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Abstract

Objective—To establish the value of Doppler stroke distance measurement as a predictor of mortality risk following acute myocardial infarction.

Design—Follow up study.

Setting—Coronary care unit of a teaching and district general hospital.

Subjects—378 patients (mean age 61 years) with acute myocardial infarction followed up for a mean of five years (range 2–7 years); 299 (79%) patients received thrombolysis.

Main outcome measures—Stroke distance (the systolic velocity integral of blood flow in the aortic arch (percentage of age predicted normal value)); presence or absence of left ventricular failure on the admission chest radiograph; the codified admission ECG; death during follow up.

Results—Mean (SD) stroke distance was 81 (19)% and five year survival 76%. For patients with stroke distance > 100% (n = 60), 82–100% (n = 134), 63–81% (n = 122), and < 63% (n = 62), the one month mortality rates were 0%, 1.5%, 4%, and 18%, respectively; the corresponding estimates for mortality at five years were 17%, 19%, 24%, and 43%. Survival was independently related to age (p < 0.0001), stroke distance (p < 0.0001), and chest radiograph appearance (p = 0.002), but not to ECG codes (p = 0.31) or receipt of thrombolysis (p = 0.60). The areas under receiver operator characteristic plots for stroke distance measurements were 82%, 76%, 71%, and 65% for deaths within one month, six months, one year, and two years, respectively.

Conclusions—The bedside measurement of stroke distance stratifies mortality risk after acute myocardial infarction. The predictive ability of this simple measure of left ventricular systolic function compares well with published accounts of the more complex measurement of ejection fraction.
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Left ventricular function can also be assessed by determination of stroke distance, the systolic velocity integral of blood flow, sampled in the aortic arch. This can be measured at the bedside with simple non-imaging Doppler equipment in over 90% of subjects with acute myocardial infarction. Reliable measurements can be made by technical, medical or nursing staff after only a short period of training. Because of its ease of measurement, stroke distance has potential advantages as a means of stratifying mortality risk after acute myocardial infarction, particularly in hospitals with limited facilities. We report the follow up of patients with acute myocardial infarction, relating mortality to measurements of stroke distance and clinical findings made during the initial hospital admission.

Patients and methods

We have previously reported three series of patients with suspected acute myocardial infarction in whom stroke distance has been measured. The present study is a follow up of the 378 of these patients who had a diagnosis at discharge or death of acute myocardial infarction, defined as the presence of two or more of the following: more than 30 minutes of characteristic chest pain, new Q waves on the ECG, and a rise of cardiac enzymes to at least twice the upper limit of normal.

In all patients stroke distance was measured within 48 hours of admission, and then daily for 10 days or until discharge or death, whichever was the earlier. Stroke distance was measured by a technician using a Doptek Spectrum Analyser (Deltex Medical Ltd, Chichester, West Sussex, UK) with a 2 MHz continuous wave ultrasound probe directed at the aortic arch through the suprasternal window. Mean stroke distance was averaged from as many beats as were stored in a 10 second sequence, evaluated by on screen planimetry. The reproducibility of this technique in our hands is 9%. In this paper, stroke distance refers to the last recorded measurement before death or discharge, and the results are...
expressed as percentages of age predicted normal values. The ECG recorded on admission was coded according to the schema used by the international study of infarct survival group, and shown in table 1. The admission chest radiograph was coded from the radiologist’s report according to the schema of Gottlieb and colleagues (table 2). Follow up of study patients was from hospital records and the Scottish registry office.

STATISTICAL METHODS

The relations between survival, stroke distance, and other factors were computed with the statistical package for the social sciences. Multivariate logistic regression was used to examine short term survival (<2 years, uncensored), and Cox’s proportional hazards model for survival in the long term. In order to compare the short term prognostic ability of stroke distance with published studies of left ventricular ejection fraction, the areas under receiver operator characteristic (ROC) plots were determined for prediction of death by one month, six months, one and two years; SEs of these areas were calculated by the method of Hanley and McNeill. Significance was taken as p < 0.05.

Results

The mean (SD) age of 378 patients in this study was 61 (9.9) years; 299 (79%) received thrombolytic treatment. Follow up was for a mean of five years (1813 days) with a range of 2–7 years (758–2686 days). The numbers of deaths by one month, one year, and two years were 18 (5%), 41 (11%), and 57 (15%), respectively. Beyond two years follow up, actuarial survival was calculated by the method of Kaplan-Meier, and mortality at five years was estimated as 24%.

