QT dispersion and left ventricular morphology in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy

K Sakata, M Shimizu, H Ino, M Yamaguchi, H Terai, K Hayashi, M Kiyama, T Hayashi, M Inoue, H Mabuchi

Objective: To evaluate the relation between QT variables and disproportion of left ventricular wall hypertrophy in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.

Design: Retrospective analysis of the results of echocardiography and electrocardiography.

Setting: University hospital (tertiary referral centre).

Patients: 70 patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy were divided into four groups according to the distribution of left ventricular wall hypertrophy on cross sectional echocardiography: group A—hypertrophy confined to the interventricular septum; group B—hypertrophy confined to the interventricular septum and left ventricular anterior wall; group C—hypertrophy confined to the interventricular septum, left ventricular anterior wall, and lateral free wall; group D—hypertrophy involving all portions of the left ventricle.

Main outcome measures: QT intervals and QT dispersion in precordial six lead ECGs.

Results: There were no significant differences in the maximum left ventricular wall thickness among the four groups, and maximum and minimum QTc intervals also did not differ. QTc dispersion was increased significantly in groups A and B compared with groups C and D. Dispersions of the interval from the J point to the end of the T wave (JTc dispersions) in groups A and B were also increased significantly compared with groups C and D. By linear regression analysis, QTc and JTc dispersions correlated with the ratio of the interventricular septal thickness to left ventricular posterior wall thickness (p = 0.0152 and p = 0.0075, respectively).

Conclusions: QT dispersion may be affected by not only electrical inhomogeneity but also by morphological inhomogeneity of the left ventricle in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.

Experimental studies have suggested that variability between the ECG leads in measurement of QT intervals and QT dispersion reflects spatial and temporal inhomogeneity of left ventricular recovery times. Previous clinical studies of QT dispersion have shown that it is increased in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, and also in patients with the long QT syndrome and those with myocardial infarction. In patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, prolonged QT dispersion has been related to an increased risk of serious ventricular arrhythmias or sudden death. In contrast, other investigators found no significant association between QT dispersion and any risk factor for sudden cardiac death in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, and concluded that QT dispersion was not a reliable predictor of sudden death in this disorder. Assessment of QT dispersion for risk stratification should be interpreted carefully. Therefore, evaluation of QT dispersion might provide clinical characterisation of patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, but its relation to sudden cardiac death remains uncertain.

Most patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy present with asymmetrical hypertrophy of the septum and anterior wall of the left ventricle, and disproportionate wall hypertrophy is characteristic in this disease. Wall hypertrophy increases activation and recovery time, and prolongs QT interval. Accordingly, it is hypothesised that wall hypertrophy or inhomogeneity of left ventricular wall thickness in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy may affect QT variables, especially QT dispersion—that is, morphological inhomogeneity may lead to electrophysiological inhomogeneity in patients with this disease. However, this hypothesis has not been proven to date. Our aim in the present study was to assess whether inhomogeneity of the distribution of left ventricular wall hypertrophy affects QT variables in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.

METHODS

Study subjects

We evaluated 70 patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (15 female and 55 male; age range 19–81 years, mean 56 years). The diagnosis was based on the echocardiographic demonstration of a non-dilated hypertrophied left ventricle in the absence of other cardiac or systemic causes for left ventricular hypertrophy. Fifty six patients (80%) with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy had an asymmetrical hypertrophy, with a ratio of septal to posterior wall thickness of 1.3 or greater. Patients with atrial fibrillation, conduction disturbances, or an inadequate ECG for evaluating QT variables were excluded from the study. Patients with apical hypertrophy or with dilated cardiomyopathy were also excluded.

We defined wall hypertrophy as a wall thickness of more than 13 mm. The subjects were divided into four groups according to the distribution of left ventricular wall hypertrophy on cross sectional echocardiography: group A included patients with hypertrophy confined to the septum; group B included patients with hypertrophy confined to the septum and left ventricular anterior wall; group C included patients with hypertrophy confined to the septum, left ventricular anterior wall; group D included patients with hypertrophy confined to the septum, left ventricular anterior wall.

