Long QTc predicts future cardiac death in stroke survivors
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Objectives: To test the hypothesis that the QTc of any lead of the ECG predicts death after stroke, and to determine which lead of the ECG carries the greatest risk of cardiac death when its QTc is measured.

Design: Standard 12 lead ECGs were analysed by one observer who was blind to patient outcome.

Patients: 404 stroke survivors were studied at approximately one year after the cerebrovascular event and followed for up to 6.3 years.

Outcome measures: Death from any cause and cardiac mortality.

Results: The QTc measured from any lead of the ECG (except aVR) was associated with death from any cause. A prolonged QTc in limb lead III and chest lead V6 carried the highest relative risk of cardiac death (a 3.1-fold increase). After adjusting for overt ischaemic heart disease, pulse pressure, glucose, and cholesterol, a prolonged QTc in lead V6 was associated with a relative risk of cardiac death of 2.8 (95% confidence interval [CI] 1.1 to 7.3) (p = 0.028) and of death from all causes of 2.9 (95% CI 1.6 to 5.3) (p < 0.001). If the QTc in V6 exceeded 480 ms, then the specificity of predicting cardiac death within five years after the stroke was 94%.

Conclusions: Although treatment of the conventional modifiable risk factors is important, stroke survivors with a prolonged QTc in lead V6 are still at a high risk of cardiac death and may need more intensive investigations and treatments than are currently routine practice.

In patients who have a stroke, the initial stroke is the main cause of death in the first 30 days. After the first year, non-stroke cardiovascular disease becomes the most common cause of death.¹ If those patients at highest risk of impending non-stroke cardiovascular death could be identified then it might be possible to intervene to prevent or delay this event.

There has been much recent interest in the measurement of QT dispersion (maximum − minimum QT) from the ECG. This is because it appears in some²³ but not all⁴ studies to be able to predict cardiac death. Recently, it was shown that a prolonged maximum heart rate corrected QT interval (QTc max) was actually better than the QTc dispersion at predicting cardiac death in non-insulin dependent diabetic patients.⁵ We therefore applied this technique in a large group of stroke patients to see if QT interval analysis could identify those who make a good recovery from their stroke only to succumb later from a non-stroke cardiac death. We compared QT interval analysis with other conventional risk factors such as pulse pressure, to see if it added to the risk assessment already available from the conventional factors.

Our study also addressed a second question. Despite the wealth of evidence linking long QT dispersion to cardiac death, methodological concerns have hampered QT analysis. The major problem is that it is not always easy to define where flat T waves end, and crucially such difficulties in defining the end of the T wave are multiplied when the QT interval has to be calculated for 12 different ECG leads to work out QT dispersion or QTc max. Another concern is that it is very time consuming to calculate QT dispersion or QTc max, and this drawback may not be overcome by the use of automated techniques as they often give discrepant results.⁶ In an attempt to get round this problem, we tested the hypothesis that QTc of any single lead of the ECG might predict cardiac death. We also investigated which lead of the ECG with a prolonged QTc carries the greatest risk of cardiac death and death from all causes.

METHODS

Patients

We studied 404 patients in sinus rhythm who attended the stroke follow up clinic between 1989 and 1992. These stroke patients were recorded in the Dundee stroke project database register as previously described.⁷ The Tayside committee on medical research ethics raised no objection to our study. Procedures followed were in accordance with institutional guidelines. The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Procedures

The median time of the initial visit was one year after the stroke (minimum 0.2 year). ECGs were done at this initial visit. Patients who had atrial fibrillation or flutter, bigeminy, paced rhythm, or bundle branch block were excluded because these ECG changes would make the QT interval difficult to measure reliably. In addition, atrial fibrillation is a well known risk factor for cardiac death, and we were trying to determine the prognostic factors in the majority of stroke patients who are in sinus rhythm.

The ECGs were analysed by one individual who was blinded to patient outcome. QT interval analyses were done by digitising the ECGs. The technique that we used for digitising the ECGs was first described in 1994.⁸ The QT interval was defined as the time period between the onset of the QRS complex and the end of the T wave (when the T wave returned to the isoelectric line). If the T wave was followed by a U wave, then the nadir between the T and the U wave (that is, the lowest point of the curve) would be taken as the point where the T wave ended. The heart rate corrected QT interval (QTc) was defined as the QT interval divided by the square root of the RR interval (Bazett’s formula). The QTc max was defined as the maximum QTc measured from a 12 lead ECG. In the vast majority of ECGs, it was possible to work out the QTc of all 12 leads (83.4%). The mean (SD) number of leads that were digitisable in this cohort of patients was 11.8 (4.05), range 9–12; 0.5% had nine digitisable leads, 1% had 10, 15.1% had 11.

