Improved identification of viable myocardium using second harmonic imaging during dobutamine stress echocardiography
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Abstract

Objective—To determine whether, compared with fundamental imaging, second harmonic imaging can improve the accuracy of dobutamine stress echocardiography for identifying viable myocardium, using nuclear imaging as a reference.

Patients—30 patients with chronic left ventricular dysfunction (mean (SD) age, 60 (8) years; 22 men).

Methods—Dobutamine stress echocardiography was carried out in all patients using both fundamental and second harmonic imaging. All patients underwent dual isotope simultaneous acquisition single photon emission computed tomography (DISA-SPECT) with $^{99m}$technetium-tetrofosmin/$^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose on a separate day. Myocardial viability was considered present by dobutamine stress echocardiography when segments with severe dysfunction showed a biphasic sustained improvement or an ischaemic response. Viability criteria on DISA-SPECT were normal or mildly reduced perfusion and metabolism, or perfusion/metabolism mismatch.

Results—Using fundamental imaging, 330 segments showed severe dysfunction at baseline; 144 (44%) were considered viable. The agreement between dobutamine stress echocardiography by fundamental imaging and DISA-SPECT was 78%, $\kappa = 0.56$. Using second harmonic imaging, 288 segments showed severe dysfunction; 138 (48%) were viable. The agreement between dobutamine stress echocardiography and DISA-SPECT was significantly better when second harmonic imaging was used (89%, $\kappa = 0.77$, p = 0.001 vs fundamental imaging).

Conclusions—Second harmonic imaging applied during dobutamine stress echocardiography increases the agreement with DISA-SPECT for detecting myocardial viability.

(Heart 2001;86:672–678)
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In patients with severe left ventricular dysfunction, myocardial revascularisation reduces heart failure symptoms and improves long term survival. There is a relation between the amount of viable tissue and the expected benefit of revascularisation.\(^1\)\(^\text{2}\) Various tests are available and can broadly be divided into two groups—nuclear testing and stress echocardiography. Single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) with either $^{201}$thallium or $^{99m}$technetium-labelled agents evaluates myocardial perfusion, which is dependent on cell membrane integrity and active cellular uptake. Positron emission tomography evaluates myocardial metabolism and allows the detection of metabolic activity in the hyperperfused myocardium.\(^3\)\(^4\)

Nuclear imaging techniques are more sensitive than dobutamine stress echocardiography for detecting viability.\(^5\)\(^\text{6}\) This is probably because nuclear imaging techniques are based on the detection of the integrity of the cell membrane and of preserved perfusion and metabolism (structural viability), whereas dobutamine stress echocardiography relies on the assessment of preserved contractile reserve (functional viability).\(^6\) Dysfunctional myocardium that has suffered more profound ultrastructural damage will probably lose contractile reserve but can still show intact cell membrane integrity and preserved perfusion and metabolism.\(^5\)\(^\text{6}\) Despite this physiological explanation for the discrepancy between the tests, technical limitations may also be responsible for the discordance, owing to the suboptimal segmental endocardial border definition, present in at least 30% of patients evaluated by echocardiography using fundamental imaging.\(^1\)\(^\text{1}\)\(^\text{2}\)\(^\text{3}\)\(^\text{5}\)\(^\text{6}\)

Our aim in this study was to determine whether second harmonic imaging can improve the accuracy of dobutamine stress echocardiography for identifying viable myocardium compared with fundamental imaging, using a dual isotope ($^{99m}$technetium, tetrofosmin and $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose) simultaneous acquisition (DISA) method as a reference.\(^1\)\(^\text{5}\)

Methods

PATIENTS

Thirty patients underwent both dobutamine stress echocardiography and DISA-SPECT for evaluation of myocardial viability. The tests were performed in a random order within one week. All patients underwent coronary angiography within three months of the two tests. Patients were referred to our imaging laboratory for evaluation of myocardial viability and were not selected on the basis of echocardiographic or nuclear imaging quality. The
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PTCA, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty.

*Mean (SD).

We used a transducer operating at fundamental frequencies of 1.8 or 2.1 MHz and collecting second harmonic frequencies of 3.6 MHz or 4.2 MHz. Fundamental and second harmonic images were obtained at rest, at low dose dobutamine infusion, and at peak dose dobutamine infusion. The images were continuously recorded on videotape, and for both types of imaging they were also digitised. Assessment of the images was based both on the digitised images displayed in a cineloop format and on a review of the images recorded on the videotape.

