Myocardial infarction (MI) can be considered from several perspectives: clinical, electrocardiographic, biochemical, pathological, epidemiological, and imaging. The diagnosis of MI has psychological, social, and legal implications. MI is often used as a major end point in clinical trials.

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO) DEFINITION

Historically, there has been tacit agreement as to the meaning of the term “myocardial infarction”. The World Health Organization definition, which has been widely used, requires the presence of two of the following three features: symptoms of myocardial ischaemia, elevation of cardiac marker (enzyme) concentrations in the blood, and a typical electrocardiographic pattern involving the development of Q waves or persistent T wave changes.\(^1\)

Using specific and highly sensitive immunoassays for myocardial proteins, such as cardiac troponins T and/or I, it is now possible to identify patients with small areas of myocardial necrosis. The emphasis on cardiac protein markers in the new American College of Cardiology/European Society of Cardiology (ACC/ESC) definition of MI, published in September 2000, has simplified the classification of MI.\(^1\) The new diagnostic criteria include a characteristic rise and fall in blood concentrations of cardiac troponins and/or creatine kinase (CK)-MB in the context of spontaneous ischaemic symptoms or coronary intervention (table 1).\(^1\)

If it is accepted that any myocardial necrosis caused by ischaemia constitutes MI, many patients who were formerly diagnosed as having unstable angina pectoris will now be diagnosed as having had a small MI. For example, in a review of data from the Hennepin County Medical Centre (Minnesota, USA), the incidence of MI increased by 37% when the new definition of MI was applied.\(^2\) However, the specificity of the new tests will reduce the number of false positive diagnoses of MI.

Under the WHO classification, which was expanded for the monitoring trends and determinants in cardiovascular disease (MONICA) epidemiological study,\(^3\) patients could be classified as having definite or possible MI, prolonged angina pectoris, or ischaemic cardiac arrest. This system divided patients into six symptomatic categories, 27 electrocardiographic categories, and six enzymatic categories in 10 different combinations. The new ACC/ESC definition makes it possible to classify patients on the basis of seven clinical or pathological scenarios.

The limitations of the new definition of MI include the lack of a definition of cardiac arrest, and the lack of an MI classification for patients who present with characteristic symptoms of MI but die within 4–6 hours of symptom onset,\(^4\) a window in which cardiac markers, the ECG, and histological findings (which take some hours to develop) may be non-diagnostic. Cardiac arrest and sudden cardiac death have different meanings for clinicians, epidemiologists, biochemists, and pathologists.\(^5\) However, such events were also difficult to classify under the WHO-MONICA definition.\(^3\) We believe that new definitions of MI are needed for patients suffering myocardial necrosis following coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), and for those with silent MIs,\(^6\) aborted MIs,\(^7\) and threatened MIs; the latter group includes those with a thrombotic occlusion of the infarct artery without cardiac marker elevation.

CARDIAC MARKERS

The traditional cardiac enzymes assayed for the detection of MI were the triad of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), aspartate transaminase (also known as serum glutamate oxaloacetate transaminase), and CK. CK has isoenzymes of muscle (M) and brain (B) origin, and CK-MB may be distinguished from total CK both enzymatically and by immunoassay. The kinetic profiles of various cardiac markers in the blood are depicted in fig 1. Myocardial subfractions of the MM isoenzyme have been used for earlier detection of necrosis, largely for research applications.

To enable the early detection of MI after symptom onset, assays have been developed for proteins of smaller molecular mass, which appear more rapidly in the blood following the onset of necrosis. Myoglobin, with a molecular mass of 16 000 kD, is derived from the cytosol of both
Table 1  The new ACC/ESC definition of myocardial infarction (MI)†

Clinical features
- Spontaneous ischaemic episode (usually) lasting ≥20 minutes
- Coronary artery intervention

Biochemistry
- The preferred cardiac markers are troponin I or T because of their specificity
- CK-MB has lower specificity than troponins T and I, but may be used
- Myoglobin or CK-MB isoforms should be considered for rapid diagnosis
- Total CK, aspartate transaminase (serum glutamate oxaloacetate transaminase) and LDH have low specificity and are less satisfactory
- Elevation of troponin or CK-MB is defined as a value exceeding the 99th centile of a reference control group
- Sampling of troponins or CK-MB should be done at presentation, at 6–9 hours, and at 12–24 hours