The patients were followed for up to 6-3 years thereafter. The certified cause of death was obtained by record linkage with data from the registrar general in Scotland. The accuracy of this dataset has been shown previously to be 98%.⁹ Total mortality and cardiac death were the primary end points.
(ICD-9 codes 410.0 to 414.9, and 429.2 were used to define cardiac deaths).

Statistical methods
Statistical analysis was undertaken using SPSS for Windows. Univariate analyses (Cox regression) allowed us to test whether QTc of each lead as continuous variables predicted the primary end points.

Relative risks (RR) of having a prolonged QTc in all the leads of the ECG and the 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using a Cox regression model (univariate analyses, upper third versus the others). The leads with prolonged QTc carrying the greatest relative risk of cardiac death were chosen along with QTc max for further evaluation. To allow for confounding effects, we included pulse pressure, glucose, cholesterol, and ischaemic heart disease (patient reporting a personal past history of myocardial infarction, angina, or coronary artery bypass grafting, or being on a nitrate) in the multivariate Cox regression models along with the QTc max or the QTc of the chosen leads.

The sensitivity and specificity of different cut off values of QTc length at the time of diagnosing cardiac death within five years after the cerebrovascular event were derived from receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curves. By way of comparison, ROC curves were also plotted with death from all causes as the end point.

Finally, Kaplan-Meier survival analysis compared the difference in cardiac mortality between patients with prolonged QTc and those with normal QTc.

RESULTS
We recruited 404 stroke survivors (192 women, 212 men). Their mean (SD) age was 68 (11.4) years (range 32–100 years). Mean blood pressure was 154.1 (24.2)/87.9 (13.2) mm Hg. Mean pulse pressure was 66.2 (19.7) mm Hg. Forty per cent of the cohort were non-smokers, 20% ex-smokers, and 36% current smokers; 18.1% were on aspirin, 4.7% were on dipyridamole.

There were 34 diabetic patients (8.4%), but only 70.8% (259 of 366) had a random glucose of < 6 mmol/l at one year; 107 of 366 (29%) had a random glucose ≥ 6 mmol/l. Eighty two (20.3%) were on diuretics at the time of the stroke. Only 3.2% were on an angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor (n = 13) and 11.4% were on β adrenergic blockers (n = 46).

One hundred and nine patients (27%) had overt ischaemic heart disease (that is, the patient reported a personal past history of myocardial infarction, angina, or coronary artery bypass grafting, or was using a nitrate).

Fifty six of the 404 patients died. Of these deaths, 21 (37.3%) were cardiac (17 from ischaemic heart disease, three from hypertension, and one from other cardiac causes). There were 21 stroke deaths (37.5%). Miscellaneous other causes accounted for the remaining deaths (n = 14).

QTc max was associated with an increased risk of death from any cause and of cardiac death (table 1 and appendix, table 1 (see website for appendix tables)). There was a weak but significant relation between QTc max and some conventional risk factors for atherosclerosis such as pulse pressure (Spearman r = 0.11, two tailed p = 0.032), glucose (r = 0.17, p = 0.001), and cholesterol (r = 0.11, p = 0.033) (appendix, table 2). However, even after adjusting for these potentially confounding risk factors and a past history of ischaemic heart disease, coronary artery bypass graft surgery, or nitrate use, QTc max was still significantly associated with an increased risk of cardiac death and of death from any cause.

Further, we found that the QTc measured from any single lead of the ECG except aVR predicted total mortality (table 1 and appendix, table 1) using a univariate model (Cox regression mortality analysis). Moreover, the QTc measured from most of the leads (except leads I, II, aVR, and aVF) significantly predicted cardiac death in univariate analyses.

Chest lead V6 and limb lead III were the leads in which a prolonged QTc carried the greatest risk of cardiac death (unadjusted RR = 3.1) (table 1). Even after adjusting for pulse pressure, glucose, cholesterol, and known ischaemic heart disease, a prolonged QTc in those leads carried a threefold increase in the relative risk of predicting cardiac death (table 2 and appendix, table 3). When prolonged QTc was entered into the multivariate analyses, it carried at least as high a relative risk as the traditional measures of pulse pressure, glucose, cholesterol, and known ischaemic heart disease, a prolonged QTc in those leads carried a threefold increase in the relative risk of predicting cardiac death (table 2).

