Myocardial ischaemia and autonomic nerve activity are closely related. Sympathetic activation may precipitate ischaemia and ischaemia may lead to neurohormonal activation with increased release of noradrenaline (norepinephrine) from cardiac sympathetic nerves, thus setting the scene for a vicious circle. β-Blockade is beneficial for patients after myocardial infarction (MI), supporting the idea that sympathetic activity exerts a negative influence on prognosis. Reduced heart rate variability (HRV), which is mainly related to reduced cardiac vagal activity,′ confers a worsened prognosis after myocardial infarction.′ β-Blockade increases HRV, but it is not known whether this may be related to the improvement of prognosis.

Sympathetic nerve activity may be assessed by measuring noradrenaline in various ways. In large patient populations, we are restricted to analyses in plasma or urine, since measurements of cardiac noradrenaline spillover require invasive techniques. Noradrenaline concentrations in venous plasma or urine reflect overall sympathetic nerve activity, which may correlate poorly with cardiac sympathetic nerve activity. Adrenaline concentrations may reflect cardiac sympathetic nerve activity during stress. Low adrenaline and high noradrenaline concentrations in venous plasma were found to confer a poor prognosis in elderly men, and venous plasma noradrenaline concentrations are inversely related to prognosis in patients with congestive heart failure. Prognostic implications of catecholamines in stable angina pectoris have not been evaluated.

Autonomic influences on the sinus node may be studied by analyses of HRV, which reflects the cardiac sympathovagal balance. High frequency (HF) variability is related to vagal nerve activity, whereas low frequency (LF) variability contains components generated by both sympathetic and vagal nerve activity. Adverse prognostic implications of low HRV have been found after MI, in unstable angina, and among elderly people without a specific cardiac diagnosis. Recently, two studies investigated prognostic implications of HRV in stable angina pectoris but information on hard end points was limited.

APSIS (Angina Prognosis Study in Stockholm) is a prospective, randomised, single centre study involving double blind treatment of patients with stable angina pectoris with metoprolol or verapamil. Its design and main results have been presented. We are evaluating prognostic implications of HRV in the frequency domain, and catecholamines in plasma and in urine. End points were cardiovascular (CV) death and non-fatal MI. We also studied prognostic implications of treatment effects of metoprolol or verapamil on these markers of autonomic activity.

METHODS
Patients
Altogether 1276 patients with a presumed diagnosis of stable angina pectoris were examined at the Heart Research Laboratory at Danderyd Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden. On the basis of medical history and physical examination, 809 patients (561 men) were considered to have stable angina pectoris and were included in the study. This report concerns 641 patients.
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Inclusion criteria were age < 70 years and a history of
chronic stable angina pectoris. Chest pain may have been
induced by effort or at rest. Patients with mixed angina were
included, but not those with unstable angina. Episodes of
chest pain or discomfort should have persisted longer than a
few seconds but less than 15 minutes. Sublingual nitrates
should typically have been providing prompt relief. When
there was any doubt that the symptoms were of cardiac origin,
additional examinations (perfusion scintigraphy, and radi-
ological or gastrointestinal investigations) were performed. A
positive exercise test was not required but could be used for
diagnosis. Exclusion criteria were MI within the last three
years, anticipated need for revascularisation within one
month, significant valvar disease, or severe congestive heart
failure (New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class
III).
Because of the risk of rebound phenomena or severe
deterioration, patients already being treated with β blockers or
calcium antagonists received low dose metoprolol (25–50 mg
daily, mean 48 mg) or verapamil (40 mg twice daily) during a
two week run-in phase. Thus, 50% were taking metoprolol and
15% were taking verapamil during the baseline investigations.
Patients were randomly assigned to double blind treatment
irrespective of run-in treatment. The target dose for metopro-
ol (Seloken ZOC, Astra Hässle, Gothenburg, Sweden) was
200 mg once daily and for verapamil (Isoptin SR, Knoll AG,
Ludwigshafen, Germany) 240 mg twice daily, if tolerated. At
the end of study, the mean daily doses were 148 mg and
350 mg, respectively.

