Effects of intravenous dofetilide on induction of atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia
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Abstract
Objective—To assess the efficacy and safety of intravenous dofetilide in preventing induction of atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia.

Design—A multicentre, open, dose ranging trial. Fifty one patients with electrically inducible atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia were allocated to one of five doses of dofetilide (1.5, 3, 6, 9, and 15 µg/kg), two thirds of the dofetilide dose being given over a 15 minute loading period and the remainder over a 45 minute maintenance period.

Main outcome measure—Responders were defined as patients in whom dofetilide prevented reinduction of atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia at the end of the infusion.

Results—Intravenous dofetilide had no effect on tachycardia inducibility at the two lower doses (1.5 and 3 µg/kg) but prevented the reinduction of tachycardia at the three higher doses (6, 9, and 15 µg/kg) at a rate of 36% (11/31). There was a clear relation between plasma dofetilide concentrations and efficacy (p = 0.009). In non-responders, dofetilide increased the cycle length of induced atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia. Dofetilide increased the atrial and ventricular effective refractory periods, as well as the antegrade and retrograde effective refractory period of the accessory pathway. Treatment related side effects were reported in four patients, one with a new sustained incessant supraventricular tachycardia.

Conclusions—Dofetilide shows promise as an agent for the prevention of atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia in patients without structural heart disease.
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Pharmacological treatment of supraventricular tachycardia remains a common approach despite the increased use of radiofrequency ablation. Atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia is currently treated with various pharmacological agents. While class I antiarrhythmic drugs are effective in treating this form of tachycardia, there is a reluctance to use these agents, especially in patients with structural heart disease, because of potential proarrhythmic or undesirable side effects—as seen in the cardiac arrhythmia suppression trial (CAST).1,2 The most common class III antiarrhythmic agents (amiodarone and sotalol) are effective but also cause undesirable side effects.3,4 Calcium channel blockers have negative inotropic and chronotropic effects and are used less often.5

Dofetilide is a novel class III antiarrhythmic agent that produces potent and selective blockade of the rapidly activating component of the delayed rectifier current, I Kr.6–10 Studies have shown that dofetilide prolongs both atrial and ventricular monophasic action potential durations and increases atrial and ventricular effective and functional refractory periods. Because of its selective class III antiarrhythmic effect, dofetilide has no effect on atrioventricular conduction or sinus node function.11–13

Clinical reports have shown that dofetilide is effective in the treatment of supraventricular tachyarrhythmias, such as atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter.14–16 However, to date there have been no studies on the dose dependent effects of dofetilide on atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia. Our goal in this study was to assess the efficacy of intravenous dofetilide, in five different dosing regimens, for treating patients with inducible atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia, by determining its ability to prevent reinduction of the tachycardia.

Methods
STUDY DESIGN
An open, dose ranging study was designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of dofetilide. Patients were recruited from eight centres. Patients with suspected supraventricular or ventricular tachyarrhythmias, or both, were referred for a routine electrophysiological evaluation. The patient was included in the study only if the results of the electrophysiological test were compatible with inducible atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia.

Patients were assigned to one of five doses of dofetilide (1.5, 3, 6, 9, and 15 µg/kg). The total dofetilide dose was given over a one hour period, two thirds of the dose being given in an initial 15 minute loading period and the remainder over a final 45 minute maintenance period.

PATIENTS
At baseline, all patients underwent standard electrophysiological evaluation and programmed electrical stimulation to evaluate atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia. Only patients aged 18–75 years with inducible atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia at baseline were included in the study. Female subjects...
Dofetilide in atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia

Table 1 Exclusion criteria

- Recent (< 6 weeks) myocardial infarction or unstable angina
- Supraventricular tachycardia other than atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia
- Ventricular tachycardia at pre-study evaluation or at baseline electrophysiological evaluation
- Left ventricular ejection fraction < 20%
- Antiarrhythmic treatment or use of any other drugs that prolong the QTc interval taken within five half lives of start of study
- Amiodarone treatment taken within 4 weeks of start of the study or a serum amiodarone concentration of > 0.4 mg/l
- Resting QTc interval > 440 ms in a drug-free state and in the absence of pre-excitation or bundle branch block
- Resting supine diastolic blood pressure > 110 mm Hg
- Resting supine systolic blood pressure < 90 or > 200 mm Hg

had to be of non-childbearing potential or using appropriate contraception. Before inclusion, each patient had a full clinical examination, a 12 lead ECG, and 24 hour Holter monitoring. Exclusion criteria are listed in table 1.