Electrocardiography
- Electrocardiographic criteria are not specific enough to identify non-ST elevation MI
- ST elevation MI is indicated by new ST elevation in at least two contiguous leads, measuring ≥0.2 mV in leads V1–V3, or ≥0.1 mV in all other leads
- Established MI (in the absence of confounders) is indicated by any Q wave in leads V1–V3 or by Q waves of ≥1 mm for ≥30 ms in two other contiguous leads
- Presumed new left bundle branch block may not be accompanied by ST segment deviation; the characteristic changes indicative of acute MI in patients with prior left bundle branch block require further definition

Pathology
- It takes 6 hours for myocyte necrosis to become evident on histopathology
- The pathological identification of MI depends in part on the staging of the inflammatory cell infiltrate: acute = neutrophils; healing = mononuclear cells; healed = collagen without cellular infiltration
- Infarcts are classified by size: microscopic (focal necrosis); small (<10% of the left ventricle); medium (10–30% of the left ventricle); large (>30% of the left ventricle)

Imaging
- Manifestations of MI include regional wall motion abnormalities on echocardiography, contrast angiography, radionuclide scanning or magnetic resonance imaging
- These abnormalities may include evidence of “infarct zone” wall thinning, changes in tissue texture, and/or abnormalities in wall motion

ACC, American College of Cardiology; CK, creatine kinase; ESC, European Society of Cardiology; MI, myocardial infarction

---

Table 2  Properties of cardiac marker proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Molecular mass (kD)</th>
<th>First detection*</th>
<th>Duration of detection</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myoglobin</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.5–2 hours</td>
<td>8–12 hours</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK-MB</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2–3 hours</td>
<td>1–2 days</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troponin I</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3–4 hours</td>
<td>7–10 days</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troponin T</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3–4 hours</td>
<td>7–14 days</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4–6 hours</td>
<td>2–3 days</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate transaminase</td>
<td>~103</td>
<td>6–10 hours</td>
<td>3–5 days</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>6–10 hours</td>
<td>5–7 days</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Hours after symptom onset. CK, creatine kinase; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase.
skeletal and cardiac muscle. It appears rapidly in the blood, and thus may have a specific role in the early detection of MI (table 2). Heart fatty acid binding protein is of cytosolic origin and has a similar small size and kinetic profile in the blood to that of myoglobin, but is more cardiосpecific. However, neither myoglobin nor heart fatty acid binding protein have achieved widespread use as cardiac markers in clinical practice.

Over the past 15 years, immunoassays have been developed for the cardiac troponins T and I, which are components of the thin filaments of the sarcomere. Both are highly sensitive and highly specific and may be elevated when CK-MB concentrations are not (fig 2). Even minor elevations of troponin concentrations in the blood are thought to indicate myocardial necrosis; they are not thought to be caused by leakage of proteins due to reversible permeability of the myocyte cell membrane. The current assays for troponins T and I reliably detect cardiac (as distinct from skeletal muscle) forms of these proteins. While several manufacturers have produced assays for troponin I, patent protection has meant that only Roche Diagnostics (Basel, Switzerland; formerly Boehringer Mannheim of Mannheim, Germany) has produced a troponin T assay. There have been three generations of this laboratory assay, and the current laboratory troponin T assay has a high degree of correlation with the point-of-care (bedside) assay. Point-of-care cardiac marker testing provides results within minutes, and should be used when the delay in obtaining laboratory results is likely to exceed 60 minutes.1–3

Using the current generation troponin T assay, the discrimination value for the detection of MI is 0.03 µL, which is higher than the 99th centile of the reference group values. At 0.03 µg/l the coefficient of variation is ≤10%, which meets the 1% of 0.09–0.11 µg/L. Different manufacturers have used different antibodies raised against different epitopes on cardiac troponin I, and there is no standardisation between the different troponin I assays,7–9 which complicates their use.10 Troponin I values may also be affected by heterophil antibodies. At the discrimination values for troponin I, the assays do not consistently achieve coefficients of variation of <10%, and these concentrations are not higher than the 99th centile of the reference control group. Thus at present, no troponin I assay meets the ACC/ESC criteria for diagnosis of MI.1

Entry criteria for clinical trials evaluating treatments for acute coronary syndromes are increasingly based on troponin values. Use of imprecise assays could lead to diagnostic inconsistencies between different centres and/or regions, potentially confounding trial results. Thus the lack of standardisation of discrimination values for troponin I could compromise the ability to apply trial results to the general population.