**Echocardiographic analysis**

Two independent reviewers, blinded to the DISA-SPECT and angiographic data, randomly analysed fundamental imaging and second harmonic imaging studies. In case of disagreement, a majority decision was achieved by a third investigator. For segmental analysis of left ventricular function, a 16 segment model was used, as suggested by the American Society of Echocardiography.17 Each segment was scored for endocardial visualisation as follows: 0, not visualised; 1, poorly visualised; 2, well visualised. Wall motion was scored at baseline, at low dose dobutamine infusion, and at peak stress. Each segment was scored using a five point scale: 0, normal; 1, mild hypokinesis; 2, severe hypokinesis; 3, akinesis; 4, dyskinesia. Four different patterns where defined in segments with severe baseline dysfunction (wall motion score > 2):

- **Biphasic response**—Improvement of wall motion during low dose (either at 5 or 10 µg/kg/min), followed by worsening of wall motion during high dose dobutamine.
- **Worsening**—Deterioration of wall motion during low or high dose dobutamine (ischaemic response).
- **Sustained improvement**—Continuous improvement at low and high dose dobutamine (without deterioration of wall motion).
- **No change**—Absence of improvement or worsening during the entire test.

Dysfunctional segments showing a biphasic, sustained, or ischaemic response were classified as viable, whereas segments with unchanged wall motion were considered non-viable. Myocardial viability was considered absent if akinetic segments became dyskinetic during dobutamine stress echocardiography, as shown previously.18

**DISA-SPECT imaging**

Patients received an intravenous dose of 600 MBq 99mTc-tetrofosmin to evaluate resting regional perfusion. To enhance cardiac uptake of 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose, the patients received an oral dose of 500 mg of acipimox (Byk, Netherlands) orally, followed by a carbohydrate enriched meal. Acipimox is a potent nicotinic acid derivative that reduces plasma levels of free fatty acids and stimulates cardiac glucose (and 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose) uptake. This meal stimulates endogenous insulin release, thereby further promoting cardiac glucose (and 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose) uptake. 18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose (185 MBq) was injected 60 minutes after the meal. A 45 minute period was allowed after the injection for myocardial 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake, followed by the dual isotope simultaneous acquisition SPECT. Data acquisition was performed with a triple head gamma camera system (Picker Prism 3000 XP, Cleveland, Ohio, USA) equipped with 511 keV collimators. The energies were centred on the 140 keV photon peak of 99mTc-tetrofosmin with a 15% window and on the 511 keV photon peak of 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose with a 15% window. Imaging was performed over 360° (120 sectors of 3°) with a total imaging time of 32 minutes.

---

**Table 1  Clinical and angiographic characteristics of the study population**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic (n=30)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male)</td>
<td>22 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>60 (8)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection fraction</td>
<td>37.7 (15.3)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of angina pectoris</td>
<td>26 (87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous myocardial infarction</td>
<td>28 (93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>15 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>13 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolaemia</td>
<td>22 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>4 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>10 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β Blockers</td>
<td>20 (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium channels blockers</td>
<td>9 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous coronary artery bypass</td>
<td>10 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous PTCA</td>
<td>7 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant coronary artery disease</td>
<td>30 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One vessel disease</td>
<td>13 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two vessel disease</td>
<td>10 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three vessel disease</td>
<td>7 (23)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean (SD).

PTCA, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty.
Data were stored in a 64 × 64, 16 bit matrix. The raw scintigraphic data were reconstructed by filtered back projection using a Butterworth filter (cut off frequency at 0.17 cycle/pixel, of order 3.5). No attenuation correction was employed. Further reconstruction yielded standard long and short axis projections perpendicular to the heart axis. Reconstructed slices were 6 mm in all projections.

DISA-SPECT ANALYSIS
The left ventricle was divided into 16 segments (matching the echocardiographic segments). Segmental activities were adjusted to peak myocardial activity. Segments were divided into four categories (assessed visually with the assistance of normalised tracer activity): normal tracer uptake (> 75% activity), mildly reduced tracer uptake (50–75% activity), severely reduced tracer uptake (< 50% activity), or absent tracer uptake. The perfusion and $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake were classified as non-viable if these showed normal perfusion and normal $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake (normal activity), mildly reduced perfusion and mildly reduced $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake (matched activity), or severely reduced/absent perfusion with increased $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake (mismatch).14 Segments with reduced/absent perfusion and concomitant severely reduced $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake were classified as non-viable.

