Low dose dobutamine stress echocardiography predicts the improvement of left ventricular systolic function in dilated cardiomyopathy
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Abstract
Objective—To determine whether dobutamine stress echocardiography can predict the improvement of left ventricular systolic function in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM).

Methods—Myocardial contractile reserve, as assessed by dobutamine stress echocardiography, was determined in 18 patients with DCM (mean (SD) age 53 (13) years, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) 28 (10)% and compared with changes in LVEF during a follow up period of 15 (8) months. The LVEF and regional left ventricular wall motion score (0, normal to 4, dyskinesia) of 12 segments in short axis and four chamber views were analysed before and after dobutamine infusion (5–20 µg/kg/min).

Results—During a follow up period of 15 (8) months, a significant improvement in LVEF (> 20%) was found in seven patients but not in the remaining 11. Baseline haemodynamic findings were similar in both groups. Patients with an improvement in follow up LVEF showed a greater change in wall motion score from baseline during dobutamine infusion than patients with no improvement (at rest, 1.7 (0.4) v 1.9 (0.2), NS; dobutamine 10 µg/kg/min, 0.6 (0.4) v 1.2 (0.4), p < 0.05). The percentage change in LVEF during dobutamine infusion was also significantly greater in patients who showed improvement than in those who did not. The change in LVEF during the follow up period (follow up LVEF/baseline LVEF) correlated well with the change in LVEF during dobutamine stress (LVEF at rest/baseline LVEF) in patients with DCM.

Conclusions—Changes in left ventricular systolic performance during low dose dobutamine stress echocardiography are a useful marker to predict the outcome of left ventricular systolic function in patients with DCM.
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Idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) has a poor prognosis, although treatment with vasodilators, angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, and β blockers has been shown to improve the prognosis in patients with heart failure. On the other hand, it is reported that some patients with DCM experience an improvement in left ventricular systolic function. Although in such patients prediction of improvement is important in considering eligibility for heart transplantation, it has been reported that there are no significant differences in the clinical characteristics determined at initial examination—except in relation to myocardial fibrosis observed in endomyocardial biopsies and alcohol consumption—between patients showing improvement and those who do not.

Dobutamine stress echocardiography has been widely used in assessing the myocardial viability and prognosis in patients with ischaemic left ventricular dysfunction. However, its value in patients with non-ischaemic left ventricular dysfunction is not clear. Our purpose in this study was to clarify whether dobutamine stress echocardiography can predict the improvement of left ventricular systolic function in patients with DCM.

Methods
SUBJECTS
We studied 18 patients with DCM. A careful history was taken from all the patients, and they were given a physical examination, blood test, chest x ray, standard electrocardiography, exercise stress test, dipyridamole stress, ²⁰¹¹Tl scintigraphy, and cardiac catheterisation, including coronary angiography and biplane left ventriculography. Patients with acute myocarditis, significant coronary artery stenosis, valvar disease, or a left ventricular end diastolic volume below 85 ml/m² were excluded. All patients were initially treated with ACE inhibitors, diuretics, and digitalis. Seven patients were also treated with β blockers.

DOBUTAMINE STRESS ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY
After baseline electrocardiography and echocardiography had been recorded, dobutamine was infused intravenously, beginning at 5 µg/kg/min and increasing to 10 and 20 µg/kg/min at five minute intervals, with continuous electrocardiography and blood pressure monitoring.

The left ventricular end diastolic dimensions and end systolic dimensions were measured in the parasternal longitudinal position. The left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was calculated according to a previously reported method. The left ventricle was divided into 12 segments (six in the short axis view and six in the four chamber view). The wall motion score...
Table 1 Baseline, echocardiographic, and haemodynamic characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (n = 7)</th>
<th>Group B (n = 11)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical findings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>49 (13)</td>
<td>55 (15)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>(23 to 60)</td>
<td>(23 to 70)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/Female</td>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>10/1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class (II/III)</td>
<td>6/1</td>
<td>8/3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ß-Blocker use</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol intake (&gt; 100 g/day)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Echocardiographic findings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDD (mm)</td>
<td>59 (9)</td>
<td>65 (7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDVI (mm²/m²)</td>
<td>154 (48)</td>
<td>157 (49)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVESI (mm²/m²)</td>
<td>95 (30)</td>
<td>105 (40)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>9 (3)</td>
<td>16 (10)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCW (mm Hg)</td>
<td>8 (2)</td>
<td>12 (9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA mean (mm Hg)</td>
<td>20 (5)</td>
<td>22 (9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVEDP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>7 (2)</td>
<td>9 (5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA mean (mm Hg)</td>
<td>5 (2)</td>
<td>6 (4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac index (l/min/m²)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.7)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are n or mean (SD).