### Table 1  Risk factors among patients who had an adequate (minimum 17 hours recorded) ambulatory ECG suitable for heart rate variability calculation at baseline, excluding patients treated with digoxin or with left bundle branch block

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>No CV death or MI (n=588)</th>
<th>CV death (n=27)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Non-fatal MI (n=26)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men (%)</td>
<td>402 (68)</td>
<td>25 (93)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>22 (85)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age in years (interquartiles)</td>
<td>60 (54–66)</td>
<td>61 (58–66)</td>
<td></td>
<td>64 (60–67)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smokers (%)</td>
<td>130 (21)</td>
<td>10 (37)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 (23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former smokers (%)</td>
<td>248 (42)</td>
<td>7 (26)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 (38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>146 (25)</td>
<td>14 (52)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>8 (31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI (%)</td>
<td>82 (15)</td>
<td>10 (37)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>6 (23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure (%)</td>
<td>28 (5)</td>
<td>4 (15)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus (%)</td>
<td>43 (7)</td>
<td>6 (22)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>5 (23)</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Comparison between patients suffering cardiovascular (CV) death and patients without CV death or myocardial infarction (MI). †Comparison between patients with a non-fatal MI and patients without CV death or MI. χ² and Mann-Whitney tests.

### Table 2  Exercise test results and plasma catecholamine concentrations among patients who performed an exercise test and had results from all plasma catecholamine measurements at baseline, excluding those treated with digoxin or with left bundle branch block

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catecholamine Analyses</th>
<th>No CV death or MI (n=528)</th>
<th>CV death (n=24)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Non-fatal MI (n=21)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adrenaline (nmol/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before exercise</td>
<td>0.17 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After exercise</td>
<td>0.68 (0.61)</td>
<td>0.67 (0.51)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.34)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noradrenaline (nmol/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before exercise</td>
<td>2.43 (1.13)</td>
<td>2.24 (1.22)</td>
<td>2.93 (1.39)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After exercise</td>
<td>13.55 (7.68)</td>
<td>14.23 (15.25)</td>
<td>13.38 (7.79)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise test results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise duration (s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate at maximal workload (beats/min)</td>
<td>135 (23)</td>
<td>125 (19)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>124 (16)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal ST depression (mm)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.2)</td>
<td>2.0 (2.0)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.8 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST depression 2 minutes postexercise (mm)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.7)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SD). *Comparison between patients suffering CV death and those without CV death or MI. †Comparison between patients with non-fatal MI and patients without CV death or MI. Mann-Whitney test and t test (catecholamines) on log transformed variables.

(26) Ambulatory ECG recordings

Ambulatory ECGs were recorded over 24 hours with the
Oxford Medilog system (Oxford Medical Equipment Ltd,
Abington, UK) and analysed for ST segment depression as

#### Catecholamine analyses in plasma and urine

**Plasma**

Blood was drawn through an indwelling antecubital venous
catheter after 15 minutes of supine rest and immediately after
exercise. Catecholamines were analysed by high performance
liquid chromatography, as previously described and
validated.

**Urine**

Urine was collected during ambulatory ECG monitoring in
separate canisters for day and night and stored at ~80°C as
previously described. Urinary catecholamines were analysed
by methods similar to those for plasma analyses. To avoid
confounding by incomplete urinary sampling, catecholamine
excretion was adjusted for creatinine excretion. Mean 24
hour catecholamine excretions were calculated as:

\[
\frac{1}{2} \times \text{daytime excretion} + \frac{1}{2} \times \text{night time excretion}
\]

**Ambulatory ECG recordings**

Ambulatory ECGs were recorded over 24 hours with the
Oxford Medilog system (Oxford Medical Equipment Ltd,
Abington, UK) and analysed for ST segment depression as
Table 3  Urinary catecholamine excretion, signs of ischaemia, and heart rate variability measurements with results from all urine catecholamine measurements at baseline, excluding those treated with digoxin or with left bundle branch block