When QTc of lead V6 was entered into a multivariate analysis, traditional measures of pulse pressure, glucose, cholesterol, and overt ischaemic heart disease became non-significant at predicting cardiac death (table 2). With death from all causes as the outcome measure, a long QTc in lead V6 was also associated with a higher relative risk (RR 2.9, 95% CI 1.6 to 5.3; p < 0.001) than any other variable in a multivariate Cox regression model taking into account pulse pressure, glucose, cholesterol, and overt ischaemic heart disease. By way of comparison, QTc max was associated with a threefold increase in the relative risk of cardiac death and a 2.5-fold increase in the risk of death from any cause (appendix, table 4).

Table 1: Unadjusted relative risks of prolonged QTc in any single lead of the ECG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QTc</th>
<th>Death from all causes</th>
<th>Cardiac death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>RR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>2.1 (1.3 to 3.6)</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>2.2 (1.3 to 3.8)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>1.8 (1.1 to 3.2)</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aVR</td>
<td>1.6 (0.96 to 2.8)</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aVL</td>
<td>2.5 (1.4 to 4.4)</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aVF</td>
<td>1.7 (0.98 to 2.9)</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1</td>
<td>1.9 (1.1 to 3.3)</td>
<td>0.016*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2</td>
<td>2.3 (1.4 to 3.9)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V3</td>
<td>2.3 (1.3 to 3.9)</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V4</td>
<td>3.1 (1.8 to 5.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V5</td>
<td>2.4 (1.4 to 4.0)</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V6</td>
<td>2.9 (1.7 to 4.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean QTc</td>
<td>2.2 (1.2 to 3.9)</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTc max</td>
<td>3.2 (1.9 to 5.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTc dispersion</td>
<td>1.4 (0.79 to 2.3)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05 is significant.
†Upper third v the rest (see appendix on Heart website for details of cut off values).
Table 3 showed that a QTc of ≥ 430 ms in lead V6 had a sensitivity of 65% and a specificity of 64% for predicting cardiac death. However, if the QTc in V6 was ≥ 480 ms, then the specificity for predicting cardiac death improved to 94%. Clearly, different cut off values for V6 could be chosen, depending on whether the precise clinical situation required high sensitivity or high specificity (see Discussion).

Reproducibility or reliability was measured by comparing the QT parameters of 295 ECGs digitised twice by the same blinded individual on separate occasions. The α coefficient was used to assess intraobserver reproducibility; α was 0.89 for QTc max, 0.79 for QTc dispersion, and 0.92 for QTc V6. Thus it appeared that the QTc measured from lead V6 alone had a higher intraobserver reproducibility than the classic QTc dispersion and QTc max. Measurement of QTc in leads I, aVR, aVF, and V2–V6 had the highest α coefficient or reliability (> 90%) (appendix, table 6). The QTc max occurred most often in leads V6 (14%), I (12%), V3 (11%), and V5 (11%), whereas QTc min occurred most often in leads V1 (39%), III (14%), and aVL (14%). Clearly, omission of leads which contained the maximum or minimum QTc will lead to underestimation of QTc dispersion. This may be why QTc measurement in a single lead such as lead V6 was more reproducible.

Finally, Kaplan-Meier curves graphically displayed the association between a prolonged QTc in lead V6 and cardiac death (log rank p = 0.0018) (fig 1). Demographic data (including mean and cut off values defining the upper third of QTc parameters and other variables) are shown in the appendix, table 7.

DISCUSSION

There were four main reasons for carrying out this study. First, a patient who makes a good recovery from a stroke is still at high risk of cardiac death. Second, despite their high incidence of cardiac death, the management of stroke patients focuses mainly on their neurological recovery, such that detailed cardiac investigations are seldom done unless the patient has specific cardiac symptoms or signs. Third, many stroke survivors are unlikely to be able to exercise enough to develop the hallmark symptoms of cardiac disease, such as chest pain or dyspnoea, so their absence may be falsely reassuring. Fourth, many stroke survivors are also unlikely to be able to undertake an exercise ECG test, which is the traditional way of risk stratifying cardiac patients for further investigations and treatment. Alternative approaches and novel thinking are therefore required to reduce cardiac deaths in stroke survivors.

