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Abstract

Objective—To characterise echocardiographic wall motion score index (WMSI) as a surrogate measure of left ventricular ejection fraction (EF) following acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and to compare its prognostic value with that of EF measured by radionuclide ventriculography (RNV).

Design—A prospective study to compare baseline echocardiographic WMSI with RNV EF in consecutive patients thrombolysed for AMI, both performed on the same day before discharge, and their relative prognostic values in predicting cardiac events.

Setting—District general hospital coronary care unit and cardiology department.

Patients—120 consecutive patients free of exclusion criteria thrombolysed for AMI and followed up for a mean (SD) of 13 (10) months.

Interventions—None.

Main outcome measures—Correlation coefficients and receiver operating characteristic curve analyses plus cardiac event rates at follow up between RNV EF and echocardiographic WMSI.

Results—WMSI correlated well with RNV EF. The best corresponding WMSIs for EFs 45%, 40%, and 35% were 0.6, 0.8, and 1.1, respectively. There were 42 cardiac events during follow up. Although both RNV EF and WMSI were strong univariate predictors of cardiac events, only WMSI independently predicted outcome in a multivariate model. All three WMSI cut offs significantly predicted events, while an RNV EF cut off of < 45% v > 45% failed to reach significance.

Conclusions—Although both RNV and echocardiographic WMSI strongly predicted cardiac outcome, WMSI, a cheaper and more readily available technique, is more discriminatory, especially in cases of mild left ventricular dysfunction following AMI.

The assessment of left ventricular (LV) function following acute myocardial infarction (AMI) has been shown in many studies to give important prognostic information as well as helping to guide therapeutic intervention. Therapeutic studies have used global ejection fraction (EF) as assessed by either radionuclide ventriculography (RNV) LVEF alone or a combination of any of RNV, echocardiographic LVEF, and ventricular angiographic LVEF as markers for LV dysfunction. More recently studies have used echocardiographic wall motion score index (WMSI) as a marker for LV dysfunction. However, none has directly compared one method with another in a prospective manner in determining prognosis, assuming each technique to be equivalent. Recent retrospective analyses of those studies where more than one method for determining LVEF was used have suggested that these measures of LV function may not be equivalent. Echocardiographic WMSI is a relatively easily obtained marker of global LV dysfunction after myocardial infarction. It is derived by grading the wall motion of individual myocardial segments and dividing the total score by the number of analysable segments. Although several studies have shown that WMSI correlates well with LVEF determined by RNV, no studies thus far have analysed what WMSI best corresponds to LVEF in their subjects before discriminating outcome, and none has directly compared WMSI with LVEF in the assessment of prognosis.

The purpose of our study, therefore, was to characterise postmyocardial infarction echocardiographic WMSI as a measure of EF both in the immediate aftermath of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and at six month follow up, by using RNV as a traditional measure of LVEF, and to compare their relative prognostic values when performed at the time of hospital discharge following AMI. To minimise confounding clinical factors, only patients with clinically uncomplicated AMI at the time of hospital discharge were selected.

Methods

SUBJECTS

This was a prospective study of 147 consecutive patients treated with thrombolysis following AMI (123 men, 24 women, 73 anterior territory and 74 inferior territory infarctions).
Myocardial infarction was diagnosed on the basis of a combination of typical anginal pain of at least 30 minutes in duration, diagnostic serial electrocardiographic changes consisting of new pathological Q waves or ST and T wave changes, and a typical rise and fall in the concentration of serum total creatinine kinase or creatine kinase-MB. One hundred and twenty two patients (103 men, 19 women) were assessed by both echocardiography and RNV on the same day before discharge within 5–8 days of myocardial infarction. Eighteen were excluded before predischarge investigation for the following reasons: three died, three had another infarction, six developed unstable angina, three developed severe congestive heart failure, two developed intractable arrhythmias, and one developed poorly controlled hypertension. Seven others were excluded for logistical reasons with either echocardiography or RNV being unable to be performed before discharge. A WMSI could not be obtained in two of the 122 patients studied predischarge due to inadequate image quality. Eighty six patients went on to undergo echocardiography and RNV at six months' follow up, with a WMSI unable to be performed in one patient because of inadequate image quality.