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No CV death or MI (n=503)</th>
<th>CV death (n=23)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
<th>Non-fatal MI (n=25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adrenaline (nmol/mmol creatinine), mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td>4.11 (3.42)</td>
<td>3.56 (2.23)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>3.78 (2.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night time</td>
<td>1.17 (1.89)</td>
<td>1.60 (2.37)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated 24 hour mean</td>
<td>3.14 (2.74)</td>
<td>2.91 (1.91)</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noradrenaline (nmol/mmol creatinine), mean(SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td>35.11 (13.38)</td>
<td>31.91 (13.62)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>32.96 (11.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night time</td>
<td>18.46 (8.18)</td>
<td>16.29 (8.84)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>17.46 (7.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated 24 hour mean</td>
<td>29.56 (11.03)</td>
<td>26.70 (11.61)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>27.79 (9.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory ECG results, median (first and third quartiles)</td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
<td>5 [1.19]</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>4 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of epochs with ST segment depression</td>
<td>5 [0.40]</td>
<td>24 [2.227]</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>10 (0.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of ST segment depression (min)</td>
<td>1.72 (199.301)</td>
<td>81 (59.174)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>137 (108.240)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>47 (44.52)</td>
<td>52 (48.58)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>48 (45.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate variability, median (first and third quartiles)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean RR interval (ms)</td>
<td>845 (769.924)</td>
<td>804 (741.903)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>865 (799.893)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total power (ms²)</td>
<td>1234 (799.1926)</td>
<td>958 (447.1288)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1225 (657.1666)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLF (ms²)</td>
<td>613 (399.876)</td>
<td>499 (254.843)</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>580 (406.849)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF (ms²)</td>
<td>415 (244.650)</td>
<td>241 (95.449)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>391 (221.562)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF (ms²)</td>
<td>172 (99.301)</td>
<td>81 (59.174)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>137 (108.240)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF-HF ratio</td>
<td>2.3 (1.7-3.2)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.7-3.4)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>2.4 (1.6-3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalised VLF</td>
<td>0.47 (0.42,0.52)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.49,0.58)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.48 (0.44,0.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalised LF</td>
<td>0.35 (0.30,0.39)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.25,0.37)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.34 (0.31,0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalised HF</td>
<td>0.17 (0.14,0.21)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.12,0.19)</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.17 (0.14,0.21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Urinary excretion of catecholamines was measured in nmol/l and adjusted for creatinine excretion. *Comparison between patients suffering CV death and those without CV death or MI. Mann-Whitney U test and t test (catecholamines) on log transformed values.

HF, high frequency power; LF, low frequency power; VLF, very low frequency power.

Exercise testing
A symptom limited exercise test was carried out on an electrically braked bicycle, starting at 30 W and with 10 W increments every minute, as described previously. Patients with left bundle branch block (n = 33) or who were being treated with digoxin (n = 21) were excluded.

HRV in the frequency domain was determined by an autoregressive method described by Kay and Marple. The ambulatory ECG registrations were divided into five minute epochs. Epochs with more than 4% non-normal RR intervals were excluded. The model order and number of coefficients in the polynomial describing the time series was constantly set to 18. A model order of 12 instead of 18 did not alter the calculations. The mean RR interval of each time series was subtracted and then detrended by applying linear regression. The power spectrum of the frequency domain was divided into four frequency bands: total power (≤ 0.4 Hz), very low frequency power (VLF; 0.003–0.04 Hz), LF (0.04–0.15 Hz), and HF (0.15–0.40 Hz), as generally recommended. The normalised VLF, LF, and HF were calculated by dividing VLF, LF or HF by (VLF + LF + HF).

Follow up and definition of end points
Examinations were repeated after patients were taking study drugs for one month. Follow up varied from 6–76 (median 40) months. CV death was defined as death from acute MI, sudden death (within two hours of onset of symptoms), or death from other vascular causes. Criteria for MI were a typical clinical presentation and a significant rise in cardiac enzymes or development of a new Q wave on the ECG (with or without hospitalisation).

