Electron beam tomography is a sensitive tool for detecting and quantifying coronary calcifications. The amount of coronary calcium correlates with the amount of coronary atherosclerotic plaque. Thus the presence and extent of coronary artery calcification has been shown to be associated with an individual's risk for cardiovascular events.

In up to 50% of patients who suffer an acute myocardial infarct, this event is the first clinical manifestation of coronary artery disease. Recent studies have shown detectable coronary calcifications in 95% of patients with acute coronary syndromes. However, in younger individuals, coronary events often occur in the presence of early stages of coronary artery disease, presumably caused by the rupture of lipid-rich coronary plaques, and coronary calcification may be less prevalent than in older patients with acute myocardial infarction. The value of electron beam tomography in detecting relatively young patients at risk of acute myocardial infarction is therefore less clear and has so far not been investigated.

Our aim in this study was to assess the prevalence and the extent of coronary calcification in younger patients with a first, unheralded acute myocardial infarct in comparison with matched controls without a history of coronary artery disease.

METHODS
Patients and controls
We recruited 102 patients under 60 years of age with an unheralded, first transmural myocardial infarct established by ST elevation on the ECG and increased serum markers of myocardial damage (creatinine kinase, creatine kinase MB isoenzyme, and troponin C). Patients with a previously established diagnosis of coronary artery disease, atrial fibrillation, possible pregnancy, or renal failure were excluded from the investigation. In all individuals, electron beam tomography was undertaken before any coronary intervention and within 14 days of the myocardial infarct. In all patients, invasive coronary angiograms were obtained after the electron beam tomographic study. This enabled us to identify the culprit vessel.

Cardiovascular risk factors were identified by patient interviews and a review of the medical case notes. The following risk factors were assessed: current smoking; hypertension (on antihypertensive drugs, or with known and untreated hypertension); diabetes (the use of insulin or oral hypoglycaemic agents); a family history of coronary heart disease; and hypercholesterolaemia (the use of cholesterol lowering drugs, or a plasma low density lipoprotein cholesterol of > 4.14 mmol/l or total cholesterol of > 6.22 mmol/l).

All patients gave their written informed consent to the investigation, and the study protocol was approved by the institutional ethics committee.

We obtained 102 controls without symptomatic coronary artery disease, matched for sex, age, and risk factors. Controls were recruited by reviewing electron beam tomographic studies that had been done on an outpatient basis in our centre between 1997 and 2001. Matching criteria were conformity in sex and in all risk factors; a difference of up to five years in age was allowed.

Image acquisition and evaluation
Imaging was done with an Imatron C-150 XP electron beam tomography scanner (Imatron Inc, South San Francisco, California, USA). Subjects were scanned in the supine position. After determination of the heart position, 40 axial cross...
sections of the heart were acquired during inspiratory breath hold. Imaging was done using the high resolution single slice mode of the scanner with 100 ms exposure time, 3 mm slice thickness, and 3 mm table feed between consecutive slices. Image acquisition was triggered to the patient’s ECG at 40% of the cardiac cycle. Cross sectional images were reconstructed with a 26 cm field of view using the scanner’s “sharp” kernel.

Acquired images were transferred to an off-line workstation (NetraMD, ScImage, Los Altos, California, USA). Coronary calcifications were defined as areas of at least two contiguous pixels (area > 0.51 mm²) with a density of 130 HU or more. Coronary calcifications were quantified using the Agatston score. Age related calcium centiles were determined based on the epidemiology of coronary calcification study.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis employed a PC based computer program (SPSS version 10.0). Comparisons between the score values of matched pairs were undertaken using the Student t test for paired samples. Non-parametric analyses between the matched groups were done using the McNemar test. The distribution of patients and controls in age related calcium centiles was analysed using the χ² test. Probability values of p ≤ 0.05 were considered to indicate a significant difference.