Abbreviations: IVST, interventricular septal thickness; JT, interval from the J point to the end of the T wave; PWT, left ventricular posterior wall thickness; QTc, interval from the onset of the QRS complex to the peak of the T wave; Tp, the interval from the peak of the T wave to its end.
Figure 1  Schema of morphological patterns of left ventricular wall hypertrophy at the level of the tips of the mitral valve leaflets from the left ventricular short axis view on cross sectional echocardiography. (A) Hypertrophy confined to the septum. (B) Hypertrophy confined to the septum and left ventricular anterior wall. (C) Hypertrophy confined to the septum, left ventricular anterior wall, and lateral wall. (D) Hypertrophy of all portions of left ventricle.

Table 1  Baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Group C</th>
<th>Group D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of cases</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7 (78%)</td>
<td>16 (76%)</td>
<td>20 (77%)</td>
<td>12 (86%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>56 (18)</td>
<td>54 (15)</td>
<td>56 (14)</td>
<td>61 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of syncope</td>
<td>1 (11%)</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
<td>4 (15%)</td>
<td>2 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of SCD</td>
<td>1 (11%)</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td>6 (22%)</td>
<td>7 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>2 (22%)</td>
<td>3 (14%)</td>
<td>3 (13%)</td>
<td>1 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echocardiogram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maxWT (mm)</td>
<td>17.7 (4.4)</td>
<td>19.7 (4.0)</td>
<td>20.0 (4.9)</td>
<td>17.9 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVST (mm)</td>
<td>16.6 (3.2)</td>
<td>18.2 (3.6)</td>
<td>18.9 (4.6)</td>
<td>17.6 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWTV (mm)</td>
<td>11.0 (1.5)*</td>
<td>12.0 (1.4)*</td>
<td>12.1 (2.1)*</td>
<td>14.7 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVST/PWTV</td>
<td>1.55 (0.48)</td>
<td>1.55 (0.34)†</td>
<td>1.57 (0.30)†</td>
<td>1.19 (0.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD (mm)</td>
<td>39.7 (4.5)</td>
<td>40.0 (7.9)</td>
<td>39.6 (6.4)</td>
<td>39.6 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVd (mm)</td>
<td>46.7 (7.3)</td>
<td>44.2 (7.2)</td>
<td>45.1 (5.5)</td>
<td>44.3 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVDs (mm)</td>
<td>30.0 (5.2)</td>
<td>26.2 (7.2)</td>
<td>27.5 (4.4)</td>
<td>26.8 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS (%)</td>
<td>35.7 (5.3)</td>
<td>41.1 (9.9)</td>
<td>39.0 (6.4)</td>
<td>42.0 (9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blocker</td>
<td>2 (22%)</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
<td>8 (30%)</td>
<td>2 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium antagonist</td>
<td>4 (44%)</td>
<td>8 (40%)</td>
<td>12 (44%)</td>
<td>2 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI or ARB</td>
<td>1 (11%)</td>
<td>3 (14%)</td>
<td>2 (8%)</td>
<td>4 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiodarone or sotalol</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD) unless stated.

Group A: patients with hypertrophy confined to the septum; group B: patients with hypertrophy confined to the septum and left ventricular anterior wall; group C: patients with hypertrophy confined to the septum, left ventricular anterior wall, and lateral wall; group D: patients with hypertrophy of all portions of the left ventricle.

*p < 0.001 v group D; †p < 0.05 v group D.

ACEI, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin II receptor blocker; FS, fractional shortening; IVST, interventricular septal thickness; LAD, left atrial dimension; LVd, left ventricular end diastolic dimension; LVDs, left ventricular end systolic dimension; maxWT, maximum LV wall thickness; PWTV, left ventricular posterior wall thickness; SCD, sudden cardiac death; VT, ventricular tachyarrhythmia.
mitral valve leaflets the ventricle was divided into four regions that identified the ventricular septum and the anterior, lateral, and inferior left ventricular wall (fig 1). The hypertrophied region (> 13 mm) was estimated from these four different regions of the left ventricle at end diastole.