RADIONUCLIDE VENTRICULOGRAPHY

Red blood cells were labelled in vivo by an intravenous injection of 10 mg stannous pyrophosphate followed by an injection of 740 MBq of technetium99m phosphate followed by an injection of 740 MBq of technetium99m 30 minutes later. Multiple gated RNVs were obtained by means of a gamma camera using a low energy collimator (Elscint, Apex 215M, Haifa, Israel). The camera was positioned in the 30–45° left anterior oblique projection with a 5–10° caudal tilt to isolate the LV. The R-R interval was divided into 32 frames and a 5% gate tolerance was used. Five million counts were collected and the data stored in a 64 × 64 matrix to obtain a high resolution time-activity curve of the change in precordial radioactivity. The LVEF was obtained in the left anterior oblique view using a second derivative semiautomatic edge detection programme, as previously described by our laboratory,21 and reported by one observer (AL). We have previously published the interobserver and intraobserver variability of WMSI in 50 subjects post-AMI in our laboratory finding a concordance of 91% with a kappa value of 0.78.22

FOLLOW UP

All patients were reassessed by formal review for first cardiac events. The cardiac end points were death, reinfarction, and hospitalisation for either unstable angina, congestive heart failure, or life threatening arrhythmia. Revascularisation alone was not considered a cardiac end point. Unstable angina was defined as new onset or worsening of previous angina requiring hospital admission with electrocardiographic evidence of ischaemia. Congestive heart failure was defined as new onset of clinical heart failure or worsening of heart failure according to the New York Heart Association classification requiring hospitalisation accompanied by basal rales, third heart sound, or evidence of pulmonary upper lobe diversion on chest radiography.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The correlation coefficient between RNV EF and WMSI was calculated for predischarge and six month follow up studies and in both combined. Receiver operating characteristic curves were constructed for the ability of WMSI measurements to predict specific prechosen abnormal RNV EF ranges. These prechosen clinically significant EFs were ≤45%, ≤40%, and ≤35%. The predischarge WMSI with the highest accuracy and χ at each of these EFs was calculated by receiver operating characteristic curves. Linear regression analysis for WMSI to predict LVEF was also performed. χ < 0.40 was considered poor agreement, 0.40–0.59 moderate, 0.60–0.79 good, and ≥ 0.80 excellent agreement. The area under the receiver operating characteristic curves was calculated to give the overall accuracy of WMSI in predicting a given EF.21

A univariate Cox proportional hazards regression model was created for demographic and clinical variables including WMSI and RNV EF to predict cardiac events. Stepwise Cox regression analysis was then performed in a forward stepwise manner until all variables remaining in the multivariate model were significant at the 5% level to find independently predictive variables. Time to event curves were generated using Kaplan-Meier estimates, with the log rank test used for equality of event-free survival analysis. Prognostic groups analysed were RNV EFs ≤45% or >45%,
Results

Patients
The mean (SD) age of the 120 patients fully assessed before discharge was 60.4 (11.1) years (range 34–83 years); 70 were white, 48 were south Asian, and two were African Caribbean. All patients had received thrombolysis. There were 56 anterior and 64 inferior myocardial infarctions. There were no significant differences in demographics, baseline clinical features, or drug treatments between those with abnormal RNV EFs and those with the equivalent abnormal WMSI at all three levels of EF studied.

Comparison of RNV with WMSI
A scatter plot showing the overall correlation between RNV EF and WMSI is depicted in figure 1, with correlation coefficients given. Correlation coefficients were 0.82 and 0.85 for anterior infarctions before discharge and at six months’ follow up, respectively, and 0.65 and 0.80 for inferior infarctions before discharge and at six months’ follow up, respectively.

