Reconsidering quality

J Kisslo

To my friend in echocardiography

Greetings from the 32nd best country in international soccer (that’s football to you).

News of our demise in the Coupe du Monde made the fifth page of the sports section in my hometown newspaper. This failure raised a low grade murmur about the quality of our soccer that will surely continue well into the millennium and extend somewhat beyond our players and ex-coach. President Clinton explained it away saying, “Soccer came late to Americans…” Thank you, Bill.

I understand the rules of modern soccer were codified by some Englishmen, probably disgruntled by cricket, in the mid-19th century. The score of the first international game (1871) between England and Scotland was a rousing 0–0. From there all the hoopla spread around the world, skipping North America. The cup web site calls the game the “common destiny of mankind”.

You say we are a violent culture, but we watched as those young men were tripped by each other (or invisible feet) and fell to the ground in extraordinary pain. Oh the agony we felt, watching those unfortunate players carried off the field by stretcher. We felt relief when they arose miraculously from some unseen cure and came right back into the game. I concluded that most players must compete for Lourdes during the regular season.

How can the US be so far apart from the rest of the world in quality of this game? What is quality anyway? Ah, you say the fans love it and of the world in quality of this game? What is quality anyway? Ah, you say the fans love it and the overwhelming reason we are all captivated by quality issues in medicine.

Since this letter will never be read by non-physicians, we are safe to admit that there are some among us who commonly use this argument.

The second is that we take pride in our noble profession. No, no, I don’t mean the sinful self pride kind of thing. I’m talking about noble self pride that recognises that we serve humanity and science and that our goals should be pure. Maybe it is a bit like medieval knighthood. “My son is a doctor,” said my mother. Yes, I wore my white coat, bravery, stethoscope, sleeplessness, blue blazer, grey slacks and an appropriate dose of ego to match. Surely her friends assumed I had dignity, bearing, and the very disposition they expected.

The third reason to consider quality is simply as a response to protect our profession by providing evidence of worth or merit. Given the first two reasons, it is hard to believe that any protection is necessary. In addition, echo use is booming worldwide. You’re part of it (as only echo addicts will read this letter) and what could possibly be a threat? Educational meetings are growing in number and size as society treasuries grow. There are more and more really improved echo machines over the last two years, unlike the lightweight fluffy marketing products of the previous decade.

Over the past year we patted each other on the back, slapped some high fives and showed some more very colourful slides of diseases and diagrams—my favourite is now bubbles resonating, then imploding. All graphs and charts showed things going mostly somewhere upwards. Yep, the bubbles are resonating, 3D is looking in directions we never saw before, and all is being carried on 1s and 0s over the echo horizon into the next millennium. Now, in this milieu, it’s hard to believe that echo can be threatened in any way.

Maybe we are just part of the exaggerated tendency to set standards and definitions in all fields. How about the recent European Community regulations concerning how much curve should be tolerated in a cucumber before the EC calls it a cucumber and allows it to be sold? Forget nutrition and starving people, the purpose of a cucumber is to be straight and straight it will be in the EC.

The EC obviously followed the American echo community in trying to do right for the world. We set forth very good standards for echo over the years. You know what I mean, we want physicians to train for so many months/years, and to do so many cases, and even to take a test to show their high quality. We even have some new groups you should know about. The National Board of Echocardiography (the suc-
Table 1 Deming's 14 quality points. Adapted for echocardiography
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Constantly improve echo services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Adopt the new philosophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Cease dependence on inspection (or tests) to achieve quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>End buying echo machines on the basis of price (high or low). Worry about service delivery instead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Improve, constantly and forever, every process for planning, learning, and implementing echo services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Instill training on the job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Adopt and instill leadership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Drive out fear and blame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Break down barriers between staff and have everybody work together</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Eliminate slogans, exhortations as targets for the work force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Eliminate numerical quotas for employees and numerical goals for management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Remove barriers that rob people of pride, such as annual ratings or merit systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Institute a vigorous programme of education and self improvement for everyone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Put everybody related to echo lab to work to accomplish the transformation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ah, but we were saved by the good folks at Jet Propulsion Laboratory who said the near miss was miscalculated by 600 000 miles. Not to worry, NASA then announced that it would sponsor the business of quality asteroid watching/measuring/calculating using an international committee of astronomers whose business it would be to officially announce Doomsday. Mind you, NASA has an entire budget of $1.8 million/year set aside for asteroid watching. At current charges in the US, this might bring you about 2000 echo/Doppler studies.

OK, there are some problems. We echo people spend a lot of money (20% of all cardiology in the US). Look at the good we do. We want to keep this good thing going and our training and practice standards are just the thing to do that. No perfidy here.

