Coronary atherosclerosis within a myocardial bridge, not a benign condition
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Abstract
In patients with myocardial bridging, the area within the bridge usually remains free from atherosclerotic disease. The case of a 47 year old man is described who had the rare combination of myocardial bridging with an atherosclerotic plaque within the area of bridging, which was detected with intravascular ultrasound but not with coronary angiography. The clinical history of the patient demonstrates that this is not a benign condition. In symptomatic patients the bridged segment should be screened for the presence of plaque with intracoronary ultrasound. (Heart 1998;80:91–93)
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Myocardial bridging is defined as a segment of a major epicardial coronary artery running intramurally through the myocardium. Although a common finding in necropsy studies (15–85%), it is less frequently detected as a systolic narrowing of the artery at angiography (0.51–2.5%). The milking effect of myocardial bridging can be accentuated by the use of intracoronary nitroglycerin, which will increase the incidence of myocardial bridging detected on the angiogram. Myocardial bridging has been considered a benign condition, although it has been associated with myocardial ischaemia, tachycardia induced ischaemia, conduction disturbances, myocardial infarction, and sudden death. Both pathological and ultrasound studies suggest that atherosclerotic lesions are uncommon within the bridging segment, but are common proximal to the bridge. We describe a patient with an atherosclerotic lesion within an area of severe myocardial bridging of the left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD) who experienced one episode of acute transmural anterior ischaemia and who suffered two anterior myocardial infarctions. Intravascular ultrasound revealed an atherosclerotic lesion within the bridge that was not apparent on the angiogram.

Case report
A 47 year old man was admitted to the emergency room with typical chest pain that had started 10 minutes earlier. The ECG showed 10 mm ST segment elevation in leads V1 to V6, I, and aVL. After 0.5 mg nitroglycerin and 10 mg nifedipine the chest pain subsided and the ECG normalised. This episode did not result in raised creatine kinase MB. The patient’s medical history revealed no previous chest pain and there were no exertional anginal complaints. He smoked about 20 cigarettes daily, total serum cholesterol was 4 mmol/l. A diagnostic coronary angiogram, performed when the patient was stable, showed normal coronary arteries but with myocardial bridging with complete systolic disappearance of a 1.5 cm long segment in the mid-portion of the LAD (fig 1A and B).

The episode of chest pain was considered to be caused by coronary spasm, possibly in combination with myocardial bridging, and he was treated with aspirin 100 mg and nifedipine 60 mg daily. A treadmill exercise test was negative and the patient was discharged. Four weeks later, he was admitted with sudden onset of chest pain; again the ECG showed marked ST segment elevations in anterolateral leads without improvement after the administration of nitroglycerin and nifedipine. An urgent diagnostic angiogram revealed an occlusion of the LAD in the middle of the bridging segment (fig 1C). Angioplasty was performed and flow was restored after crossing of the guidewire. A residual stenosis was dilated with a 3.0 mm balloon, and angiography after PTCA showed an excellent result.

At the end of the angioplasty, intracoronary ultrasound was performed, after intracoronary nitroglycerin, with a 2.9 F mechanical single element 30 MHz system (Cardiovascular Imaging Systems Inc, Sunnyvale, California, USA). The ultrasound transducer was positioned in the left anterior descending artery distal to the myocardial bridge (recognised by its location between two septal branches), and was slowly pulled back manually. An atherosclerotic plaque was observed distal as well as proximal to the bridge. Lumen area measured during end diastole at the proximal site (fig 2A) was 7.4 mm² and plaque area was 1.7 mm. At the distal site (fig 2D) lumen area was 4.4 mm and plaque area...
0.9 mm. At the middle portion of the bridge (fig 2C), the imaging catheter was caught within the artery during systolic narrowing. Within the bridge, a ruptured, soft atherosclerotic lesion was apparent (fig 2B). At this cross section, the lumen area measured at end diastole was 2.7 mm² and plaque area was 3.3 mm. Interestingly, an echolucent band with a maximum thickness of 0.5 mm was visible between the myocardial bridge and the vessel adventitia that ran along the full length of the area of myocardial bridging (asterisks, fig 2B and C). This band probably represents adipose tissue around the bridged artery. The myocardial bridge width was estimated at 1.5 mm.

The patient was treated with aspirin 100 mg, nifedipine 60 mg, atenolol 100 mg, and simvastatin 10 mg.

Follow up was uneventful with repetitive negative exercise stress tests until three years later when the patient was admitted with acute chest pain and electrocardiographic signs of acute anterior infarction. A diagnostic angiogram again showed occlusion of the LAD at exactly the same site as three years previously, and primary PTCA was again performed successfully. IVUS examination of the LAD demonstrated no progression nor regression of the atherosclerotic lesion in the area of myocardial bridging, and no change in atherosclerotic disease proximal or distal to the bridge. This time, we treated the patient with grafting of the left mammary artery on the LAD with minimal invasive surgery, and the patient was symptom free four months after the operation.

Discussion

Observations from several pathological studies on series of hearts with bridged coronary arteries have shown that atherosclerotic lesions within the bridging coronary segment are rare, although the so-called protective effect of the intramural course of the artery has been questioned in one early report. The reason for atherosclerosis being confined mainly to the part of the vessel proximal to the bridge is unclear, but may be related to local wall stress, flow and shear stress conditions, and subsequent injury to the vessel wall. Ge et al reported a group of 14 patients with typical and atypical angina that showed systolic narrowing of a portion of the LAD. None of these patients had a history of myocardial infarction; 86% had atherosclerotic lesions, but these were
all located proximal to the bridged segment with no atherosclerotic lesions within the bridged segment. Our patient had a soft atherosclerotic plaque within the area of bridging. This lesion was not visible on the coronary angiogram but was detected with IVUS. In a recent editorial, Virmani et al speculated that systolic narrowing of the coronary artery should be at least 75% to cause ischaemia. In our patient, however, the combination of severe systolic narrowing with soft plaque within the bridged segment did not result in exercise induced ischaemia, but rather in repeated acute occlusion of the vessel. Although intracoronary stenting of myocardial bridges in symptomatic patients has been described in a preliminary report, we feared mechanical trauma to the stent and so decided to perform mammary artery bypass grafting in this patient after the third episode of acute occlusion of the vessel that had twice resulted in acute myocardial infarction.

We conclude that myocardial bridging with a plaque within the bridged area may be associated with acute myocardial infarction, and should not be considered a benign condition in symptomatic patients. Atherosclerotic lesions proximal, or rarely, within the area of bridging may remain undetected by coronary angiography. This case report demonstrates that intracoronary ultrasound can detect such lesions and in symptomatic patients the bridged segment should be screened for the presence of plaque with intracoronary ultrasound. In symptomatic patients with myocardial bridging and an atherosclerotic lesion within the bridged segment, bypass grafting should be considered.
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