The protocol was approved by the local ethics committees and the study was monitored in accordance with standard operating procedures and good clinical practice. All patients provided written informed consent before entering the study, which was conducted in compliance with the 1989 Declaration of Helsinki.

ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY STUDY

A 12 lead ECG was recorded at the following times: at rest at the prestudy examination; during the baseline period; at 7.5, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 65, and 75 minutes after the start of drug infusion; and at 1, 2, 4, 8, 10, and 24 hours after completion of the maintenance infusion.

For arrhythmia induction and intracardiac recording, multipolar electrode catheters were inserted transvenously and positioned under fluoroscopy in the high lateral right atrium, in the right ventricle, and across the tricuspid valve in the region of the bundle of His; as needed, a multipolar catheter was placed in the coronary sinus. Standard surface ECG leads were displayed and recorded at the same time as the intracardiac recordings on a multichannel electrophysiological recorder at paper speeds of 100–250 mm/s. Body surface and intracardiac recordings were filtered at the appropriate frequencies. Atrial and ventricular pacing was applied at twice the diastolic threshold, using 2 ms rectangular pulses.

During the baseline period (lasting around 60 minutes), standard programmed electrical stimulation protocols were used to measure refractory periods and to attempt induction of atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia.

The effective refractory period of the atrium was determined using the extrastimulus method, in which an eight beat train of paced atrial beats (A1), at basic cycle lengths of 400 and 600 ms, was followed by a single premature stimulus (A2). The atrial effective refractory period was defined as the longest A1–A2 interval that failed to result in an atrial depolarisation. The effective refractory period of the right ventricle was also determined, using the same extrastimulus technique as for the atrium. The ventricular effective refractory period was defined as the longest V1–V2 interval that failed to result in premature ventricular depolarisation.

The antegrade and retrograde effective refractory periods of the accessory pathway were also determined using the extrastimulus method. The antegrade effective refractory period was defined as the longest premature A1–A2 interval that did not result in ventricular pre-excitation, as manifested by loss of the delta wave in the surface QRS.

The retrograde effective refractory period was defined as the longest premature V1–V2 interval that did not result in atrial pre-excitation, as manifested by normalisation of the retrograde atrial activation sequence.

Single and double atrial extrastimuli at cycle lengths of 600 and 400 ms were given in an attempt to induce orthodromic reciprocating tachycardia. When ventricular to atrial conduction was present, single ventricular extrastimuli at cycle lengths of 600 and 400 ms were also applied.

The tachyarrhythmia was defined as non-inducible if ≤ 4 non-stimulated ventricular beats were produced by the above protocol, inducible if ≥ 5 non-stimulated ventricular beats at a frequency > 120 beats/min were produced, and sustained if an inducible arrhythmia lasting > 30 seconds was produced. Once the loading infusions and maintenance dose were completed, programmed electrical stimulation was repeated in an attempt to reinroduce atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia at the end of the maintenance infusion.

Following the electrophysiology study, patients were monitored over the next 24 hours with a Holter recorder.

PLASMA CONCENTRATIONS OF DOFETILIDE

Blood samples were obtained at 7.5, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 65, and 75 minutes after the start of drug infusion. Blood plasma samples were stored at −20°C and later analysed using radioimmunoassay techniques. The maximum plasma concentration, Cmax, and the area under the nine hour dofetilide plasma concentration v time curve, AUC0–9, were calculated for each subject.

