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Quantitation of mitral regurgitation: rationale, approach, and interpretation in clinical practice
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Quantitation of mitral regurgitation has made considerable progress in recent years, providing unique insights into the physiology of the condition, and providing essential tools for a proactive modern management of patients with MR.

Mitral regurgitation (MR) is a valvar haemodynamic alteration which is the focus of intense interest despite the decline of rheumatic disease, because of its high frequency. Indeed, in our current aging population, MR is most often caused by diseases prevalent in the elderly, either degenerative lesions (such as mitral valve prolapse or ruptured chordae) or functional alterations (the consequence of ventricular dysfunction). Hence, the assessment of MR is a key task of internists, cardiologists, and cardiac surgeons and has been transformed by Doppler echocardiography. In the past decade new methods and new concepts have been developed for the quantitation of MR, which have been detailed by Irvine and colleagues in this supplement to Heart. As one may ponder the incremental benefit of new approaches, it is important to review their rationale, to delineate how to integrate them in a global approach, and how to interpret these new results.

RATIONALE FOR THE QUANTITATION OF MR IN CLINICAL PRACTICE

Rationale 1: MR impacts seriously on outcome
Severe MR has the reputation of being well tolerated for many years. Although this is occasionally true, this dogma has little support from outcome data. Indeed, when we examined the long term outcome of patients with flail leaflets that epitomise severe MR, excess mortality, and high morbidity were observed, with high rates of heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and even sudden death. It is also remarkable that in functional MR, although the disease is not initially valvar in nature, the higher the degree of MR, the worse the outcome. Thus defining the degree of MR is an essential step in the clinical decision making process.

Rationale 2: MR is a highly treatable disease
Surgery for MR allows relieving severe symptoms, but the development of mitral valve repair has revolutionised the approach to treatment. Repair is feasible in > 90% of patients with floppy valves, preserves ventricular function, avoids complication of prostheses, and provides outstanding long term outcomes with survival similar to that in the general population. Hence, in patients with repairable valves, surgery is often offered at an early stage, before the development of severe symptoms or ventricular dysfunction. This approach to management requires certainty on the severity of MR.

Rationale 3: classical methods of assessment of MR have major limitations
Clinical examination is key to management of valve diseases and we observed that high murmur intensity or a third heart sound suggest severe MR. However, we have to recognise that the degree of confidence in these observations is imperfect. Although colour flow imaging is sensitive and absent or small jets are reassuring, this technique is misleading with thin eccentric jets often underestimating severe MR and central jets often overestimating moderate MR. Pulmonary venous flow systolic reversal is specific but is also insensitive as it is dependent on “V wave” formation often absent in chronic MR. Hence, in patients with more than mild MR, we are often left uncertain and have to resort to fuzzy terminology and to multiplication of tests.

Rationale 4: MR is a continuum best quantified than categorised
No physiologic variable is absolutely fixed and MR may vary in its degree, just like the blood pressure does. Is it sufficient to say “severe MR” like we say “hypertension” or are the numbers important in describing the patient's status? MR is a continuum and two patients with regurgitant volumes of 60 and 100 ml, although both with severe MR, are different and may need different therapeutic approaches. In patients with ischaemic MR, the larger the effective regurgitant orifice (ERO) the worse the survival is, so that clinical decision may be strongly influenced by quantitative measurements.

Most importantly a major rationale for quantifying MR is that this approach is highly feasible in clinical practice.

APPROACH TO QUANTITATION OF MR

Approach 1: forming a “Gestalt” on the degree of MR is the first step
A comprehensive Doppler echocardiography is always in order in patients with MR as discussed by Irvine and colleagues. A perception on the degree of MR is formed by delineating the anatomical lesions (a flail leaflet is highly suggestive of severe MR), by observing the left atrial penetration and direction of the jet (an eccentric jet is suspicious for severe MR), by noting the size...
and function of the left ventricle and atrium, and by recording the pulmonary venous flow, mitral inflow and measuring pulmonar

de pressure.

Approach 2: the “vena contracta” is a simple and useful tool
The vena contracta is the narrowest neck of the regurgitant flow through and immediately below the regurgitant orifice. If it looks good (fig 1)—that is, if the flow convergence appears as a ball sitting on the jet—and if it is properly timed—that is, if the flow convergence is measured between the crosses. LV, left ventricle; LA, left atrium.

