Type II diabetes does not prevent the recruitment of collateral vessels and the normal reduction of myocardial ischaemia on repeated balloon inflations during angioplasty

Z S Kyriakides, S Psychari, N Chrysomallis, M Georgiadis, E Sbarouni, D T Kremastinos

**Objective:** To test whether type II diabetes prevents the recruitment of collaterals and the normal reduction of myocardial ischaemia on repeated balloon inflations during coronary angioplasty.

**Methods:** Two groups of patients were studied. A collateral circulation group consisted of 56 patients, 18 diabetic and 38 non-diabetic. All underwent a minimum of three balloon inflations. A pressure guide wire was used for the measurement of coronary wedge pressure (mm Hg). The angioplasty protocol was repeated in another group of 57 patients (myocardial ischaemia group) using both surface and intracoronary ECGs to assess myocardial ischaemia.

**Results:** In diabetic patients, mean (SD) coronary wedge pressure was 35 (12) mm Hg during the first balloon inflation, 39 (15) mm Hg during the second (p < 0.05 v first inflation), and 42 (17) mm Hg during the third (p < 0.05 v first inflation); in non-diabetic patients the respective values were 36 (16), 37 (16), and 37 (16) mm Hg (F = 4.73, p = 0.01). The ratio of coronary wedge pressure to mean arterial pressure in diabetic patients in the three balloon inflations was 0.33 (0.11), 0.36 (0.13), and 0.39 (0.15), respectively (p < 0.05 v the first inflation); and in non-diabetic patients it was 0.33 (0.15), 0.34 (0.15), and 0.35 (0.15) (F = 1.92, p = 0.15). In the diabetic group the response was independent of the type of treatment. No difference between diabetic and non-diabetic patients was observed in the normal reduction of myocardial ischaemia on repeated balloon inflations.

**Conclusions:** Type II diabetes does not prevent the recruitment of collateral vessels and the normal reduction of myocardial ischaemia on repeated balloon inflations during coronary angioplasty in single vessel disease, regardless of the type of antidiabetic treatment.
coronary arteriography. Patients with stenoses of more than 90% were excluded, in order to avoid “preinflation ischaemia” caused by obstruction from the guide wire across the lesion, which would prolong the ischaemic time of the first inflation compared with the second.\(^1\)

All patients fulfilled the following entry criteria: they had a history of chronic stable angina pectoris for three months or more, and they had a normal left ventricular ejection fraction.

Exclusion criteria were: unstable angina; conduction defects or baseline ST segment abnormalities on the ECG; history or ECG evidence of previous myocardial infarction; angiographic evidence of collateral circulation; evidence of left ventricular hypertrophy on the ECG; history of systemic hypertension.

**Angioplasty protocol**

All drugs except for aspirin were discontinued 12 hours before the procedure. No glucose/insulin infusions were given during the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenously) was given as a bolus at the beginning of the procedure. All patients were studied after an overnight fast and were not premedicated with sedatives. A standard Seldinger technique was used. Heparin (5000 IU intravenous...
Statistical analysis
All data are expressed as mean (SD). Analysis of variance with repeated measures was used for statistical analysis, followed by Tukey’s honestly significant difference test for post hoc comparisons. Linear regression analysis using the least squares difference was used to examine possible correlations between changes in surface and intracoronary ECG and plasma cholesterol concentrations. A probability value of p < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS
No complications caused by the study protocol were recorded.

Collateral circulation group
Heart rate was the same in the two subgroups (diabetic and non-diabetic) during the three balloon inflations. Mean arterial pressure and double product in the diabetic patients showed a tendency to increase, whereas in the non-diabetic patients they showed a tendency to decrease (table 2).

Coronary wedge pressure in the diabetic patients increased by 20% from the first to the third balloon inflation (p < 0.05), while in the non-diabetic patients it increased by 3% (NS) (F = 4.73, p = 0.01) (table 2, fig 1). This change was almost the same for each type of antidiabetic treatment: +22% in patients on diet, +21% in patients on oral antidiabetic drug treatment, and +22% in patients on insulin (F = 0.31, NS).

The coronary wedge/mean arterial pressure in the diabetic patients increased from the first to the third balloon inflation by 18% (p < 0.05), whereas in the non-diabetic subgroup it increased by only 3% (NS) (F = 1.92, p = 0.15) (table 2, fig 2).