Statistical analysis
Data are presented as mean (SD) or median (interquartile range), as appropriate. Continuous variables were compared by Student’s t test. HRV data were logarithmically transformed because of skewed distributions. Two factor (time and treatment) analysis of variance or non-parametric tests (Mann-Whitney U, Wilcoxon) were also used. Correlations were analysed with Spearman’s rank order test. STATISTICA software version 5.1 (StatSoft, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA) was used. A probability value of p < 0.05 was considered significant.

Associations between measured variables and events were investigated by univariate proportional hazard (Cox) analyses and Kaplan-Meier plots with χ² tests and log rank statistics. Since revascularisation (percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass grafting) may influence the proportional risk of an event, patients were censored at the actual dates of procedures. In a second step, variables that showed some relation to events were further evaluated with adjustments for known risk factors (sex, previous MI, hypertension, or diabetes) using the multivariate Cox proportional hazard model. Since signs of ischaemia during exercise testing and ambulatory ECG registration had prognostic importance, these variables were also included as covariates in our model. Analyses were done according to the principle of intention to treat. Treatment effects were analysed in the same multivariate model using treatment (study drug) and treatment effects (changes in HRV from baseline to one month) as covariates.

RESULTS
Table 1 shows patient characteristics and risk factors. Sixteen of 328 patients in the metoprolol group suffered CV death and 15 a non-fatal MI; corresponding figures for the verapamil group (n = 313) were 11 and 11. Tables 2 and 3 show results of exercise testing, ambulatory ECG, and determinations of plasma and urinary catecholamines concentrations and HRV. Patients who suffered CV death had shorter exercise duration, lower maximal heart rate during exercise, more signs of ischaemia, and lower HRV. However, catecholamine concentrations did not differ.
Effects of treatment on autonomic markers

Plasma catecholamine concentrations were higher after exercise with metoprolol than with verapamil treatment (fig 1). Verapamil reduced the urinary excretion of noradrenaline (fig 2). Metoprolol increased total power, VLF, LF, and HF (fig 3). Verapamil did not influence HRV when previously untreated patients were studied (fig 3B). During treatment, total power, VLF, LF, and HF were higher in metoprolol than in verapamil treated patients (fig 3). Both treatments reduced the LF:HF ratio but the effect of metoprolol was significantly greater (data not shown).

Relation between HRV, ischaemia, and urinary catecholamines

The duration of ambulatory ST segment depression (divided as follows: no ST segment depression, 1–30 minutes, and >30 minutes) was not related to HRV. Urinary noradrenaline excretion was inversely correlated with all frequency components of HRV ($r$ values between $-0.20$ and $-0.26$; $p < 0.001$) but not with the LF:HF ratio. Adrenaline excretion was not related to HRV.

Prognostic evaluation of catecholamines and HRV

Univariate Cox proportional hazard analyses and Kaplan-Meier plots with $\chi^2$ tests and log rank statistics showed no prognostic importance of any catecholamine variable.

As illustrated by Kaplan-Meier plots (fig 4), all frequency components of HRV at baseline, except the LF:HF ratio, were related to CV death in univariate analyses. Twenty one of 319 patients (7%) with total power below the median (the same applies for LF and HF) suffered CV death compared with 6 of 322 (2%) above the median. No relations were found for non-fatal MI (data not shown). Total power and LF significantly predicted the combined end point (CV death plus MI) but this was due to the relations with CV death alone.

Subgroup analyses of patients without prior MI, clinically diagnosed heart failure (NYHA I–II), or diabetes showed that HF was related to CV death. However, only 14 CV deaths occurred in this subgroup. Seven of the 27 CV deaths in the study were sudden. Univariate analyses indicated that patients below the median of the HRV variables, except LF:HF ratio, also had a significantly worsened prognosis for non-sudden CV death.