RESULTS
Patient characteristics
Mean (SD) age was 41.2 (7) years in the infarction group and 40.9 (9) years in the control group (NS). Clinical characteristics of the two groups are shown in table 1. Two of the 102 patients had no conventional risk factors, nine had one risk factor, 28 had two, 25 had three, and 38 had four or more. Electron beam tomography was done 8 (6) days (range 1–14 days) after the myocardial infarction.

Detection of coronary calcification
Coronary calcifications were present in 96 of the 102 patients with myocardial infarction and in 61 of the 102 controls (94.1% v 59.8%, p = 0.008). In six of the 102 infarct patients, no coronary artery calcification was detected by electron beam tomography; invasive angiography revealed an absence of coronary stenoses in two of these cases, and single vessel coronary artery disease in the remaining four. The mean Agatston score of all 102 patients with myocardial infarction was 529 (903), while the mean score for the control group was 119 (213) (p = 0.001).

Patients were separated by age into three subgroups (group 1, < 35 years; group 2, 35–44 years; group 3, 45–59 years). In group 1 (24 patients), the mean Agatston score in the infarct patients was 7.5 (13.0) v 0.1 (1.0) in the controls (p = 0.007); in group 2 (42 patients), the values were 244.6 (401) v 16.2 (26) (p = 0.01); and in group 3 (46 patients), they were 736.4 (1398) v 211.7 (406) (p = 0.02).

An Agatston score above the 90th age related centile was present in 87.2% of the infarct group and in 47.0% of the control group (p = 0.006). A score above the 90th centile was found in 60.7% of the infarct group and in 5.8% of the control group (p = 0.001). Separating the subjects by age, in group 1 an Agatston score above the 90th centile was found in 89% of patients in the infarct group v 4% in the control group (p < 0.001); in group 2, in 74% v 12% (p = 0.02); and in group 3, in 46% v 5% (p = 0.009).

Calcification of the culprit vessel
In 92 of the 102 patients with acute myocardial infarction (90.2%), the culprit vessel was calcified (left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD), 87.5% (n = 48); circumflex artery (CX), 80.0% (n = 15); right coronary artery (RCA), 97.4% (n = 39)). In 10 patients, calcifications were only found in coronary arteries not related to the site of infarction. Of the

---

Table 1  Characteristics of patients after acute myocardial infarction and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Patients with AML</th>
<th>Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>41.2 (7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>41.9 (8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>41.4 (8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40.6 (7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>40.9 (9.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2  Number of patients with myocardial infarction and controls with calcifications in the coronary vessels; patients with myocardial infarction are separated according to the culprit vessel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culprit vessel</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>LM</th>
<th>LAD</th>
<th>CX</th>
<th>RCA</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients after AML</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>16/48 (33%)</td>
<td>42/48 (88%)</td>
<td>34/48 (71%)</td>
<td>32/48 (67%)</td>
<td>45/48 (94%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6/15 (40%)</td>
<td>12/15 (80%)</td>
<td>12/15 (80%)</td>
<td>11/15 (73%)</td>
<td>14/15 (93%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>13/39 (33%)</td>
<td>37/39 (95%)</td>
<td>32/39 (82%)</td>
<td>38/39 (97%)</td>
<td>37/39 (95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>35/102 (34%)</td>
<td>91/102 (90%)</td>
<td>78/102 (76%)</td>
<td>81/102 (79%)</td>
<td>96/102 (94%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2  Number of patients with myocardial infarction and controls with calcifications in the coronary vessels; patients with myocardial infarction are separated according to the culprit vessel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culprit vessel</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>LM</th>
<th>LAD</th>
<th>CX</th>
<th>RCA</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>16/48 (33%)</td>
<td>42/48 (88%)</td>
<td>34/48 (71%)</td>
<td>32/48 (67%)</td>
<td>45/48 (94%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6/15 (40%)</td>
<td>12/15 (80%)</td>
<td>12/15 (80%)</td>
<td>11/15 (73%)</td>
<td>14/15 (93%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>13/39 (33%)</td>
<td>37/39 (95%)</td>
<td>32/39 (82%)</td>
<td>38/39 (97%)</td>
<td>37/39 (95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>35/102 (34%)</td>
<td>91/102 (90%)</td>
<td>78/102 (76%)</td>
<td>81/102 (79%)</td>
<td>96/102 (94%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMI, acute myocardial infarction; CAD, coronary artery disease; CX, circumflex coronary artery; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; RCA, right coronary artery.
Coronary calcification in myocardial infarction