Table 2  The variables during the three balloon inflations in the patients in the collateral circulation group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Subgroup (n)</th>
<th>1st BI</th>
<th>2nd BI</th>
<th>3rd BI</th>
<th>F value</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>Diabetic (18)</td>
<td>67 (9)</td>
<td>67 (7)</td>
<td>67 (7)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-diabetic (38)</td>
<td>73 (11)</td>
<td>72 (11)</td>
<td>72 (11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>Diabetic (18)</td>
<td>105 (13)</td>
<td>110 (13)</td>
<td>108 (12)</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-diabetic (38)</td>
<td>110 (20)</td>
<td>111 (17)</td>
<td>108 (17)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPP (×10^3)</td>
<td>Diabetic (18)</td>
<td>7.0 (1.4)</td>
<td>7.4 (1.4)</td>
<td>7.2 (1.3)</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-diabetic (38)</td>
<td>8.1 (2.0)</td>
<td>8.0 (1.8)</td>
<td>7.8 (1.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>Diabetic (18)</td>
<td>35 (12)</td>
<td>39 (15)*</td>
<td>42 (17)*</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-diabetic (38)</td>
<td>36 (16)</td>
<td>37 (16)</td>
<td>37 (16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWP/MAP</td>
<td>Diabetic (18)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.36 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.15)*</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-diabetic (38)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.15)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.15)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD).
*p<0.05 v first balloon inflation.
Bl, balloon inflation; CWP, mean coronary wedge pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; RPP, rate-pressure product (heart rate × mean blood pressure).

Figure 1  Mean individual values of coronary wedge (CWP) pressure at the end of the first, second, and third balloon inflations (BI) in the two subgroups [diabetic and non-diabetic] of the coronary circulation group. In the diabetic patients CWP increased progressively between the three balloon inflations. In contrast, in the non-diabetic patients CWP did not increase significantly.
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This change was similar for each type of antidiabetic treatment: +15% in patients on diet, +19% in patients on oral antidiabetic drug treatment, and +13% in patients on insulin ($F = 0.49$, NS).

**Myocardial ischaemia group**

Heart rate, mean arterial pressure, and double product were the same in the two subgroups (diabetic and non-diabetic) during the three balloon inflations (table 3).

In the diabetic patients, intracoronary ST segment elevation decreased from the first to the third balloon inflation by 43% ($p < 0.05$) and in the non-diabetic patients by 29% ($p < 0.05$) ($F = 0.39$, NS) (table 3). In the diabetic patients the change from the first to the third balloon inflation did not differ significantly according to the type of antidiabetic treatment: $-40\%$ in patients on diet, $-40\%$ in patients on oral antidiabetic drug treatment, $-19\%$ in patients on insulin ($F = 0.69$, NS).

Surface ST segment shift decreased in the diabetic patients between the first and the third balloon inflation by 32% (NS) and in the non-diabetic patients by 47% ($p < 0.05$) ($F = 0.06$, NS) (table 3). In the diabetic patients the change from the first to the third balloon inflation did not differ significantly according to the type of treatment: $-22\%$ in patients on diet, $-42\%$ in patients on oral antidiabetic drug treatment, $-55\%$ in patients on insulin ($F = 0.04$, NS).

There was a significant correlation ($r = 0.54$, $p < 0.0001$) between the decrease of surface and intracoronary ST segment shift from the first to the third balloon inflation. A correlation was also found ($r = -0.41$, $p = 0.02$) between the surface ST segment shift from the first to the third balloon inflation and the plasma cholesterol concentrations.

