Editorial

Need for large scale randomised evidence about lowering LDL cholesterol in people with diabetes mellitus: MRC/BHF heart protection study and other major trials

Diabetes mellitus contributes substantially to the global burden of disease, with an estimated 100 million people affected worldwide, and its prevalence is increasing rapidly. Macrovascular complications are among the chief causes of major morbidity in people with diabetes, and most of their deaths are attributed to cardiovascular causes. In type 2 (“non-insulin dependent”) diabetes, blood triglyceride concentrations tend to be raised and high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol concentrations reduced even with good metabolic control, whereas a similar pattern tends to emerge in type 1 (“insulin dependent”) diabetes mellitus only when glycaemic control is poor. Typically in both type 1 and type 2 diabetes, however, blood concentrations of total and low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol are similar to those in the general population. This may have contributed to the belief that LDL cholesterol is of little relevance to the risk of cardiovascular disease in diabetes and, apart from those with pronounced dyslipidaemia or pre-existing coronary heart disease (CHD), most people with diabetes do not receive cholesterol lowering treatment despite their increased risk.

Prospective epidemiological studies in the general population show that there is a positive association between CHD risk and blood total cholesterol concentration, which continues down at least to 3 mmol/l (that is, well below the range commonly seen in western populations) without any evidence of a “threshold” below which lower cholesterol is not associated with lower risk. In these observational studies, the continuous association is roughly linear between CHD risk plotted on a doubling scale and the concentration of total or LDL cholesterol. This implies that the proportional reduction in CHD risk associated with a particular prolonged absolute difference in cholesterol is similar throughout the range studied. Hence, the absolute size of the reduction in CHD produced by lowering LDL cholesterol by a particular amount may be determined more by an individual’s overall risk of CHD than by their initial cholesterol concentration. For example, among 360 000 middle aged men in the USA screened for the multiple risk factor intervention trial (MRFIT), a prolonged 1 mmol/l lower blood total cholesterol was associated with about a 50% lower CHD risk, regardless of the baseline cholesterol concentration. Moreover, this association was of similar strength among the 5000 men in the study who had diabetes at baseline (which was presumed to be predominantly type 2) and among the remainder who did not, but the absolute risk of CHD death at each concentration of blood cholesterol was about 3–5 times higher in the presence of diabetes (fig 1). The United Kingdom prospective diabetes study (UKPDS) has provided further evidence of a similar direct, and continuous, association of CHD risk with LDL cholesterol concentration among about 3000 individuals with type 2 diabetes, and of an inverse association with HDL cholesterol concentration.

Absolute benefits of lowering LDL cholesterol concentrations appear to depend chiefly on the absolute risks of coronary heart disease (rather than on cholesterol concentrations)

