Segmental wall motion abnormalities alter vulnerability to ventricular ectopic beats associated with acute increases in aortic pressure in patients with underlying coronary artery disease
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Abstract
Objective—To evaluate whether patients with coronary artery disease are susceptible to pressure related ventricular arrhythmias, and if so to identify possible risk factors.
Design—Interventional study.
Methods—Metaraminol was given to 43 patients undergoing coronary arteriography for ischaemic heart disease to increase their aortic pressure, provided their systolic blood pressure was <160 mm Hg and they were in sinus rhythm, without any ventricular ectopic activity (or with fewer than six ventricular ectopic beats a minute) during a five minute control period.
Results—During the metaraminol infusion, systolic aortic pressure rose from 131 (15) to 199 (12) mm Hg (mean (SD)). Ventricular ectopy appeared (or ventricular ectopic beats increased by >100%) in 13/43 patients. Ventricular ectopy was not related to age, sex, presence of hypertension, history of myocardial infarction, use of β blockers, positive exercise test, number of vessels diseased, or heart rate change during metaraminol infusion. There was a strong relation between the appearance of ventricular arrhythmia and segmental wall motion abnormalities: 1/19 (5.3%, 95% confidence interval 0.1% to 26.0%) without abnormality; 2/12 (16.7%, 2.1% to 48.4%) with hypokinesia; and 10/12 (83.3%, 51.6% to 97.1%) with akinesia or dyskinesia; \( \chi^2 = 22.7, p < 0.001 \). Ejection fraction was also a significant but not independent risk factor.
Conclusions—Patients with segmental wall motion abnormalities are predisposed to ventricular ectopic beats during an increase in systolic aortic pressure. This could be explained by associated electrophysiological inhomogeneity. The presence of mechanical inhomogeneity, as may occur in postinfarction akinesia or dyskinesia, may affect the aortic pressure above which ventricular arrhythmias appear.

Methods
PATIENTS
This study was approved by the ethics committee of our institution, and all patients gave informed consent after eligibility for recruitment had been decided.
Inclusion criteria—Every patient undergoing coronary arteriography for ischaemic heart disease was eligible for recruitment into the study, provided (1) the systolic aortic pressure was less than 160 mm Hg and (2) there was sinus rhythm without any ventricular ectopic activity or with fewer than six ventricular extrasystoles a minute during a five minute control period.
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Table 1 Clinical, haemodynamic, and angiographic characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age range (years)</td>
<td>44 to 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>60 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>39/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of hypertension</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of myocardial infarction</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of β blockers</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal VEBS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) basal systolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>131 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) basal heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>68 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No CAD</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One vessel disease</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two vessel disease</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three vessel disease</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF, range (%)</td>
<td>28 to 78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>57 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDP, range (mm Hg)</td>
<td>8 to 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>12 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV' normal contractility</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypokinesia</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akinesia-dyskinesia</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BP, blood pressure; CAD, coronary artery disease; LVEF, left ventricular end diastolic pressure; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; VEB, ventricular ectopic beats.

period on completion of the routine catheterisation procedure.

Exclusion criteria—Exclusion criteria were: use of antiarrhythmic drugs except for β blockers; a history of stroke or any other intracerebral disease; congestive heart failure or an end diastolic pressure > 20 mm Hg; a history of any major myocardial ischaemia during the past three months; valvar or pericardial disease; left main stem disease; and any complication during catheterisation.

Forty three patients were finally examined; 22 other patients who were catheterised did not fulfil the inclusion criteria; 63 were excluded because of the exclusion criteria.

Recruitment of the patients continued until a statistically clear result was obtained. Because of the small number of cases with dyskinesia (three patients), these were put in the akinesia group.

PROCEDURES

Routine coronary angiography was performed in all patients using the Judkins technique. Left ventriculography was performed in two projections, right anterior oblique 30° and left anterior oblique 60°. Following left ventriculography, the catheter was withdrawn to the descending thoracic aorta. After a rest period of about five minutes the aortic pressure was recorded on a Mennen Horizon 2000 instrument (Mennen Medical, Clarence, New York, USA), along with a three lead ECG, at a chart speed of 5 mm/s. After a five minute control period, an intravenous infusion of metaraminol hydrochloride, an agent with predominantly α adrenergic activity, was given at a rate of 1 mg/min, while the patient’s electrocardiogram and aortic pressure were recorded continuously. The infusion was stopped when: (a) the systolic aortic pressure increased to 200 mm Hg; (b) the patient complained of chest pain, dyspnoea, headache, or developed ST segment abnormalities on the electrocardiogram; or (c) ventricular extrasystoles appeared in patients without ectopy in the control period (or, for patients with ventricular extrasystoles during the control period, if they showed an increase of at least 100%). In cases where ventricular ectopic activity developed, the metaraminol test was considered positive.