Receiver operating characteristic curves were derived for predischarge WMSI to predict given RNV EFs (fig 2). Areas under the curve were 0.95, 0.95, and 0.94 for EF ≤ 45%, ≤ 40%, and ≤ 35%, respectively. The respective WMSIs with the highest predictive accuracies for these EFs were WMSI ≥ 0.6 (accuracy 90%, κ = 0.79), ≥ 0.8 (accuracy 93%, κ = 0.81), and ≥ 1.1 (accuracy 89%, κ = 0.60). The agreement between RNV EF and WMSI measurements in subjects with mild LV dysfunction (EF < 45% or WMSI > 0.6) is shown in table 1. Corresponding WMSI scores from the predischarge linear regression plots were 0.7 (accuracy 89%, κ = 0.76), 0.9 (accuracy 92%, κ = 0.78), and 1.1 (accuracy 89%, κ = 0.60), respectively.

Receiver operating characteristic curves and linear regression analyses were also calculated for all 205 scans combined, finding areas under the curve of 0.95 throughout.

The mean (SD) RNV EF in anterior myocardial infarctions was 42.4 (12.3)% (range 20–67) with an EF < 45% found in 34 of the 56 patients (61%). The mean (SD) RNV EF in inferior myocardial infarctions was 54.6 (9.6)% (range 31–74) with an EF < 45% found in 11 of the 64 patients (17%). The mean (SD) WMSI in anterior myocardial infarctions was 0.90 (0.16) (range 0.60–1.30) with an EF < 45% found in 34 of the 56 patients (61%). The mean (SD) WMSI in inferior myocardial infarctions was 0.73 (0.29) (range 0.40–1.00) with an EF < 45% found in 11 of the 64 patients (17%).

Table 1  Agreement between radionuclide ejection fraction (EF) and echocardiographic wall motion score index (WMSI) measurements in assessing mild left ventricular dysfunction (EF < 45% or WMSI > 0.6) in 120 subjects before discharge following acute myocardial infarction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radionuclide EF</th>
<th>≤ 45%</th>
<th>&gt; 45%</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Echocardiographic WMSI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 0.6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 0.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inferior myocardial infarctions was 0.78 (0.40) (range 0.1–1.5) with a WMSI $\geq 0.6$ in 38 of the 56 patients (68%). The mean (SD) WMSI in inferior myocardial infarctions was 0.37 (0.24) (range 0–1.4) with a WMSI $\geq 0.6$ in 9 of the 64 patients (14%).

**Discussion**

This study has provided further evidence that WMSI is an easily measurable marker of LV function following AMI, being obtainable in 205 of 208 echocardiographic studies performed (98.6%) even in the absence of second harmonic imaging, as described elsewhere.  

PROGNOSIS

Patients were followed up for first cardiac events for a mean of 13 (10) months (range 5–942 days). During this time there were 42 first cardiac events (3 deaths, 8 reinfarctions, 18 cases of unstable angina requiring hospitalisation, and 13 episodes of new or worsening congestive heart failure requiring hospitalisation). There were no cases of life threatening arrhythmia requiring hospitalisation. Four patients went on to require elective coronary artery bypass surgery and three to angioplasty. None of these seven patients, however, developed cardiac events during follow up.

**Cox regression analyses**

Univariate Cox regression analysis showed that WMSI ($\chi^2 = 11.9$, $p = 0.006$), ethnic origin ($\chi^2 = 6.1$, $p = 0.01$), and age ($\chi^2 = 5.3$, $p = 0.02$) were the only independent predictors of cardiac events, with RNV EF no longer predicting outcome.

**Event free survival analysis**

Cumulative event free survival was assessed for a range of EFs felt to be of clinical significance and their corresponding WMSI with greatest agreement (EF $\leq 45\%$ vs $> 45\%$ compared with WMSI $< 0.6$ or $\geq 0.6$; EF $\leq 40\%$ vs $> 40\%$ compared with WMSI $< 0.8$ or $\geq 0.8$; and EF $\leq 35\%$ vs $> 35\%$ compared with WMSI of $< 1.1$ or $\geq 1.1$).

Nine hundred and forty two day Kaplan-Meier cumulative event-free survival curves are shown for the various cut offs of RNV EF and their corresponding WMSI (figs 3, 4, and 5). WMSIs significantly predicted survival for all cut offs, while radionuclide derived EF failed to reach significance at a cut off of 45% ($p = 0.13$). At this cut off, the relative risk of a cardiac event in the RNV EF group compared with the WMSI group was 0.85 (95% confidence interval 0.56 to 1.3) ($p = 0.38$, log rank test).

