Imaging of adenosine bolus transit following intravenous administration: insights into antiarrhythmic efficacy
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Abstract

Objective—To study the effects of the site of intravenous injection of adenosine and to assess the site of action of adenosine in the heart by correlating cardiac effects with bolus transit.

Methods—Ten patients undergoing routine technetium (Tc-99m) gated blood pool ventriculography consented to the coadministration of intravenous adenosine. The dose of adenosine required to produce heart block during sinus rhythm was determined following antecubital vein administration. This dose (6–18 mg) was mixed with Tc-99m and given first into the same antecubital vein (proximal injection) and then repeated into a hand vein (distal injection). The ECG was recorded and the transit of the bolus was imaged using a gamma camera.

Results—Heart block occurred in all 10 patients (second degree in seven, first degree in three) at (mean (SEM)) 17.5 (1.0) seconds after the proximal injection of adenosine. Distal injection produced heart block in six patients (second degree in two, first degree in four) at 21.9 (4.4) seconds (p < 0.01). In eight of 10 patients the electrophysiological effects were less with distal injection. The onset of heart block was close to the time of peak bolus Tc-99m activity in the left ventricle. Peak bolus activity was delayed (by about three seconds) and the duration of bolus activity in the left ventricle was increased with distal injection compared with proximal injection, at 17.2 (4.2) v 9.2 (3.1) seconds, p < 0.01.

Conclusions—The lesser electrophysiological effects of adenosine following distal intravenous injections were associated with delay in transit time and dispersion of the bolus. The correlation of adenosine induced heart block with bolus activity in the left heart indicated dependence on coronary arterial delivery of adenosine to the atrioventricular node.
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Adenosine is an endogenous purine nucleoside which, when injected intravenously, causes transient slowing of the heart rate, in part from sinus node slowing but more importantly from atrioventricular (AV) nodal block.1,2 The negative dromotropic action has made it of value in the diagnosis and treatment of tachyarrhythmias in the acute setting.1,3 The plasma half life of adenosine is short (less than two seconds)3 and its cardiac actions are correspondingly brief, which is an advantage because any adverse effects will also be of short duration. However, it may also be disadvantageous because its efficacy is dependent on the site and method of intravenous injection.4 Substantial removal and metabolism of adenosine may occur during transit from a peripheral vein to the heart. We therefore studied the effect of adenosine administration at two “peripheral” venous sites on the electrophysiological actions and bolus transit characteristics. The negative dromotropic action of adenosine has been shown experimentally during superfusion of isolated right heart preparations.5 It is not known whether adenosine acts on the sinus and AV nodes in humans by direct contact in the right atrium or by perfusion of the nodal tissues through the coronary arteries following passage through the pulmonary vasculature and the left heart. We used a nuclear imaging technique to correlate the transit of the bolus with the timing of the electrophysiological actions of adenosine.
The aims of this study were to assess the effects of the site of intravenous injection of adenosine and to determine the site of action of adenosine in the heart.

**Methods**

Patients undergoing technetium (Tc-99m) gated blood pool ventriculography for clinical indications were studied. The study was approved by the medical ethics committee of Glasgow Royal Infirmary. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient, who was warned of the systemic effects of adenosine injections including chest discomfort, flushing, and breathlessness. Patients with a history of asthma or symptoms suggestive of unstable angina or congestive heart failure were excluded. Patients taking dipyridamole (an adenosine uptake blocker) or theophylline (a competitive antagonist of adenosine) were also excluded.

Ten male patients were studied aged 28 to 64 years, with a mean age of 48 years. Their characteristics are shown in table 1. All had a history of chest pain as the clinical indication for investigation with exercise thallium scanning and blood pool ventriculography. The stress thallium scans were abnormal in all of the patients and subsequent coronary angiography confirmed the presence of coronary artery disease. Eight of the patients were taking antianginal treatment (table 1). All patients were in sinus rhythm.

**TEST ADMINISTRATION OF ADENOSINE**

Adenosine (3 mg/ml; Adenocor, Sanofi Winthrop, Guildford, UK) was given as a rapid bolus through an 18 gauge cannula in a large left antecubital fossa vein, followed by a 10 ml saline flush, to mimic its administration in the

Figure 1 Activity of adenosine/technetium-99m bolus imaged during transit from (A) left upper arm and shoulder through (B) right ventricle, (C) pulmonary artery and lungs to (D) left ventricle and aorta. The totalised image from frames captured during the transit is shown in (E) and the four regions of interest—left upper arm, left shoulder, right ventricle, and left ventricle—are shown (F).

