct effect on coronary arteries (there are sym pathetic neural afferents to vessels > 100 μm) and by catecholamine release.8 It has been demonstrated previously that selective α1-adrenergic activation may induce endothelium-dependent vasodilatation in isolated canine epicardial arteries.26 In the open-chest dog model, α1 and α2 adrenergic activation constricted pre-arterioles and arterioles, respectively. Inhibition of NO synthesis unmasked additional vasoconstriction by α1-adrenergic activation in arterioles and α2-adrenergic activation in the pre-arterioles.28 This implied that NO release, induced by the shear stress of increased coronary flow, opposed α-adrenergic vasoconstriction thus limiting the potential reduction in myocardial perfusion during augmented sympatho-adrenal drive. In pathological states, endothelial dysfunction may lead to unopposed α-adrenergic vasoconstriction and subsequent pre-arteriolar resistive vessel dysfunction.

**Resistive vessel dysfunction in coronary artery disease**

Myocardial ischaemia in the presence of a severe coronary stenosis occurs when perfusion pressure at the origin of maximally dilated arteriolar vessels is so low that there is insufficient blood flow to meet the metabolic requirements of the myocardium. Indeed, if the perfusion pressure is sufficiently low at the origin of the arterioles, it is possible that arteriolar collapse may occur because the intraluminal distending pressure may be insufficient to oppose extravascular pressure. Since myocardial oxygen extraction is already near maximal at rest, and maximal arteriolar dilatation is present, there are no other means to compensate for the major determinant of NO release. Inhibition of NO synthesis in canine myocardium caused myocardial ischaemia in humans29 and conscious dogs respectively, with no demonstrable effect on epicardial arteries. In patients with coronary artery disease, myocardial ischaemia may develop due to resistive vessel constriction induced by serotonin,30 and this may be exacerbated by the vasoconstrictor action of serotonin on the intramural penetrating arteries (mean diameter 200 μm).31 After emergency angioplasty, oxygen flow remains reduced despite recovery through microvascular constriction,32 and this vasoconstriction may be seen in visible distal vessels after an elective procedure.33 In patients with chronic angina, inappropriate resistive vessel constriction may contribute to causing myocardial ischaemia.3

In a recent study of the microcirculation in normal and atherosclerotic monkeys, serotonin (an endothelium-dependent vasodilator) decreased coronary resistance in normal animals but increased large artery and microvascular resistance in atherosclerotic animals.34 Given that the response to adenosine was no different in the two groups, atherosclerosis did
not impair the vascular smooth muscle response, but was associated with endothelial dysfunction. In another study, isolated cannulated coronary arterioles (30–70 μm) from atherosclerotic and normal pigs were studied using the endothelial-dependent agents, ADP, serotonin, and histamine.34 These agents induced vasodilatation in only 20–30% of controls. The response to flow was abolished in denuded normal arterioles and in those from atherosclerotic animals. However, administration of large doses of L-arginine restored normal vasodilator responses to all stimuli. In hypercholesterolaemic animals, the availability of L-arginine can be a rate-limiting factor in endothelial-dependent vasorelaxation in response to receptor-mediated agonists such as acetylcholine.35 When D-arginine was used as a control, the oxidised low density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol interfered with receptor-mediated release of L-arginine from intracellular stores or its synthesis in endothelial cells.36 Thus it may be that altered resistive vessel responsiveness developing with large vessel disease involves an impairment of the synthesis/release of NO.36

In humans, normal epicardial vessels dilate in response to increasing flow through resistive vessel dilatation caused by intracoronary papaverine, but this response is reduced in atherosclerotic arteries.37 When three different endothelium-mediated stimuli—acetylcholine, cold pressor stress, and increasing blood flow—were used there was progressive impairment of epicardial vasodilatation with vasoconstriction only to acetylcholine in subjects with hypercholesterolaemia alone, with vasoconstriction to both acetylcholine and cold pressor in patients with a normal artery but with disease elsewhere, and abolition of vasodilatation to all three stimuli in patients with angiographic wall irregularities.2 In the microcirculation, vasodilatation to acetylcholine was markedly reduced in patients with smooth arteries and hypercholesterolaemia. In an angiographically normal artery in patients with disease elsewhere, there was also a reduced vasodilator response, particularly when LDL-cholesterol was high. However, compared with the control group, flow-dependent vasodilatation was lower only in those with macroscopic disease.2

In patients with hypercholesterolaemia and minimal wall irregularities, intracoronary L-arginine infusion before acetylcholine can restore the vasodilator response to that in controls.3 In both groups the papaverine response was unimpaired and basal coronary flow was unaffected by an L-arginine infusion, implying that it was during vasodilatation that the deficit in NO production was most prominent.3 Thus there is progressive impairment of endothelium-dependent vasodilatation in patients at different stages of the atherosclerotic process.