Approach 3: quantifying MR provides physiologic data
The preferred method for quantifying MR is the PISA (flow convergence) method. It is simple, fast, reproducible, and has been proven reliable by multiple investigators. If it looks good (fig 1)—that is, if the flow convergence appears as a ball sitting on the jet—and if it is properly timed—that is, if the flow convergence is measured between the crosses. LV, left ventricle; LA, left atrium.

and function of the left ventricle and atrium, and by recording the pulmonary venous flow, mitral inflow and measuring pulmonar

de pressure.

Figure 1 Colour flow imaging of the flow convergence in a patient with a flail posterior leaflet. The baseline of colour has been shifted down and the aliasing velocity (where the blue flow turns to yellow) is indicated by the arrow to the left. The radius of the flow convergence is measured between the crosses. LV, left ventricle; LA, left atrium.

What do these numbers mean?
Interpretation of quantitative data has common principles but also needs to be performed in the specific patient context. First, it should be understood that the ERO measures the lesion severity, while the regurgitant volume measures the volume overload. This concept is particularly important in acute MR, because the non-compliant cavities limit the volume overload and the regurgitant energy is transformed into potential energy (pressure) rather than into kinetic energy (regurgitant volume). Both measures are therefore important to understand and manage the situation. Second, what we call severe organic MR is defined by a regurgitant volume > 60 mL/beat and an ERO > 40 mm2 or 0.40 cm2. However, in functional and ischaemic MR lower thresholds are associated with poor outcome. Indeed patients with ERO > 20 mm2 or 0.20 cm2 display considerable excess mortality, so that such a threshold should be considered as the marker for severe ischaemic MR. Therefore, the numbers obtained by quantitation of MR allow us to have a common language and allow us to achieve the most important goal of our profession—that is, to define the severity of the disease one patient at a time and to individualise the management according to these data.

In summary, quantitation of MR has made considerable progress, is highly feasible and reliable, gives unique insights into MR physiology, and provides essential tools for a proactive modern management of patients with MR.
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**IMAGES IN ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY**

**Perforated mitral valve leaflet**

A 40 year old man presented with general malaise, pyrexia, and microembolic phenomena. Blood cultures were positive for α-haemolytic streptococcus. A transthoracic echocardiogram showed several small masses on the aortic valve (AV), consistent with vegetations, and severe aortic regurgitation (AR). A large mass was seen on the atrial aspect of the anterior mitral valve leaflet (AMVL) thought to be a large vegetation. A transoesophageal echocardiogram was performed confirming AV vegetations with severe AR and an AMVL aneurysm with associated leaflet perforation and severe mitral regurgitation. The site of AMVL perforation corresponded to the point of impact of the AR jet on the leaflet, creating a “jet lesion”. The primary lesion was therefore AV endocarditis with secondary involvement of the mitral valve. The patient's haemodynamic state deteriorated and he subsequently underwent aortic and mitral valve replacement.

**Figure 1** Perforation in the anterior mitral valve leaflet (AMVL).

**Figure 2** Jet of aortic regurgitation (arrow) directed against the AMVL.

**Figure 3** Severe mitral regurgitation (arrow) through the perforated leaflet.
Stuck mitral valve replacement

This 30 year old woman had undergone a mitral valve replacement with a bileaflet prosthesis one year previously. Postoperatively she had been well until she presented with a two week history of shortness of breath and an episode of paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnoea. During this time her anticoagulation had been subtherapeutic. A transoesophageal echocardiogram (TOE) was performed to assess the prosthetic mitral valve. The TOE showed a stuck leaflet caused by thrombus with flow through only one side of the prosthesis.

Continuous wave Doppler through the valve gave an elevated peak forward flow velocity of 3 m/s. After a period of adequate anticoagulation the valve was unchanged and the patient underwent redo mitral valve replacement.
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Figure 1  Systolic and diastolic frames showing open and stuck (arrow) mitral valve leaflets.

Figure 2  Asymmetric colour flow through single open mitral valve leaflet.

Figure 3  Continuous wave Doppler through mitral valve with peak forward flow velocity of 3 m/s.
Perforated mitral valve leaflet
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