CORONARY ANGIOGRAPHY
Coronary angiography was done within three months of dobutamine stress echocardiography in all patients. Significant coronary artery disease was defined as a diameter stenosis ≥ 70% in one or more major epicardial artery by quantitative analysis.15

The anterior, apical, septal, and anteroseptal segments were assigned to the left anterior descending coronary artery. The posterior and lateral segments were assigned to the left circumflex coronary artery. The inferior and basal septal segments were assigned to the right coronary artery. The apical lateral segment was considered to be an overlap segment between the left anterior descending and the left circumflex coronary artery. The apical inferior segment was considered to be an overlap segment between the left anterior descending and the right coronary artery. Overlap segments were assigned to the regions with concomitant abnormality.16

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Continuous variables are expressed as mean values (SD). Comparison of continuous variables was performed with the Student’s $t$ test. Comparison of proportions was performed with the $\chi^2$ test. Sensitivity and specificity were presented with the corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI). A probability value $p < 0.05$ was considered significant.

The agreements between DISA-SPECT and dobutamine stress echocardiography with fundamental imaging and second harmonic imaging were defined as the percentage of concordant diagnoses and were also assessed by calculating the $k$ value: $k$ values between 0.75 and 1 were considered to show good agreement, those between 0.40 and 0.75 to show moderate agreement, and those between 0 and 0.40 to show poor agreement.

Results
No significant change in the patients’ symptoms or the clinical status occurred between the nuclear and echocardiographic studies.

HAEMODYNAMIC DATA OF DOBUTAMINE STRESS TEST
Dobutamine–atropine induced a significant increase in heart rate (70 (15) beats/min at rest to 131 (11) beats/min at peak stress, $p < 0.001$), systolic blood pressure (125 (16) v 129 (24) mm Hg, $p < 0.05$) and rate–pressure product (9432 (2870) to 16375 (6600), $p < 0.001$). Test end points were target heart rate in 27 patients (90%), maximum dose of dobutamine and atropine in one patient (3%), and angina in two patients (7%). No significant arrhythmias or severe hypotension (decrease systolic blood pressure > 40 mm Hg compared with rest) occurred during the test.

DISA-SPECT
In the 30 patients, 480 segments were analysed. Normal perfusion and $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake were observed in 222 segments (46%). Mildly reduced perfusion and $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake were observed in 80 segments (17%). A mismatch pattern was found in 37 segments (8%). The remaining 141 segments (29%) had a matched reduction in both perfusion and metabolism and were classified as non-viable.

DOBUTAMINE STRESS ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY: BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS
In all, 480 myocardial segments were analysed. At baseline there was a higher prevalence of segments with an invisible border (score = 0) using fundamental imaging than using second harmonic imaging (31 (12.7%) v 12 (10.2%), $p = 0.005$). Analysis of wall motion score by fundamental imaging at baseline showed 330 severely dysfunctional segments (73%), of which 181 (55%) were akinetic/dyskinetic. Analysis of wall motion score by second harmonic imaging showed 288 dysfunctional segments (61%; $p < 0.001$ v fundamental imaging), of which 153 (53%) were akinetic/dyskinetic ($p = 0.02$ v fundamental imaging).

The mean number (SD) of severely dysfunctional segments per patient was 10.9 (3.5) with fundamental imaging and 9.8 (3.2) with second harmonic imaging.

Figure 1 represents the different myocardial patterns during dobutamine stress echocardiography with fundamental imaging and second harmonic imaging in severe dysfunctional segments at baseline (wall motion score > 2).
AGREEMENT BETWEEN DISA-SPECT AND DOBUTAMINE STRESS ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY WITH FUNDAMENTAL IMAGING AND SECOND HARMONIC IMAGING

Fundamental imaging
With fundamental imaging, there were 330 segments with severe baseline dyssynergy. Of these, 144 (44%) were considered viable by both echocardiography and DISA-SPECT, whereas 113 of the dysfunctional segments (34%) were non-viable with both the techniques. The agreement between dobutamine stress echocardiography by fundamental imaging and DISA-SPECT on the presence of viability in severe dysfunctional segments was 78% ($\kappa = 0.56$), as shown in fig 2.

The agreement between fundamental imaging and DISA-SPECT on the presence of viability in akinetic/dyskinetic segments was 86% ($\kappa = 0.72$) (fig 3).

