Is there a role for renin profiling in selecting chronic heart failure patients for ACE inhibitor treatment?
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Abstract

Background—It remains uncertain whether angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors benefit all heart failure patients or just those with renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) activation.

Objective—To determine whether the response to an ACE inhibitor, assessed by urine sodium excretion, was different in patients with low renin versus those with high renin.

Design—Plasma renin activity (PRA) was measured in 38 patients with stable chronic heart failure (21 male, 17 female; mean (SD) age 71 (6) years, range 59–82 years) on chronic diuretic treatment alone. They were divided into three groups: low (PRA ≤ 1.5 ng/ml/h, n = 11); normal (1.5 < PRA < 5, n = 14); and high (PRA > 5, n = 13). The effect of ACE inhibition was then assessed on diuretic induced natriuresis with respect to renin status.

Results—There were no significant differences in age and sex distribution between the groups. Plasma angiotensin II and aldosterone increased serially from low to high renin groups, while 24 h urinary sodium concentrations fell from low to high renin groups (low PRA, 96.7 (39.5); normal PRA, 90.4 (26.7); high PRA, 66.3 (18.9) mmol/l; p = 0.033), despite a higher diuretic dose in the high renin group. This blunted natriuretic effect of loop diuretics was caused by RAAS activation, which could partly be reversed by ACE inhibition. ACE inhibitors increased natriuresis by 22% in the high renin group (p = 0.029), but had no effect in the normal and low renin groups. Within the low renin group, five of the 11 patients had persistently low renin levels despite ACE inhibition. There was a non-significant reduction in natriuresis (~9.6%, p = 0.335) following ACE inhibition in this subgroup of patients.

Conclusions—About one third of heart failure patients in our study had low renin status and a non-activated RAAS, despite diuretic treatment. ACE inhibitors did not alter natriuresis significantly in this subgroup of patients, and enhanced natriuresis only in patients with high renin. There is thus tentative support for renin profiling in targeting ACE inhibitors to the most deserving, by showing that short term sodium retention does not occur in low renin patients if ACE inhibitors are withdrawn.
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Over the years, the optimum treatment of chronic heart failure has been clarified by many large mortality trials. Angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, β blockers, and spironolactone have all been shown to reduce mortality. On the horizon are other promising treatments such as endothelin antagonists, angiotensin II receptor antagonists, neutral endopeptidase inhibitors, and cytokine blockers. One of the problems is that each of these new treatments further reduces the already low blood pressure of chronic heart failure, and it could soon be that a low blood pressure will become a limiting factor in treatment. This has led to the concept of individualised treatment in chronic heart failure, rather than simply adding each new treatment to the regimen when efficacy is proven. The effect of the latter could also be to limit efficacy because of reduced compliance.

Thus, instead of giving ACE inhibitors to all patients, the idea of using renin level to select patients who are likely to respond to ACE inhibitor treatment arises. Data from the cooperative north Scandinavian enalapril survival study (CONSENSUS I) suggest that ACE inhibitors only improve mortality in patients with neurohormonal activation. A similar picture was seen in the vasodilator therapy of heart failure study (VeHeFT II) for high versus low renin levels. Therefore from these data one could argue that ACE inhibitors should only be given to those with renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) activation, especially following diuretic treatment. In contrast, patients without RAAS activation may have nothing to gain from ACE inhibitor treatment and perhaps much to lose, in that they may still get side effects and the drugs obviously still cost money. However, withholding ACE inhibitors in heart failure patients is ethically questionable at present. It is important to determine which patients should receive ACE inhibitors and which patients can safely be withdrawn from them, as this may become an important health policy issue in the future.

Aside from mortality, morbidity is another key determinant of whether a drug should be started or withdrawn. We therefore examined whether patients with chronic heart failure who have raised renin levels are more likely to decompensate (that is, to retain sodium) in the...
short term if ACE inhibitor treatment is withdrawn or withheld. If this were the case, poor compliance with ACE inhibitors might lead to frequent hospital admissions, and “renin profiling” could help identify those individuals who are at particular risk of disease decompensation if they inadvertently stop their ACE inhibitor treatment. Extra vigilance with respect to compliance would be necessary for patients with high renin levels.

To answer these various questions, we measured renin levels during ACE inhibitor withdrawal in patients with chronic heart failure and examined the consequences of withdrawal on urinary sodium output, which obviously reflects the likelihood that heart failure will become decompensated.