### CARDIAC MARKERS AND CLINICAL OUTCOMES

#### Acute coronary syndromes

Compared with other protein markers of myocardial necrosis, troponins have greater sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of MI in the setting of acute myocardial ischaemia.13–15 In both short and long term follow up studies, the magnitude of troponin elevations has correlated consistently with the risk of death and the composite risk of death or non-fatal MI, irrespective of whether the patients had ST elevation or non-ST elevation acute coronary syndromes.1,16–19 In the global use of strategies to open occluded coronary arteries in acute coronary syndromes (GUSTO)-IIa troponin T substudy, the baseline troponin T value correlated with the risk of mortality at 30 days, and was the second most powerful predictor after electrocardiographic changes.20 The thrombolyis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) group reported similar findings using the Dade-Behring troponin I assay (Deerfield, Illinois, USA), with the risk ratio for mortality at 42 days increasing in a linear manner with increasing troponin values (relative risk 7.8, 95% confidence interval (CI) 2.6 to 23.0, for troponin T values of <0.4 versus >9 µg/L).21 Troponin values have been shown to be a more powerful prognostic indicator than CK-MB,1–3 and serial sampling (as opposed to single sampling) enhances the likelihood of identifying myocyte necrosis among patients presenting at different stages of the infarction process.21

### Acceptance of troponin testing for the diagnosis of MI

A recent survey in Scotland found that only 70% of cardiologists had access to troponin assays, and sometimes only by special request.22 Furthermore, only one third of cardiologists made a diagnosis of MI in patients presenting with typical chest pain and elevated troponin concentrations in the absence of typical electrocardiographic changes or elevated CK or CK-MB values.22 In another survey conducted in 25 European and Mediterranean basin countries nine months after the publication of the new ACC/ESC definition of MI, >20% of patients receiving a diagnosis of MI did not have elevated troponin or CK values, while a similar percentage received a diagnosis of unstable angina despite having elevated CK or troponin values.7 Experience from clinical trials has shown that ~2% of patients receiving a diagnosis of MI die before their cardiac marker concentrations reach the threshold for detection,23 while another 1–3% have their MI successfully “aborted” by early treatment.24 The timing of assays was not documented in this survey; however, the likely explanation in most cases is that the survey was perhaps conducted too soon after publication of the new ACC/ESC definition for the majority of clinicians.
to have incorporated the new diagnostic criteria into their clinical practice, and it is likely that many patients were given a diagnosis of MI because they met the WHO-MONICA criteria of ischaemic symptoms and electrocardiographic changes. However, it is perturbing that at least one fifth of all patients with MI and unstable angina were “misclassified” in both directions according to the new ACC/ESC definition.

Troponins are very sensitive markers of myocyte necrosis, and troponin elevations can occur in settings other than spontaneous ischaemia or percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) (table 3). Apart from acute coronary syndromes, spontaneous ischaemia or percutaneous coronary intervention; ULRR, upper limit of the reference range.

### Table 3 Causes of elevated troponin values in clinical settings other than acute coronary syndromes or percutaneous coronary intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ischaemic causes other than plaque fissuring or rupture</th>
<th>Myopericarditis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>– Coronary embolism (red cell or platelet thrombi, vegetation, atrial myxoma, calcification)</td>
<td>– Rheumatic fever</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Coronary spasm</td>
<td>– Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Coronary dissection</td>
<td>– Systemic vasculitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Aortic dissection</td>
<td>– Post-viral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Transplant vasculopathy</td>
<td><strong>Infiltrative diseases of the myocardium</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiac surgery</strong></td>
<td>– Amyloidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Left ventricular venting</td>
<td>– Sarcoïdosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Inadequate cardiopulmonary</td>
<td><strong>Traumatic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Atrioventricular ablation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Defibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Chest wall trauma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4 Definition of reinfarction in the HERO-2 trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical scenario</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent symptoms occurring within 18 hours</td>
<td>Chest pain lasting &gt;30 minutes and &gt;2 mm of ST elevation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent symptoms occurring after lasting &gt;18 hours</td>
<td>CK rise to &gt;2 × ULRR and &gt;50% above previous baseline value or CK-MB value &gt;ULRR and &gt;50% above previous baseline value or new left bundle branch block or new Q waves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Following PCI</td>
<td>CK rise to &gt;3 × ULRR or CK-MB value &gt;3 × ULRR or new left bundle branch block or new Q waves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Following CABG</td>
<td>CK rise to &gt;5 × ULRR or CK-MB value &gt;5 × ULRR or new left bundle branch block or new Q waves</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; CK, creatine kinase; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; ULRR, upper limit of the reference range. |

