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Abstract

Objective—To analyse heart rate variability in patients with atrial fibrillation after the Maze procedure, to investigate whether the procedure damages the cardiac autonomic fibres supplying the sinus node.

Design and patients—Time and frequency domain analyses of RR variability were performed using 24 hour Holter monitoring one month after surgery in 12 patients with atrial fibrillation who underwent the Maze procedure (Maze group) and in seven patients who underwent cardiac surgery without the Maze procedure (control group). Mean RR intervals (mRR) and the standard deviation of successive RR intervals (SDRR) were determined by time domain analysis, and high frequency (HF), low frequency (LF), and total power (TP) spectral components of RR variability were calculated by frequency domain analysis. Holter monitoring was also performed at six and 12 months after cardiac surgery in the Maze group.

Results—Circadian variation (mean (SD)) in mRR (daytime to night time difference: 119 (60) vs 302 (143) ms), SDRR (daytime: 8.4 (6.3) vs 37.0 (12.8) ms), TP (daytime: 46.7 (16.0) vs 171.8 (30.4) ms), HF (daytime: 19.6 (9.9) vs 36.7 (7.1) ms²), and LF/HF (daytime: 0.31 (0.07) vs 1.18 (0.46)) was decreased in the Maze group at one month compared with the control group (p < 0.01), but showed improvement at six and 12 months (p < 0.05).

Conclusions—Surgery combined with the Maze procedure markedly suppressed the circadian variation of heart rate over a 24 hour period within one month after surgery, mainly because of damage to the innervation of the sinus node. However, at six and 12 months there was restoration of circadian variation, probably as the result of reinnervation of the sinus node.
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Methods

Patients
We studied 12 patients who underwent cardiac surgery with the Maze procedure (Maze group, mean (SD) age 57 (9) years; table 1). We excluded patients with a history of myocardial infarction, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, renal failure, diabetes mellitus, severe systemic diseases, severe congestive heart failure (New York Heart Association grade III–IV), or frequent extrasystoles (more than 10 ectopic atrial or ventricular contractions per hour). Atrial fibrillation was permanent in 10 patients and paroxysmal in two. All patients were in sinus rhythm after the Maze procedure. None of the patients received β blockers, calcium antagonists, or angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors after the Maze procedure, but six were on digitalis and two on disopyramide. As a control group we also studied seven patients who underwent cardiac surgery without the Maze procedure (mean age 53 (8) years; table 1), who were in sinus rhythm before and after surgery. In this group, four patients received digitalis and two received disopyramide after surgery.

Doppler echocardiography
Cross sectional and Doppler echocardiographic examinations using a commercially available, real time, cross sectional, Doppler colour flow imaging system were performed before and within one month after surgery in all patients. Routine cross sectional and Doppler data were obtained with a 2.5 MHz and a 3.0 MHz transducer and recorded on a hard copy printout at paper speeds of 50 mm/s. The transmitral flow profile was obtained from apical window.
All examinations were performed in the supine position.

**THE MAZE PROCEDURE**

Beginning in April 1992, the Maze procedure was routinely combined with cardiac surgery to treat patients with organic heart disease and atrial fibrillation at the National Cardiovascular Centre. The operative procedure used in the present study was fundamentally the same as that initially described by Cox et al. However, several modifications to the procedure were used in the patients in the present study. In brief, a longitudinal incision was made in the atrial free wall from the right atrial appendage instead of the superior vena cava down to the inferior vena cava. Cryosurgery was used to avoid interrupting the sinus node arteries instead of incisions that transverse the sinus node arteries. Second, division of the superior vena cava was performed to improve the exposure of the mitral valve in patients who required surgical treatment to the mitral valve. This modification was also performed by Cox in his first modification of the Maze procedure.

24 HOUR HOLTER MONITORING AND HEART RATE VARIABILITY

All patients underwent two channel 24 hour Holter monitoring within one month after surgery. Holter tapes were analysed using the DMC 4100 Holter System (Nihon Kohden, Tokyo, Japan), and the electrocardiographic data were digitised at 128 Hz. QRS complexes detected over a 24 hour period were categorised as normal sinus beats, ectopic beats, artefacts, or unclassified. The accuracy of QRS detection and classification was reviewed by two physicians. Unclassified responses and artefacts were edited manually. RR interval data were transferred to a personal computer (NEC PC 9800) after editing and analysis. Only normal to normal RR intervals were used for the measurement of RR intervals; defective data caused by ectopic beats and artefacts were interpolated using the cubic spline function.