Five of the 45 patients with a clinically significant reduction in RNV EF ($\leq 45\%$) did not have a clinically significant wall motion abnormality (WMSI $< 0.6$). Of these, only one had a cardiac event during follow up. Of the 75 patients with an RNV EF of $> 45\%$, seven had a clinically significant wall motion abnormality (WMSI $\geq 0.6$). Of these, five had cardiac events during follow up.

**Discussion**

This study has provided further evidence that WMSI is an easily measurable marker of LV function following AMI, being obtainable in 205 of 208 echocardiographic studies performed (98.6%) even in the absence of second harmonic imaging, as described elsewhere. It has also confirmed the very close correlation between WMSI and RNV EF both acutely and chronically post AMI, also described elsewhere. This study has further
found a closer correlation for anterior infarctions than inferior infarctions, especially before discharge.

It has also shown a very good agreement between WMSI and RNV EF with areas under the receiver operating characteristic curve ranging from 0.94 to 0.95 at the clinically significant cut off in EF of 45%, 40%, and 35%. This implies a 94–95% probability that two subjects, one with a clinically significant abnormality in RNV EF and one without, would be correctly discriminated by an echocardiographic WMSI.3

We found that WMSIs of 0.6, 0.8, and 1.1 corresponded best to EFs 45%, 40%, and 35%, respectively. This differed slightly from the linear regression plot where the corresponding WMSIs were 0.7, 0.9, and 1.1, respectively, suggesting a non-linear relation between WMSI and RNV EF. The only previous study that analysed the relation between RNV EF and echocardiographic WMSI4 also found a non-linear relation, with their linear regression analysis producing an overestimation of low EFs and an underestimation of high EFs. Our data suggest that a greater degree of wall motion abnormality must take place before a lower EF occurs.

PROGNOSIS

Several studies have shown LV systolic dysfunction to be one of the most important risk factors for morbidity and mortality following AMI, whether assessed by WMSI or by global EF, and whether assessed by echocardiography or by RNV.1 2 13–25 This study further supports this, with both RNV EF and WMSI strong univariate predictors of cardiac events. However, in this first direct comparison, only echocardiographic WMSI independently predicted adverse events in a multivariate model. A direct comparison at EFs ranging from mild to more severe LV dysfunction suggested that these differences may be most significant in patients with mild LV dysfunction, with a relative risk of cardiac events of 0.85 for RNV EF < 60%, independently predicted cardiovascular events than RNV EF, the numbers were too small to show definitively at what level of LV dysfunction this difference is most apparent. Secondly, this study took place in one centre with echocardiography performed by one operator and RNV EF by one operator and so requires confirmation elsewhere. Thirdly, although RNV is a well validated semi-quantitative technique, echocardiographic wall motion assessment is a qualitative technique, previously shown to give only fair inter-observer variability when endocardial definition is less than perfect.33 However, this same study showed 100% agreement in the few cases with complete endocardial definition, nowadays more easily achieved by use of tissue harmonic imaging40 and contrast agents.41 Agreement can be further improved by use of quantitative techniques that are under investigation including segmental analysis of colour kinetic images42 and tissue Doppler imaging,43 potentially quantifying regional LV function and thus WMSI. Finally, again because of the small number of patients enrolled, the cardiac events discussed may be described as “soft”
rather than hard, although clearly of great clinical significance. However, it is the first direct comparison between these two previously thought to be equivalent techniques used to assess LV function both in clinical practice and in large clinical trials, finding differing results in a prospective manner.

CONCLUSION

Echocardiographic WMSI may be performed successfully in the vast majority of patients following AMI and is an accurate marker of LV dysfunction. Furthermore, it gives important prognostic information in the postmyocardial infarction setting, more so than RVEF a well established and previously thought to be equivalent technique, with this difference in prognostic power appearing to be most dramatic in cases of mild LV dysfunction, although larger studies are needed to show this definitively. Further advantages may include cost, availability, absence of exposure to radiation, and the ability to assess common potential complications of AMIs such as valvar dysfunction or ventricular septal defects.
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