A prolonged heart rate corrected QT interval is an independent predictor for cardiac and all cause mortality in older men and women. The risk associated with a prolonged QTc is hardly affected by the heart rate correction formula used.12 QTc was a strong predictor of all cause mortality (p < 0.0001) and a significant though weaker predictor of cardiovascular mortality (p = 0.016) in multivariate Cox regression analyses controlling for risk factors in the Strong heart study. It is unclear whether the difference is at least

Table 2 Multivariate analysis assessing the relative importance of QTc in lead V6 compared with other known cardiovascular risk factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covariates</th>
<th>Death from all causes</th>
<th>Cardiac death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QTc V6</td>
<td>RR† 2.9 1.6 to 5.3</td>
<td>RR† 2.8 1.1 to 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHD</td>
<td>2.0 1.1 to 3.5 0.028</td>
<td>1.8 0.68 to 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>0.9 0.48 to 1.6 0.7</td>
<td>0.92 0.36 to 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>1.1 0.62 to 2.1 0.7</td>
<td>1.5 0.59 to 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse pressure</td>
<td>1.6 0.87 to 2.8 0.1</td>
<td>2.3 0.91 to 5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Upper third v the rest, except IHD (which is either present or absent).

IHD, ischaemic heart disease (past history of angina, myocardial infarction, coronary artery bypass grafting, or nitrate ingestion).

Table 3 Sensitivity and specificity of different cut off values of QT parameters at predicting cardiac death

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cut off value (≥ ms)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QTc measured from lead V6 only (area under ROC curve=0.70, SE=0.069; p=0.004)</td>
<td>100 6 35 82</td>
<td>100 23 75 4</td>
<td>100 23 75 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTc measured from lead III only (area under ROC curve=0.71, SE=0.069; p=0.004)</td>
<td>100 6 35 82</td>
<td>100 23 75 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTc measured from lead V6 max (area under ROC curve=0.71, SE=0.054; p=0.003)</td>
<td>100 6 35 82</td>
<td>100 23 75 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTc measured from lead V6 only (area under ROC curve=0.70, SE=0.057; p=0.005)</td>
<td>100 6 35 82</td>
<td>100 23 75 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ROC, receiver operating characteristic curve.
partly explained by the fact that there were more patients who died (of any cause) compared with cardiac deaths. In 1998, Elming and colleagues showed in a large Danish population that prolongation of the QT interval (mean of 12 leads greater than 430 ms) was independently associated with a worse prognosis for cardiovascular mortality and morbidity than a QT interval of less than 360 ms. However, a careful study of the methodology shows that the QT interval was derived as a mean of 12 leads.

Methodological problems have hampered QT interval analysis, especially because of difficulty in identifying the end of the T wave. Such difficulties are magnified the more ECG leads need to be analysed. This makes our finding that the QTc measured from any single lead of the ECG (except aVR) predicted mortality particularly important.

Clinical implications

Our study shows that the QTc measured from any lead except aVR of the ECG did indeed predict total mortality following a stroke. Importantly, we found that a prolonged QTc in lead V6 carried a relative risk of 2.8 for predicting cardiac death (even after adjusting for pulse pressure, glucose, cholesterol and overt ischaemic heart disease). When QTc of lead V6 was entered into a multivariate analysis, those conventional measures became non-significant for predicting cardiac death. This suggests that QTc in lead V6 should be measured in all stroke survivors, in addition to the conventional risk factors in order to improve risk stratification.

This begs the question of why QTc identifies future cardiac risk over and above conventional risk factors. Is it possible that QTc is identifying subclinical cardiac disease which might be reversible if detected? In turn, this begs the question of which acquired cardiac diseases are actually associated with a prolonged QTc. The prevalence of QT interval prolongation is higher in people with diabetes and its complications. Current evidence suggests that long QT dispersion or a long QTc interval is associated with acquired coronary artery disease, carotid intima–media thickness, left ventricular systolic dysfunction, left ventricular hypertrophy, and arrhythmias. Importantly, many of the above conditions are treatable—for example, the use of β blockers in addition to ACE inhibitors in left ventricular dysfunction, or lowering the usual target blood pressure when there is left ventricular hypertrophy. In high risk patients with concomitant silent coronary artery disease, one might argue the case for lower than usual target blood pressure or cholesterol levels. Tests need to be specific if they are invasive or if treatments with potentially unpleasant side effects may follow their use; by contrast, non-invasive tests such as echocardiography need to be as sensitive as possible, so that few abnormal cases will be missed. On this basis, one might propose that a QTc in lead V6 of > 420 ms with 70% sensitivity should be used to identify candidates for non-invasive tests such as echocardiography, and for drug treatment if unsuspected left ventricular dysfunction or left ventricular hypertrophy is found. Invase investigations such as cardiac catheterisation in this patient population have substantially increased risks and should perhaps be considered only in those with greatly prolonged QTc plus objective evidence of myocardial ischaemia, such as significant ST depression at low workload, or inducible ischaemia affecting large territories on myocardial perfusion scanning. Whether such a strategy will reduce cardiac deaths in stroke survivors cost effectively will require further research.