Quality, like love, is hard to define. We know it when we see it. There’s no data anywhere that the concern, pride or protective approaches of the last several decades have made any bit of difference about anything. Maybe nobody has looked, but I think we’ve got it all wrong. Nobody, except us, is paying any attention. And we sure aren’t making a big impression on the people who pay the bills in any country. Our US payments are going down 52% for a chest wall echo over the next four years (after 50% in the last four years). Machine sales are slumping.

Maybe it is all just echo babble. It’s like me trying to convince the traffic police at my hospital that I am deserving of a select parking place because I am a licensed physician, I am trained in echo, I save lives and I am in hurry. I still get ticketed.

In case you missed my point, our definitions of quality stink. Maybe we should look for something new.

Quality assessment seems to be a very well defined component of business practice. Bergman and Klefso said, “the quality of a . . . product or service is its ability to satisfy the needs and expectations of the customers” 7. This definition is remarkably like the International Standard IAO8402 Quality Vocabulary (and in the IAO 900 series) that states, “Quality is the totality of features and characteristics of a product or service that bear on its ability to satisfy stated or implied needs.” How far off can we be?

Sure, I agree that the American Society of Echocardiography quality document was a pretty good attempt to explain the whole thing. But it seems like no one is reading. For sure, we have a lot more to learn.

The modern quality movement started with Walter A Shewhart in a 1924 Bell Labs memorandum. He said (paraphrased), “Human wants are fulfilled through the fabrication of . . . (services) of different kinds and that these wants can be quantified.” In the 1940s, Harold Dodge and Harry Romig developed their statistical modelling to judge quality. The fact that recipients of a service have the most knowledge about judging desired quality is quite extraordinary, particularly to physicians.

Echo people with distorted notions of quality should remember that medical schools...
on all sides of World War II were cranking out two and three classes of physicians a year. Complaint was that these “quickie” docs were not the quality of what was usual. But they could dispense Alexander Fleming’s miracle penicillin (and save lives) just as well as those trained according to traditional long curricula. Now you’re starting to understand quality better. It’s saving lives and suffering, not test passing.

As the war closed, W Edwards Deming began to write about quality improvement and to bring these developing concepts together in actual practice in Japan’s miracle rebuilding economy. Coming off wartime, the US and Europe just wanted to do things the old way and paid little attention. You should know his ideas revolutionised the practices of business and business management. Nowadays, yearly international quality awards named for Deming are the world’s most prestigious. Table 1 shows his famous 14 points, adapted for echo.

It seems that we physicians need to get to work about redefining quality in some new way. Medicine appears to be quality deprived in the modern era. Ever wonder why your colour television set works so reliably? Thank Deming. We medical people still assess quality by ancient methods and standards.

The greatest weakness in the current methods of assessment of quality in medicine is that they end when the training requirements are fulfilled or the tests are passed. Hello, doctor. As a patient, I want to know more than the fact that you can keep your glutei in a chair in a darkened room for more than a year practising your echoes and that you could cram for a test. The first thing is trying to translate, as nearly as possible, the wants of patients into characteristics of echo (medical) services to satisfy these wants. The second thing is to set up ways and means to measure our ability to fill these wants in meaningful ways, rather than our own arbitrary, but well intentioned standards. Wow, are all our numbers and tests wrong, or just a step along the way?

One thing is for sure, it is easy to get confused about quality. I read that millions of shamrocks worn in Ireland on St Patrick's Day were mostly grown from seedlings imported from New Zealand. In case you don’t know, those shamrocks are the little green three leafed plants that the Irish provide to the world as symbols of good luck. Supposedly, magical little elves secretly harvest these tiny plants in the misty fields of Ireland.

Does this mean that the Kiwis are now in charge of good luck? Do they check the quality of luck the same way the Irish did? What happened to the elves? People need good luck like they need good health. Quality is just a mess everywhere. If the elves are out of jobs harvesting shamrocks, have they turned to echo and is anybody watching them?

Now you get it, don’t you? Nobody ever measured the incidence of good luck with genuine or Kiwi grown shamrocks. What’s more, it makes no difference to either of us where our shamrocks come from. Just wear your shamrock next year and drink your beer when the time comes for celebration.

In the meantime, why don’t we all start dealing with quality issues in a new way? If you don’t, I will. When I get it right, I’m coming over to sell my quality tested echoes at a cheaper price. The world is getting smaller and smaller and our economies are intertwined. But it’s better to do it together. Can we try?

By the way, are y’all going to do this football cup thing in another four years?

Reconsidering quality

J Kisslo

*Heart* 1998 80: S27-S29
doi: 10.1136/hrt.80.2008.27S

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://heart.bmj.com/content/80/suppl_1/S27

These include:

**Email alerting service**

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/