Ablate and pace revisited: long term survival and predictors of permanent atrial fibrillation
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Objective: To assess long term mortality and identify factors associated with the development of permanent atrial fibrillation after atrioventricular (AV) node ablation for drug refractory paroxysmal atrial fibrillation.

Design: Retrospective cohort study.
Setting: UK tertiary centre teaching hospital.
Patients: Patients admitted to the University Hospital Birmingham between January 1995 and December 2000.
Interventions: AV node ablation and dual chamber mode switching pacing.
Results: 114 patients (1995-2000) were included: age (mean (SD)), 65 (9) years; 55 (48%) male; left atrial diameter 4 (1) cm; left ventricular end diastolic diameter 5 (1) cm; ejection fraction 54 (17)%.

Conclusions: Ablate and pace is associated with a low overall mortality. No predictors of permanent atrial fibrillation were identified, but 48% of patients were still in sinus rhythm at 72 months. These results support the use of dual chamber pacing for paroxysmal atrial fibrillation patients after ablate and pace.

METHODS

Data collection

A six year retrospective analysis (1995 to 2000) was carried out in our unit on patients undergoing AV node ablation plus implantation of a dual chamber mode switching pacemaker for drug refractory paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. AV node ablation was undertaken with insertion of two quadripolar catheters (Cordis) through two femoral vein sheaths. A fixed curve catheter was placed in the right ventricular apex for back up pacing. A deflectable long reach catheter was used to map the proximal AV node. This technique has been described elsewhere. The acceptable site showed balanced atrial and ventricular electrograms plus a sharp His deflection. Radiofrequency energy was applied until complete heart block was achieved with a narrow complex escape rhythm (rate 40-50 beats/min). Pacemaker implantation was done immediately after ablation, and all antiarrhythmic drug treatment was discontinued after the procedure. The atrial lead was positioned in the right atrial appendage, and the ventricular lead in the right ventricular apex. Pacemakers were routinely programmed to DDDR, lower rate 70 beats/min, mode switching on.

Population data were obtained from the patients' medical notes. Variables collected included age, sex, antiarrhythmic drug history, history of direct current (DC) cardioversion, and presence of ischaemic heart disease, valvar heart disease, cardiomyopathy, hypertension, diabetes, or thyroid dysfunction. The date and cause of any deaths were also recorded. Echocardiographic variables were recorded, including left atrial diameter, left ventricular end diastolic diameter (LVEDD), and ejection fraction. Pacing notes and electrophysiology logbooks were examined for data on the development of permanent atrial fibrillation and the presence of atrial fibrillation at the time of ablation. The presence of permanent atrial fibrillation was assessed through atrial rate histograms in Medtronic/St Jude...
Medical pacemakers and through the percentage of pathological atrial rates in Vitatron pacemakers. Pathological atrial rates were defined as any atrial rates above 200 beats/min.

Statistical approach
Continuous variables were expressed as mean (SD). Overall mortality and survival free from permanent atrial fibrillation were evaluated with Kaplan-Meier curves. The significance between the different indications for AV node ablation (paroxysmal atrial fibrillation and paroxysmal atrial fibrillation/flutter) was calculated using the log rank test. Binary logistic regression was used to identify patients at higher risk of developing permanent atrial fibrillation after ablation. All calculations were done with 95% confidence intervals, and a probability value of p < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS
In all, 114 cases were included in the database. The population baseline characteristics are summarised in table 1. Indications for AV node ablation were paroxysmal atrial fibrillation in 95 patients (83.3%) and paroxysmal atrial fibrillation/flutter in the remaining 19 (16.7%).

Overall mortality was 10.5% at 72 months (fig 1). Only one death was associated with an arrhythmic event and happened six months after the ablation. This patient had a past medical history of ischaemic heart disease, and the arrhythmic episode was associated with an acute coronary syndrome, as stated on the death certificate. A Kaplan-Meier curve showed no difference in mortality between subjects who had purely paroxysmal atrial fibrillation and those who had both atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter (log rank statistic 0.63, p = 0.43).

Fifty two per cent of the patients progressed to permanent atrial fibrillation within 72 months—in other words, 48% still showed evidence of sinus rhythm after six years. The presence of atrial flutter did not correlate with the development of permanent atrial fibrillation (log rank statistic 0.63, p = 0.43; fig 2).

Although technical echocardiographic comments were available for most patients, only a quarter of the cases had full measurements in their echo reports. Analysis of echocardiographic variables was thus done separately. There was a trend towards an association between age over 80 years and progression to permanent atrial fibrillation, but this did not reach significance (score 3.29; p = 0.07). No other clinical variables were able to predict the progression to permanent atrial fibrillation in this study (table 2). The echocardiographic variables also failed to predict progression to permanent atrial fibrillation (p = 0.11, p = 0.17, and p = 0.39 for left atrial diameter, LVEDD, and ejection fraction, respectively).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Population baseline characteristics (n = 141)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) (mean (SD))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indications for AV node ablation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF at the time of ablation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of DC cardioversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of previous antiarrhythmic drugs (mean (SD))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echo parameters (mean (SD))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF, atrial fibrillation; DC, direct current; EF, ejection fraction; LAD, left atrial diameter; LVEDD, left ventricular end diastolic diameter.