Recently, large randomised trials have shown that lowering LDL cholesterol with HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (“statins”) reduces the risks of subsequent CHD mortality and morbidity in some types of high risk patient with pre-existing CHD. The results of those trials indicated that, within just a few years of lowering blood cholesterol, CHD was reduced by about half as much as expected from a long term difference in cholesterol of the same magnitude. So, for example, a reduction of about 1 mmol/l in LDL cholesterol, maintained for 5–6 years, appeared to reduce the risk of CHD by about a quarter. A total of about 1500 of these patients with pre-existing CHD also had diabetes (predominantly type 2), and retrospective subgroup analyses suggested that the proportional effect on CHD risk among them was similar to that observed among the other patients studied (fig 2). Moreover, even though these trials tended to exclude patients at particular risk (for example, those with poorly controlled diabetes or raised triglyceride concentrations), the absolute risks of CHD were higher among the randomised patients with diabetes than among...
A protection study was initiated in the early 1990s with the Council/British Heart Foundation (MRC/BHF) heart protection study (along with a further 14,573 patients with type 2 diabetes). Between July 1994 and May 1997, 5,963 people aged 40–80 years with diabetes mellitus were randomly entered into the heart protection study (along with a further 14,573 high-risk patients without diagnosed diabetes). Previous myocardial infarction was reported at study entry by 1,125 (19%) of these diabetic patients and some other history of CHD by a further 853 (14%), but 3,985 (67%) had no history of myocardial infarction plus fatal CHD among all 3,985 diabetic patients without pre-existing CHD. During 36 months of follow-up, allocation to 40 mg daily simvastatin has produced average reductions of 1.5 mmol/l in blood total cholesterol, 1.2 mmol/l in LDL cholesterol, and 0.4 mmol/l in triglycerides, with a small increase of 0.04 mmol/l in HDL cholesterol. The estimated annual incidence rate of non-fatal myocardial infarction plus fatal CHD among all 3,985 diabetic patients without pre-existing CHD is about 1.5% (compared with about 2.5% among the non-diabetic patients with pre-existing CHD); the relative risk between these populations is smaller than that reported by some, but not all, small observational studies. Hence, by the end of the 5–6 year scheduled treatment period in 2001, the study may well be able to demonstrate an effect on CHD events of lowering LDL cholesterol in diabetic patients without a previous diagnosis of CHD (for example, 80% power to detect a reduction of a third at p < 0.01, table 2). Some information about the effects of cholesterol lowering on other vascular complications of diabetes may also emerge—for example, about 300 strokes and 200 amputations are expected among all of the patients with diabetes.

MRC/BHF heart protection study: a large trial of the effects on CHD of lowering LDL cholesterol in people with diabetes mellitus

Between July 1994 and May 1997, 5,963 people aged 40–80 years with diabetes mellitus were randomly entered into the heart protection study (along with a further 14,573 high-risk patients without diagnosed diabetes). Previous myocardial infarction was reported at study entry by 1,125 (19%) of these diabetic patients and some other history of CHD by a further 853 (14%), but 3,985 (67%) had no history of CHD (and 2,913 (49%) had no history of any cardiovascular disease). According to predefined criteria, 615 (10%) were classified as having type 1 diabetes and 5,348 (90%) as having type 2 diabetes (table 1). The patients with type 2 diabetes tended to be older than those with type 1 diabetes, to have more pre-existing CHD and hypertension, and to have higher non-fasting concentrations of blood total cholesterol, directly measured LDL cholesterol, apolipoprotein B and, particularly, triglycerides, and lower concentrations of HDL cholesterol, apolipoprotein A1, and HbA1c. Insulin was being used at study entry by 95% of patients with type 1 diabetes, whereas among those with type 2 diabetes insulin was being used by 25%, sulfonylureas by 42%, and metformin by 31%.

Patients were randomly allocated to receive 40 mg simvastatin daily, or matching placebo tablets, for at least five years (and, in a 2 × 2 factorial design, 600 mg vitamin E, 250 mg vitamin C, and 20 mg β carotene daily, or matching placebo capsules). Based on random samples of the patients, it is estimated that LDL cholesterol concentrations at the four month follow-up visit were below 3.0 mmol/l in 92% of simvastatin allocated versus 56% of placebo allocated diabetic patients without pre-existing CHD (compared to 91% v 35% of all patients with pre-existing CHD). During 36 months of follow-up, allocation to 40 mg daily simvastatin has produced average reductions of 1.5 mmol/l in blood total cholesterol, 1.2 mmol/l in LDL cholesterol, and 0.4 mmol/l in triglycerides, with a small increase of 0.04 mmol/l in HDL cholesterol.

