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Abstract

Objective—To determine whether there is significant atrial or atrial appendage enlargement or functional remodelling as a result of one to two months of sustained atrial fibrillation, a duration similar to that experienced by patients undergoing warfarin anticoagulation before elective cardioversion.

Methods—To test the hypothesis that left atrial and left atrial appendage enlargement develop as a result of short term atrial fibrillation, serial anatomical and functional indices were measured using transoesophageal echocardiography (TOE) in 20 patients with recent onset atrial fibrillation (14 men, six women; mean (SEM) age 67 (2) years). Serial TOE was performed 2.5 months apart in patients with sustained atrial fibrillation.

Results—There was no significant change in left atrial area (23.7 cm² to 24.1 cm², p = 0.98); length (5.7 cm to 5.7 cm, p = 0.48); width (5.2 cm to 5.2 cm, p = 0.65); volume (83 cm³ to 87 cm³, p = 0.51) or left atrial appendage area (7.9 cm² to 8.1 cm², p = 0.89); length (4.6 cm to 4.5 cm, p = 0.8); or width (2.5 to 2.4 cm, p = 0.87). Peak left atrial appendage velocity ejection (0.2 m/s to 0.2 m/s, p = 0.57), and presence of severe spontaneous echo contrast in the left atrial appendage (n = 15 (75%) to n = 13 (72%)) were also not significantly different. There was no correlation between changes in left atrial or left atrial appendage dimensions.

Conclusions—In the setting of sustained atrial fibrillation, significant left atrial and left atrial appendage functional and anatomical remodelling do not occur with atrial fibrillation of a duration similar to that used for conservative anticoagulation in preparation for cardioversion. (Heart 1999;82:555–558)
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Sustained atrial fibrillation is associated with biatrial enlargement.1–2 Left atrial enlargement is a strong predictor of the recurrence of atrial fibrillation after active conversion to sinus rhythm3–11 and has been associated with an increased risk of thromboembolisation in patients with chronic atrial fibrillation.12–14 The duration of atrial fibrillation has also been shown to be inversely correlated with the rate of recovery of atrial mechanical duration.13–14 Few serial data are available on the impact of shorter term sustained atrial fibrillation on left atrial appendage anatomy and function. The left atrial appendage, a cul-de-sac region of the left atrium, is of particular clinical relevance because it is the most common location for thrombus in patients with atrial fibrillation.15–17 These left atrial appendage thrombi have been closely linked to subsequent thromboembolism.18 Using serial transoesophageal echocardiography (TOE), we sought to determine if left atrial appendage enlargement or functional remodelling occur in patients with new onset atrial fibrillation with sustained atrial fibrillation for several months.

Methods

STUDY GROUP

The study population consisted of 20 patients with new onset atrial fibrillation who underwent a baseline and at least one follow up TOE with documented sustained atrial fibrillation between the initial and follow up TOE. All had a left atrial/left atrial appendage thrombus seen on initial TOE and were in sustained atrial fibrillation between studies. All subjects received chronic warfarin sodium to maintain a target international normalised ratio (INR) of 2.0 to 3.0 between echocardiographic studies.

ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY

TOE was performed using a Sonos 1000, 1500, or 2500 instrument (Hewlett-Packard Medical Products Division, Andover, Massachusetts, USA) equipped with a 5.0/3.5 MHz multiplane probe (19 patients) or 5.0/3.5 MHz biplane probe (one patient), with 10% lignocaine (lidocaine) spray local anaesthesia and meperidine, and/or fentanyl, as needed. Continuous, single lead ECG monitoring was performed during each study. Images of the left atrial and left atrial appendage were obtained in the horizontal (0°), vertical (90°), and intermediate imaging planes. The severity of left atrial spontaneous contrast was graded as 0 (none) if there was absence of dynamic “smoke-like” echoes; 1+ (minor) if there were minimal or sparsely distributed echoes; and 2+ (major) if there were intense echoes.

TOE studies were recorded on standard VHS tape and analysed using an offline workstation (Cardiology Workstation, Freeeland Systems, Louisville, Colorado, USA). Left atrial length (L LA) was measured in the four chamber view (horizontal plane) and two chamber view (vertical plane) from the point of mitral leaflet coaptation to the opposite endocardial edge19–20 at end diastole. Left atrial width (W LA) was measured orthogonal to the
length between the two endocardial edges at its midpoint. An ellipse formula was used to calculate left atrial area:

$$\text{Area}_{LA} = \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \times L_{LA} \times W_{LA}.$$ 

Left atrial volume (VolumeLA) was calculated using an ellipsoid model where (H) and (V) are measurements made in the horizontal and vertical planes, respectively:

$$\text{Volume}_{LA} = \frac{4}{3} \pi \left(\frac{L}{2}\right) \times \left(\frac{W_H}{2}\right) \times \left(\frac{W_V}{2}\right).$$

The width of the left atrial appendage was defined in each plane by a line from the confluence of the left upper pulmonary vein and the left atrial appendage to the outermost portion of the mitral annulus. The left atrial appendage length was measured from this confluence to the appendage apex. Left atrial appendage area was measured by manual planimetry. All anatomical measurements were made from cross sectional images immediately before the QRS complex.