MEASUREMENTS

PR interval and QRS durations were determined from the ECG recordings. The QT interval was measured from the same lead (V1 if possible) by standard methods, and Bazett’s formula was used to calculate QTc (QTc = QT/[60/HR]1/2, where QT is measured in milliseconds and heart rate is measured in beats/min).

The efficacy of dofetilide was determined by its ability to prevent the reinduction of an atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia originally induced at baseline. Responders to dofetilide were defined as patients in whom this tachycardia could not be reinduced; non-responders were defined as those in whom the tachycardia could be reinduced. In non-responders, the cycle lengths of the tachycardia were compared before and after intravenous infusion.
Values are mean (SEM).

infusion of dofetilide. The effective refractory periods of the atrium, ventricle, and accessory pathways were obtained during the baseline and dofetilide periods.

### Table 4 Effective refractory periods and changes from baseline by treatment group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment (total dose dofetilide (µg/kg))</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Baseline (ms)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Change from baseline (ms)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Baseline (ms)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Change from baseline (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cycle length</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 ms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial</td>
<td></td>
<td>192 (15)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40 (31)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>208 (12)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>193 (8)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>77 (11)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>199 (5)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>210 (10)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>90 (17)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>222 (13)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>189 (9)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28 (11)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>223 (12)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>201 (9)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24 (8)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>212 (9)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>193 (5)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18 (6)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>211 (5)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>201 (7)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20 (7)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>227 (9)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>210 (6)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6 (8)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>225 (9)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>196 (4)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38 (7)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>218 (5)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular</td>
<td></td>
<td>211 (8)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4 (12)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>226 (6)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>193 (5)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18 (6)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>211 (5)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>201 (7)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20 (7)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>227 (9)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>210 (6)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6 (8)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>225 (9)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>196 (4)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38 (7)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>218 (5)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antegrade accessory pathway</td>
<td></td>
<td>257 (10)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23 (23)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>288 (13)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>259 (13)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23 (23)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>267 (14)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>270 (14)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22 (23)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>352 (49)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>275 (9)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>47 (13)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>400 (70)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>276 (11)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35 (5)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>303 (38)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>70 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrograde accessory pathway</td>
<td></td>
<td>255 (15)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>221 (13)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36 (9)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>245 (4)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>65 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>262 (26)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30 (9)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>281 (25)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>69 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>285 (35)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>95 (25)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>265 (25)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>285 (15)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>285 (5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SEM).
The antegrade refractory period of the accessory pathway could be measured in 23 patients and the retrograde refractory period in 16. As with the atrial and ventricular refractory periods, the effective refractory period of the accessory pathway was consistently increased, whether measured antegradely or retrogradely (table 4). Because of the small number of patients and the difficulty in obtaining refractory period measurements for the accessory pathway in all five dosage groups, a clear dose–response relation could not be demonstrated. However, when individual patient data were examined, non-inducibility appeared to be linked to notable prolongation of the effective refractory periods in most responders.

In one patient who developed atrial fibrillation before and during the dofetilide infusion (1.5 µg/kg), the minimum RR interval increased from 230 to 235 ms during the dofetilide infusion.

SIDE EFFECTS
Four patients reported side effects that were considered possibly related to dofetilide treatment. One patient experienced episodes of junctional rhythm and bundle branch block; one patient developed spontaneous atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia identical to that previously induced in the electrophysiology laboratory; and one patient developed a short lasting episode of hypotension and hypoxia. The only serious side effect was reported in one patient who developed extrasystoles which degenerated into a new sustained, incessant, supraventricular tachycardia. Treatment was discontinued, and a paced ventricular beat terminated the tachycardia. No clinically relevant aberrations in haemodynamic or laboratory data were detected in the remaining patients. Four other patients developed transient QTc prolongations of > 600 ms; two of these patients had received 15 µg/kg of dofetilide and the other two had received 1.5 and 6 µg/kg of dofetilide, respectively. Clinical resolution was seen for all treatment related side effects without subsequent adverse consequences.