The amount of coronary calcification detected by electron beam tomography has been identified as a strong predictor of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. While recently published data have shown an association between the presence and amount of coronary calcifications and the cardiovascular event rate in middle aged and older populations, similar data for younger patients, though of great interest, have so far not been published.

In our study, we were able to show that coronary calcifications are present in the vast majority of young patients with a first, unheralded myocardial infarction. Furthermore, the presence and the extent of coronary calcification was significantly greater than in matched controls without a history of symptomatic coronary artery disease. While the overall amount of calcium can be very low in younger patients with myocardial infarction, the age related centile was usually found to be high: 60% of the patients with acute myocardial infarction had an amount of calcium that was above the 90th centile of age adjusted individuals in a cross sectional population study. Our results suggest that analysis of coronary calcification by electron beam tomography provides information that augments the predictive value of conventional risk factor analysis. In addition, we found that the presence and extent of calcification in the coronary vessels was independent of the localisation of the myocardial infarction. The culprit vessel was not calcified in all cases, and the mean calcium score of the culprit vessel was only slightly above that of the remaining arteries, as has been shown in earlier histopathological studies. However, the amount of calcification in both culprit and non-culprit vessels was greater than in matched controls. These results add to the findings of previous investigations that have shown a similar extent of calcification in stable coronary plaques and in those prone to rupture. Necropsy studies have demonstrated that non-calcified plaques are usually associated with the presence of calcified lesions somewhere else in the coronary system. Schmermund and colleagues reported that the calcified plaque area is strongly correlated with the total plaque area and is significantly greater in patients with sudden cardiac death than in controls. Thus coronary calcification detected by electron beam tomography is a measure of the total coronary plaque burden, but does not allow differentiation of plaque morphology and stability.

Our study was intended to detect and quantify coronary calcification in a younger population with a first unheralded myocardial infarct, and to make comparisons with controls matched for age and risk factors. However, it has some limitations. The controls were selected retrospectively from among individuals who had previously been referred for scanning. These individuals may have been preselected. Second, even though a significant difference in the presence and extent of coronary calcification between patients with and without myocardial infarction could be shown, the sample size was too small.

DISCUSSION

The amount of coronary calcification detected by electron beam tomography has been identified as a strong predictor of disease progression, event rate in middle aged and older populations, though of great interest, have so far not been published.

In our study, we were able to show that coronary calcifications are present in the vast majority of young patients with a first, unheralded myocardial infarction. Furthermore, the presence and the extent of coronary calcification was significantly greater than in matched controls without a history of symptomatic coronary artery disease. While the overall amount of calcium can be very low in younger patients with myocardial infarction, the age related centile was usually found to be high: 60% of the patients with acute myocardial infarction had an amount of calcium that was above the 90th centile of age adjusted individuals in a cross sectional population study. Our results suggest that analysis of coronary calcification by electron beam tomography provides information that augments the predictive value of conventional risk factor analysis. In addition, we found that the presence and extent of calcification in the coronary vessels was independent of the localisation of the myocardial infarction. The culprit vessel was not calcified in all cases, and the mean calcium score of the culprit vessel was only slightly above that of the remaining arteries, as has been shown in earlier histopathological studies. However, the amount of calcification in both culprit and non-culprit vessels was greater than in matched controls. These results add to the findings of previous investigations that have shown a similar extent of calcification in stable coronary plaques and in those prone to rupture. Necropsy studies have demonstrated that non-calcified plaques are usually associated with the presence of calcified lesions somewhere else in the coronary system. Schmermund and colleagues reported that the calcified plaque area is strongly correlated with the total plaque area and is significantly greater in patients with sudden cardiac death than in controls. Thus coronary calcification detected by electron beam tomography is a measure of the total coronary plaque burden, but does not allow differentiation of plaque morphology and stability.