### Table 1: Serial anatomical indices of left atrium and left atrial appendage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline TOE</th>
<th>Follow up TOE</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left atrium (n = 19)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (cm) (H)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.2)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (cm) (V)</td>
<td>5.9 (0.1)</td>
<td>5.9 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (cm) (H)</td>
<td>5.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>5.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (cm) (V)</td>
<td>5.3 (0.2)</td>
<td>5.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area (cm²) (H)</td>
<td>23.7 (1.6)</td>
<td>24.1 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area (cm²) (V)</td>
<td>24.2 (1.4)</td>
<td>24.0 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (cm³)</td>
<td>83.2 (6.0)</td>
<td>87.5 (7.2)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left atrial appendage (n = 20)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (cm) (H)</td>
<td>4.6 (0.3)</td>
<td>4.5 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (cm) (V)</td>
<td>4.5 (0.2)</td>
<td>4.4 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (cm) (H)</td>
<td>2.5 (0.1)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (cm) (V)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.1)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area (cm²) (H)</td>
<td>7.9 (0.8)</td>
<td>8.1 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area (cm²) (V)</td>
<td>8.1 (1.2)</td>
<td>7.3 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak velocity ejection (m/s)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe SEC (n (%))</td>
<td>15 (75)</td>
<td>13 (72)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>97 (5)</td>
<td>85 (4)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SEM). H, horizontal (0°) imaging plane; SEC, spontaneous echo contrast; TOE, transoesophageal echocardiography; V, vertical (90°) imaging plane.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

All data are expressed as mean (SEM). Mean data for at least three consecutive beats were used for individual patient data. Categorical variables were compared using the χ² test with the Fisher’s exact test in case of small numbers. Continuous variables were compared using analysis of variance (ANOVA, StatView, Abacus Concepts, Berkeley, California, USA). The χ² test was used to compare data categorically from patients who showed an increase (versus no change or decrease) in left atrial or left atrial appendage size. All comparisons were two tailed, with significance taken as a p < 0.05.

The study was approved by the hospital committee on clinical investigation and written informed consent for TOE was obtained from all participants.

**Results**

**BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS**

The study group included 20 patients (14 men, six women), mean (SEM) age 67 (2) years (range 33 to 92) with clinically estimated duration of atrial fibrillation at the time of the initial TOE of < 2 weeks in 10 patients, and at least one month in 10 patients. For nine patients, this was the first documented episode of atrial fibrillation, while 11 had a history of previous (but not sustained/chronic) atrial fibrillation. The systemic condition associated with atrial fibrillation included systemic hypertension (six patients), coronary artery disease (five patients), and rheumatic mitral disease (three...
patients). Ten patients had evidence of global left ventricular systolic dysfunction and three had focal left ventricular systolic dysfunction.

**INITIAL AND FOLLOW UP ANATOMICAL AND FUNCTIONAL INDICES**

Serial TOE was performed 2.5 (0.5) months apart. All patients underwent TOE without complication. Serial left atrial appendage anatomical and functional data were obtained on all 20 patients. Serial left atrial width and length measurements were interpretable in 19 patients. There were no significant differences between baseline and follow up left atrial appendage area (fig 1), length, width, volume, ejection velocity, or spontaneous echo contrast (table 1). In addition, there was no significant change in left atrial area (fig 2), length, or width (table 1). Group baseline and follow up anatomical and functional (Doppler) data are summarised in table 1 and figs 1 and 2. There was also no significant correlation between left atrial and left atrial appendage size for either initial or follow up studies. Among those in whom there was an increase in left atrial or left atrial appendage size, there was no correlation between the changes. Furthermore, there was no difference in either demographics or aetiology between the group in which left atrial or left atrial appendage size increased and the group in which they did not.

Subgroup analysis of all indices was performed for the subgroup of patients with no history of previous atrial fibrillation (nine patients). There was no significant difference for any anatomical or functional TOE indices (table 2). Subgroup analysis was also performed for patients with atrial fibrillation of brief duration (< one month, 10 patients). There were no significant differences for any anatomical or functional TOE indices (table 3).

**CLINICAL FOLLOW UP**

Residual left atrial appendages were seen in five subjects on follow up TOE. In four of these, the residual thrombus was smaller than the thrombus seen on the initial TOE. One subject had another TOE four months later which documented a persistent (though smaller) thrombus. Direct current (dc) cardioversion was attempted in all 15 subjects without evidence of residual thrombus on follow up TOE and maintained in sinus rhythm.1 Prevention of such deleterious left atrial remodelling would therefore be desirable and would be a potential benefit of early cardioversion using a TOE strategy for those in whom no thrombi are present.15-17 To our knowledge, no serial data on the impact of atrial fibrillation on left atrial appendage anatomy or functional indices have been reported.

