Coronary calcifications in young patients with first, unheralded myocardial infarction: a risk factor matched analysis by electron beam tomography
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Objective: To compare the presence and extent of coronary calcifications in young patients with first, unheralded acute myocardial infarction with matched controls without a history of coronary artery disease.

Methods: In 102 patients under 60 years of age (19–59 years, mean 41 years; 88% male), electron beam tomography was done 1–14 days after acute myocardial infarction, before any coronary intervention. Coronary calcifications were quantified using the Agatston score. Age related calcium centiles were determined based on the Mayo Clinic “epidemiology of coronary calcification” study, and results were compared with a group of 102 controls without coronary artery disease, matched for sex, age, and risk factors.

Results: Calcifications were present in 95.1% of patients with acute myocardial infarction and in 59.1% of controls (p = 0.008). The mean (SD) Agatston score was 529 (901) in the infarct patients versus 119 (213) in the controls (p < 0.001). An Agatston score above the 50th centile was present in 87.2% of infarct patients and 47.0% of controls (p = 0.006), and above the 90th centile in 60.7% of infarct patients and only 5.8% of controls (p = 0.001).

Conclusions: In young patients with their first, unheralded acute myocardial infarction, the presence and extent of coronary calcium are significantly greater than in matched controls.
sections of the heart were acquired during inspiratory breath hold. Imaging was done using the high resolution single slice mode of the scanner with 100 ms exposure time, 3 mm slice thickness, and 3 mm table feed between consecutive slices. Image acquisition was triggered to the patient’s ECG at 40% of the cardiac cycle. Cross sectional images were reconstructed with a 26 cm field of view using the scanner’s “sharp” kernel.

Acquired images were transferred to an off-line workstation (NetraMD, ScImage, Los Altos, California, USA). Coronary calcifications were defined as areas of at least two contiguous pixels (area > 0.51 mm²) with a density of 130 HU or more. Coronary calcifications were quantified using the Agatston score. Age related calcium centiles were determined based on the epidemiology of coronary calcification study.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis employed a PC based computer program (SPSS version 10.0). Comparisons between the score values of matched pairs were undertaken using the Student t test for paired samples. Non-parametric analyses between the matched groups were done using the McNemar test. The distribution of patients and controls in age related calcium centiles was analysed using the χ² test. Probability values of p ≤ 0.05 were considered to indicate a significant difference.

### RESULTS

#### Patient characteristics

Mean (SD) age was 41.2 (7) years in the infarction group and 40.9 (9) years in the control group (NS). Clinical characteristics of the two groups are shown in table 1. Two of the 102 patients had no conventional risk factors, nine had one risk factor, 28 had two, 25 had three, and 38 had four or more. Electron beam tomography was done 8 (6) days (range 1–14 days) after the myocardial infarction.

#### Coronary calcification

Coronary calcifications were quantified using the Agatston score. Coronary calcifications were present in 96 of the 102 patients with myocardial infarction and in 61 of the 102 controls (94.1% v 59.8%; p = 0.008). In six of the 102 infarct patients, no coronary artery calcification was detected by electron beam tomography; invasive angiography revealed an absence of coronary stenoses in two of these cases, and single vessel coronary artery disease in the remaining four. The mean Agatston score of all 102 patients with myocardial infarction was 529 (903), while the mean score for the control group was 119 (213) (p = 0.001).

Patients were separated by age into three subgroups (group 1, < 35 years; group 2, 35–44 years; group 3, 45–59 years). In group 1 (24 patients), the mean Agatston score in the infarct patients was 7.5 (13.0) v 0.1 (1.0) in the controls (p = 0.007); in group 2 (42 patients), the values were 244.6 (401) v 16.2 (26) (p = 0.01); and in group 3 (46 patients), they were 736.4 (1398) v 211.7 (406) (p = 0.02).

An Agatston score above the 50th age related centile was present in 87.2% of the infarct group and in 47.0% of the control group (p = 0.006). A score above the 90th centile was found in 60.7% of the infarct group and in 5.8% of the control group (p = 0.001). Separating the subjects by age, in group 1 an Agatston score above the 90th centile was found in 89% of patients in the infarct group v 4% in the control group (p < 0.001); in group 2, in 74% v 12% (p = 0.02); and in group 3, in 46% v 5% (p = 0.009).