**Statistical analysis**

Values are expressed as the mean (SD). Comparison between the groups was done using a one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Scheffé’s method. Categorical data were compared using $\chi^2$ analysis. Correlation was assessed by linear regression analysis and Pearson’s correlation coefficient. A probability value of $p < 0.05$ was considered significant. StatView 5.0 (Abacus Concepts Inc, Berkeley, California, USA) was used for data analysis.

**RESULTS**

**Clinical and echocardiographic data**

Group A consisted of nine patients (12.9%), group B consisted of 21 patients (30.0%), group C consisted of 26 patients (37.1%), and group D consisted of 14 patients (20.0%). Clinical characteristics, echocardiographic data, and drug treatment of the study subjects are summarised in table 1. There were no significant differences in the clinical characteristics or drug treatment among the four groups. On echocardiography there were no significant differences in the maximum left ventricular wall thickness and the IVST among the four groups. PWT was significantly greater in group D than in the other groups, and IVST/PWT was significantly smaller in group D than in groups B and C.

**QT variables**

The results of QT interval analysis are summarised in table 2. The maximum and minimum QTc did not differ among the four groups. The QTpc, QRS, Tpc, and JTc intervals also did not differ significantly, and average heart rate was similar among the four groups as well. In contrast, QTc and JTc dispersions were greater in both groups A and B than in groups C and D (fig 2). By linear regression analysis, QTc and JTc dispersion did not correlate with maximum wall thickness ($r = 0.071$, $p = 0.559$, and $r = 0.029$, $p = 0.8096$, respectively). However, there was a significant correlation between IVST/PWT and both QTc dispersion ($r = 0.289$, $p = 0.0152$) and JTc dispersion ($r = 0.317$, $p = 0.0075$), as shown in fig 3.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we show that QTc dispersion was more prolonged in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in whom hypertrophy of the left ventricular wall was confined to the interventricular septum and anterior wall (groups A and B) than in those with more extensive left ventricular hypertrophy (groups C and D). The results suggest that increased QT dispersion may be a useful marker of the degree of ventricular hypertrophy.
dispersion on the surface ECG may be affected by not only electrical inhomogeneity (ventricular repolarisation) but also by morphological inhomogeneity of the left ventricle. Several studies have suggested that maximum QT interval and QT dispersion are prolonged in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. An increase in QT dispersion represents regional differences in myocardial repolarisation, and this phenomenon may be associated with an increased risk of malignant ventricular tachyarrhythmias and sudden death, especially in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Yetman and colleagues reported that greater QT dispersion on the ECG was strongly associated with an increased risk of mortality. In contrast, whether increased QT dispersion may contribute to the induction of electrical instability—whether in turn may lead to malignant ventricular tachyarrhythmias and sudden cardiac death in some individuals with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy—remains unclear. In the present study QTc dispersion was more prolonged in groups A and B than in groups C and D, although the history of syncope and ventricular tachycardia did not differ among the four groups. Moreover, there was no relation between increased QT dispersion and ventricular tachycardia in our patients (data not shown). These results suggest that the assessment of QT dispersion as a risk stratification in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is controversial. On the other hand, this disease is characterised by left ventricular hypertrophy that is usually asymmetrical, affecting various regions of the ventricle.

Because wall hypertrophy can modify both activation and recovery time, we hypothesised that wall hypertrophy or disproportion of left ventricular wall thickness in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy could affect QT dispersion. Although maximum wall thickness did not differ among the four groups in our study, QTct dispersion was significantly greater in groups A and B than in groups C and D, providing some credence for our hypothesis. Moreover, QTct dispersion showed a positive correlation with IVST/PWT. These results suggest that in addition to repolarisation instability, disproportion of left ventricular hypertrophy may be one of the factors that affects QT dispersion on the surface ECG in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. An increased QTct dispersion has been also reported in hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy. Zaidi and colleagues have reported that the QT dispersion and the maximum QT interval were significantly longer in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy than in patients with ventricular hypertrophy of other origin. Symmetrical left ventricular hypertrophy is more common among subjects with arterial hypertension than in those with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. These reports also support our hypothesis. In contrast, QT dispersion did not correlate with maximum wall thickness in our study. Dritsas and colleagues also reported that QT dispersion was not associated with maximum wall thickness in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. These results suggest that the magnitude of left ventricular wall hypertrophy itself may not be a major factor affecting QT dispersion. In our study both QTct and QTc dispersion were greater in groups A and B than in groups C and D, and QRS duration did not differ among the four groups. From these results, it is suggested that inhomogeneity of the distribution of left ventricular wall hypertrophy may play a role in increasing QT dispersion in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, and that this increase in QT dispersion may be caused by the inhomogeneity of regional repolarisation.