Mean (SD) stroke distance was 81 (19)% with a normal distribution. For patients with stroke distance >100% (mean + 1SD; n = 60), 82–100% (mean ± 1SD; n = 134), 63–81% (mean −< 1SD; n = 122), and <63% (mean − ≥ 1SD; n = 62), the one month mortality rates were 0%, 1.5%, 4%, and 18%, respectively; the corresponding estimates for mortality at five years were 17%, 19%, 24%, and 43%. The number of subjects and mortality rates of patients with various appearances of the admission ECG and chest radiograph are given in tables 1 and 2.

Table 1 Number of patients with various abnormalities on the admission ECG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECG appearance</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Died &lt; 1 month (mortality %)</th>
<th>Died &lt; 2 years (mortality %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bundle branch block</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ST elevation: anterior alone</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>10 (10)</td>
<td>18 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ST elevation: inferior alone</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>10 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ST elevation: anterior and inferior</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST elevation: other ST elevation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST depression, without ST elevation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>9 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q waves</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1 (13)</td>
<td>2 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T wave inversion</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2 (12)</td>
<td>3 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other abnormality</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>18 (5)</td>
<td>57 (15)</td>
<td>40 (9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ST elevation ≥ 1 mm in two adjacent limb leads or ≥ 2 mm in two adjacent precordial leads.

Table 2 Number of patients with various abnormalities on the admission chest radiograph

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chest radiograph appearance</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Died &lt; 1 month (mortality %)</th>
<th>Died &lt; 2 years (mortality %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
<td>14 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>5 (2)</td>
<td>20 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary venous congestion</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
<td>4 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstitial oedema</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>11 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alveolar oedema</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4 (21)</td>
<td>8 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>18 (5)</td>
<td>57 (15)</td>
<td>40 (9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MORTALITY WITHIN ONE MONTH

By multivariate logistic regression analysis, stroke distance (p < 0.0001) and the chest radiograph code (p = 0.02) were independently related to mortality within one month; age (p = 0.26), ECG code (p = 0.34), and receipt of thrombolytic treatment (p = 0.68) were not related to early mortality. Figure 1 shows a plot of the logistic regression equation relating stroke distance to the probability of death within one month; the model has been simplified by categorising the chest radiograph as normal or abnormal. The risk of death within one month varies more than 10-fold and is steeply related to stroke distance measurements below 100%. For any value of stroke distance the risk of death is more than doubled by the lack of a normal chest radiograph.

LONG TERM SURVIVAL UP TO SEVEN YEARS

Survival up to seven years was independently related to age (p < 0.0001), stroke distance (p < 0.0001), and the chest radiograph code (p = 0.002); neither the ECG (p = 0.31) nor receipt of thrombolytic treatment (p = 0.60) significantly influenced long term survival. Figure 2 shows Kaplan-Meier survival curves up to five years for all patients, and for those with stroke distance >1SD above and below the mean for the study population. Figure 3 similarly shows survival for those with or without a normal chest radiograph.

ROC PLOTS

Figure 4 shows ROC plots for stroke distance and death at various times after entry to the study. These graphs illustrate the trade off between the sensitivity and the specificity of the prediction of death for different cut off values of stroke distance. The area under a curve indicates the overall predictive ability of the measure. The area under the curve of an ideal measure would be 100%, while that of a com-
Prediction is better for earlier than for later deaths.

Discussion

In this study we have shown that after acute myocardial infarction, stroke distance provides prognostic information additional to that from knowledge of the patient’s age, ECG, chest radiograph, and reperfusion treatment. A stroke distance measurement > 100% was associated with zero mortality at one month, and a stroke distance measurement < 63% was associated with 18% and 43% mortality at one month and five years, respectively. Stroke distance is measured simply at the bedside by a technician, with relatively cheap equipment and negligible running costs. The measurement is non-invasive, well tolerated, and without the risks of ionising radiation. A limitation of the study is that the stroke distance measurement used for prognostication was the last one available before death or discharge, not one recorded at a fixed interval after infarction. Stroke distance is generally lower on admission than at discharge, and patients dying within 10 days of admission may not have had time to normalise stroke distance, introducing a bias in favour of the measurement. We believe the bias to be trivial, however, because the first available stroke distance measurement has a similar prognostic power to the last. The last available stroke distance was used in this study because its timing shortly before discharge would be closest to that of ejection fraction with which it is being compared.