The 24 hour digitally sampled ECG data were separated into segments of five minutes (288 segments in all). We selected two periods of 24 consecutive segments (two hours of data) from the 288 segments. One period was from the daytime (1000 to 1800) and included the shortest RR intervals (daytime data); the other period was from the nighttime (2200 to 0600) and included the longest RR intervals (nighttime data).

By time domain analysis, the means of the daytime and nighttime RR intervals (daytime mRR, nighttime mRR) were calculated for comparisons between the Maze and control groups. The means of the standard deviation of the five minute segments of successive normal RR intervals for the daytime (daytime SDRR) and nighttime data (nighttime SDRR) were also calculated. We then determined the difference in mRR between daytime and nighttime (ΔmRR) as an index of the circadian variation in heart rate.

Spectral plots were computed in segments of five minutes using a 1024 point fast Fourier transform algorithm with a frequency resolution of 1/300 (0.0033 Hz). A Hanning window function was used to minimise spectral leakage between segments without diminishing the frequency resolution. We determined the average low frequency (LF; 0.04 to 0.15 Hz), high frequency (HF; 0.15 to 0.40 Hz), and total power spectral components of heart rate variability (TP; 0.0033 to 1.0 Hz) in the daytime and nighttime data. Patients were asked to keep a diary during the recording period.

---

**Table 1 Patient characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>LAD (mm) Before</th>
<th>LAD (mm) After</th>
<th>Atrial fibrillation Duration (years)</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maze group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Lone AF</td>
<td>Closure</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>Paroxysmal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>VSD</td>
<td>Closure</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Paroxysmal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ASR, MSR</td>
<td>DVR</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sustained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>PVP (M)</td>
<td>MVR</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sustained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ASR, MR</td>
<td>DVR</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Sustained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>MV plasty</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Sustained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>MVR</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Sustained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>MVR</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Sustained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>MV plasty</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>Sustained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>MSR</td>
<td>MVR</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Sustained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MSR, AR</td>
<td>MVR</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sustained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MSR, AR</td>
<td>DVR</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Sustained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55 (14)</td>
<td>42 (8)*</td>
<td>6.9 (5.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>Closure</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Sinus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>Closure</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Sinus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>VSD</td>
<td>Closure</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Sinus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>Closure</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Sinus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>Closure</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Sinus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>Closure</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Sinus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>MVR</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Sinus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39 (11)</td>
<td>32 (5)†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data are expressed as mean (SD).

* p<0.01 v before surgery, † p<0.05 v after surgery in the Maze group.

AF, atrial fibrillation; AR, aortic valve regurgitation; ASD, atrial septal defect; ASR, aortic valve stenosis and regurgitation; DVR, double valve replacement; MR, mitral valve regurgitation; MSR, mitral valve stenosis and regurgitation; MVR, mitral valve replacement; PVP (M), prosthetic valve failure (M position); and VSD, ventricular septal defect.
SERIAL CHANGES IN HEART RATE VARIABILITY AFTER THE MAZE PROCEDURE

Holter monitoring was also performed at six and 12 months after surgery. We were unable to evaluate two patients for follow up heart rate variability (cases 9 and 10) because of frequent ectopic beats.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data are expressed as mean (SD). Statistical analysis was performed with commercially available software (Statview 4.0) using the Mann–Whitney U test to analyse the differences between the Maze and control groups. Differences after surgery in the Maze group were analysed using repeated measures ANOVA with Bonferroni’s correction. A p value of < 0.05 was accepted as significant.

Results

ATRIAL DIMENSION AND FUNCTION AFTER THE MAZE PROCEDURE

The postoperative atrial dimension was larger in the Maze group than in the control group (p<0.05) (table 1), but the left atrial dimension decreased after surgery in the Maze group (p<0.01). The transmitral flow profile showed the presence of atrial waves in all patients in the Maze group.

RR VARIABILITY

Figure 1 shows a representative case of mean RR intervals of five minute segments over 24 hours in a Maze patient (case 3) and a control patient (case 1) within one month of surgery. The circadian variation of mean RR intervals was significantly decreased after surgery in the Maze patient compared with the control patient.

The daytime mRR values (two hours of data) were slightly longer in the Maze group (725 (104) ms) than in the control group (697 (72) ms), but the difference was not significant (fig 2 left, empty circles). The night time mRR values (two hours of data) were significantly shorter in the Maze group (845 (137) ms) than in the control group (999 (144) ms) (p<0.05, fig 2 left, filled circles). Thus ΔmRR (difference in mRR values between daytime and night time) was significantly smaller in the Maze group (119 (60), range 14.6 to 139.6 ms) than in the control group (302 (143), range 175.2 to 557.4 ms) (p<0.01, fig 2 right).

