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Abstract
Objective—To investigate the relation between the severity of pulmonary hypertension and the outcome of medical treatment.

Methods—98 patients with primary pulmonary hypertension—nine (6%) with systemic disease and pulmonary hypertension and 39 (27%) with thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension—received medical treatment and were followed between 1982 and 1995. They were given long term intravenous prostaglandin treatment (either epoprostenol (n = 61) or iloprost (n = 13)) or conventional treatment with oral anticoagulants (n = 24) with or without calcium channel blockers. Event-free survival was measured to death or transplant surgery, or pulmonary thromboendarterectomy. Results—Prognosis (hazard ratio) was affected by: New York Heart Association class (NYHA) of less than 500 m; very few of the patients were NYHA class I. A request for funding was undertaken, giving incremental steps of 2 ng/kg/min, until there was either an increase in cardiac index of more than 30% or a fall in mean right atrial pressure (mRAP) of 20%. A 30% increase in cardiac index was taken to denote a capacity to dilate the pulmonary circulations was also assessed during the catheter study. An cumulative dose response to intravenous prostacyclin was undertaken, giving incremental steps of 2 ng/kg/min, until there was either an increase in cardiac index of more than 30% or a fall in mRAP of 20%. A 30% increase in cardiac index was taken to denote a capacity to vasodilate.

Further investigations included chest x-ray, echocardiography (M mode and Doppler), full lung function tests, and a ventilation/perfusion (V/Q) lung scan, together with full immunological screening for connective tissue disease to determine the presence of secondary pulmonary hypertension. Pulmonary thromboembolic disease was diagnosed when there were two or more segmental or subsegmental perfusion defects on the V/Q scan which were normally ventilated.

A decision to treat patients with a long term intravenous infusion of prostaglandins was made on the basis of the severity of their disease. The main indication was exercise tolerance, measured by a 12 minute walking distance of less than 500 m; very few of the patients were New York Heart Association (NYHA) class I. A request for funding was then made to the patient’s local health authority. In a proportion of cases this was accepted and the infusion of epoprostenol (prostacyclin) or iloprost was started.
Table 1 Patient characteristics and haemodynamics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Prostaglandin treatment</th>
<th>Conventional treatment</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>34.0 (43.0)</td>
<td>43.0 (30.0)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>26 (28)</td>
<td>28 (28)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>48 (44)</td>
<td>44 (44)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade I and II</td>
<td>3 (32)</td>
<td>32 (32)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III and IV</td>
<td>69 (39)</td>
<td>39 (39)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>59 (49)</td>
<td>49 (49)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>15 (23)</td>
<td>23 (23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasodilator response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>24 (26)</td>
<td>26 (26)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute</td>
<td>37 (33)</td>
<td>33 (33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVO₂ &lt; 60%</td>
<td>42 (22)</td>
<td>22 (22)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVO₂ ≥ 60%</td>
<td>30 (48)</td>
<td>48 (48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right heart catheter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before vasodilator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRAP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>12.0 (8.0)</td>
<td>8.0 (8.0)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPAP (mm Hg)†</td>
<td>66.9 (16.6)</td>
<td>58.0 (16.7)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVO₂ (%)†</td>
<td>56.9 (9.0)</td>
<td>63.0 (11.2)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI (l/min/m²)</td>
<td>3.8 (3.6)</td>
<td>3.6 (3.6)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVR (Wood units)</td>
<td>18.7 (14.6)</td>
<td>14.6 (14.6)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After vasodilator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRAP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>64.6 (17.6)</td>
<td>55.6 (16.4)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVO₂ (%)†</td>
<td>64.6 (8.7)</td>
<td>71.4 (9.7)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI (l/min/m²)</td>
<td>3.6 (4.8)</td>
<td>4.8 (4.8)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVR (wu)</td>
<td>15.5 (10.0)</td>
<td>10.0 (10.0)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean (SD) values; Mann-Whitney test used for comparison.
†Mean (SD) values; unpaired t test used for comparison.

A χ² test was used to compare proportions.

CONVENTIONAL TREATMENT

In those patients for whom agreement to fund prostaglandin treatment was not received, anticoagulants were continued. Patients who had shown a capacity for vasodilatation at right heart catheter study were also treated with oral calcium channel blockers in a dose to maintain a systolic systemic blood pressure of 100 mm Hg. Calcium channel blockers included nifedipine, diltiazem, and amlodipine. This constituted conventional treatment and no patient on prostaglandins continued conventional treatment. This contrasts with the work of Barst et al, where the patients all continued conventional treatment.

OUTCOME MEASURES

The primary outcome measure for this study was time until death or surgery. The surgical treatment was either heart-lung transplantation or pulmonary endarterectomy. A deterioration in the patients’ clinical status determined whether a patient was treated surgically.