Figure 1 (A) Overall mortality after atrioventricular (AV) node ablation for drug refractory paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (AF): 10.5% of patients had died at 72 months after the implant. (B) Survival according to the indication for AV node ablation. There was no difference between paroxysmal AF (PAF) and paroxysmal AF/flutter (PAF/flutter): log rank = 0.63; p = 0.83.
AV node ablation and pacing is the standard treatment for drug refractory atrial fibrillation. Brignole and colleagues compared AV junction ablation and pacing with pharmacological treatment and showed that the former was associated with significantly lower scores for heart failure, palpitations, effort dyspnoea, exercise intolerance, and fatigueability. Palpitations were absent in 81% of the ablation group, compared with only 11% of the drug group. However, at the end of six months, permanent atrial fibrillation was present in 24% of subjects in the ablation group and in none of those in the drug group. Similar results were found in another prospective study conducted by Marshall and colleagues, who showed that AV node ablation and dual chamber pacing was better than pharmacological treatment in terms of overall symptoms, palpitations, and breathlessness. Dual chamber mode switching pacing was also far superior to single chamber ventricular pacing in terms of quality of life improvement. The only improvement for ablation and VVIR pacing compared with baseline was in terms of palpitations, and eight patients could not tolerate this mode owing to pacemaker syndrome. Similarly, after 18 weeks, permanent atrial fibrillation was much more common in the ablation group (33% vs 11%). This higher incidence of permanent atrial fibrillation in patients who undergo AV junction ablation has been blamed on the withdrawal of all antiarrhythmic agents after the procedure. Brignole and associates have recently addressed this topic in a prospective trial. They assigned patients to continued drug treatment and no drug treatment after AV node ablation. The drug treatment arm had a 57% reduction in the risk of developing permanent atrial fibrillation. However, quality of life was not different between the two groups, and hospital admissions and episodes of heart failure were more common in the drug treatment arm. Therefore, it is not justified to continue medical treatment after AV node ablation.

The main aim of the present study was to identify predictors of permanent atrial fibrillation in a population of patients treated with AV node ablation and dual chamber pacing for drug refractory paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. None of the cases selected had VVIR pacing systems implanted or were in permanent atrial fibrillation at the time of the procedure. Overall mortality was also assessed, but no comparison was made with a control group.

Age over 80 years appeared to be associated with progression to permanent atrial fibrillation, but this did not reach significance. None of the other variables analysed could predict progression to permanent atrial fibrillation in the long term. Gribbin and colleagues, in a similar retrospective analysis, showed that increasing age, history of cardioversion, and VVIR pacing were positively associated with the development of permanent atrial fibrillation. In the present study we only assessed dual chamber systems, so the mode of pacing was not one of the variables studied. Furthermore, only 6% of our patients had a history of cardioversion, and this might explain why this variable failed to reach significance. Gianfranchi and colleagues showed that age > 75 years and structural heart disease were related to the development of permanent atrial fibrillation. In the current study, all cardiac conditions were carefully discriminated and no clear cut association could be found. It seems rather simplistic and impractical to group all cardiac pathologies under structural heart disease to achieve significant values. Finally, it has also been suggested that the presence of atrial fibrillation at the time of ablation is associated with the development of permanent atrial fibrillation. It does not seem unreasonable to postulate that atrial fibrillation at the time of ablation and a history of cardioversion would reflect a high stage of atrial electrical remodelling, thus predicting permanent atrial fibrillation. However, such a correlation could not be demonstrated in our cohort.

We also showed that the presence of concomitant atrial flutter did not have a negative effect on the progression to permanent atrial fibrillation. Curiously, sinus rhythm was still present in 48% of patients after 72 months. In the work by Gribbin and colleagues, 75% of patients were in permanent atrial fibrillation at a longer follow up period (84 months). If sinus rhythm persists long term, dual chamber pacing seems fully justified as the routine method after AV node ablation. As discussed previously, the short term study by Marshall and colleagues has already shown that dual chamber pacing is associated with better quality of life than ventricular pacing in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation undergoing AV node ablation.