Figure 2 Observed effects on major CHD events in randomised controlled trials of cholesterol lowering with statin treatment among patients with pre-existing CHD, subdivided by diagnosed diabetes at entry. 4S compared 20–40 mg daily simvastatin versus placebo, and the average difference in total cholesterol was 1.7 mmol/l; CARE and LIPID compared 40 mg daily pravastatin versus placebo, and the average differences in total cholesterol were 1.1 and 1.0 mmol/l, respectively. Odds ratios for CHD events in each trial are plotted as black squares, with area proportional to the number of events, and 99% confidence intervals (CIs) are denoted by horizontal lines. Odds ratios and 95% CI for subtotals and all CHD patients are plotted as open diamonds, with proportional reductions (± SD) given alongside.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of patients with diabetes mellitus in the MRC/BHF heart protection study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic at entry</th>
<th>Type 1 (n=615)</th>
<th>Type 2 (n=5348)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disease history</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>30 (5%)</td>
<td>1095 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other CHD</td>
<td>31 (5%)</td>
<td>822 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cardiovascular</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No cardiovascular</td>
<td>124 (20%)</td>
<td>948 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
<td>430 (70%)</td>
<td>2483 (46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treated hypertension</strong></td>
<td>118 (19%)</td>
<td>2279 (43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes (years)</td>
<td>28.6 (0.5)</td>
<td>9.3 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>564 (92%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 65</td>
<td>3082 (58%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 5.5</td>
<td>51 (8%)</td>
<td>2266 (42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5.5 &lt; 7.0</td>
<td>51 (8%)</td>
<td>2349 (44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 7.0</td>
<td>43 (7%)</td>
<td>553 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)*</td>
<td>3.01 (0.03)</td>
<td>2464 (46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤ 3.0</td>
<td>2311 (41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 3.0 ≤ 3.5</td>
<td>1306 (24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 3.5</td>
<td>1911 (36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)*</td>
<td>1.18 (0.003)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤ 1.0</td>
<td>3023 (57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 1.0</td>
<td>2325 (43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.35 (0.03)</td>
<td>2.38 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤ 2.0</td>
<td>2690 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>2658 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)†</td>
<td>7.90 (0.09)</td>
<td>7.04 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤ 7.0</td>
<td>3025 (57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 7.0</td>
<td>2318 (43%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are either n (%) or mean (SE). Corresponding differences between those with type 1 and type 2 diabetes were seen in blood concentrations of apolipoprotein B (1.01 (0.01) g/l v 1.11 (0.003) g/l) and of apolipoprotein A1 (1.33 (0.01) g/l v 1.18 (0.003) g/l).

†HbA1c was measured by a turbidimetric method on Beckman Synchron CX auto analysers (manufacturer’s normal range 2.9–4.0%).
Conclusion: eventual emergence of clear evidence about the effects of lowering LDL cholesterol concentrations in people with diabetes mellitus

There is good epidemiological evidence of continuous direct associations between cardiovascular disease risk and both blood pressure levels and LDL cholesterol concentrations throughout the ranges studied in different populations. Hence, lower levels of these risk factors might well—at least in the long term—be associated with lower levels of cardiovascular risk in people with diabetes. The UKPDS randomised trial has indicated that lowering blood pressure in type 2 diabetes reduces the risk of major cardiovascular complications, and a retrospective combined analysis of secondary prevention trials of LDL cholesterol lowering with statins found similar proportional risk reductions among the relatively small numbers of diabetic patients studied and among the other patients with pre-existing CHD. The MRC/BHF heart protection study should provide the first large scale prospective evidence as to whether reducing LDL cholesterol concentrations in a wide range of diabetic patients produces worthwhile benefits (without any counterbalancing adverse consequences). But really reliable evidence about the effects of cholesterol lowering on cause specific mortality and morbidity in people with diabetes is only likely to emerge from the prospectively planned cholesterol treatment trials’ collaborative meta-analysis of the results of all relevant randomised trials.