All patients were seen at three monthly intervals and the 12 minute walking distance measured. When exercise distance fell to the level seen at the time of diagnosis, patients were assigned to surgical treatment. They were offered heart-lung transplantation or thromboendarterectomy where there was central pulmonary thrombotic obstruction. Time from this point to surgery depended on the availability of donors or access to specialist surgical facilities for thromboendarterectomy.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Values were given as mean (95% confidence interval). The Mann-Whitney or unpaired t test was used to compare haemodynamic variables and basic patient characteristics between the groups. The χ² test was used to compare the sex distribution between the two main treatment groups. Cox’s proportional hazards regression analysis was used to calculate the hazards ratios in the univariate and multivariate analysis, in order to determine independent predictors of death or surgery. Significance levels were calculated using the likelihood ratio test. For the survival analysis, the Kaplan-Meier method was used to calculate the median survival times and the survival curves, using the log rank test to compare them. A value of less than 5% was taken to be significant.

Two subgroup analyses were undertaken. (1) Patients were divided according to SVO₂. The prognosis of patients with severe pulmonary hypertension depends on the presence of right ventricular failure. The degree of reduction in cardiac index, rise in mRAP, and increase in pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) provide a guide to survival. The SVO₂% also characterises the patients’ survival chances, so we divided the patients into two groups according to this measurement: those with a poor prognosis have an SVO₂ of < 60%, while those with a better prognosis have an SVO₂ of ≥ 60%. (2) In the other subgroup analysis we divided the patients into those who did or did not have a capacity to vasodilate.

Results

Of the 146 patients, 98 had primary pulmonary hypertension (67%), nine (6%) had severe pulmonary hypertension associated with systemic disease (systemic lupus erythematosus in two, systemic sclerosis in four, and sarcoidosis in three), while 39 (27%) had thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. At the end of the study, in November 1995, 20 patients (14%) had needed heart-lung transplantation, two (1%) had undergone a pulmonary thromboendarterectomy, and 72 (49%) had died, leaving 52 (36%) alive. Overall median survival to death or surgery was 695 days (95% confidence interval 546 to 844 days). For those 22 patients who underwent surgery, the median survival time was 345 days (240 to 456).

The characteristics and haemodynamic measurements of the patients are shown in table 1, divided by treatment group into those
A patient's condition, together with mPAP, is known to be a strong indicator of the severity of a patient's condition, with a significant predictor of early death or surgery. The NYHA grade was also a strong predictor, with a highly significant hazard ratio (p = 0.009), indicating that grades III or IV were associated with a greater risk than grades I or II. The other significant predictors were cardiac index, mRAP, and PVR. As previously reported, mPAP was not a significant predictor. As the data involve many hidden interrelations, the only accurate way to assess the importance of the prognostic factors—especially of treatment—is through multivariate analysis. In the univariate analysis, the effect of the patient's condition is not taken into account, therefore strongly affecting the assessment of the treatment as the more severely affected patients were given prostaglandins. In the multivariate analysis, the patient's condition can be accounted for, so the true value of the treatment can be assessed.

The multivariate analysis incorporated a model with a selection of the factors investigated in the univariate analysis. The primary objective was to find a true estimate of the effect of treatment, while allowing for the other influential factors. Therefore the model included the measures of the patients' overall condition, which were Svo2%, NYHA grade, and PVR (pulmonary vascular resistance) (table 3).

To evaluate the effectiveness of prostaglandin treatment, the importance of allowing for measures of the patients' condition is clearly shown in table 3. The hazard ratio associated with prostaglandin treatment fell from 0.95 in the univariate analysis to 0.78 in the multivariate analysis, only just missing significance (p = 0.06). The value obtained from the multivariate analysis is therefore likely to be close to the true hazard ratio for treatment, but there could still be hidden interrelations in the data. The hazard ratio associated with NYHA grade changed little, while that for Svo2% did not change, indicating that these were likely to be the true values for these factors. It should be noted that the hazard ratio for PVR did not change significantly either.

To evaluate the effect of treatment further, we compared the survival of the two treatment groups within different strata. The first objective was to compare survival in the two treatment groups within the lower and higher Svo2% strata, as this is such a strong indicator of the severity of the patients' condition. The second objective was to compare survival in the groups with an acute vasodilator response and in those without. This would offer a possible method of predicting the performance of each treatment.