Overall mortality was low in our study, at 10.5% at six years. The only arrhythmic death was associated with an acute coronary event. This is in accordance with a recently published retrospective analysis of long term survival after AV node ablation and pacing. The ablation group was compared with

---

**DISCUSSION**

AV node ablation and pacing is the standard treatment for drug refractory atrial fibrillation. Brignole and colleagues compared AV junction ablation and pacing with pharmacological treatment and showed that the former was associated with significantly lower scores for heart failure, palpitations, effort dyspnoea, exercise intolerance, and fatigueability. Palpitations were absent in 81% of the ablation group, compared with only 11% of the drug group. However, at the end of six months, permanent atrial fibrillation was present in 24% of subjects in the ablation group and in none of those in the drug group. Similar results were found in another prospective study conducted by Marshall and colleagues, who showed that AV node ablation and dual chamber pacing was better than pharmacological treatment in terms of overall symptoms, palpitations, and breathlessness. Dual chamber mode switching pacing was also far superior to single chamber ventricular pacing in terms of quality of life improvement. The only improvement for ablation and VVIR pacing compared with baseline was in terms of palpitations, and eight patients could not tolerate this mode owing to pacemaker syndrome. Similarly, after 18 weeks, permanent atrial fibrillation was much more common in the ablation group (33% vs 11%). This higher incidence of permanent atrial fibrillation in patients who undergo AV junction ablation has been blamed on the withdrawal of all antiarrhythmic agents after the procedure. Brignole and associates have recently addressed this topic in a prospective trial. They assigned patients to continued drug treatment and no drug treatment after AV node ablation. The drug treatment arm had a 57% reduction in the risk of developing permanent atrial fibrillation. However, quality of life was not different between the two groups, and hospital admissions and episodes of heart failure were more common in the drug treatment arm. Therefore, it is not justified to continue medical treatment after AV node ablation.

The main aim of the present study was to identify predictors of permanent atrial fibrillation in a population of patients treated with AV node ablation and dual chamber pacing for drug refractory paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. None of the cases selected had VVIR pacing systems implanted or were in permanent atrial fibrillation at the time of the procedure. Overall mortality was also assessed, but no comparison was made with a control group.

Age over 80 years appeared to be associated with progression to permanent atrial fibrillation, but this did not reach significance. None of the other variables analysed could predict progression to permanent atrial fibrillation in the long term. Gribbin and colleagues, in a similar retrospective analysis, showed that increasing age, history of cardioversion, and VVIR pacing were positively associated with the development of permanent atrial fibrillation. In the present study we only assessed dual chamber systems, so the mode of pacing was not one of the variables studied. Furthermore, only 6% of our patients had a history of cardioversion, and this might explain why this variable failed to reach significance. Gianfranchi and colleagues showed that age > 75 years and structural heart disease were related to the development of permanent atrial fibrillation. In the current study, all cardiac conditions were carefully discriminated and no clear cut association could be found. It seems rather simplistic and impractical to group all cardiac pathologies under structural heart disease to achieve significant values. Finally, it has also been suggested that the presence of atrial fibrillation at the time of ablation is associated with the development of permanent atrial fibrillation. It does not seem unreasonable to postulate that atrial fibrillation at the time of ablation and a history of cardioversion would reflect a high stage of atrial electrical remodelling, thus predicting permanent atrial fibrillation. However, such a correlation could not be demonstrated in our cohort.

We also showed that the presence of concomitant atrial flutter did not have a negative effect on the progression to permanent atrial fibrillation. Curiously, sinus rhythm was still present in 48% of patients after 72 months. In the work by Gribbin and colleagues, 75% of patients were in permanent atrial fibrillation at a longer follow up period (84 months). If sinus rhythm persists long term, dual chamber pacing seems fully justified as the routine method after AV node ablation. As discussed previously, the short term study by Marshall and colleagues has already shown that dual chamber pacing is associated with better quality of life than ventricular pacing in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation undergoing AV node ablation.

Overall mortality was low in our study, at 10.5% at six years. The only arrhythmic death was associated with an acute coronary event. This is in accordance with a recently published retrospective analysis of long term survival after AV node ablation and pacing. The ablation group was compared with
an age and sex matched atrial fibrillation control group treated only with antiarrhythmic drugs, and there was no difference in mortality between the two groups. The overall six year mortality in the ablation group was 22.3%, however. In a meta-analysis, Wood and colleagues pooled data from prospective trials of AV node ablation and pacing and included a total of 1181 patients. Overall mortality at 12 months was 6.3%, which was comparable to the number of deaths in the population followed up in the stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation trial (6.7% at 1.3 years). It is possible that AV node ablation and pacing might have some positive impact on mortality, which could be explained by reversal of tachycardia related cardiomyopathy. Based on the evidence available, it can be stated that atrioventricular node ablation and permanent pacing does not have an adverse effect on long term survival.

The inability to identify predictors of permanent atrial fibrillation after AV node ablation precludes any attempt to use VVIR pacing in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. It also makes it difficult to assess which patients should be offered other treatments, such as overdrive pacing, to improve their prognosis. Overdrive pacing has been suggested as a useful tool in the management of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, but further evidence is required. Its logical use would be before AV node ablation to avoid the irreversible damage to the electrical conduction system. Nevertheless, if this pacing mode is to be offered after ablation, some benefit to the quality of life would have to be demonstrated as well.

Conclusions
Ablate and pace is associated with a low overall mortality. No predictors of permanent atrial fibrillation could be identified from the variables analysed, but 48% of patients still had evidence of sinus rhythm after six years. These results support the routine use of dual chamber pacing for patients with drug refractory paroxysmal atrial fibrillation after AV node ablation.
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