Table 2 Major trials of the effects of lowering LDL cholesterol among diabetic patients without pre-existing CHD*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Type of diabetic patient</th>
<th>Cholesterol lowering treatment comparison</th>
<th>Approx number of CHD events/diabetic patients without pre-existing CHD</th>
<th>Scheduled end data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HPS</td>
<td>Type 1 and 2 (see table 1)</td>
<td>Simvastatin 40 mg daily v placebo</td>
<td>300/4000 2001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALLHAT</td>
<td>Type 1 (see table 1)</td>
<td>Propranolol 40 mg daily v placebo</td>
<td>250/3500 2002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASPEN</td>
<td>Type 2 with one risk factor</td>
<td>Atorvastatin 10 mg daily v placebo</td>
<td>300/2000 2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARDS</td>
<td>Type 2 with one risk factor</td>
<td>Atorvastatin 10 mg daily v placebo</td>
<td>300/3000 2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCOT</td>
<td>Type 2 with one risk factor and two other risk factors</td>
<td>Atorvastatin 10 mg daily v placebo</td>
<td>200/4000 2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDS</td>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>Cerivastatin 0.4 mg daily v placebo (and, in factorial design, fenofibrate v placebo)</td>
<td>45/5000 2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See box for explanation of trial acronyms.

Other large trials of lowering LDL cholesterol in patients with diabetes mellitus

Several other cholesterol lowering trials are in progress among diabetic patients without previously diagnosed CHD, but these involve much smaller LDL cholesterol reductions (as, for example, in the low fat diet comparison of the women’s health initiative or in the FIELD trial of fenofibrate), fewer CHD events, and/or more recent recruitment than the MRC/BHF heart protection study. Table 2 summarises those trials that are intended to involve reductions in LDL cholesterol of at least 1 mmol/l and at least 100 CHD events among such patients. Statins are particularly effective at lowering LDL cholesterol concentrations, whereas fibrates are more effective at lowering triglycerides. But, although the combination of a statin and a fibrate might be particularly effective, these drugs have not typically been used together because of concerns that the risks of myopathy might be increased. By using a factorial design, the lipids in diabetes study (LDS) should allow the more reliable assessment of the beneficial or adverse effects of combining these treatments.

Table acronyms

ALLHAT: Antihypertensive and Lipid Lowering treatment to prevent Heart Attack Trial
ASCOT: Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial
ASPEN: Atorvastatin Study for the Prevention of End-points in NIDDM
CARDS: Collaborative Atorvastatin Study for the Prevention of End-points in NIDDM
CARE: Cholesterol And Recurrent Events
FIELD: Fenofibrate Intervention and Event Lowering in Diabetes
HPS: Heart Protection Study
LDS: Lipids in Diabetes Study
LIPID: Long-term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischaemic Disease
MRFIT: Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial
UKPDS: United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study

The most important acknowledgement is to the patients participating in the MRC/BHF heart protection study (as well as those in the other studies), and to the collaborators in that study listed in reference 19. The MRC/BHF heart protection study is being funded by the UK Medical Research Council, the British Heart Foundation, Merck Sharp & Dohme (manufacturers of simvastatin), and Hoffmann-La Roche (manufacturers of the vitamins). It was, however, designed independently of the pharmaceutical companies, who have no representative in its organisation and who, like the steering committee and investigators, remain blind to the main results as they accumulate.
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STAMPS IN CARDIOLOGY

Congresses

Mandragora officinarum, has hysocine (scopolamine), an antimuscarinic drug, in its root. Hyoscyine is a narcotic and it is remarkable that its use for premedication before surgery has not changed for 2000 years. The herbalist Dioscorides in AD 100 gave mandrake so that “such as shall be cut or cauterised are overborne with deep sleep” and to day hyoscyine may still be used before anaesthesia and surgery. Hyoscyine, unlike atropine, produces only a slight tachycardia and is not used in cardiac treatment. But in a very low dose hyoscyine produces a paradoxical increase in cardiac vagal activity, and this action has been utilised to study baroreflex sensitivity in patients with heart failure (Heart 1996;75:274–80).

Mandrake belongs to the family Solanaceae, which contains species with the pharmacologically important drugs atropine and nicotine. Other plants in the family include potato, tomato, and pepper (capsicum).

The 5 schilling Austrian stamp depicting man collecting mandragora (from Codex Tacuinum Sanitatis) was a single denomination issue from 1986 to commemorate the 7th European Anaesthesia Congress held in Vienna.
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