Methods
PARTICIPANTS
Thirty eight consecutive patients with proven heart failure caused by ischaemic cardiomyopathy, with a left ventricular ejection fraction of less than 45% as determined by echocardiographic or radionuclide scans, were recruited from our tertiary referral heart failure centre. These were patients with compensated heart failure on optimum diuretic dosage, and all were established on ACE inhibitors. Each patient gave written consent to participate in the study, which was approved by the local Tayside medical ethics committee.

Of the 38 patients, 21 were male and 17 female. Mean (SD) age was 71 (6) years, range 59 to 82. All patients were on ACE inhibitors and were treated with diuretics (lisinopril mean dose, 12.9 (6.1) mg; equivalent frusemide (furosemide) mean dose, 77 (34) mg at entry). None of these patients was being treated with spironolactone or beta blockers. After one week of treatment on placebo, as a substitute for ACE inhibitor treatment, they were divided into the three groups according to their PRA values: low, medium, or high renin groups. The baseline plasma renin activity, aldosterone, and angiotensin II levels were not significantly different between the groups. As expected, there was an increase in plasma renin activity following ACE inhibitor treatment. Extra vigilance with respect to compliance was necessary for patients with high renin levels.

INTERVENTION
The study lasted for two weeks in each patient. At entry, all patients were randomised in double blind fashion to either continue their usual ACE inhibitors or to receive an equivalent number of placebo tablets. After one week, blood samples were collected in the morning following a 30 minute period of supine rest for serum ACE activity, plasma renin activity (PRA), aldosterone, and angiotensin II. Twenty four hour urine was collected for estimation of urinary sodium concentration. All patients then crossed over to the other treatment limb for another week, after which the above assessments were repeated. Patients were advised to continue on their usual diet throughout the study.

LABORATORY TESTING
 Plasma renin activity, aldosterone, and angiotensin II were measured by radioimmunoassay techniques. PRA was measured using the Bio-data Renin MAIA assay (Serono Diagnostics, Woking, Surrey, UK) and plasma aldosterone using a solid phase (coated tube) radioimmunoassay technique, DPC Coat-a-Count assay (DPC, Llanberis, Caernarfon, Gwynedd, UK). Plasma angiotensin II was measured in Glasgow using Dowex H+ ion exchange resin and Sep-pak C18 cartridges (Waters Associates, Milford, Massachusetts, USA) to extract angiotensin II from venous blood before assaying as described previously.

Serum ACE activity was determined by monitoring the change in absorbance at 340 nm of the hydrolysis of furyl-acryloyl-phenylalanyl-glycyl-glycine (FAPGG) to uryl-acryloyl-phenylalanine and glycyl-glycine (Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co, Poole, Dorset, UK) on a Roche MIRA analyser (Roche Diagnostic, Welwyn Garden City, Herts, UK). The intra-assay correlation coefficients of these measurements were all below 10%.

DATA ANALYSIS
Results were expressed as mean (SD). Regression analyses were used to assess the relations between indices, and analysis of variance to assess differences between groups. Fisher’s multiple comparison method was adopted in post-hoc analyses. A probability (p) value of < 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using the statistical package MINITAB®, version 12.22.

Results
ACE INHIBITOR WITHDRAWAL
There were no significant differences in sex or age distribution across the three groups (low, normal, and high renin). Entry ACE inhibitor dosage did not differ between the groups, but there was a serial increase in diuretic dose from low to high renin groups. The baseline plasma urea, creatinine, and creatinine clearance values were not significantly different between the groups. As expected, there was an increase in plasma angiotensin II and aldosterone from low to high renin groups, despite there being no significant suppression or difference in serum ACE activity following ACE inhibitor