The assessment of resistive vessel function in clinical studies is dependent on measuring changes in coronary or myocardial blood flow. In patients with comparable coronary stenoses, resistive vessel dysfunction is only one variable along with loading conditions, intramyocardial wall tension, and collaterals in determining absolute myocardial flow.38 Nonetheless, there are several clinical models in coronary disease which suggest the presence of resistive vessel dysfunction. After successful angioplasty, the coronary flow reserve may be unchanged from pre-angioplasty values in a significant number of patients,39 and impaired for up to 24 hours,40 perhaps owing to a combination of platelet activation, vasoconstrictor release, and debris embolisation. Basal flow recovers over several days, suggesting a chronic adaptation pre-angioplasty to the reduction in coronary perfusion pressure. In the absence of restenosis, the recovery of resistive vessel function is sustained after three months with a coronary vasodilator reserve similar to remote regions in patients with stable disease.41 However, the mechanisms underlying the impaired vasodilator response early after angioplasty remain uncertain, although in some patients it may reflect suboptimal epicardial dilatation.

There is clinical evidence that resistive vessel dysfunction is present before angiographic disease.41 42 Using positron emission tomography, in patients with single vessel disease, the coronary flow reserve, although higher than that in stenosis-related regions, was lower than that in the controls, due both to a reduction in the vasodilator response as well as a higher basal flow.43 In remote myocardium early after infarction, there is a marked impairment of the vasodilator response which only partially recovers at follow up;4 this is perhaps evidence for acute resistive vessel dysfunction remote from the infarct region. Although the response to myocardial infarction involves an increase in α-adrenergic sympathetic activity which could impair vasodilator responsiveness acutely, vasoconstrictor agents such as endothelin released after injury could also impair the vasodilator reserve. Visible coronary collateral vessels derived from an angiographically normal artery that are sufficient to maintain normal contraction and basal flow are another model of resistive vessel function.

Atherosclerotic plaque load determines the epicardial artery vasomotor response to endothelium-dependent vasodilatation caused by acetylcholine and to the sympathetic stimulus of cold pressor stress.46 This suggests that the extent of intimal thickening and impaired endothelium-mediated vasodilatation are directly related. High serum concentrations of HDL-cholesterol modulate this abnormality by diminishing the degree of vasoconstriction occurring in the diseased segments. In transplant patients at follow up, a vasoconstrictor response to acetylcholine still occurred in
some patients within the normal range of intimal thickness, indicating that endothelial dysfunction may precede disease detected by intravascular ultrasound.47 There is a direct relation between the epicardial and the microcirculatory vasodilator response in these patients, such that the increase in coronary flow with acetylcholine correlates directly with the increase in epicardial coronary diameter. Furthermore, infusion of L-arginine increases the blood flow response to acetylcholine, implying significant reversible endothelial dysfunction in the coronary microcirculation, with the greatest effect of L-arginine in vessels without increased intimal thickening.48

The common feature in these studies is the presence of endothelial dysfunction early in the atherosclerotic process and its modulation by L-arginine delivery and oxidised LDL-cholesterol. These observations reinforce the association between endothelial function, cholesterol subfractions, and plaque load in the determination of both the epicardial and microvascular vasodilator responses in humans.

Conclusions
Resistive vessels may be regarded as two distinct groups: a proximal compartment controlled by flow, distending pressure, and myogenic tone and modulated by the autonomic nervous system and endothelial function; and a distal compartment influenced mainly by the perfusion pressure at the origin of the vessel and by myocardial metabolism. There is already increasing evidence for inappropriate vasomotor tone in the larger resistive vessels in hypertension,49 cardiac transplantation,46 and in syndrome X.50 It is possible that both the effect of the early atherosclerotic process and endothelial function and its alterations in sympathetic drive could also account for such abnormalities in patients with coronary disease. However, the clinical implications of these abnormalities remain uncertain.
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