Of the 64 patients with an Svo2% of less than 60%, 22 were given conventional treatment and 42 were given prostaglandin treatment. The median survival for patients with conventional treatment was 239 days (0 to 502), while in those treated with prostaglandins it was 585 days (300 to 870). There was a significant difference to the Kaplan-Meier survival plot (p = 0.02). Of the 78 patients with an Svo2% greater than 60%, 48 received conventional treatment and 30 were given prostaglandin treatment. The median survival time of the conventional treatment group was 1275 days (732 to 1818), while for the prostaglandin treatment group it was 986 days (541 to 1431). There was no difference in the survival curves (p = 0.5). Therefore for the more severely affected patients prostaglandin treatment significantly improved survival, by almost a
year, in contrast to the less severely affected patients, in whom there was no effect on survival.

Of the 50 patients who showed no vasodilatation on diagnostic catheterisation, 26 received conventional treatment and 24 received prostaglandin treatment. The median survival for the conventional treatment group was 899 days (197 to 1601) and it was similar for the prostaglandin group (797 days (47 to 1547)). There was no difference in the survival curves (p = 0.1). Of the 70 acute responders, 33 had conventional treatment and 37 prostaglandin treatment. For the conventional treatment group, median survival time was not available as only 10 (30.3%) reached the end point of death or surgery. For the prostaglandin treatment group, median survival time was 776 days (620 to 932). There was no significant difference between the two Kaplan–Meier survival curves (p = 0.07). There was no evidence that the capacity to vasodilate predicted the performance of either conventional treatment or prostaglandin treatment.

Discussion

Strong indicators of prognosis in earlier studies of the natural history of primary pulmonary hypertension, and pulmonary hypertension from congenital heart disease are NYHA grade, cardiac index, mRAP, and PVR, together with the Svo₂%, 14 15 By stratifying the patients according to their Svo₂%—above or below 60%—we observed that in the most severely affected patients, prostaglandin treatment enhanced their event-free survival by almost a year. An Svo₂% value below 60% therefore predicts those patients with severe pulmonary hypertension who will benefit from long term intravenous infusion of epoprostenol or iloprost. The presence or absence of a capacity for acute pulmonary vasodilatation does not predict the performance of prostaglandin treatment.

As this study was not a randomised trial, various problems naturally occur. The statistical techniques used in the analysis are based on the assumption that the sample of patients in the study is a random one from one whole population, which is not the case. Treatments were not allocated randomly, and were intentionally given to the more severely affected patients. This could have lessened the impact of treatment on survival. We tried to overcome this throughout the analysis by including measures of the patients’ condition as covariates. There was evidence that the hazard ratio associated with prostaglandin was 78% of that with conventional treatment, although this just missed significance at the 5% level (p = 0.06).

It is possible that the hazard ratio associated with prostaglandin treatment would be lower than 78% if more measures of clinical condition had been included, such as exercise tolerance and arterial blood gases. Rather than increasing the complexity of the study, we chose instead to analyse the results according to severity of right ventricular failure, categorising the groups according to Svo₂%. The survival analysis of the two treatment groups within the lower Svo₂% band showed that prostaglandin treatment was effective. The ideal way to show this benefit of prostaglandin treatment would be a randomised controlled trial. However, this study has two major attributes—a large sample size and a long duration of follow up—which give strong indications of the efficacy of long term intravenous epoprostenol or iloprost treatment.

The identification of patients with right ventricular failure offers a practical guide for selecting those patients most likely to respond to prostaglandin treatment. These patients can be recognised by high mRAP values, a low cardiac index, an NYHA grade of III and IV, or a low Svo₂%. However, a capacity for pulmonary vasodilatation does not predict success in this group. For patients with no evidence of right ventricular failure and in whom the pulmonary circulation can be dilated during diagnostic catheterisation, anticoagulants and oral calcium channel blocker treatment offers a means of improving event-free survival. 10

As the medical treatment of primary pulmonary hypertension and pulmonary hypertension from the different secondary causes appears to be similar, we combined patients with thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension, pulmonary hypertension secondary to systemic disease, and primary pulmonary hypertension. For example, in patients with pulmonary hypertension from systemic diseases such as systemic sclerosis, prostaglandin treatment appears to be as effective as in primary pulmonary hypertension. 16 Treatment with oral vasodilators and anticoagulants also appears effective in the treatment of thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. 18

We cannot determine the optimum time for heart–lung or lung transplantation from this study. However, survival after transplant surgery is about 60% at 18 months in most centres, 17 which is comparable with the results of prostaglandin treatment in our study. The relative costs and effects on the quality of life of the two treatments need to be considered in detail. From the limited data, models have been developed to describe relative cost and benefit. From these models, it appears that the relative cost per index of quality of life (quality adjusted life year) is similar for the two approaches. 10 14 A case can therefore be made for delaying transplant surgery until prostaglandin treatment fails.
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