Table 1 Renin profiling in patients with stable chronic heart failure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Low PRA</th>
<th>Normal PRA</th>
<th>High PRA</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M:F)</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>6/8</td>
<td>9/4</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>73 (7)</td>
<td>71 (7)</td>
<td>71 (5)</td>
<td>0.598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA II/III</td>
<td>3/8</td>
<td>8/6</td>
<td>7/6</td>
<td>0.608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRA (ng/ml/h)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.47)</td>
<td>2.47 (0.68)</td>
<td>11.92 (6.00)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>135 (21)</td>
<td>127 (19)</td>
<td>129 (28)</td>
<td>0.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>72 (13)</td>
<td>74 (9)</td>
<td>69 (12)</td>
<td>0.693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>67 (12)</td>
<td>75 (17)</td>
<td>72 (7)</td>
<td>0.393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisinopril (mg)†</td>
<td>12.7 (6.1)</td>
<td>12.9 (5.4)</td>
<td>13 (7)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frusemide (mg)</td>
<td>58 (28)</td>
<td>71 (28)</td>
<td>98 (35)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma sodium (mmol/l)</td>
<td>134.9 (4.1)</td>
<td>135.7 (3.7)</td>
<td>134.7 (3.5)</td>
<td>0.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma potassium (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.9 (0.4)</td>
<td>4.1 (0.5)</td>
<td>3.8 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma urea (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.4 (1.6)</td>
<td>7.0 (2.4)</td>
<td>6.4 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine (μmol/l)</td>
<td>102 (21)</td>
<td>116 (39)</td>
<td>110 (32)</td>
<td>0.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine clearance (ml/min)‡</td>
<td>58 (32)</td>
<td>79 (92)</td>
<td>73 (40)</td>
<td>0.695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum ACE (UI/l)</td>
<td>25.8 (12.4)</td>
<td>29.6 (10.0)</td>
<td>31.4 (14.0)</td>
<td>0.533</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.1.
†Entry dose of lisinopril, which was substituted with placebo tablets for one week before the hormonal assessments.
‡Calculated using the equation of [urinary creatinine concentration × 24 hour urine volume]/plasma creatinine concentration.
ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; BP, blood pressure; NYHA, New York Heart Association functional class; PRA, plasma renin activity.
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ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; PRA, plasma renin activity; RAAS, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system.

The correlation between plasma angiotensin II and aldosterone levels with ACE inhibitors withdrawn. Figure 1 Correlation between plasma angiotensin II and aldosterone (table 3, fig 1), suggesting that high renin status following diuretic treatment was associated with RAAS activation. There were, however, no significant correlations between the diuretic dose and the neurohormones. Natriuretic efficacy was blunted at higher diuretic doses with chronic diuretic treatment, and 24 hour urine sodium excretion was also negatively correlated with plasma aldosterone.

**EFFECTS OF ACE INHIBITION**

After adding ACE inhibitors for one week, there was no significant difference in blood pressure reduction between the three groups. There was, however, enhanced natriuresis in the high renin group (table 2). The urinary sodium concentration rose by a mean of 22% (p = 0.029) in the high renin group, without significant changes in the normal and low renin groups. Despite ACE inhibition, the trend for lower urinary sodium concentrations from high to low renin status remained unchanged between the three groups. ACE inhibition was more effective in reducing angiotensin II and aldosterone levels in the high renin group, but the resulting absolute levels of these hormones were not different between the groups (table 2). The serum ACE activity was similarly suppressed across the renin groups with ACE inhibition (14.1 (5.4), 16.1 (14.9), and 10.8 (3.1) U/l, p = 0.411). The change in angiotensin II and aldosterone with ACE inhibition correlated well in the whole cohort (r = 0.56, p < 0.001), whereas the correlation between urinary sodium concentrations and the diuretic doses strengthened (r = −0.46, p = 0.004). The regression slopes between these two latter indices did not, however, differ significantly according to whether or not there was ACE inhibition. Stratifying the patients into three groups by diuretic dosage—< 40 mg (n = 13), 40–80 mg (n = 17), and > 80 mg (n = 7)—did not predict the natriuretic response to ACE inhibition.

Within the low renin subgroup, five of the 11 patients continued to have low renin levels after the addition of ACE inhibitors. This represented 13% of the total study population. There was a non-significant reduction in urinary sodium concentration (~9.6%, p = 0.335) following ACE inhibition in this subgroup of patients. The rise of PRA in the remaining six subjects in the low renin group was ACE inhibition. Stratifying the patients into three groups by diuretic dosage—< 40 mg (n = 13), 40–80 mg (n = 17), and > 80 mg (n = 7)—did not predict the natriuretic efficacy of the diuretics.