### Calcification of the culprit vessel

In 92 of the 102 patients with acute myocardial infarction (90.2%), the culprit vessel was calcified (left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD), 87.5% (n = 48); circumflex artery (CX), 80.0% (n = 15); right coronary artery (RCA), 97.4% (n = 39)). In 10 patients, calcifications were only found in coronary arteries not related to the site of infarction. Of the

### Table 1 Characteristics of patients after acute myocardial infarction and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Patients with AMI</th>
<th>Culprit vessel</th>
<th>Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LAD</td>
<td>CX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td>41.2 (7.0)</td>
<td>41.9 (8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex [% men]</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>88.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolaemia [%]</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>82.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension [%]</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>53.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of premature CAD [%]</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>44.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes [%]</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking [%]</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>71.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMl, acute myocardial infarction; CAD, coronary artery disease; CX, circumflex coronary artery; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; RCA, right coronary artery.

### Table 2 Number of patients with myocardial infarction and controls with calcifications in the coronary vessels; patients with myocardial infarction are separated according to the culprit vessel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culprit vessel</th>
<th>Calcification present in:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI Patients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMl, acute myocardial infarction; CX, circumflex coronary artery; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; LM, left main coronary artery; RCA, right coronary artery.
Coronary calcification in myocardial infarction

The amount of coronary calcification detected by electron beam tomography has been identified as a strong predictor of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. While recently published data have shown an association between the presence and amount of coronary calcifications and the cardiovascular event rate in middle aged and older populations, similar data for younger patients, though of great interest, have so far not been published.

In our study, we were able to show that coronary calcifications are present in the vast majority of young patients with a first, unheralded myocardial infarction. Furthermore, the presence and the extent of coronary calcification was significantly greater than in matched controls without a history of symptomatic coronary artery disease. While the overall amount of calcium can be very low in younger patients with myocardial infarction, the age related centile was usually found to be high: 60% of the patients with acute myocardial infarction had an amount of calcium that was above the 90th centile of age adjusted individuals in a cross sectional population study. Our results suggest that analysis of coronary calcification by electron beam tomography provides information that augments the predictive value of conventional risk factor analysis. In addition, we found that the presence and extent of calcification in the coronary vessels was independent of the localisation of the myocardial infarction. The culprit vessel was not calcified in all cases, and the mean calcium score of the culprit vessel was only slightly above that of the remaining arteries, as has been shown in earlier histopathological studies. However, the amount of calcification in both culprit and non-culprit vessels was greater than in matched controls. These results add to the findings of previous investigations that have shown a similar extent of calcification in stable coronary plaques and in those prone to rupture. Necropsy studies have demonstrated that non-calcified plaques are usually associated with the presence of calcified lesions somewhere else in the coronary system. Schmermund and colleagues reported that the calcified plaque area is strongly correlated with the total plaque area and is significantly greater in patients with sudden cardiac death than in controls. Thus coronary calcification detected by electron beam tomography is a measure of the total coronary plaque burden, but does not allow differentiation of plaque morphology and stability.

Our study was intended to detect and quantify coronary calcification in a younger population with a first unheralded myocardial infarct, and to make comparisons with controls matched for age and risk factors. However, it has some limitations. The controls were selected retrospectively from among individuals who had previously been referred for scanning. These individuals may have been preselected. Second, even though a significant difference in the presence and extent of coronary calcification between patients with and without myocardial infarction could be shown, the sample size was too

Figure 1  Box plots representing the Agatston score of the left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD), circumflex coronary artery (CX), and right coronary artery (RCA) of patients with myocardial infarction. The patients have been separated according to culprit vessel.

204 non-culprit vessels, 158 were calcified (77.4%): LAD 68.8%; CX 76.7%; RCA 88.5%. In the control group, coronary calcifications were detected in 59.1% of the coronary vessels: LAD 50.9%; CX 39.2%; RCA 37.2%. The prevalence of calcifications in the coronary vessels of the control subjects was significantly less than in the infarct patients (p = 0.007 for comparison with culprit vessels; p = 0.002 for comparison with other vessels) (table 2).