Diagnosis of reinfarction

The use of troponins as the primary markers of myocardial necrosis presents a significant challenge for the detection of reinfarction, because they have different kinetic profiles according to whether the culprit artery is occluded or patent, and they have a long half life of up to 14 days in the blood (fig 1). Thus the interpretation of changes in troponin values during this time is problematic. Algorithms have been developed for the diagnosis of reinfarction following both ST elevation and non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes, predominantly using CK-MB in instances of “spontaneous” recurrent ischaemia and following PCI or surgical revascularisation. Table 4 lists the criteria used to diagnose reinfarction in the hirulog and early reperfusion or occlusion (HERO)-2 trial, which was the first multicentre mega-trial conducted in patients receiving fibrinolytic treatment to have all cases of reinfarction adjudicated by a clinical end points committee. There has been a recent report describing the use of troponins to diagnose reinfarction, and these findings require validation.

Cardiac marker elevations occurring in the context of percutaneous coronary intervention

Myocyte necrosis indicated by elevation of cardiac marker concentrations occurs frequently in the absence of clearly definable clinical events after an otherwise successful PCI,
and is associated with adverse clinical outcomes, including death. Detectable rises in CK-MB values occur following 5–30% of PCI procedures, and have been associated with adverse outcomes (death, MI, or repeat urgent target vessel revascularisation) in both short and long term follow up studies. The relation between periprocedural CK-MB elevations and late mortality is approximately linear, and myocardial necrosis has similar prognostic significance whether it is caused by a “spontaneous” ischaemic event or by PCI.

Periprocedural rises in troponin concentrations occur in up to 40% of cases, and appear to have prognostic significance similar to that of elevated CK-MB values. In one study, 26% of patients with normal troponin values before PCI were found to have concentrations above the upper limit of the reference range (ULRR) after PCI; these patients had a 90 day mortality rate of 5.2% compared with 0% in those without elevated troponin I values after PCI (hazard ratio 4.3, 95% CI 1.4 to 13.5, for 90 day death or MI). Another recent study of 1872 patients found that 32% developed elevated troponin I concentrations after PCI, and that elevated troponin I values (odds ratio 1.7), the presence of diabetes mellitus (odds ratio 3.0), and older age (odds ratio 1.1) were all independent predictors of one year mortality.

Cardiac marker elevations following PCI may be caused by occlusion of small branch arteries or intimal disruption, but the usual pathophysiology is thought to be embolisation of platelet aggregates (fig 2) or plaque constituents. Utilisation of distal protection devices during PCI has demonstrated the presence of this embolic debris. Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor antagonists have been shown to reduce the incidence of periprocedural MI, and the reduction in long term mortality seen with abciximab treatment supports the clinical importance of platelet emboli. There is controversy as to whether the adverse long term prognosis associated with periprocedural MI is due to small areas of myocardial necrosis, or whether periprocedural cardiac marker elevations reflect a large atherosclerotic burden in the coronary arteries and elsewhere. The majority of deaths occurring after periprocedural MI have been reported as being sudden, which suggests an arrhythmic mechanism possibly related to multiple small areas of myocardial necrosis.

The reported incidence of “spontaneous” MI in acute coronary syndrome trials where PCI was not mandated has been higher than the incidence of periprocedural MI observed in trials of PCI. The unadjusted odds ratios for increasing cardiac marker values (relative to normal) in these two scenarios were similar (approximately twofold for elevations of 1–5 times the ULRR, and fourfold for elevations of 5–10 times the ULRR). These data support the hypothesis that, regardless of aetiology, any myocyte necrosis has prognostic implications, but that other factors known to be prognostically significant also need to be taken into account.