Figure 2 Activity-time curves at the four regions of interest following (A) the proximal injection and (B) the distal injection.
management of tachyarrhythmias. Incremental doses of adenosine were given during sinus rhythm and the electrophysiological effects were determined from a continuous ECG recording at 25 mm/s. First degree AV block was defined by a prolongation of PR interval to more than 0.2 second and second degree block was defined by the absence of QRS complex after a P wave. Increasing doses of adenosine, by 3–6 mg increments, were given up to a maximum of 18 mg until second degree AV block occurred or side effects limited further increments. The minimum dose of adenosine required to produce AV block was used as the study dose in the subsequent nuclear imaging with Tc-99m.

**IMAGING AND ECG DATA ACQUISITION DURING ADENOSINE BOLUS INJECTIONS**

Gated blood pool radionuclide ventriculography was performed after an exercise thallium perfusion scan. All patients had undergone a symptom limited bicycle ergometric exercise test with a subsequent 20 minute supine rest period for thallium perfusion imaging, followed immediately by technetium gated blood pool imaging. In routine clinical practice, 600 MBq of Tc-99m in a 3 ml bolus would be given intravenously, following pretreatment with stannous pyrophosphate to ensure binding of the Tc-99m to red blood cells. In our study, the Tc-99m dose was divided to allow two separate injections to be imaged. For the first injection, the previously determined study dose of adenosine was mixed with 200 MBq (1 ml) of Tc-99m. The second injection contained 400 MBq (2 ml) of Tc-99m and the same dose of adenosine. Both injections were made up to the same volume by the addition of saline. A more concentrated adenosine solution (5 mg/ml), prepared by the pharmacy department in our hospital, was used to keep the bolus volume close to that of the test injection.

The first injection (proximal injection) was given through the left antecubital cannula, followed by a 10 ml saline flush. The ECG was monitored continuously. The beginning and the end of the bolus injection, the end of the saline flush, and the timing of the onset of the patient’s symptoms were noted by marking on the continuously running ECG recording. Patients were asked to comment on the severity of the symptoms experienced with the injections, on a scale from 0 to 10. Imaging was performed using a GE 300A mobile gamma camera with a high sensitivity 30 cm parallel collimator at a 10° left anterior oblique projection and data acquisition was continued for two minutes, with 64×64 resolution images acquired at one second intervals. The beginnings of the ECG recording and the nuclear imaging were synchronised so that the temporal events observed could be directly correlated.

When the heart rate had returned to control values, which took approximately five minutes, the second injection (distal injection) was given through an 18 gauge cannula inserted into a
large vein on the back of the patient's left hand with the arm in the same position as the first injection. A 10 ml saline flush was given and the ECG recording, event marking, and imaging were repeated as for the first injection.

ANALYSIS

The times for the beginning and the end of the injection boluses, the end of the saline flush, the onset of electrophysiological events (including sinus slowing and first and second degree AV block), and the onset of systemic symptoms were measured from the ECG tracings for the two injections and compared.

Data acquired by the gamma camera were analysed using a computer. The activity of the adenosine/Tc-99m bolus was imaged during transit from the arm to, and through, the heart (fig 1). On the computer display of stored data, four regions of interest were drawn around the activity from the left upper arm, left shoulder, right ventricle, and left ventricle. The period of data acquisition was analysed in half second frames and the magnitude of bolus activity per frame in each region was calculated. Activity–time curves at each region were then constructed (fig 2). The time of arrival of the bolus and the time to peak bolus activity were obtained from the activity–time curves. Bolus duration was taken as the time interval between the bolus activity reaching half maximum and decreasing to half maximum. The time of arrival of the bolus, time to peak bolus activity, and bolus duration at each region of interest were correlated with the timing of the ECG changes.

STATISTICS

Values are presented as mean (SEM). The difference between the proximal and distal injections in producing heart block was analysed using the $\chi^2$ test. A paired two tailed Student’s $t$ test was used for comparing other effects of the proximal and distal injections. A probability (p) value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

TEST DOSAGES OF ADENOSINE

The test injection of adenosine (6–18 mg) caused second degree AV block in nine of the patients (table 1)—one with 6 mg, six with 12 mg, and two with 18 mg. These doses were used for the imaging study. One patient had sinus slowing and first degree AV block, but not second degree AV block, in response to 6, 12, and 18 mg of adenosine; 6 mg was used as the study dose for this patient. The mean (SEM) heart rate before administration of the test doses was 65 (3) beats/min. There was no correlation between the test dose and the body weight of the patient or the heart rate before the injection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region of interest</th>
<th>Arrival (s)</th>
<th>Peak (s)</th>
<th>Duration (s)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left upper arm</td>
<td>Proximal: 0.8 (0.2)</td>
<td>3.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distal: 4.3 (0.3)</td>
<td>7.4 (1.4)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left shoulder</td>
<td>Proximal: 2.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>4.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distal: 4.4 (0.4)</td>
<td>5.8 (0.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right ventricle</td>
<td>Proximal: 6.8 (0.7)</td>
<td>8.8 (0.7)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distal: 8.2 (0.7)</td>
<td>11.1 (0.8)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricle</td>
<td>Proximal: 12.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>13.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distal: 15.8 (0.7)</td>
<td>19.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SEM).