In the infarction group, the mean Agatston score of the culprit vessels was 203.5 (254) (LAD 206.9 (291); CX 138.5 (224); RCA 217.9 (266)), while in the non-culprit vessels it was 177.1 (221) (LAD 159.2 (205); CX 191.4 (219); RCA 190.3 (234)). There was no significant difference in the amount of coronary calcification between culprit and non-culprit vessels (p = 0.4). In the controls, the mean Agatston score was 189.2 (241) (LAD 55.7 (136); CX 88.9 (151); RCA 49.3 (111)). The amount of coronary calcium was significantly less in the control group than in the infarct both for the culprit vessel (p = 0.009) and for the non-culprit vessels (p = 0.03) (fig 1). Figure 2 shows cross sections taken from the heart of a patient following an acute anterior infarction, showing the coronary calcifications.

DISCUSSION

The amount of coronary calcification detected by electron beam tomography has been identified as a strong predictor of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. While recently published data have shown an association between the presence and amount of coronary calcifications and the cardiovascular event rate in middle aged and older populations, similar data for younger patients, though of great interest, have so far not been published.

In our study, we were able to show that coronary calcifications are present in the vast majority of young patients with a first, unheralded myocardial infarction. Furthermore, the presence and the extent of coronary calcification was significantly greater than in matched controls without a history of symptomatic coronary artery disease. While the overall amount of calcium can be very low in younger patients with myocardial infarction, the age related centile was usually found to be high: 60% of the patients with acute myocardial infarction had an amount of calcium that was above the 90th centile of age adjusted individuals in a cross sectional population study. Our results suggest that analysis of coronary calcification by electron beam tomography provides information that augments the predictive value of conventional risk factor analysis. In addition, we found that the presence and extent of calcification in the coronary vessels was independent of the localisation of the myocardial infarction. The culprit vessel was not calcified in all cases, and the mean calcium score of the culprit vessel was only slightly above that of the remaining arteries, as has been shown in earlier histopathological studies. However, the amount of calcification in both culprit and non-culprit vessels was greater than in matched controls. These results add to the findings of previous investigations that have shown a similar extent of calcification in stable coronary plaques and in those prone to rupture. Necropsy studies have demonstrated that non-calcified plaques are usually associated with the presence of calcified lesions somewhere else in the coronary system. Schmermund and colleagues reported that the calcified plaque area is strongly correlated with the total plaque area and is significantly greater in patients with sudden cardiac death than in controls. Thus coronary calcification detected by electron beam tomography is a measure of the total coronary plaque burden, but does not allow differentiation of plaque morphology and stability.

Our study was intended to detect and quantify coronary calcification in a younger population with a first unheralded myocardial infarct, and to make comparisons with controls matched for age and risk factors. However, it has some limitations. The controls were selected retrospectively from among individuals who had previously been referred for scanning. These individuals may have been preselected. Second, even though a significant difference in the presence and extent of coronary calcification between patients with and without myocardial infarction could be shown, the sample size was too

Figure 2  Panels A–C: Cross sections of the heart of a 28 year old male patient after an acute anterior myocardial infarct, showing coronary calcifications (arrowheads) in all coronary vessels (LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; CX, circumflex artery; RCA, right coronary artery).
small for a reliable analysis of the effect of other, non-
traditional risk factors, which were not included in the
matching process but could play a more important role in
younger subjects with premature coronary artery disease.
Third, and most importantly, we assessed coronary calcifications
retrospectively in patients with documented coronary
events. Our study design therefore does not rule out the possi-
ibility that calcification may have formed as a result of the
myocardial infarction. However, this seems very unlikely as
the patients were scanned within 14 days of the acute event.
Prospective studies will be necessary for a definite assessment
of the predictive value of coronary calcification in younger
study populations.

In spite of these limitations, our study shows that coronary
calcifications can be detected in the vast majority of young
patients with their first unheralded myocardial infarction and
may therefore be useful for the sensitive detection of patients
at increased risk. We were able to show that the presence and
extent of coronary calcifications is significantly greater than in
controls matched for age, sex, and conventional risk factors.
However, the culprit vessel is not necessarily calcified, and the
total amount of calcification in young patients at risk may be
very small.
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