**Discussion**

While renin profiling has been advocated in hypertension,5–11 it has not so far been used in clinical practice in managing patients with chronic heart failure, despite the fact that the

| Table 2 Changes in plasma angiotensin II, aldosterone, and urinary sodium concentration with and without ACE inhibition |
|---|---|---|---|
| Angiotensin II (pmol/l) | Placebo | ACE inhibitor | Changes |
| Low PRA | 7.5 (4.8) | 4.3 (3.2) | 3.2 (3.7) (95% CI 0.8 to 5.7); p = 0.015 |
| Normal PRA | 11.4 (7.7) | 2.74 (2.3) | 8.7 (7.0) (95% CI 4.5 to 12.9); p = 0.001 |
| High PRA | 49.0 (46.4) | 3.8 (3.3) | 45.2 (45.8) (95% CI 17.5 to 72.8); p = 0.004 |
| Aldosterone (pmol/l) | | | |
| Low PRA | 262 (146) | 219 (158) | 42 (88) (95% CI −16 to 102); p = 0.138 |
| Normal PRA | 336 (172) | 234 (235) | 102 (167) (95% CI 2 to 203); p = 0.047 |
| High PRA | 599 (312) | 260 (118) | 338 (281) (95% CI 149 to 527); p = 0.003 |
| Urine sodium (mmol/l) | | | |
| Low PRA | 96.7 (39.5) | 101.8 (33.6) | −5.1 (23.6) (95% CI −21.0 to 10.8); p = 0.491 |
| Normal PRA | 90.4 (26.7) | 94.5 (33.1) | −4.1 (24.0) (95% CI −18.6 to 10.4); p = 0.551 |
| High PRA | 66.3 (18.9) | 80.8 (22.5) | −14.6 (21.3) (95% CI −27.4 to −1.73); p = 0.02 |

*Post hoc pairwise comparisons suggested that the plasma angiotensin II and aldosterone levels were not different between the low and normal PRA groups, but the levels of these hormones were significantly higher in the high PRA groups than in either low or normal PRA groups.

Table 3 Correlation coefficients of RAAS hormones, diuretic doses, and urinary sodium excretion following withdrawal of ACE inhibitors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(n = 38)</th>
<th>PRA</th>
<th>Angiotensin II</th>
<th>Aldosterone</th>
<th>Frusemide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin II</td>
<td>0.515; p = 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldosterone</td>
<td>0.498; p = 0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frusemide</td>
<td>0.286; p = 0.082</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h urine sodium excretion</td>
<td>−0.302; p = 0.066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; PRA, plasma renin activity; RAAS, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system.
RAAS is of central importance in the pathophysiology of heart failure. Patients with activation of the RAAS have a worse prognosis than those patients with non-activated RAAS despite diuretic treatment. ACE inhibitors did not alter natriuresis in this subgroup of patients but significantly enhanced natriuresis in patients with high renin levels following chronic diuretic treatment.

CONCLUSIONS
About one third of the patients with heart failure in our study had low renin status and were non-activated RAAS despite diuretic treatment. ACE inhibitors did not alter natriuresis in this subgroup of patients but significantly enhanced natriuresis in patients with high renin levels following chronic diuretic treatment.
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IMAGES IN CARDIOLOGY

Perivalvar abscess of the mitral valve annulus with perforation owing to infective endocarditis

A 74 year old man presented with unstable angina and moderate aortic stenosis. He had an aortic valve replacement (Carpentier Edwards 19 mm porcine valve) and three reversed saphenous vein grafts to the first obtuse marginal, left anterior descending, and right coronary arteries. He was referred back three months later with a two week history of tiredness, night sweats, and weight loss of 5 kg. There was no history of any dental work or other surgical procedures after his cardiac operation. His temperature was 38.2°C and there were no peripheral stigmata of infective endocarditis.

Cardiac examination revealed a pansystolic murmur in the mitral area with a soft ejection murmur in the aortic area. Laboratory investigations showed microscopic haematuria, haemoglobin 97 g/l, white cell count 14 × 10⁹/l, and an erythrocyte sedimentation rate of 83 mm in the first hour. ECG showed second degree heart block (Wenckebach) with left bundle branch block pattern that was not present on previous ECGs. One of three sets of blood cultures grew Streptococcus bovis. The images on transoesophageal echocardiography were particularly striking. There was a perivalvar abscess of the mitral valve annulus extending from the anteromedial to the posteromedial part of the mitral valve (left) and colour flow Doppler showed perforation of the mitral valve annulus (right).
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