Although the aetiology of increased QTct dispersion has not been well defined in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, myocardial disarray and interstitial fibrosis may cause the inhomogeneity of ventricular refractoriness and intraventricular conduction, and may increase QTc dispersion. Moreover, our study showed that the QTct dispersion in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy was influenced by the disproportion of left ventricular hypertrophy. From these findings, QTct dispersion in this disorder may be affected by macroscopic spatial inhomogeneity related to morphological patterns of the left ventricle in addition to microscopic electrical inhomogeneity in the myocardium. Additionally, the association between QT dispersion and risk factors for sudden cardiac death in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is varied in previous studies, because QT dispersion in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy may be influenced by various factors such as inhomogeneity of distribution of left ventricular wall hypertrophy. Quantification of the risk of sudden death remains imprecise for most patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Several risk factors have been identified, but current stratification profiles are inadequate to identify all patients who are at high risk for sudden death. On the other hand, Spina and colleagues have reported that the magnitude of hypertrophy is directly related to the risk of sudden death and is a strong and independent predictor of prognosis. Our study showed that increased QT dispersion, believed by some to be a marker of the risk of sudden death, may be influenced by the disproportionate left ventricular hypertrophy. Therefore it is possible that patients with more asymmetrical forms of hypertrophy may be at high risk of sudden death. Of our 70 patients, only two who were categorised in group B died suddenly from causes related to their hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Because the sample size is too small, it is difficult to assess the prognostic data for sudden death in this disease according to the distribution of left ventricular hypertrophy. Additional studies including larger samples are necessary to confirm and clarify our results.

In this study we measured the QT intervals in each lead of the precordial six lead ECG (V1–V6). The rationale for this was based on the fact that the unipolar precordial leads presumably reflect local ventricular activity to some degree, and may therefore individually reflect local variations in repolarisation. The bipolar limb leads, on the other hand, may, according to Einthoven’s equation, be incapable of reflecting such heterogeneity. Thus QT dispersion measured by recording leads V1–V6 probably provides a better index than that measured from a full 12 lead ECG. Further investigations are necessary in future to settle this point.

Study limitations

We divided the subjects into four different types of left ventricular morphology from the left ventricular short axis view on cross sectional echocardiography. Consequently, one limitation of the present study may be that there could also be anatomical and electrophysiological heterogeneity in apicobasal segments of the myocardium. However, the design of the study should have obviated this problem to some extent, as subjects with apical hypertrophy were excluded. The data presented do not permit a full assessment of the extent to which apicobasal versus anteroposterior gradients contribute to the ECG. Further studies are needed to address this point.
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Iatrogenic left coronary artery dissection

Iatrogenic left coronary dissection is fortunately rare. In this case haemodynamic collapse ensued necessitating the use of ionotropes and an intra-aortic balloon pump (top left panel). This stabilised the patient. There was no operating theatre free for urgent coronary bypass grafting. Introduction of a guidewire into the left anterior descending (LAD) coronary artery improved the situation, as did stenting of the left main stem (bottom left panel). The LAD and circumflex arteries were then stented in turn distal to proximal using eight stents in total (top right panel). The patient was well the following day with no troponin rise, and a normal 12 lead ECG and echocardiogram. Repeat angiography six months later showed only mild restenosis and the patient was pain-free with a normal exercise tolerance (bottom right panel).
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