**DISCUSSION**

Our results suggest that type II diabetes does not prevent the recruitment of collateral vessels or the normal reduction in

---

**Table 3** The variables during the three balloon inflations in the patients in the myocardial ischaemia group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Subgroup (n)</th>
<th>1st BI</th>
<th>2nd BI</th>
<th>3rd BI</th>
<th>F value</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>Diabetic (18)</td>
<td>74 (9)</td>
<td>73 (10)</td>
<td>72 (8)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-diabetic (39)</td>
<td>72 (10)</td>
<td>71 (10)</td>
<td>69 (9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>Diabetic (18)</td>
<td>111 (16)</td>
<td>107 (16)</td>
<td>110 (24)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-diabetic (39)</td>
<td>114 (23)</td>
<td>111 (14)</td>
<td>109 (15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPP ($\times 10^3$)</td>
<td>Diabetic (18)</td>
<td>8.2 (1.7)</td>
<td>7.9 (1.8)</td>
<td>8.0 (2.6)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-diabetic (39)</td>
<td>8.3 (2.4)</td>
<td>7.9 (1.4)</td>
<td>7.6 (1.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracoronary ST elevation (mV)</td>
<td>Diabetic (18)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.53)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.41)*</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-diabetic (39)</td>
<td>1.31 (0.72)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.67)*</td>
<td>0.93 (0.71)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface ST elevation (mV)</td>
<td>Diabetic (18)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.20)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.21)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.22)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-diabetic (29)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.10)*</td>
<td>0.10 (0.12)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD).

* $p<0.05$ v first balloon inflation.

† The number of the patients with surface ST elevation was reduced owing to the fact that patients were excluded from the analysis of this variable when no surface ST elevation was present.
myocardial ischaemia on repeated balloon inflations during coronary angioplasty.

**Diabetes mellitus and collateral circulation**

Heinle *et al* showed that diabetes mellitus is not associated with decreased collaterals. On the other hand, Abaci *et al* in a retrospective study, suggested that coronary collateral vessel development is reduced in patients with diabetes mellitus. For the estimation of collateral circulation Abaci *et al* used the angiographic method, which is subjective. These studies had several limitations. Firstly, angiographically visible collaterals represent only a fraction of the total number of collateral vessels, because collaterals are angiographically demonstrable only when they reach 100 μm in diameter. Angiography may not detect most of the collateral vessels situated intramurally. Thus the collaterals visualised by angiography may not quantitatively determine the collateral circulation accurately. In our study we used the fractional collateral blood flow, which is an objective index of collateral circulation and has been validated in animals and humans. Secondly, in both the above mentioned studies, which were retrospective and non-randomised, there could have been effects on the collateral circulation from various drugs given during angiography, whereas in our study no drug treatment was given during the procedure or for at least 12 hours before. Thirdly, in the study by Abaci *et al* the diabetic patients had more severe (multivessel) coronary artery disease than the non-diabetic patients, a factor that interferes with the angiographic determination of coronary collaterals, whereas our patients had single vessel disease and the collateral circulation was evaluated under the same conditions for all the patients—that is, total occlusion of the diseased artery.

Our results show that the collateral circulation is not diminished but enhanced during repeated balloon inflations in diabetic patients, in comparison with non-diabetic patients. Under normal conditions blood flow depends on the pressure gradient between the interconnecting arterial networks; thus there is only minimal net forward flow, and small amounts of flow may oscillate within the network. When there is significant arterial narrowing, blood flow increases through these networks. Following a sudden arterial occlusion, such as in angioplasty, a steep pressure gradient develops along the shortest path within the interconnecting network, and this causes an increase in blood flow velocity. It has been shown in a previous study that collateral blood flow has a tendency to increase with repeated balloon inflations.

The reason why diabetic patients develop better collateral recruitment is not clear. Possible mechanisms for this could include, firstly, the existence of more collateral neoangiongenesis in diabetics (there are reports showing that diabetes mellitus does not inhibit the formation of collateral vessels in the heart), and secondly, the secretion of higher concentrations of vasoactive substances in diabetes. In non-obese diabetic mice it has been shown that diabetes impairs the endogenous neovascularisation of ischaemic tissues and that the impairment of new blood vessel formation results from a reduced expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Supplementation by intramuscular adenovegF gene transfer restores neovascularisation in a mouse model of diabetes mellitus. These observations contrast with studies on angiogenesis in the context of diabetic retinopathy. In that situation, high concentrations of VEGF have been identified in the ocular fluids of diabetic patients. The mechanisms whereby VEGF expression could differ from one tissue to another are not known. It is possible that the transcription or post-transcriptional regulation of VEGF could vary depending on the cell type (skeletal myocytes versus retinal cells). Unfortunately, in the present study cardiac VEGF expression was not evaluated.