Discussion
The main finding of this study is that intravenous dofetilide is a safe and effective agent for preventing the induction of atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia. A clear and significant relation between dofetilide dose and antiarrhythmic response was demonstrated, as measured by the inability to reinduce the tachycardia. A minimum dose of 6 µg/kg was necessary for antiarrhythmic efficacy. A relation between plasma concentrations of dofetilide and efficacy was also demonstrated, as shown by a 25% efficacy rate when dofetilide AUCL = 10 ng.h/ml and a 57% efficacy rate when it was 20 ng.h/ml. These findings are consistent with the recommendations of Levy, who—using a Sicilian Gambit’s approach—suggested targeting the potassium current with a class III agent in orthodromic atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia. Intravenous dofetilide was safe in this patient population. Although four patients developed pronounced QT prolongation, none developed torsade de pointes. As periods of sinus rhythm alternated with paced periods during the electrophysiology study, and as the QT prolongation persisted beyond the completion of the electrophysiology study, it is unlikely that the absence of torsade de pointes was related to the ability to pace during the study.

This investigation is the first to measure dofetilide induced prolongation of both antegrade and retrograde refractoriness in accessory pathways in patients with atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia. The mechanism of this type of tachycardia is believed to be circus movement re-entry involving the atrioventricular node and an accessory pathway. Whether the tachycardia is orthodromic or antidromic, a critical region in the re-entrant pathway of prolonged refractoriness defines the excitable gap of the circuit and is the target of antiarrhythmic drug action. A drug that increases refractoriness to a sufficient degree in this critical portion of the re-entrant circuit may terminate re-entry by effectively reducing the excitable gap to zero. Because we examined the efficacy of dofetilide at five separate doses, there were insufficient refractory period determinations to perform statistical analyses or draw strong conclusions about the site of action. However, based on the limited refractory results presented here and the very specific class III antiarrhythmic action of dofetilide, it seems probable that when the dose of dofetilide is sufficiently large the drug prolongs the refractoriness of a critical site. Given the lack of troublesome side effects of dofetilide, even at the highest dose, it may be a useful treatment for preventing atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia.

Dofetilide can be used effectively to treat other re-entrant supraventricular arrhythmias, atrial fibrillation, and atrial flutter. and it compares favourably with class IC antiarrhythmic agents. The majority of these arrhythmias are caused by circus movement re-entry, in which dofetilide can similarly increase the probability of conduction block at the critical site within re-entrant pathways. Thus the ability of dofetilide to prevent or terminate supraventricular re-entrant arrhythmias is linked to its ability to prolong refractoriness, as reflected in the surface ECG QT interval.

Comparison with other antiarrhythmic drugs
The efficacy of dofetilide in preventing the induction of atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia is similar to that of other drugs used to treat this arrhythmia: 31% for flecainide, 31–50% for propafenone, 30% for amiodarone, and 33% for sotalol. However, these other drugs—along with additional agents suggested for the treatment of atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia—have significant side effects. Class I agents are proarrhythmic and have negative inotropic and negative dromotropic effects. The class III agent sotalol produces hypotension and has significant β
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blocking effects.\textsuperscript{3,4} Amiodarone, another class III agent, is effective but has serious systemic side effects.\textsuperscript{5} Like digoxin, the class IV agent verapamil can shorten the antegrade refractory period of the accessory pathway, leading to a deleterious increase in ventricular rate and ventricular fibrillation.\textsuperscript{8}

The efficacy and safety of intravenous dofetilide found in this study of patients with atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia suggest that an oral form of the drug should be evaluated for the long term suppression of this type of arrhythmia. Further studies using oral dofetilide need to be performed to determine its exact role in the treatment of these patients.

CONCLUSIONS

Dofetilide was effective in preventing atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia in patients with inducible arrhythmia. The potent and selective $I_{Ca}$ blocking effect, combined with its side effect profile, qualifies this agent as a prime candidate for the treatment of patients with atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia. The results presented here point to the need for additional clinical studies to determine the efficacy of oral dofetilide for the long term prevention of this arrhythmia.

This study was supported by a grant from Pfizer Central Research, UK.
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