To evaluate the independent prognostic importance of findings from univariate analyses, we performed multivariate Cox proportional hazard analyses. All frequency components of HRV, but not the LF:HF ratio, carried significant independent prognostic information regarding CV death or CV death plus MI but not regarding MI alone. When mean RR intervals were entered, the frequency domain variables still carried prognostic information. Neither signs of ischaemia during exercise
testing or ambulatory ECG registration nor clinically diagnosed congestive heart failure influenced the prognostic importance of any HRV variable. Age and smoking did not influence our findings. Exclusion of patients with prior MI, heart failure, or diabetes gave similar results. Thus, we could not identify important confounding factors for the prognostic information in HRV. Exclusion of patients with angina at rest (presumably vasospastic angina; n = 49) did not alter the results of Kaplan-Meier or Cox analyses.

To avoid confounding effects of “run-in” treatment, data obtained after one month of study drug treatment were also analysed. The results were similar. Treatment and treatment effects (differences in HRV from baseline to one month, the study drug given, and the interaction between these two variables) were also added to our Cox model. Neither the drug given nor the short term effect of treatment carried any independent prognostic information.

DISCUSSION
HRV in all frequency domains, whether obtained at baseline or during treatment, was clearly related to prognosis in the present study of stable angina pectoris. Thus, total power and the HF, LF, and VLF components of HRV independently predicted CV death but not non-fatal MI. Our HRV results agree with previous results in patients after MI and suggest that the prognostic importance of low HRV can be extended to stable ischaemic heart disease.

Interestingly, HF was the HRV variable that appeared to be most strongly related to CV death, whereas neither the LF:HF ratio nor catecholamines, whether assessed in venous plasma or in urine, carried any prognostic significance. There are, however, limitations to catecholamine concentrations in plasma and urine as measures of cardiac sympathetic activity. HRV reflects complex interactions and a distinct sympathetic component cannot be distinguished. On the other hand, vagal activity has been shown to influence all measurements of HRV. Despite these limitations, it would have been possible to observe some prognostic influence of the LF:HF ratio if cardiac sympathetic activity had been of equal or greater importance.

HRV studies of patients following MI have focused on all cause or cardiac mortality. It is therefore of interest that prediction in the present study was restricted to CV death and did not include non-fatal MI. Van Boven and colleagues found that patients with stable angina with events, mainly revascularisations, had lower HRV. Weber and associates found a trend towards more combined events (death, non-fatal MI, hospitalisation for unstable angina, revascularisation) in patients with low HRV in the TIBBS study.
ischemic burden bisoprolol study). We used revascularisation as end point in our analyses but found no relations (data not shown), whereas CV death (also non-sudden death) was predicted by HRV. Since we obtained similar results among patients without a prior MI, clinically diagnosed heart failure, or diabetes, our findings appear to be valid for patients with stable angina pectoris regardless of comorbidity.

We found significant, inverse, and moderately strong relations between all frequency domains of HRV and the urinary excretion of noradrenaline but not the excretion of adrenaline or plasma concentrations of either catecholamine. Correlations between HRV and noradrenaline spillover into coronary sinus or arterial plasma have been variable. However, differences may be explained by several factors, such as altered β adrenoceptor sensitivity caused by increased sympathetic drive in congestive heart failure and differences in the sympathetic influence on the sinus node and the rest of the heart (the cardiac sympathetic innervation is more widespread). Furthermore, the vagal components of LF fluctuations of HRV may be important, as discussed above. However, our results are compatible with the view that high overall sympathetic activity is associated with low HRV.

HRV was increased by metoprolol but not by verapamil treatment. Increases in HRV, especially the HF component, have been shown with several β blockers and have been attributed mainly to influences on vagal activity. The importance of increased cardiac vagal activity in relation to attenuation of sympathetic influences on the sinus node is difficult to assess, as noted above. Postexercise concentrations of catecholamines in plasma were enhanced by metoprolol treatment. However, this probably reflects reduced catecholamine clearance from plasma rather than increased release. In agreement with this, urinary catecholamine excretion was essentially unchanged during metoprolol treatment. Thus, there was no indication that metoprolol treatment altered overall sympathoadrenal activity in the present study.