In time domain analysis of heart rate variability, the daytime SDRR was less in the Maze group (8.4 (3.3), range 4.5 to 13.7 ms) than in the control group (37.0 (12.0), range 19.3 to 54.1 ms) (p<0.01, fig 3, left upper). Night time SDRR was also significantly less in the Maze group (9.2 (3.8), range 5.3 to 17.4 ms) than in the control group (44.1 (12.4), range 28.7 to 65.1 ms) (p<0.01, fig 3, left upper).

In frequency domain analysis, the daytime TP was decreased in the Maze group (46.7 (16.0), range 34.0 to 62.8 ms²/Hz) compared with the control group (171.8 (30.4), range 110.8 to 204.5 ms²/Hz) (p<0.01, fig 3, right upper). Night time TP was also decreased.
in the Maze group (51.8 (19.4), range 33.9 to 71.3 ms²/Hz) compared with the control group (249.9 (75.3), range 161.2 to 351.1 ms²/Hz) (p < 0.01, fig 3, right upper).

The daytime LF/HF ratio was decreased in the Maze group (0.31 (0.07), range 0.16 to 0.40), compared with the control group (1.18 (0.46), range 0.75 to 2.03) (p < 0.01, fig 3, left lower). Night time LF/HF was also decreased in the Maze group (0.24 (0.07), range 0.16 to 0.38) v the control group (0.81 (0.41), range 0.46 to 1.57) (p < 0.01, fig 3, left lower).

Daytime HF components were decreased in the Maze group (19.6 (9.9), range 9.4 to 27.3 ms²/Hz) compared with the control group (36.7 (7.1), range 26.6 to 47.7 ms²/Hz) (p < 0.05, fig 3, right lower), and the night time HF components were also decreased (24.6 (10.4), range 10.3 to 38.9 ms²/Hz v 79.1 (32.1), range 33.9 to 118.0 ms²/Hz) (p < 0.01, fig 3, right lower).

**Table 2  Follow up data on heart rate variability in the Maze group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Daytime</th>
<th>Night time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SDRR (ms)</td>
<td>8.4 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4.5 to 3.7)</td>
<td>(9.9 to 27.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TP (ms²/Hz)</td>
<td>46.7 (16.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(34.0 to 62.8)</td>
<td>(52.2 to 200.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LF/HF 0.31 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.26) †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.16 to 0.40)</td>
<td>(0.29 to 1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF (ms²/Hz)</td>
<td>19.6 (9.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(9.4 to 27.3)</td>
<td>(18.1 to 41.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data are expressed as mean (SD) (range).

*p<0.01 v 1 month, †p<0.05 v 1 month, ‡p<0.05 v 6 months.

SDRR, mean of standard deviation of five minute successive normal RR intervals in two hours of data; TP, total spectral power components of heart rate variability in two hours of data; LF, low frequency components of heart rate variability in two hours of data; HF, high frequency components of heart rate variability in two hours of data.

**Discussion**

In this study, the Maze procedure suppressed the circadian variation of heart rate within one month after surgery, probably by damaging cardiac innervation to the sinus node. Indices of circadian variation improved over the following year, suggesting reinnervation of the sinus node.

**Inhibition of Cardiac Innervation of the Sinus Node Early After the Maze Procedure**

The Maze procedure has been reported to stop atrial fibrillation and maintain sinus rhythm. However, it is often observed that the sinus node response to exercise is attenuated early after the procedure. In our study, the AmMRR (difference in mean RR interval values between day and night) was significantly increased six and 12 months after the Maze procedure (119 (60), range 14.9 to 139.6 ms at one month, 200 (64), 124.9 to 293.4 ms at six months, and 224 (62), range 132.2 to 296.0 ms at 12 months, fig 5 right). In time domain analysis, both the daytime and nighttime SDRR values increased significantly at six and 12 months compared with the one month data (p < 0.01, table 2). The TP and HF components also increased at six and 12 months (p < 0.01). Changes over time in the LF/HF ratio were smaller than changes in the SDRR and in the HF component, but still significant (p < 0.05).
daytime and night time) was significantly smaller in the Maze group than in the control group due to suppression of the night time mRR in the Maze group.