Previous non-serial data from our laboratory had suggested intrinsic remodelling of the left atrium after one month of atrial fibrillation.18 Among patients with their first episode of atrial fibrillation, the left atrial area was found to be significantly larger in a subset of patients with atrial fibrillation of more than two weeks’ duration compared with less than two weeks’ duration.18 Anatomical and functional indices of the left atrial appendage were not different. These initial findings, together with those of others1-5 showing anatomical remodelling of the atria when exposed to sustained atrial fibrillation, had led to the hypothesis that sustained atrial fibrillation of a magnitude similar to the typical delay in cardioversion proscribed by conventional anticoagulation treatment before cardioversion may be sufficient to allow left atrial and left atrial appendage dilatation. Enlargement of the left atrial appendage is of clinical relevance, because it may predispose patients to thrombus formation and subsequent thromboembolism.16 Preventing such unfavourable remodelling is one of several potential benefits to the strategy of performing TOE guided early cardioversion of atrial fibrillation. Prevention of this adverse remodelling may also allow increased cardioversion success and make long term maintenance of sinus rhythm more likely.

In a series of 15 patients, Sanfilippo et al noted an increase in left atrial and right atrial size in a group of patients with sustained atrial fibrillation of less than two weeks’ duration.

### Table 2: Serial anatomical indices of left atrium and left atrial appendage for subset of patients with atrial fibrillation of less than two weeks’ duration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Left atrium</th>
<th>Baseline TOE</th>
<th>Follow up TOE</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length (cm) (H)</td>
<td>5.6 (0.3)</td>
<td>5.5 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (cm) (H)</td>
<td>5.1 (0.2)</td>
<td>5.4 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area (cm²) (H)</td>
<td>22.9 (2.0)</td>
<td>23.6 (2.7)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (cm³)</td>
<td>84.3 (6.4)</td>
<td>88.4 (13)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Left atrial appendage</th>
<th>Baseline TOE</th>
<th>Follow up TOE</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length (cm) (H)</td>
<td>4.4 (0.2)</td>
<td>4.3 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (cm) (H)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.2)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area (cm²) (H)</td>
<td>7.5 (1.3)</td>
<td>6.9 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak velocity inflow (m/s)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SEM). H, horizontal (0°) imaging plane; TOE, transoesophageal echocardiography.
significant functional and anatomical remodelling among those with atrial fibrillation. In this present TOE study, we found no significant left atrial enlargement as a result of sustained atrial fibrillation of around 2.5 months. The apparent discrepancy is likely to reflect the population studied. In the study by Sanfilippo et al, patients with left atrial enlargement at baseline study were excluded, whereas in our study the left atria were enlarged (left atrial dimension > 4.0 cm) in all patients at the time of their initial TOE. Further, the mean interval between echocardiographic studies in the study by Sanfilippo et al was 20 months (compared with 2.5 months in our study group). We specifically chose the shorter follow up period because it has specific relevance to patients with atrial fibrillation receiving four to six weeks of warfarin treatment before electrical cardioversion.

We and others have previously shown that the recovery of atrial mechanical function is related to the duration of atrial fibrillation before cardioversion11 14 and that left atrial size decreases after restoration of sinus rhythm. These studies suggested that atrial functional remodelling is related to the duration of atrial fibrillation before cardioversion.13 14 We had therefore anticipated a decrease in left atrial appendage ejection velocity on the follow up TOE (compared with the initial TOE), but this hypothesis was not supported by our prospective data. Our findings suggest that Doppler indices of left atrial appendage size obtained in atrial fibrillation may not be predictive of functional variables in the left atrium and left atrial appendage after conversion to sinus rhythm.

A limitation to our study is that half of our study group had a history of previous atrial fibrillation; though analysis of patients with new onset atrial fibrillation yielded similar results, the sample was small. It is possible that left atrial and left atrial appendage enlargement had already developed in these patients, thus “masking” detection of this change. In addition, 2.5 months of sustained atrial fibrillation may not be sufficient to detect changes that may develop subsequently. However, we believe the 2.5 month data are of particular clinical relevance because they relate to a period of sustained atrial fibrillation similar to that with conventional anticoagulation before cardioversion. Finally, all of our patients had an atrial thrombus on their initial TOE and this may therefore represent a unique population for whom data are not readily applicable to the general population of patients presenting with atrial fibrillation.

In conclusion, these prospective data show that in the setting of sustained atrial fibrillation significant functional and anatomical remodelling of the left atrium and left atrial appendage do not occur with sustained atrial fibrillation of a duration similar to that expected with a conservative anticoagulation approach in preparation for cardioversion.
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