LVEDD, left ventricular end diastolic dimension; LVEDVI, left ventricular end diastolic volume index; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESD, left ventricular end systolic dimension; LVESVI, left ventricular end systolic volume index; NYHA, New York Heart Association; PA, pulmonary artery; PCW, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure; RA, right atrium; RVEDP, right ventricular end diastolic pressure.

(0, normal; 1, mild hypokinesia; 2, severe hypokinesis; 3, akinesis; 4, dyskinesis) was obtained for each segment at rest and at a dobutamine dose of 5, 10, and 20 µg/kg/min. The wall motion score index (WMSI), defined as the sum of the wall motion scores divided by the number of segments, was also analysed.

THALLIUM-201 MYOCARDIAL SCINTIGRAPHY

201Tl SPECT was performed in 17 patients. The left ventricular myocardium was divided into 13 segments using basal and mid-short axis slices and an apical slice. 201Tl uptake was then scored in each segment using a grading scale (3, normal; 2, mildly reduced; 1, moderately reduced; 0, severely reduced).

CARDIOPULMONARY EXERCISE TEST

Symptom limited cardiopulmonary exercise testing was performed in 15 patients on an upright bicycle ergometer. Exercise workload was increased by the ramp incremental protocol of 15 W/min after pedalling against zero resistance for one minute. All patients stopped exercise on presentation of dyspnoea or leg fatigue—that is, above 18 on the Borg scale. The electrocardiographic findings and blood pressure measured by sphygmomanometer were recorded at rest, at every minute during exercise, and for five minutes after exercise.

The expired gas was measured on a breath by breath basis during the test with a respiratory monitor AE280 (Minato Medical Electronics, Osaka, Japan) connected to a personal computer equipped with analysing software.

FOLLOW UP

The mean (SD) follow up period was 15 (8) months. Follow up echocardiography and clinical outcome was obtained in all patients. A significant improvement of LVEF (greater than 20%) was found in seven patients (group A); however, no such improvement occurred in the remaining 11 patients (group B).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Results are expressed as mean (SD). Unpaired t and ë² tests were used for comparison of the two groups. Linear regression analysis was used to evaluate the correlation between the response of the left ventricle to dobutamine and

Table 2 Cardiovascular response to dobutamine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats/min)</td>
<td>88 (15)</td>
<td>72 (15)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>120 (16)</td>
<td>122 (16)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>27 (9)</td>
<td>29 (11)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 µg/kg/min*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>92 (13)</td>
<td>76 (15)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP</td>
<td>138 (25)</td>
<td>131 (17)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF</td>
<td>43 (10)</td>
<td>39 (12)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 µg/kg/min*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>106 (18)</td>
<td>84 (16)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP</td>
<td>147 (29)</td>
<td>142 (21)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF</td>
<td>52 (13)</td>
<td>41 (15)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 µg/kg/min*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>130 (32)</td>
<td>109 (22)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP</td>
<td>142 (30)</td>
<td>147 (22)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF</td>
<td>51 (9)</td>
<td>44 (16)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD).

* Dobutamine dose.

BP, blood pressure; HR, heart rate; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.

[Figure 1] Serial change in mean wall motion score index (WMSI) during dobutamine stress echocardiography. A greater improvement of wall motion was observed in group A than in group B. *p < 0.05.

[Figure 2] Change in LVEF (LVEF during dobutamine infusion − LVEF at rest/LVEF at rest). This was significantly greater in group A at the dobutamine infusion dose of 10 µg/kg/min. *p < 0.05.
the improvement of left ventricular systolic function during the follow up period. A p value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS AND CLINICAL OUTCOME**

Baseline, echocardiographic, and haemodynamic characteristics are shown in table 1. There were no significant differences in baseline and haemodynamic characteristics between the two groups, although group A tended to have somewhat lower baseline left ventricular end diastolic pressure and pulmonary capillary wedge pressure, and higher cardiac index compared with group B. The mean 201Tl uptake score in 13 segments was similar between the two groups (group A, 2.1 (0.5) vs group B, 2.3 (0.3), NS). A large perfusion defect exceeding one segment was found in one patient in group A and in two patients in group B. Peak oxygen consumption in cardiopulmonary exercise testing was also not significantly different between the two groups (group A, 18.5 (5.3) ml/min/kg vs group B, 18.2 (3.6) ml/min/kg), although heart rate at rest and at low level exercise in group A was higher than in group B (rest, 88.7 (9.6) vs 78.9 (11.3) beats/min; 45 W, 110.5 (10.9) vs 100.7 (8.4) beats/min; 60 W, 116.5 (6.5) vs 108.3 (9.6) beats/min, NS). One patient in group A and three patients in group B experienced congestive heart failure during the follow up period.