Cardiac marker elevations occurring in the context of coronary artery bypass grafting

Almost all patients undergoing CABG have some elevation of cardiac markers, and 20–40% of patients have pronounced elevations. Earlier studies, which used electrocardiographic and CK criteria for the diagnosis of MI following CABG, reported an association between perioperative MI, heart failure, and mortality. Of 9777 patients enrolled in the coronary artery surgery study (CASS) registry between 1974 and 1979, 5.7% had definite or probable perioperative...
MI. Hospital survivors with perioperative infarction were found to have a significantly lower five year survival rate than those without perioperative MI (40% vs 73%).

In one study, the best combination of specificity (85%) and sensitivity (39%) for adverse six month outcomes associated with post-CABG CK-MB elevations was shown to be at a discrimination value of five times the ULRR. In another study of 390 patients undergoing CABG after acute coronary syndromes, CK-MB elevation to < 5 times the ULRR was not associated with significant increases in adverse events occurring in hospital, within 30 days or within six months; however, CK-MB elevation to ≥ 5 times the ULRR was associated with a twofold increase in six month mortality (p = 0.001), and elevation to ≥ 10 times the ULRR was associated with an almost fourfold increase in mortality at both 30 days and six months.

In the guard during ischemia against necrosis (GUARDIAN) study, in which 2918 patients underwent CABG and postoperative MI was a major end point, increasing values of CK-MB above the ULRR correlated with six month mortality after CABG. The odds ratios for six month mortality were 1.74 (95% CI 1.05 to 2.86) in patients with CK-MB values of 5–10 times the ULRR, and 2.38 (95% CI 1.32 to 4.28) in those with CK-MB values of 10–20 times the ULRR.

CK-MB elevations in the range of 3–5 times the ULRR have been considered to have different prognostic implications, depending on whether they occur in the context of CABG or PCI. However, in the arterial revascularization therapies study (ARTS), where 496 patients underwent CABG, the one year mortality rates were 1.1% in patients with normal CK-MB values postoperatively, 0.5% in those with values of > 1–3 times the ULRR, 5.4% in those with values of 3–5 times the ULRR, and 10.5% in those with values of > 5 times the ULRR (p < 0.001). There was an association between increasing CK-MB elevations and mortality that persisted after adjustment for baseline characteristics such as age and left ventricular function, and was similar to that observed with spontaneous or PCI related MI.

The pattern of troponin release is similar after CABG and after valve surgery, although perioperative troponin concentrations are substantially lower in patients undergoing off-pump or minimally invasive surgery. There are few published data on the association between troponin elevations following CABG and clinical outcomes. In a small study of 45 patients undergoing elective CABG for two vessel coronary artery disease, higher troponin T values were associated with delayed recovery of left ventricular function. In another study of 540 consecutive patients undergoing elective CABG at a single centre, the median perioperative troponin I values (using the Dade-Behring assay) were 0.91 μg/l in 21 patients who subsequently died or had an in-hospital MI vs 0.37 μg/l in those who did not have these events. In multivariate analysis, the only factors that predicted the in-hospital outcome were the cross-clamp time and the troponin I value at discontinuation of bypass.

The ACC/ESC definition does not include specific criteria for the diagnosis of MI occurring post-CABG. Not all causes of myocyte necrosis following CABG involve ischaemia caused by plaque fissuring or rupture (table 3), and cardiac marker elevation after CABG can be caused by traumatic atrial cannulation, ventricular venting, manipulation of the heart, inadequate cardioplegia, or an ischaemia related event such as conduit or native vessel occlusion due to thrombosis or spasm.

Cardiac marker elevations post-CABG that do not reach the commonly used discrimination value for MI (five times the ULRR) may still have prognostic implications, though these may be less important than in other clinical scenarios (fig 3). Based on the ARTS data, the CK-MB threshold associated with an increase in late mortality after CABG may be three rather than five times the ULRR, although this will need to be validated in future studies. A troponin based definition of MI occurring in the context of CABG is yet to be established.