The heart rate variability provides information about cardiovascular and parasympathetic nervous system function. In our study, indices of time and frequency domain analyses showed gradual improvement by six and 12 months after operation in the Maze group. The night time mRR, the SDRR, and the HF component showed rapid recovery by six months, whereas the LF/HF ratio, an index of sympathetic tone, showed late recovery. Thus reinnervation of parasympathetic fibres to the sinus node may be more rapid than reinnervation of sympathetic fibres after the Maze procedure.

In our study, heart rate variability was affected by many factors, such as age, previous myocardial infarction, diabetes mellitus, some types of drugs, and the heart operation itself. We attempted to control for the effects of most variables by observing strict inclusion criteria and by choosing as a control group patients who were having cardiac surgery without the Maze procedure.

In our study, indices of time and frequency domain analyses (SDRR, TP, LF/HF, and HF) were markedly suppressed in the Maze group but not in the control group, suggesting that cardiac innervation of the sinus node was inhibited by the Maze procedure itself, and not by cardiac surgery as such.

In general, complete suppression of parasympathetic influences causes a marked increase in heart rate whereas suppression of sympathetic influences causes only a slightly decrease in heart rate. Therefore parasympathetic tone usually predominates at rest, and a night time increase in parasympathetic tone results in a decrease in heart rate. In our study, the decreased circadian variation in mRR values was mainly due to a decrease in the night time mRR, reflecting suppression of parasympathetic tone after the Maze procedure.

The anatomy of the autonomic nervous innervation of the human heart is not well described. In the canine heart, however, Randall and Ardell found that both parasympathetic postganglionic fibres and sympathetic fibres go around the superior vena cava to the sinus node. In our study, the Maze procedure was performed without separation of the superior vena cava in one patient (case 2), while separation was carried out in the other patients. However, the results did not differ, suggesting that damage to the innervation of the sinus node was probably done by the incisions made in the atrial wall.

SERIAL CHANGES IN HEART RATE VARIABILITY AFTER THE MAZE PROCEDURE

The indices of time and frequency domain analyses showed gradual improvement by six and 12 months after operation in the Maze group. The night time mRR, the SDRR, and the HF component showed rapid recovery by six months, whereas the LF/HF ratio, an index of sympathetic tone, showed late recovery. Thus reinnervation of parasympathetic fibres to the sinus node may be more rapid than reinnervation of sympathetic fibres after the Maze procedure.

Tamai et al. reported that heart rate at peak exercise was attenuated at one month after the surgery combined with the Maze procedure, but recovered in the late phase after surgery. This was associated with improvement in exercise capacity. Improvements in all indices of heart rate variability in our present study support those results.

In animal models, reinnervation of parasympathetic nerves has been observed at 26 days after denervation of the heart, and reinnervation of sympathetic nerves at 74 days. However, other reports suggest that parasympathetic activity is not restored during the first postoperative month in man, but shows significant increases between three and six months, while sympathetic reinnervation occurs one year or later after orthotopic heart transplantation. We observed a similar pattern of changes in autonomic nervous tone in the present study, suggesting that reinnervation of the sinus node occurred six to 12 months after the Maze procedure.

STUDY LIMITATIONS

There are some limitations to this study. First, there were more patients with mitral valve disease in the Maze group than in the control group. Autonomic nervous function has been shown to be impaired in patients with mitral valve disease because of wall stress or fibrosis. However, all patients in the Maze group showed improvement over time, regardless of their underlying disease.

Second, atrial fibrillation may itself have influenced sinus node function. However, we found no correlation between indices of RR variability and the duration of atrial fibrillation. Therefore, we do not believe that atrial fibrillation influenced sinus node function in these patients.

Third, drug treatment was not discontinued during 24 hour Holter monitoring. However, there were no significant differences in indices of RR variability between patients receiving digitalis or disopyramide and those not taking these drugs.

Fourth, we analysed two hours of data recorded during the daytime and night time, not 24 hours of data. However, we needed to do this so that we could assess the circadian variation in autonomic tone and avoid the influence of differences in daily activities among the patients.

Lastly, we did not use normalised units for the LF and HF portions of the total power
because the absolute values of the time domain analysis variables were small.

CONCLUSIONS
Surgery combined with the Maze procedure in patients with atrial fibrillation markedly suppressed the circadian variation of heart rate over a 24 hour period within one month after surgery, mainly by damaging the innervation of the sinus node. However, at six and 12 months after the procedure, circadian variation in heart rate was restored, probably reflecting reinnervation of the sinus node.
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