Every week the coronary angiography and ventriculography data were assessed visually by three experienced invasive cardiologists who determined by consensus the number of stenosed vessels and the severity of the lesion. Stenoses of more than 50% of the luminal diameter in two orthogonal projections were considered significant. At the same time the presence of segmental wall motion abnormalities was assessed in the two projections by one investigator, who ignored the result of the metaraminol test. The endocardial silhouette was drawn in each projection for both end diastolic and end systolic frames, which were then superimposed on their long axes and divided into seven segments: anterobasal, anterolateral, apical, diaphragmatic, posterobasal (right anterior oblique projection), lateral, and septal (left anterior oblique projection). The systolic change in length of each of these segments was expressed as per cent of the corresponding end diastolic length. The systolic movement of each segment was graded as follows: normal if the change in all segments was of the same order; hypokinesia if the change in one was less than that in the others; akinesia if one or more segment presented no difference between systole and diastole; and dyskinesia if one segment presented lengthening instead of shortening during systole. The ejection fraction was measured according to the Dodge’s two plane area–length method.

The incidence of a positive versus a negative metaraminol test was related to the following variables: sex, age, history of previous myocardial infarction, history of hypertension, use of β blockers, left ventricular end diastolic pressure, basal and postmetaraminol systolic aortic pressure and heart rate values, dose of metaraminol infused, presence and number of coronary arteries with stenoses greater than 50% of the lumen diameter, left ventricular ejection fraction, and presence and degree of left ventricular segmental systolic abnormalities.

STATISTICS

Non-parametric comparisons were performed by the χ² test using the Yates correction, while for parametric comparisons the paired or independent Student t test was used as appropriate. Percentages are given with 95% confidence intervals. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed whenever needed. A p value of < 0.05 was considered significant. Values are given as mean (SD).

Results

Forty three patients (39 male, four female) were recruited for the study. Nineteen had a history of myocardial infarction which had occurred over three months before, 20 had a history of hypertension, and 31 were using β blocking agents for various clinical indications. During the control period 38 patients had no ventricular ectopy, while five had single extrasystoles at an incidence of two to five per minute. Angiographically significant coronary
Table 2  Differences in parametric variables between a positive and a negative metaraminol test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Negative (n=30)</th>
<th>Positive (n=13)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>59.5 (6.6)</td>
<td>61.2 (7.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>132.8 (15.3)</td>
<td>126.5 (15.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postinfusion SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>203.1 (5.7)</td>
<td>189.8 (17.7)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal HR (beats/min)</td>
<td>66.1 (11.5)</td>
<td>72.5 (16.7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postinfusion HR (beats/min)</td>
<td>53.1 (10.9)</td>
<td>64.8 (17.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR change (beats/min)</td>
<td>13 (2.9)</td>
<td>7.7 (13.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>61.9 (8.7)</td>
<td>44.5 (9.1)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>11.7 (3.9)</td>
<td>12.5 (4.2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ET, end diastolic pressure; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; HR, heart rate; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Table 3 Differences in the incidence of non-parametric variables between the two groups (positive and negative metaraminol test)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Negative (n=30)</th>
<th>Positive (n=13)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male sex (n=39)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (n=20)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of MI (n=19)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of β blockers (n=31)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive ET (n=25)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal coronary vessels</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One vessel disease</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two vessel disease</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three vessel disease</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal LV movement (n=19)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypokinesia (n=12)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akinesia–dyskinesia (n=12)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ET, exercise test; LV, left ventricular; MI, myocardial infarction.

METARAMINOL INFUSION

The metaraminol infusion was not associated with severe adverse effects in any of the patients. Three of 43 complained of mild headache, one of dyspnoea, and one of chest pain without ECG findings suggesting myocardial ischaemia. All these adverse effects resolved within less than three minutes after the infusion was stopped. The dose of metaraminol infused was 7.2 (2.2) mg. The systolic aortic pressure rose from 131 (15) to 199 (12) mm Hg, diastolic aortic pressure from 66 (10) to 86 (9) mm Hg, and mean aortic pressure from 91 (10) to 124 (12) mm Hg. Heart rate decreased from 68 (13) to 56 (14) beats/min (p < 0.002), although in five patients it was increased.

The metaraminol infusion caused an arrhythmogenic response in 13 of 43 patients; of the 38 patients without any ventricular ectopy during the control period, 10 developed ventricular extrasystoles, and 28 did not. The ectopic beats induced were single extrasystoles in six of 10 patients, pairs in two of 10, and runs in two of 10, while the total number of ventricular extrasystoles ranged from one to 100. Of the five patients with ventricular extrasystoles during the control period, the incidence of the extrasystoles did not change in two, while it increased by 100% or more in three. In addition, the extrasystoles converted from unifocal to multifocal in two of these patients. Tables 2 and 3 show the differences in the variables examined between the patients with a positive and a negative metaraminol test.

Figure 1  Incidence of pressure related arrhythmias as a function of regional kinetic abnormalities.

The systolic aortic pressure at the time of the arrhythmogenic response ranged from 135 to 200 mm Hg (mean (SD) 169 (24)); the increase was less in patients with a positive response (189.7 (17.7)) than in those with a negative response (203.1 (5.7), p < 0.02). The postmetaraminol infusion heart rate was higher (p < 0.05) in the patients with an arrhythmogenic response to the metaraminol test than in those without a response, at 72.5 (16.7) v 66.1 (11.5) beats/min. The ejection fraction in the patients with a positive metaraminol test was less (p < 0.001) than in those with a negative test, at 44.5(8.7)% v 61.9(8.7%).