Second harmonic imaging
With second harmonic imaging, there were 288 segments with severe baseline dyssynergy. Of these, 138 (48%) were viable with DISA-SPECT, whereas 117 (41%) were non-viable with both techniques. The agreement between second harmonic imaging and DISA-SPECT for the dysfunctional segments was 89% ($\kappa = 0.77$) ($p = 0.001$ vs fundamental imaging).

The agreement between second harmonic imaging and DISA-SPECT on the presence of viability in akinetic/dyskinetic segments was 86% ($\kappa = 0.72$) (fig 3).

ACCURACY OF FUNDAMENTAL IMAGING AND SECOND HARMONIC IMAGING FOR DETECTING MYOCARDIAL VIABILITY
Using DISA-SPECT as the gold standard, we determined the ability of dobutamine stress echocardiography to detect viable tissue using fundamental imaging and second harmonic imaging. Dobutamine stress echocardiography with fundamental imaging revealed signs of viable tissue in 163 dysfunctional segments (49%). This resulted in sensitivity for detecting myocardial viability of 73% (144/198), with a specificity of 86% (113/132) and an accuracy of 78% (257/330).

When second harmonic imaging was used, the number of viable segments was 151 (52%). A significant improvement was obtained in

Figure 1 Different myocardial patterns during dobutamine stress echocardiography (DSE) by the use of fundamental imaging (FI) and second harmonic imaging (SHI).

Figure 2 Agreement between DISA-SPECT and dobutamine stress echocardiography (DSE), using fundamental imaging (FI) and second harmonic imaging (SHI), on the presence of myocardial viability in segments with wall motion score > 2 (severe hypokinesis, akinesis, or dyskinesis).

Figure 3 Agreement between DISA-SPECT and dobutamine stress echocardiography (DSE), using fundamental imaging (FI) and second harmonic imaging (SHI), on the presence of myocardial viability in segments with wall motion score > 3 (akinesis or dyskinesis).
agreement with nuclear studies in the three coronary territories.

Table 3: Sensitivity and specificity of fundamental and second harmonic imaging and myocardial viability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional arteries</th>
<th>Fundamental imaging</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Second harmonic imaging</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD coronary artery</td>
<td>Agreement (κ value)</td>
<td>81% (0.56)</td>
<td>87% (0.69)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>84% (122/146)</td>
<td>93% (134/144)</td>
<td>59 to 97</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCx coronary artery</td>
<td>Agreement (κ value)</td>
<td>87% (0.54)</td>
<td>91% (0.63)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>89% (114/128)</td>
<td>96% (121/126)</td>
<td>93 to 99</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>73% (16/22)</td>
<td>65 to 80</td>
<td>63% (13/20)</td>
<td>59 to 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right coronary artery</td>
<td>Agreement (κ value)</td>
<td>80% (0.60)</td>
<td>88% (0.74)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>76% (53/70)</td>
<td>88% (64/73)</td>
<td>82 to 94</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>86% (43/50)</td>
<td>87% (41/47)</td>
<td>81 to 93</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval.

Discussion

In this study we showed that the use of second harmonic imaging during dobutamine stress echocardiography improved the accuracy of the detection of viable myocardium in patients with severe left ventricular dysfunction compared with fundamental imaging, DISA-SPECT being used as a reference method. Compared with fundamental imaging, the agreement between dobutamine stress echocardiography and DISA-SPECT on viability assessment increased significantly from 78% (κ = 0.56) to 89% (κ = 0.77) when second harmonic imaging was used (p = 0.001).

Although the use of combined low/high dose dobutamine echocardiography has improved the accuracy of dobutamine stress echocardiography for detecting viability, there remains some discordance between dobutamine echocardiography and nuclear imaging.

Previous studies have shown that thallium classified a significantly higher percentage of segments as viable compared with dobutamine stress echocardiography. Thus the nuclear techniques appear to be more sensitive for detecting viable myocardium, probably because the detection of myocardial viability by these techniques requires a lesser grade of cellular integrity than is needed to elicit a contractile response during dobutamine echocardiography.

Myocardial viability was also assessed on an individual patient basis. Patients were considered to have viable myocardium and to be candidates for revascularisation when four or more dysfunctional segments showed viability by dobutamine stress echocardiography. According to this approach, 24 patients were classified as having viable myocardium with both fundamental imaging and second harmonic imaging, five patients (17%) were classified as having non-viable myocardium by fundamental imaging and viable myocardium by second harmonic imaging, and one patient (3%) was classified as having viable myocardium on fundamental imaging and non-viable myocardium on second harmonic imaging.