Figure 5 Time to peak bolus activity (mean and standard error bars) at each of the four regions of interest for patients who had AV block with (A) the proximal injection, n = 10, and (B) the distal injection, n = 6. The mean time of AV block following each injection is indicated by the thick horizontal lines and standard errors are shown as the thin lines.
ELECTROCARDIOGRAPHIC RESPONSES TO STUDY DOSES OF ADENOSINE

The mean heart rate at rest before the proximal injection (66 beats/min, range 49 to 95) was not different from that before the distal injection (66 beats/min, range 53 to 88; NS). There was no difference between the PR intervals before the proximal and distal injections (both 0.17 (0.01) seconds). The proximal injection was given within 0.9 (0.2) seconds and the distal injection within 1.0 (0.1) seconds (NS). The 10 ml saline flush was completed slightly earlier in the distal injection (5.2 (0.2) seconds after the start of the injection) than in the proximal injection (6.2 (0.3) seconds after the start of the injection; p < 0.05).

All 10 patients had heart block produced by the proximal injection of adenosine, with second degree AV block in seven patients and first degree block in three. By contrast, the distal injection produced second degree AV block in only two patients and first degree block in four (p < 0.05). In eight of the 10 patients, the ECG response to adenosine was less with the distal than with the proximal injection (fig 3).

Figure 4 shows the ECG tracings for a patient who had second degree AV block with the proximal injection and no effect with the distal injection. First degree AV block preceded second degree block in seven patients with the proximal injection and in two patients with the distal. The time of onset of block was taken as that of the first degree AV block. Following the proximal injections in the 10 patients, mean time of onset of AV block occurred at 17.5 (1.0) seconds after the injection. With the distal injections, it occurred at 21.9 (1.9) seconds in the six patients who had AV block—significantly later than with the proximal injection in these patients (16.9 (1.5) seconds, p < 0.05).

Adenosine caused slowing of the sinus rate with the proximal injection in six patients and in five of these with the distal injection. Sinus slowing preceded AV block when present. For the five patients who had sinus slowing with both injections, the percentage decrease in heart rate was greater and occurred earlier with the proximal injections than with the distal injections: −20.8 (3.8)% at 14.4 (1.1) seconds v −9.0 (3.0)% at 17.6 (1.3) seconds, p < 0.05.

Patients experienced the systemic side effects of adenosine slightly earlier with the proximal than with the distal injections, at 19.1 (0.9) v 21.3 (1.0) seconds, p < 0.05. The symptoms were subjectively more severe with the proximal than with the distal injections: 7.3 (0.7) v 6.0 (0.6) on a scale from 1 to 10; p < 0.05.

TECHNETIUM/ADENOSINE BOLUS ACTIVITY

The timing of bolus arrival, peak activity, and the bolus duration at the four regions of interest with the proximal and distal injections are illustrated in fig 2 and summarised in table 2. The boluses arrived at the left upper arm, the left shoulder, and the right ventricle later with the distal than with the proximal injections, but this difference was not significant in the left ventricle. Peak bolus activity occurred significantly later with the distal than with the proximal injections, in all four regions, with a mean delay of approximately three seconds at each region. The bolus durations were longer with the distal injections than with the proximal injections, this being progressively more significant along the route of transit of the boluses.

CORRELATION OF ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL EFFECTS AND ADENOSINE BOLUS TRANSIT

The onset of electrophysiological effects of adenosine causing sinus slowing and AV block did not occur during passage through the right
Adenosine induced AV block underlies the antiarrhythmic efficacy of intravenous adenosine in the treatment of paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia, and its use during sinus rhythm for the diagnosis of accessory pathways or for the assessment of catheter ablation procedures. The brevity of the electrophysiological effects of adenosine, because of its short plasma half life, has the benefit that adverse effects are also of short duration but the adverse effect that arrhythmias may recur immediately. The short half life has the further disadvantage of making the antiarrhythmic efficacy dependent on the route and speed of intravenous injection. Minimum effective doses of adenosine have been shown to be lower when given through central or femoral veins than through peripheral veins in patients with supraventricular tachycardia. The present study shows marked differences in the electrophysiological effects of adenosine between two “peripheral” venous injection sites in the arm. The imaging of bolus transit provided insights into mechanisms underlying this importance of the route of administration.