Cardiac autonomic neuropathy carries a poor prognosis in diabetic patients. There is certainly evidence linking cardiac autonomic neuropathy with QTc prolongation in diabetes. However, in 3042 patients with type 1 diabetes, QTc dispersion was associated with ischaemic heart disease and with diastolic blood pressure but not with neuropathy. A careful study of that paper, however, shows that QT dispersion values were derived from the thoracic leads only. This could underestimate the true QT dispersion, as the true maximum or minimum QT may be found in the limb leads. Given the limitation of attempting to derive QT dispersion from six leads, it may still be possible that increased QT dispersion and increased QTc identify different pathologies. Future research should study prospectively what spectrum of cardiac abnormalities is present in patients with prolonged QTc by performing a wide range of non-invasive cardiac tests on each patient (such as echocardiography for left ventricular dysfunction and hypertrophy, treadmill exercise tests or myocardial perfusion scanning, and ambulatory ECG monitoring for arrhythmias and heart rate variability). There is some evidence in diabetic patients that the greater the number of cardiac abnormalities, the more prolonged the QTc dispersion. There is as yet no report showing whether prolongation of QTc max or QTc derived from a single lead in stroke survivors reflects an increased burden of asymptomatic cardiac abnormalities, as indicated by an increased number of reversible conditions. Thus the finding that QTc prolongation was associated with cardiac death is interesting, and future research should concentrate on exploring the underlying reasons for this intriguing association.

Conclusions

We have shown that the QTc measured from any lead except aVR on the ECG predicted mortality following a stroke. QTc measured from V6 had comparable prognostic value to the QTc max derived from measurement of QTc from all 12 leads of the ECG. The fact that a prolonged QTc in lead V6 carried a threefold increase in the relative risk of cardiac death—even after adjusting for overt ischaemic heart disease, pulse pressure, glucose, and cholesterol—suggests that patients with a prolonged QTc in lead V6 are at high risk of suffering a cardiac death and may need more intensive investigations and treatments than are currently routine practice. Thus the measurement of QTc in lead V6 appears to be a time efficient way of risk stratifying stroke survivors for intensified investigations and treatments to help prevent impending cardiac death.
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Long QTc predicts cardiac death after stroke

A 56 year old woman was referred to our hospital because of syncope during attacks of pain in the right side of her pharynx. She had a one year history of right glossopharyngeal neuralgia. Carbamazepine (200 mg daily) relieved the pain for about eight months, but as time went on, it became less effective and completely failed to control an exacerbation of the pain four months before admission. During neuralgia, ECG monitoring revealed asystole up to 26 seconds (upper panel) with syncope and seizures. Although the dose of carbamazepine was increased up to 400 mg daily, she continued to have spontaneous attacks of neuralgia and syncope associated with bradycardia and asystole. Therefore, a temporary cardiac pacemaker was needed to prevent syncope before surgery. During the operation, right retromastoid craniectomy disclosed adhesions of the posterior inferior cerebellar artery (PICA) loop between cranial nerves IX and X (lower panel). Microvascular decompression was performed, followed by removal of the adhesions and freeing of the artery from these nerves. After the operation, the electrophysiologic study, carotid sinus massage, and head-up tilt testing showed no abnormal findings. No episodes of syncope or neuralgia occurred during a two month follow up postoperatively.

Glossopharyngeal neuralgia is an uncommon form of pain, but is well known as a cause of neurally mediated syncope. The afferent glossopharyngeal stimulus induces a vasovagal reflex, which causes bradycardia, cardiac arrest, and hypotension. In cases that are refractory to medical treatment, including carbamazepine, surgical treatment can relieve neuralgia and prevent syncope.

N Takaya
M Sumiyoshi
Y Nakata
takatani@med.juntendo.ac.jp
Prolonged cardiac arrest caused by glossopharyngeal neuralgia
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