**DOBUTAMINE STRESS ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY**

**Cardiovascular response to dobutamine infusion**

No patients complained of chest pain or dyspnoea, neither were ventricular tachycardia and hypotension observed in any patient. Heart rate and blood pressure responses during dobutamine infusion are shown in table 2. There were no significant differences between the two groups except that heart rate at the dobutamine infusion rate of 10 µg/kg/min was faster in group A.

**Wall motion score index**

WMSI values are shown in fig 1. The WMSI at rest was 1.7 (0.4) in group A and 1.9 (0.5) in group B (NS). After dobutamine stress, the WMSI in group A became significantly smaller than in group B both at 10 µg/kg/min (0.6 (0.4) vs 1.2 (0.7), p < 0.05) and at 20 µg/kg/min (0.30 (0.3) vs 1.1 (0.7), p < 0.05), although it gradually improved in both groups (fig 1). Thus the change in WMSI in group A was greater than in group B. With a cut off value of WMSI set at 1.0 at 10 µg/kg/min, sensitivity and specificity in predicting future improvement of LVEF were 85% and 81%, respectively. Positive predictive value was 75%.

**Left ventricular ejection fraction**

Changes in LVEF are shown in table 2 and fig 2. The LVEF tended to increase during dobutamine infusion in both groups. A greater mean increase in LVEF in group A than in group B was not statistically significant (table 2). Figure 2 shows the percent change in LVEF (LVEF at each dobutamine dose minus LVEF at rest divided by LVEF at rest). The percentage change in LVEF in group A was significantly greater than in group B at the dobutamine infusion rate of 10 µg/kg/min (98 (33)% vs 45 (33)%, p < 0.005).

**RESPONSE OF LVEF TO DOBUTAMINE AND FOLLOW UP IMPROVEMENT**

The LVEF values at the initial examination and at the last follow up are shown in fig 3. By definition in this study, a significant improvement in LVEF was observed in group A (27 (9)% → 56 (8)%, p < 0.0001), whereas minimal change was seen in group B (29 (11)% → 34 (11)%, p < 0.005).

We examined the correlation between the response of LVEF to dobutamine and follow up improvement (LVEF at follow up/LVEF at baseline). As shown in fig 4, the response of
LVEF both at 10 and 20 µg/kg/min was well correlated with follow up LVEF improvement.

Discussion
In this study, a significant improvement of left ventricular systolic function was seen in seven (39%) of 18 patients with DCM, and this improvement correlated well with the response of left ventricular function during the dobutamine stress echocardiography at baseline.

NATURAL HISTORY OF DCM
Idiopathic DCM—characterised by dilated ventricles and decreased systolic function—has usually been regarded as having a poor prognosis. In early reports, survival was 70–75% at one year and 50% at five years. However, in recent reports the prognosis has been better, with a five year survival rate of 65–80%. Earlier detection of the disease as well as newer treatments with ACE inhibitors and β blockers may be related to this improvement in prognosis.

In this study, an improvement in left ventricular function was observed in 39% of the patients. In line with previous findings, this relatively high percentage of patients with improvement may well be related to our earlier use of ACE inhibitors and β blockers. It is also possible that there were some patients with myocarditis in our study, since changes in ventricular size and function are common in these patients; however, we did our best to exclude patients with a possible history of myocarditis. Nonetheless, to be able to predict such improvements non-invasively is of clinical importance in patients with non-ischaemic left ventricular dysfunction, particularly in relation to indications for heart transplantation.

FACTORS INFLUENCING THE IMPROVEMENT OF LEFT VENTRICULAR FUNCTION
Haemodynamic variables determined at initial examination have not been associated with improvement in left ventricular systolic function. The extent of myocardial fibrosis in endomyocardial biopsies and the alcohol intake have been reported to be factors influencing improvement in left ventricular systolic function. In our study, there was no statistical difference between the initial haemodynamic findings and the alcohol intake between patients with and without improvement, although patients with improvement had slightly lower baseline left ventricular end diastolic pressure and pulmonary capillary wedge pressure and a higher cardiac index than those without improvement, suggesting better cardiac function and reserve. Myocardial biopsies were not obtained. Thallium scintigraphy did not reveal any difference in uptake score or prevalence of large perfusion defects between patients with and without improvement. It is therefore important to find other means of predicting improvement in left ventricular systolic function non-invasively in patients with DCM.

STUDY LIMITATIONS
Limitations include the small number of patients studied and the retrospective nature of the study. Another limitation is the variation in drug treatment. In particular, patients showing improvement in LVEF were more likely to be receiving β blockers, and it has been reported that β blockers and ACE inhibitors improve LVEF in different ways. Finally, myocardial biopsies were not performed, although we excluded patients clinically suspected of having myocarditis or secondary myocardial disease.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS
Dobutamine stress echocardiography can safely be applied to patients with DCM and may predict the extent of recovery of left ventricular systolic function. The findings of this study may be useful in the decision making process before heart transplantation or left ventricular volume reduction (Batista operation).
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