Administration of the adenosine/Tc-99m bolus through the distal (hand) vein resulted in delay in peak activity (of approximately three seconds) and increased dispersion with prolongation of bolus duration. Both of these factors would contribute to the lesser effects of adenosine. Adenosine is rapidly removed from the circulation by cellular uptake and enzymatic metabolism. The half life of adenosine in human blood has been estimated to be 0.6 to 1.5 seconds, and thus even the short delay in transit is relevant. The increased dispersion of the bolus would result in dilution of the concentration of adenosine at its sites of action in addition to increasing contact with red blood cells and hence cellular uptake.

A threefold range in dose (6–18 mg) required to produce second degree AV block during sinus rhythm was observed in the present study. Greater dosage variability, up to 10-fold (for example, 2.5–25 mg), has been reported in patients with supraventricular tachycardia. Route of venous administration has been identified as one important variable but there is clearly additional individual variation in sensitivity to adenosine. The coadministration of other cardioactive drugs may be a factor. Verapamil and propranolol have been shown to have additive effects with adenosine in prolonging AV conduction time, although only verapamil reduced the dose of adenosine required to produce AV block. In the present study six patients were taking atenolol and four of these were also taking diltiazem (table 1). However, there was no evidence of enhancement of the negative dromotropic action of adenosine, as all the patients taking β blockers and calcium antagonists requiring 12 mg of adenosine to produce AV block.

Heart rate is important in determining the minimum effective dose of adenosine. The underlying ionic mechanisms have been studied using isolated AV nodal cells. Adenosine activated a potassium conductance (IKAdo) and reduced the inward calcium current (Ica), decreasing the excitability of single AV nodal cells and enhancing rate dependent activation failure. In humans, the negative dromotropic effect induced by intravenous bolus injections of adenosine is more pronounced at fast atrial pacing rates. Lower doses of adenosine may therefore be effective at fast rates, for instance during supraventricular tachycardia. Over half of patients with supraventricular tachycardia respond to 6 mg of adenosine, whereas in the present study the majority of patients in sinus rhythm required higher doses. This rate...
dependent effect of adenosine is of clinical benefit in allowing termination of tachycardia without subsequent AV block during restored sinus rhythm. The reproducibility of repeated doses of adenosine was not tested in this study but has been reported previously. No significant intra-individual variations in the effects of five repeated doses of adenosine on AV conduction were observed during atrial pacing at constant rates. However, in the present study a dose which produced second degree AV block during the test injection failed to do so with the study dose in two of the patients, despite there being no significant difference in heart rates. This may have resulted from changes in autonomic tone following exercise testing, although studies have shown that neither muscarinic blockade with atropine nor catecholamine stimulation have significant effects on the actions of adenosine.

The site of action of adenosine at the AV node is long established but it has not been demonstrated whether this is from direct exposure of the nodal tissue to adenosine during its transit through the right atrium or from coronary perfusion of the node following passage of the bolus through the left heart. In isolated tissue preparations, superfusion with adenosine rendered nodal cells inexcitable and caused AV block. That the AV node is accessible from the right atrium in humans has been clearly demonstrated by recent experience with catheter ablation. However, the correlation of the electrophysiologic effects of adenosine with the transit of the bolus in this study indicated that coronary perfusion was the more likely route of delivery.

Adenosine induced AV block was preceded by sinus slowing in about half the patients. Studies with isolated rabbit sino-atrial cells have shown that adenosine activated a potassium current, IK, as does in AV nodal cells, although higher concentrations were required to cause complete arrest. In the present study, the earlier onset of sinus slowing suggested a greater sensitivity of the sinus node to adenosine compared with the AV node in some patients.

CONCLUSIONS
We showed marked differences between the electrophysiologic effects of boluses of adenosine when given through a proximal arm vein compared with a distal hand vein. Imaging of the bolus showed that distal injections led to longer transit times and increased bolus dispersion, which provides an explanation for the reduced effect. In clinical practice it is important that adenosine is given rapidly through a large proximal peripheral vein, preferably in the antecubital fossa, followed by a saline flush to maximise efficacy. This may be of particular importance when adenosine is given as an aid to the diagnosis of broad complex tachycardia, when absence of effect may be taken as evidence that the arrhythmia is of ventricular origin. Our present results show that absence of effect may have as much to do with the method of administration as with the arrhythmia mechanism. Finally the correlation of the electrophysiologic effects of adenosine with passage of the bolus through the left ventricle indicated the dependence of the negative chronotropic and dromotropic effects on coronary arterial delivery of adenosine to the nodal tissues.

21 Belardinelli L, Giles WR, West A. Ionic mechanisms of adenosine actions in pacemaker cells from rabbit heart. J Physiol (Lond) 1988;405:615–33.
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