Calcium antagonists are a heterogeneous group of drugs and calcium antagonist treatment has yielded variable results with regard to HRV, depending on the drug used. A study in patients after MI found that verapamil increased the HF component of HRV but we could not confirm this. The vasoselective dihydropyridines tend to increase sympathetic activity, measured as noradrenaline concentrations in and spillover to plasma, whereas verapamil tends to lower plasma and urinary noradrenaline. In a substudy of our patients, verapamil lowered plasma noradrenaline by approximately 20%. We found reduced urinary noradrenaline excretion during verapamil treatment but a smaller effect on plasma noradrenaline. This slight discrepancy may be related to more careful attention to methodological aspects in the substudy. Taken together, our results suggest that verapamil treatment slightly reduces whole body sympathetic nerve activity.

Even though treatment with metoprolol and verapamil influenced the autonomic markers in the present study differently, we found no independent prognostic impact of such treatment effects. However, the number of events in our study limits the statistical power of this analysis. Furthermore, the fact that about half of the patients were on low dose metoprolol at baseline may have masked positive relations between treatment effects and outcome. It is of interest that the prognostic information in HRV measurements at one month (during treatment) was similar to that measured at baseline. Still, further research in larger patient groups is needed before definite conclusions regarding the prognostic importance of treatment effects on HRV can be drawn.
Conclusions

HRV in the frequency domain carried important prognostic information regarding the risk for CV death but not for non-fatal MI among patients with stable chronic angina pectoris, which extends previous findings in patients after MI. Thus, low HRV, reflecting alterations in autonomic balance, are also of prognostic importance in patients with stable angina pectoris. A novel finding is that catecholamine concentrations in plasma or urine carried no prognostic information. Treatment with metoprolol or verapamil affected HRV and catecholamine concentrations differently but we were unable to show that these treatment effects have any prognostic significance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful for all help and support from our research nurses Inger Bergbom, Ewa Billing, Ann-Marie Ekman, and Brit Rydén, our laboratory technicians Ann-Katrin Kjerr, Margareta Ring, Maud Daleskog, Maj-Christina Johansson, and Christina Perneby, and Sven V Eriksson MD PhD. We are also grateful to Margret Lundström for data management and Ulf Brodin PhD, Department of Medical Informatics, Karolinska Institutet, for valuable statistical advice.

The study was supported by grants from the Swedish Heart Lung Foundation, the Swedish Medical Research Council (5930), the Foundation and Ulf Brodin PhD, Department of Medical Informatics, Karolinska Institutet, for valuable statistical advice.

REFERENCES


Figure 4  Kaplan-Meier plots illustrating the risk for cardiovascular death in patients above or below the median for the different frequency domains of heart rate variability measured at baseline. Panel A shows the result for total power, panel B for low frequency (LF), panel C for high frequency (HF), and panel D for the LF:HF ratio. Please note the scale break on the y axis. Solid line = above the median; dotted line = below the median.
Amplifying the error

The automatic gain feature of defibrillators can mask ventricular standstill—a crucial message for all practitioners who use rhythm display fibrillators for heart monitoring. This wake up call comes from a case report of a 5 year old girl presenting to an accident and emergency department after three days of abdominal pain and copious vomiting and one brief seizure, lasting seconds. Initial bradycardia remained after oxygen and intravenous saline treatment. Only after two brief bouts of loss of consciousness and seizures—and recording a second rhythm strip on the heart monitor—was it realised that the change in heart rhythm was due to rapid atrial activity (150/min), amplified by the automatic gain feature to look like small QRS complexes, and not a tachycardia as assumed from the first recording. The seizures were Stokes-Adams attacks due to ventricular standstill. A 12 lead electrocardiogram confirmed complete heart block. In fact the girl had myocarditis of the ventricular septum and with appropriate treatment recovered without mishap.

Circumstances conspired to confuse and delay the correct diagnosis. But they could have been avoided by awareness of the effects of an automatic gain feature on the recording—a salutary lesson for all clinicians who use cardiac monitoring devices. After all, as the authors point out, fast atrial activity is less likely in adults but to be expected in a young child.