The more severe the segmental wall motion abnormalities the higher was the probability of developing ventricular arrhythmias on raising the aortic pressure (χ² = 22.7, p < 0.001 for two degrees of freedom (fig 1, table 3)). Thus ventricular ectopics or runs were induced in one of 19 patients with normal wall motion (5.3%, 95% confidence interval 0.1% to 26.0%), two of 12 with hypokinesia (16.7%, 2.1% to 48.4%), and 10 of 12 with akinesia or dyskinesia (83.3%, 51.6% to 97.1%). The more severe the segmental wall motion abnormalities the lower was the ejection fraction: 42.3(7.5)% for dyskinesia or akinesia, 60.2(4.5)% for hypokinesia, and 63.5(9.3)% for normokinesia; p < 0.001 for two degrees of freedom in one way ANOVA, the ejection fraction in dyskinesia/akinesia being significantly lower than in the other two categories.

Using two way ANOVA, the probability of a positive metaraminol test was significantly related to the presence of segmental wall motion abnormalities and not to the ejection fraction (p < 0.012), indicating that the ejection fraction is not an independent risk factor for the genesis of aortic pressure related ventricular arrhythmias. Thus the most powerful predictor of aortic pressure related arrhythmogenesis was the presence of segmental akinesia–dyskinesia (fig 1). On the other hand, age, sex, hypertension, stress induced ischaemia, use of β blockers, basal values of aortic pressure and heart rate, and the presence and number of stenosed coronary arteries were not significantly different in patients with a positive and a negative response to the metaraminol
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valve are associated with an there are experimental data showing that minol induced arrhythmias. Furthermore, not seem to be the explanation for the metaraminol induced; in fact in the former the maximum pressure reached was lower than in the latter, presumably because the metaraminol drip was stopped earlier because of the appearance of the arrhythmia.

The level of systolic aortic pressure above which ventricular ectopics developed (or became exaggerated) was not greater than found under physiological circumstances during strenuous physical activity (140 to 200 mm Hg, mean (SD) = 170 (23) mm Hg). The emergence of arrhythmias in some cases was not a reflection of a higher aortic pressure than in the cases where arrhythmias were not induced; in fact in the former the maximum pressure reached was lower than in the latter, presumably because the metaraminol drip was stopped earlier because of the appearance of the arrhythmia.

The arrhythmogenic effect of an acute rise in blood pressure is known from previous experimental and clinical studies.25, 26 Nevertheless, the critical arrhythmogenic level of blood pressure was widely variable in those studies, often being at values outside the normal range and influenced by unknown factors. Our study suggests that a group of patients with known susceptibility to ventricular arrhythmias is vulnerable to pressure related arrhythmias. It seems likely that aortic pressure related arrhythmias may contribute in part to the high incidence of ventricular arrhythmias in these patients. Ventricular arrhythmias in patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction are a difficult therapeutic problem given the high risk of sudden death27, 28 and the failure of antiarrhythmic drugs to improve survival.12, 13 An explanation for the failure of antiarrhythmic treatment may be the fact that these arrhythmias are related to mechanical dysfunction of the left ventricle. On the other hand, treatment with drugs that decrease the load on the heart has resulted in a reduction in mortality in patients with heart failure15, 16 and after myocardial infarction.13, 14 Moreover, the use of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors decreases ventricular arrhythmias15-17 and sudden death18, 19 in patients with heart failure, suggesting that unloading the heart has an antiarrhythmic effect.

Our results are in agreement with previous studies on the arrhythmogenic effect of acute aortic pressure elevation, and indicate that there is a group of patients who are particularly susceptible to ventricular ectopy from this mechanism. Although the mechanism of pressure related arrhythmias cannot be determined from our data, mechanoelectrical feedback is a likely possibility. The most widely studied electrophysiological effect of changes in mechanical loading is the duration of the monophasic action potential or refractoriness.20 The effect of mechanical loading on refractoriness may be variable, depending on several factors,21-25 although in most studies it has been found to be shortened by increases in pressure or volume load.26-28 These discrepancies may be
attributed to several factors such as differences in the species or tissues used, differences in the nature of the load or the phase when the load was applied, or the method of measurement involved.

Taggart et al. examined the effect of acute heart load changes on the duration of the monophasic action potential of the left ventricle in 23 patients undergoing routine cardiac catheterisation. The monophasic action potential was recorded from the left ventricular endocardium—as a measure of the time course of local repolarisation—during the strain (ventricular unloading) and release (ventricular loading) phases of the Valsalva manoeuvre. In patients with normal ventricles, and even in those with a previous myocardial infarct, the monophasic action potential shortened during the release phase, and lengthened during the strain phase in patients with postinfarction akinesia or dyskinesia, may affect the aortic pressure above which ventricular arrhythmias may appear.

11 Zipes DP. Pharmacological effects of antiarrhythmic drugs. Am J Cardiol 1987;59:26-31E.
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