**Diabetes mellitus and normal reduction of myocardial ischaemia on repeated balloon inflation**

Sulfonylureas inhibit ischaemic preconditioning, and the diabetic myocardium per se is resistant to preconditioning. Sulfonylureas may have different effects on myocardial preconditioning during ischaemia, given that some new compounds such as glimeperide have been shown not to inhibit preconditioning. However, none of our patients was taking one of these new drugs. It might be expected that diabetes mellitus would cause greater myocardial ischaemia and inhibition of the normal reduction of myocardial ischaemia on repeated balloon inflations during angioplasty. Our data (table 3) show equal myocardial ischaemia in diabetic and non-diabetic patients during the first balloon inflation. Diabetic patients on oral antidiabetic drugs did not have more significant myocardial ischaemia or inhibition of the normal reduction of myocardial ischaemia on repeated balloon inflations compared with those on other treatments (although the numbers in some of these subgroups were very small). This is in accordance with recent data showing that the failure to precondition the diabetic heart reflects dysfunction of mitochondrial K<sub>ATP</sub> channels and not necessarily the chronic administration of sulfonylureas. A possible explanation for the absence of greater myocardial ischaemia or inhibition of the normal reduction in myocardial ischaemia during repeated balloon inflations in diabetic patients is that the inhibition of ischaemic preconditioning is counteracted by the increase in recruitment of coronary collaterals in these patients.

**Conclusions**

Type II diabetes does not prevent the recruitment of coronary collaterals and the normal reduction of myocardial ischaemia on repeated balloon inflations during coronary angioplasty in patients with single vessel disease, regardless of the type of antidiabetic treatment.
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A 26-year-old English teacher with Marfan syndrome had been lost to follow-up for two years in which time his aortic root had dissected and increased in diameter from 4.4 cm to 8.5 cm, without symptoms.

He had previously had mitral valve repair for valve prolapse, and there was a strong family history of early sudden death. He had attended annual follow-up from the age of 14 years until two years ago when he went to teach abroad. On previous visits his systolic blood pressure had never exceeded 110 mm Hg and aortic root measurements by echocardiography had been steady at 4.4 cm to 8.5 cm, without symptoms.

Although he was asymptomatic, on clinical examination signs of severe aortic regurgitation were found. He was admitted and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed. It showed (panel A) a flask shaped aneurysm (An) confined to the aortic root and lower ascending aorta with a maximum diameter of 8.5 cm, and a dissection flap (white arrow). The turbulent aortic regurgitant jet (black arrow head) represents severe aortic incompetence. The patient underwent emergency aortic root replacement with a St Jude valved conduit, and made a full recovery. The postoperative MRI (panel B) showed good surgical result, the signal void from the metal ring of the St Jude valve (large arrow head) and the distal suture line of the conduit (paired small arrow heads).

This case illustrates that aortic dissection in Marfan syndrome can be asymptomatic and vigilant follow-up is essential for such patients.

T Wong
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M A Gatzoulis
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Asymptomatic aortic dissection in Marfan syndrome

26 year old English teacher with Marfan syndrome had been lost to follow-up for two years in which time his aortic root had dissected and increased in diameter from 4.4 cm to 8.5 cm, without symptoms.

He had previously had mitral valve repair for valve prolapse, and there was a strong family history of early sudden death. He had attended annual follow-up from the age of 14 years until two years ago when he went to teach abroad. On previous visits his systolic blood pressure had never exceeded 110 mm Hg and aortic root measurements by echocardiography had been steady at 4.4 cm to 8.5 cm, without symptoms.

Although he was asymptomatic, on clinical examination signs of severe aortic regurgitation were found. He was admitted and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed. It showed (panel A) a flask shaped aneurysm (An) confined to the aortic root and lower ascending aorta with a maximum diameter of 8.5 cm, and a dissection flap (white arrow). The turbulent aortic regurgitant jet (black arrow head) represents severe aortic incompetence. The patient underwent emergency aortic root replacement with a St Jude valved conduit, and made a
Asymptomatic aortic dissection in Marfan syndrome

T Wong, P J Kilner and M A Gatzoulis

Heart 2002 87: 66
doi: 10.1136/heart.87.1.66

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://heart.bmj.com/content/87/1/66

These include:

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/