Our study was intended to detect and quantify coronary calcification in a younger population with a first unheralded myocardial infarct, and to make comparisons with controls matched for age and risk factors. However, it has some limitations. The controls were selected retrospectively from among individuals who had previously been referred for scanning. These individuals may have been preselected. Second, even though a significant difference in the presence and extent of coronary calcification between patients with and without myocardial infarction could be shown, the sample size was too small.

DISCUSSION

The amount of coronary calcification detected by electron beam tomography has been identified as a strong predictor of disease progression, event rate in middle aged and older populations, though of great interest, have so far not been published.

In our study, we were able to show that coronary calcifications are present in the vast majority of young patients with a first, unheralded myocardial infarction. Furthermore, the presence and the extent of coronary calcification was significantly greater than in matched controls without a history of symptomatic coronary artery disease. While the overall amount of calcium can be very low in younger patients with myocardial infarction, the age related centile was usually found to be high: 60% of the patients with acute myocardial infarction had an amount of calcium that was above the 90th centile of age adjusted individuals in a cross sectional population study. Our results suggest that analysis of coronary calcification by electron beam tomography provides information that augments the predictive value of conventional risk factor analysis. In addition, we found that the presence and extent of calcification in the coronary vessels was independent of the localisation of the myocardial infarction. The culprit vessel was not calcified in all cases, and the mean calcium score of the culprit vessel was only slightly above that of the remaining arteries, as has been shown in earlier histopathological studies. However, the amount of calcification in both culprit and non-culprit vessels was greater than in matched controls. These results add to the findings of previous investigations that have shown a similar extent of calcification in stable coronary plaques and in those prone to rupture. Necropsy studies have demonstrated that non-calcified plaques are usually associated with the presence of calcified lesions somewhere else in the coronary system. Schmermund and colleagues reported that the calcified plaque area is strongly correlated with the total plaque area and is significantly greater in patients with sudden cardiac death than in controls. Thus coronary calcification detected by electron beam tomography is a measure of the total coronary plaque burden, but does not allow differentiation of plaque morphology and stability.

Our study was intended to detect and quantify coronary calcification in a younger population with a first unheralded myocardial infarct, and to make comparisons with controls matched for age and risk factors. However, it has some limitations. The controls were selected retrospectively from among individuals who had previously been referred for scanning. These individuals may have been preselected. Second, even though a significant difference in the presence and extent of coronary calcification between patients with and without myocardial infarction could be shown, the sample size was too small.
small for a reliable analysis of the effect of other, non-
traditional risk factors, which were not included in the
matching process but could play a more important role in
younger subjects with premature coronary artery disease.
Third, and most importantly, we assessed coronary calcifications
retrospectively in patients with documented coronary
events. Our study design therefore does not rule out the possi-
bility that calcification may have formed as a result of the
myocardial infarction. However, this seems very unlikely as
the patients were scanned within 14 days of the acute event.
Prospective studies will be necessary for a definite assessment
of the extent of coronary calcifications is significantly greater than in
patients with their first unheralded myocardial infarction and
calculations can be detected in the vast majority of young
asymptomatic subjects.

In spite of these limitations, our study shows that coronary
calcifications can be detected in the vast majority of young
patients with their first unheralded myocardial infarction and
may therefore be useful for the sensitive detection of patients
at increased risk. We were able to show that the presence and
extent of coronary calcifications is significantly greater than in
controls matched for age, sex, and conventional risk factors.
However, the culprit vessel is not necessarily calcified, and the
total amount of calcification in young patients at risk may be
very small.
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