Table 2: Comparison of the accuracy between fundamental and second harmonic imaging during dobutamine stress echocardiography for the detection of myocardial viability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Fundamental imaging</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Second harmonic imaging</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity for dysfunctional segments*</td>
<td>73% (144/198)</td>
<td>68 to 78</td>
<td>87% (138/158)</td>
<td>83 to 91</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity for dysfunctional segments*</td>
<td>86% (117/130)</td>
<td>82 to 89</td>
<td>90% (117/130)</td>
<td>86 to 94</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy for dysfunctional segments*</td>
<td>78% (257/330)</td>
<td>73 to 82</td>
<td>89% (255/288)</td>
<td>85 to 92</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity for akinetic/dyskinetic segments**</td>
<td>75% (60/80)</td>
<td>68 to 82</td>
<td>90% (54/60)</td>
<td>85 to 95</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity for akinetic/dyskinetic segments**</td>
<td>84% (85/101)</td>
<td>78 to 90</td>
<td>84% (78/93)</td>
<td>78 to 90</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy for akinetic/dyskinetic segments**</td>
<td>80% (145/181)</td>
<td>74 to 86</td>
<td>86% (132/153)</td>
<td>81 to 92</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Wall motion score > 2; **wall motion score > 3.
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accuracy of the prediction of improvement in left ventricular function after revascularisation. In a recent study by Dalla Vecchia and colleagues, 27 18 patients with chronic coronary artery disease referred for surgical revascularisation were evaluated with dobutamine stress echocardiography and dobutamine stress radio-nuclide ventriculography. The agreement between these two techniques in predicting improvement of function after revascularisation was suboptimal. This was mainly because dobutamine stress echocardiography was less sensitive, indicating underestimation of viable tissue. However, when patients with optimal visualisation of the endocardial border were analysed separately, the sensitivity of dobutamine stress echocardiography increased significantly. These results clearly show how the lack of adequate endocardial border visualisation can lead to an underestimation of myocardial viability.

The recent introduction of second harmonic imaging has improved image quality by facilitating endocardial border detection. Previous data have shown that second harmonic imaging improves visualisation of endocardial borders both at rest and during dobutamine stress echocardiography. It has also been found that second harmonic imaging is superior for detection of coronary artery disease, but its influence on viability assessment has not yet been evaluated.

In this population of patients with ischaemic left ventricular dysfunction, we evaluated myocardial viability with a nuclear technique (DISA-SPECT) and dobutamine stress echocardiography, comparing both fundamental imaging and second harmonic imaging. In dysfunctional segments, when using fundamental imaging there was an evident discrepancy between dobutamine stress echocardiography and DISA-SPECT imaging (agreement 78%, k = 0.56). Second harmonic imaging increased the agreement between the two methods significantly (to 89%, k = 0.77). The improvement in sensitivity obtained with second harmonic imaging in dysfunctional segments mainly reflected a reduction in the number of segments that were viable on SPECT and non-viable on dobutamine stress echocardiography. This was particularly related to better visualisation of the biphasic response (fig 1), owing to better endocardial border visualisation.

The dobutamine stress echocardiography studies were also analysed on an individual patient basis. Using the four segment cut off level (allowing optimal identification of patients who will benefit from revascularisation), a difference between fundamental imaging and second harmonic imaging was obtained in six patients. Five of these were classified as non-viable by fundamental imaging but viable by second harmonic imaging. Thus a substantial number of patients would have been denied revascularisation based on fundamental imaging.

Considering the three vascular territories, we found a significant improvement in the sensitivity in segments subtended by the left anterior descending coronary artery (table 3). These results are in line with previous work focusing on the detection of coronary artery disease using dobutamine stress echocardiography and second harmonic imaging. In fact, the well known phenomenon of endocardial "dropout" is most often encountered in the lateral and anterior walls (apical view). 11–15

STUDY LIMITATIONS

This study used nuclear imaging as a reference to define myocardial viability rather than improvement in function. Thus the impact of the use of second harmonic imaging on the improvement of the ability of dobutamine stress echocardiography for predicting functional recovery after revascularisation needs further evaluation.

The interval of three months between dobutamine stress echocardiography and angiography can be considered relatively long. However, the clinical course of all these patients was stable within this period.

CONCLUSIONS

Compared with fundamental imaging, second harmonic imaging improves the agreement between dobutamine stress echocardiography and DISA-SPECT for detecting myocardial viability in patients with ischaemic left ventricular dysfunction.
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