Comparison of Stroke Distance and Ejection Fraction

In this study, left ventricular ejection fraction was not measured in most patients, so direct comparison with stroke distance is not possible. There are many studies, however, that relate survival after acute myocardial infarction to left ventricular ejection fraction, and several of them contain sufficient data to enable the areas under ROC plots to be calculated. The area under an ROC plot summarises the measure’s prognostic efficiency, allowing comparisons between left ventricular ejection fraction and stroke distance. The various studies are summarised in table 3.

The areas under ROC plots for six month and one year mortality after acute myocardial infarction are similar for stroke distance and ejection fraction, and also for acute radiographic and clinical evidence of left ventricular failure. Volpi and colleagues demonstrated an area under an ROC plot of 75% for prediction of death at six months after myocardial infarction, compared with 76% for stroke distance at the same time. Similarly, the area under the ROC from Nicod and colleagues for prediction of cardiac death at one year was 69% when heart failure diagnosed at time of admission was included as a variable. Data from the multicentre group and Fioretti and colleagues yielded ROC areas of 75% and 74%, respectively, for cardiac deaths at one year, compared with our value of 71% for all

Table 3  Prediction of death up to one year after myocardial infarction by different methods of measuring left ventricular function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>AUC (%)</th>
<th>95% CI (2SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Multicenter group</em></td>
<td>RN EF</td>
<td>&lt; 1 year</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>67.7 to 82.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fioretti et al</td>
<td>RN EF</td>
<td>&lt; 1 year</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>65.6 to 82.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicod et al</td>
<td>RN/Angio EF</td>
<td>&lt; 1 year</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>63.6 to 74.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volpi et al</td>
<td>Echo EF</td>
<td>&lt; 6 months</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>69.6 to 80.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaret et al</td>
<td>RN EF</td>
<td>&lt; 1 year</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>59.4 to 75.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trent et al</td>
<td>Doppler Sdist</td>
<td>&lt; 6 months</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>66.2 to 85.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Multicenter Postinfarction Research Group.
Angio, cineangiography; RN, radionuclide ventriculography; EF, ejection fraction; Echo, echocardiography; Sdist, stroke distance; AUC, area under curve; CI, confidence intervals.
cause mortality predicted from stroke distance measurements. The 95% confidence intervals for the areas under ROC plots in all these studies show considerable overlap. In this study, patients with a stroke distance at discharge > 100% of the age predicted normal value had one month and one year mortality rates of 0% and 3%, respectively, similar to those reported by Nicod and colleagues in postinfarct patients with no clinical evidence of heart failure during hospital admission and a normal radionuclide ejection fraction at discharge. In the thrombolitic era, Volpi and colleagues reported six month mortality of 1.1% in subjects with an ejection fraction of ≥ 60%, and 1.5% for those with ejection fraction > 40%, while Schreiber and colleagues described one and 12 month mortality of 0.6% and 3.9% in patients with one or two vessel coronary disease and good left ventricular function assessed by radionuclide ventriculography. Neither exercise testing nor perfusion scintigraphy were routinely performed before discharge in our series, and any additional improvement of risk prediction by these investigations cannot be assessed. However, our results suggest that patients with a stroke distance > 100% would be suitable for early discharge and subsequent outpatient management.

A converse situation was found in those patients with depressed stroke distance. The one month mortality for those patients with a predischARGE value < 63%, more than 2SDs below normal, and more than 1SD below the mean value for infarct patients in the study, was 18%, with one and five year rates of 29% and 43%, respectively. These patients are likely to benefit from aggressive management, including early angiography with a view to revascularisation.

STROKE DISTANCE AND CHEST RADIOGRAPHY

Stroke distance and chest radiography were independent predictors of early and long term survival. The combination of predischARGE stroke distance with the admission chest radiograph was better than either alone in predicting mortality. Mortality within one month varied from 0–18% between those with the highest and lowest stroke distance at discharge, regardless of radiographic findings at presentation, while for any value of stroke distance, mortality was more than doubled by the lack of a normal chest radiograph. In the prethrombolytic era a similar prognostic synergy was shown after myocardial infarction for the combination of predischARGE ejection fraction and chest radiographic abnormalities as the major determinant of survival after thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) phase II study. 
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