**Definitions of MI in clinical trials**

In recent clinical trials of antithrombotic treatment in acute coronary syndromes, the cardiac marker definition of MI has relied primarily on elevations of CK or CK-MB. These definitions have varied between trials and according to the clinical scenario (for example, 1–2 times the ULRR for spontaneous MI, > 3 times the ULRR for PCI related MI, and
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**Figure 3** The prognostic significance of troponin elevations varies in different clinical scenarios. ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; LV, left ventricular; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; ULRR, upper limit of reference range. Modified from White HD. Things ain’t what they used to be: impact of a new definition of myocardial infarction [editorial]. *Am Heart J* 2002;144:933–7, with permission.
The new definition of myocardial infarction (MI), based on troponin values, will increase the number of patients with non-ST elevation acute coronary syndromes receiving a diagnosis of MI by about 40%.

The new definition of MI, based on troponin values, has not yet been universally adopted by cardiologists.

The available troponin I assays require further refinement to achieve a coefficient of variation of < 10% at the 99th centile of the reference control group, and standardisation of troponin I assays should be pursued vigorously.

There are similar associations between mortality and cardiac marker values following MI in the settings of acute myocardial ischaemia, post-PCI, and post-CABG.

New definitions are needed for:
- MI post-CABG based on postoperative CK-MB and troponin values
- threatened infarction
- aborted MI
- silent MI
- sudden ischaemic cardiac death

> 5 times the ULRR for CABG related MI), and may also vary depending on whether troponin values are included in the definition.82

Unlike other studies comparing early invasive and conservative treatment strategies in patients with non-ST elevation acute coronary syndromes,83 the recent randomised intervention trial of unstable angina (RITA-3) study14 used the same criteria (elevation of cardiac marker concentrations to ≥ 2 times the ULRR) to define “spontaneous” MI and PCI related MI. If the RITA-3 data are re-analysed using the new ACC/ESC definition of MI (in which any elevation in cardiac marker values above the ULRR is defined as an MI), the number of MIs occurring in the invasive versus conservative treatment groups increases from 45 v 56 MIs (p = NS) to 84 v 129 MIs (risk ratio 0.67, 95% CI 0.51 to 0.86, p = 0.002). These data emphasise just how much the interpretation of trial results depends upon the definition of MI being used, especially when intervention rates differ within and/or between studies.

Prevention of reinfarction should be considered an important end point in assessing the potential benefits of new cardiovascular therapies, as reinfarction is associated with decreased late survival.17 Reduction in infarct size should also be a therapeutic goal and, given the association between the magnitude of cardiac marker elevations and mortality rates, it may be appropriate to consider cardiac marker values as continuous variables rather than as discrete variables in data analysis.82,85

SOCIETAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE NEW DEFINITION OF MI

In addition to changing the prognosis of patients with MI (by lowering the threshold for diagnosis), the new definition will have various implications for society. Its effect on global healthcare expenditure is as yet unknown. Hospital costs are likely to rise, as patients diagnosed with MI will be more likely to undergo investigations such as echocardiography and/or angiography and PCI. However, more accurate diagnosis is also likely to lead to more appropriate usage of evidence based treatment and consequently better patient outcomes, which may reduce the overall costs of coronary heart disease to society.

The lower diagnostic threshold for MI will also have a considerable impact upon patients and their families. Receiving a diagnosis of MI may prompt more patients to change their lifestyles, but may also affect patients’ ability to retain or regain employment, with consequences for productivity and health insurance. Community education programmes will be needed to inform the public that the prognosis of patients who have had a small troponin rise is usually excellent, provided that appropriate remedial measures are taken (for example, lifestyle modification including exercise; pharmacological treatments such as aspirin, β blockers, statins, and angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors; and PCI or CABG in selected patients).

Guidelines for resumption of flying after an MI will need to be modified. The latest New Zealand driving guidelines have taken into account the new definition, and allow patients to resume driving two days after an uncomplicated PCI or one week after presentation with a non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome involving a small troponin rise. Guidelines for resumption of flying will also need to be revised. It is important that the definition of MI is standardised both nationally and internationally. We believe that the new definition of MI is a major advance, and will lead to improved patient management and outcomes.
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